

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- ♦ 1.How does the average yield of sorghum and maize compare to their total productivity across different sub-counties?
- ♦ 2.How do changes in crop area relate to variations in average yield of sorghum and maize across different sub-counties?
- ♦ 3. What is the comparative productivity of sorghum versus maize in different subcounties and how does it relate to the crop area?
- ♦ 4.How does the total population of a sub-county correlate with the total crop area dedicated to sorghum and maize?

OBJECTIVES

- 1. To evaluate the relationship between the average yield (S_Yield_Ha for sorghum and M_Yield_Ha for maize) and total productivity (S_Prod_Tot for sorghum and M_Prod_Tot for maize) across subcounties. To identify patterns or discrepancies in yield versus productivity, providing insights into areas where productivity may be disproportionately high or low relative to yield.
- To analyze the impact of total crop area (S_Area_Ha for sorghum and M_Area_Ha for maize) on average yields (S_Yield_Ha and M_Yield_Ha). To determine if larger crop areas correspond to higher or lower yields and assess the efficiency of land use for sorghum and maize.
- 3. To compare total productivity for sorghum (S_Prod_Tot) and maize (M_Prod_Tot) within subcounties. To understand how crop area (S_Area_Ha and M_Area_Ha) influences productivity levels, and identify regions where one crop is significantly more productive than the other.
- 4. To explore the relationship between total population (POP) and total crop area (Crop_Area_Ha) for both sorghum (S_Area_Ha) and maize (M_Area_Ha). To determine if higher population densities correspond with larger or smaller crop areas, and assess how population dynamics might influence agricultural land use.

KARAMOJA SUB-COUNTY Name KOTIDO ABIM AMUDAT KAABONG MOROTO NAKAPIRIPI... NAPAK S Prod Tot 1,471,506 609,552 5,731,830 16,631,904 605,944 6,848,491 2,211,456 S Yield Ha 205 279 331 128 449 356 137 Lamwo 243,157 101,790 Turkana Dname2014 ABIM 167,625 SUM(0) SUM(0) AMUDAT KAABO... MOROTO Uganda NAKAPI.. 146,780 **■** KOTIDO 627,057 ■ NAPAK Serere 127,811 © 2024 Mapbox © OpenStreetMap Name NAKAPIR. KAABONG NAPAK AMUDAT KOTIDO ABIM MOROTO 30M 16,631,904 Value 8,122,197 6,848,491 6,987,723 5,731,830 5,588,336 449 50,247 2,211,456 2,010,575 1,471,506 1,922,567 10M 609,552 Prod Tot 606,944 M Prod Tot | 422,468 OM M Prod Tot M Prod Tot M Prod Tot S Prod Tot M Prod Tot S Prod Tot S Prod Tot S Prod Tot S Prod Tot M Prod Tot OK 50K 100K OK 10K 20K 0K 2K 4K 6K OK 50K 100K 0.5K 1K 1.5K S Yield Ha Crop Area Ha M Area Ha M Yield Ha S Area Ha

RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

RECOMMENDATIONS

Utilize the satellite-based yield monitoring tool to validate yield predictions, refine measurement accuracy, and assess correlations with environmental stressors. Leverage these insights to enhance decision-making and optimize resource allocation, ultimately improving food security efforts in Karamoja

CONCLUSION

* The satellite-based yield monitoring tool for Karamoja effectively identifies yield patterns and productivity levels. By comparing satellite data with actual yields, analyzing accuracy factors, and correlating yields with environmental stressors, the tool provides valuable insights for NGOs. This enhances decision-making and resource allocation, contributing to improved food security in the region.