

# CHAPTER 1

## Key Definitions

**Definition.** For a set  $X$ , a collection  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a  **$\sigma$ -algebra** if it satisfies

1.  $X \in \mathcal{M}$
2. If  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{M}$
3. If  $(A_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  be a measurable space and  $(Y, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space. A function

$$f : (X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{T})$$

is **measurable** if for every open set  $U \in \mathcal{T}$ , the preimage  $f^{-1}(U)$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ . That is,

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{T}, \quad f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

**Definition.** Given a sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $[-\infty, \infty]$ , we define the **limit superior** and **limit inferior** as follows:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n := \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sup_{n \geq k} a_n \right), \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \inf_{n \geq k} a_n \right).$$

We call  $\limsup a_n$  the **upper limit** and  $\liminf a_n$  the **lower limit** of the sequence  $\{a_n\}$ , respectively.

**Definition.** A function  $s : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is called a **simple function** if the image  $s(X)$  is a finite set.

**Definition.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . The **characteristic function**  $\chi_A : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  be a measurable space. A set function  $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called **countably additive** if, whenever  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  is a sequence of disjoint sets, i.e.,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(A_i).$$

Moreover, if  $\mu(A) < \infty$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\mu$  is called a **positive measure**. The triple  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is called a **measure space**.

**Definition.** Let  $s : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a simple measurable function. Then  $s$  can be written in the form

$$s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{A_i}$$

where  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are constants and  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are measurable sets in  $\mathcal{M}$ , with the property that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ , and  $s(X) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ .

If  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , we define the **integral of  $s$  over  $E$**  by

$$\int_E s \, d\mu := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mu(A_i \cap E),$$

with the convention that  $0 \cdot \infty = 0$ .

**Definition.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a measurable function and let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . The **Lebesgue integral** of  $f$  over  $E$  is defined by

$$\int_E f \, d\mu := \sup \left\{ \int_E s \, d\mu : s \text{ is simple, measurable, and } 0 \leq s \leq f \right\}.$$

**Definition.** A measure  $\mu$  on a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  is called **complete** if for every set  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E) = 0$ , and for every subset  $F \subseteq E$ , we have  $F \in \mathcal{M}$ . That is, all subsets of null sets are measurable.

## Key Theorems

**Theorem.** If  $f : (X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ , then  $f$  is measurable if and only if for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f^{-1}((a, \infty]) \in \mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* omitted. □

**Theorem. [Monotone Convergence Theorem]** Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of measurable functions on  $[-\infty, \infty]$  such that

1.  $0 \leq f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots \leq \infty$
2.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ,

then  $f$  is measurable and we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n \, d\mu = \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \, d\mu = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$

*Proof.* For every  $c \in (0, 1)$ , fix a simple measurable function  $s$ , with  $0 \leq s \leq f$ . Let the following sets be defined by  $E_n = \{x \in X : f_n(x) \geq c \cdot s(x)\}$ . Then we have  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n = X$ . So we have

$$\int_X s \, d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n} s \, d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n} \frac{1}{c} f_n \, d\mu.$$

By taking the supremum over all simple functions "beneath"  $f$ , we have

$$\int_X f \, d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n} \frac{1}{c} f_n \, d\mu \implies c \cdot \int_X f \, d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n} f_n \, d\mu$$

and taking the limit as  $c \rightarrow 1$  gives the desired result. The other inequality is "obvious," concluding the proof. □

**Lemma. [Fatou's Lemma]** Let  $f_n : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be measurable for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\int_X \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right) d\mu \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu .$$

*Proof.* First, define  $g_n(x) = \inf\{f_k(x) : k \geq n\}$ . Then  $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a non-decreasing sequence of functions by construction. Then by the Monotone Convergence Theorem:

$$\int_X \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n d\mu = \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X g_n d\mu \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{m \geq n} \int_X f_m d\mu = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu . \quad (1)$$

□

**Theorem. [Dominated Convergence Theorem]** For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be measurable. Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) =: f(x)$  exists for all  $x \in X$  and there exists a  $g \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq |g(x)|$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| d\mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu .$$

*Proof.*

□

## Question 1.12

Suppose  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ . Prove that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\int_E |f| d\mu < \varepsilon$  whenever  $\mu(E) < \delta$ .

**Answer:**

*Proof.* First define  $f_n(x) = \min\{|f(x)|, n\}$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = |f(x)|$  almost everywhere from monotonicity, and by the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu = \int |f| d\mu \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (|f| - f_n) d\mu = 0.$$

Hence, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\int (|f| - f_n) d\mu = \int ||f| - f_n| d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all  $n \geq N$ . Also, by definition there exists a  $N' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| \geq N'\}) = 0$$

for if no such  $N' \in \mathbb{N}$  existed, then  $f \notin L^1(\mu)$ . Set  $M = \max\{N, N'\}$ . Now for any measurable set  $E$  with  $\mu(E) < \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}$ ,

$$\int_E |f| d\mu \leq \int_E ||f| - f_n| d\mu + \int_E |f_n| d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \int_E N d\mu = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + N \cdot \mu(E) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

□

## Question 1.π

Use Fatou's Lemma to prove the Monotone Convergence Theorem.

## CHAPTER 2

### Key Definitions

**Definition.** A measurable set  $E$  in a measure space is said to have  **$\sigma$ -finite measure** if  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ , where  $E_n$  is measurable and  $\mu(E_n) < \infty$  for all  $n$ . In particular, if  $X$  has  $\sigma$ -finite measure, then  $\mu$  is called  **$\sigma$ -finite**.

**Definition.** We say the **linear functional**  $\Lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a **positive** linear functional if  $f \geq 0$  implies  $\Lambda(f) \geq 0$ .

**Definition.** We say that the topological space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is **locally compact** if for each  $p \in X$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  such that  $\overline{U}$  is compact.

**Definition.** Let  $f$  be a real or extended-real valued function on  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $\{x : f(x) > \alpha\} \in \tau$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f$  is said to be **lower semicontinuous**. Likewise, if  $\{x : f(x) < \alpha\} \in \tau$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f$  is **upper semicontinuous**.

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological space, and  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The **support** of  $f$  is defined as

$$\text{supp}(f) := \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}.$$

We also define

$$C_c(X) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ continuous with compact support}\}.$$

**Definition.** Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . The measure  $\mu$  is said to be **outer regular** if

$$\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(V) : E \subset V, V \text{ open}\}.$$

The measure  $\mu$  is said to be **inner regular** if  $E$  is open, or  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  with  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , and

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\}.$$

If every Borel set  $E$  is both inner and outer regular, then  $\mu$  is said to be a **regular measure**.

### Key Theorems

**Lemma. [Urysohn's Lemma]** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Suppose  $K$  is a compact subset and  $K \subseteq V \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then there exists  $f \in C_c(X)$  such that  $\chi_K \leq f \leq \chi_V$ .

**Theorem. [Riesz Representation Theorem]**

**Theorem. [Lusin's Theorem]** Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be measurable. Define  $A = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$  and suppose  $\mu(A) < \infty$ . Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $g \in C_c(X)$  such that

$$\mu(\{x \in X : f(x) \neq g(x)\}) < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in X} |g(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|.$$

### Question 2.3

Let  $X$  be a metric space, with metric  $\rho$ . For any nonempty  $E \subset X$ , define

$$\rho_E(x) = \inf\{\rho(x, y) : y \in E\}$$

Show that  $\rho_E$  is a uniformly continuous function on  $X$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ , examine the relevance of the function

$$f(x) = \frac{\rho_A(x)}{\rho_A(x) + \rho_B(x)}$$

to Urysohn's lemma.

## Question 2.11

Let  $\mu$  be a regular Borel measure on a compact Hausdorff space  $X$  and assume  $\mu(X) = 1$ . Prove that there is a compact set  $K \subset X$  (the carrier or support of  $\mu$ ) such that  $\mu(K) = 1$  but  $\mu(H) < 1$  for every proper compact subset  $H$  of  $K$ . *Hint:* Let  $K$  be the intersection of all compact  $K_\alpha$  with  $\mu(K_\alpha) = 1$ . Show that every open set  $V$  which contains  $K$  also contains some  $K_\alpha$ . Regularity of  $\mu$  is needed. Show that  $K^c$  is the largest open set in  $X$  whose measure is 0.

**Answer:**

Let  $K = \bigcap_{\alpha} K_{\alpha}$  where each  $K_{\alpha}$  is compact and such that  $\mu(K_{\alpha}) = 1$ . By regularity,

$$\mu(K) = \inf\{\mu(V) : V \text{ is open and } K \subset V\}.$$

If such a  $V$  is open, then  $V^c$  is closed and because  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space,  $V^c$  is compact. Then because  $K \subset V$ , we have that  $V^c \subset K^c$ , so the set  $\{K_{\alpha}^c\}$  is an open cover of  $V^c$ . By compactness of  $V^c$ , there exists a finite subcovering  $\{K_{\alpha_i}^c\}_{i=1}^n$ . For the finite set we have:

$$\mu(V^c) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{\alpha_i}^c\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(K_{\alpha_i}^c) = 0$$

since  $\mu(K_{\alpha}^c) = 0$  for all  $\alpha$  by assumption. Then for all such open sets  $V$ , we have that  $\mu(V) = 1$  implying  $\mu(K) = 1$ .

Now suppose there exists a compact set  $H$  such that  $H \subset K$ . Then because  $X$  is a compact Hausdorff space,  $H$  is closed. Define  $U$  to be the open set such that  $U = H^c$ . From  $H \subset K$ , we have  $K^c \subset U$ . If  $\mu(U) = 0$ , then  $\mu(U) = \mu(U \cup K^c) = 0$ . This would force it to be the case that

$$\mu((U \cup K^c)^c) = \mu(H \cap K) = \mu(H) = 1.$$

However, if  $H$  was compact, such that  $H \subset K$ , and  $\mu(H) = 1$ , then that would contradict the construction of  $K$ , so it must be the case that  $\mu(U) > 0$ . Therefore  $K^c$  is the largest open set in  $X$  whose measure is 0, and we can compute that

$$1 = \mu(X) = \mu(H \cup U) = \mu(H) + \mu(U) \implies 1 > 1 - \mu(U) = \mu(H).$$

## CHAPTER 3

### Key Definitions

**Definition.** A function  $\varphi : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be **convex** if for all  $x, y \in (a, b)$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\varphi((1 - \lambda) \cdot x + \lambda \cdot y) \leq (1 - \lambda) \cdot \varphi(x) + \lambda \cdot \varphi(y) .$$

**Definition.** Let  $p, q > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 .$$

Then  $p$  and  $q$  are called a pair of **conjugate exponents**.

**Definition.** Let  $0 < p < \infty$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be measurable. Define the  $L^p$ -**norm** of  $f$  by

$$\|f\|_p := \left( \int_X |f|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} .$$

Define the  $L^p$ -**space** of  $X$  by

$$L^p(\mu) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable with } \|f\|_p < \infty\} .$$

**Definition.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be measurable. The **essential supremum** of  $f$  is defined as

$$\text{ess sup}(f) := \inf\{\alpha : \mu(\{x \in X : f(x) > \alpha\}) = 0\} .$$

**Definition.** If  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is measurable, we define

$$\|f\|_\infty := \text{ess sup}(|f|) .$$

Define

$$L^\infty(\mu) := \{f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \text{ measurable with } \|f\|_\infty < \infty\} .$$

Sometimes we call the members of  $L^\infty$  **essentially bounded measurable functions** on  $X$ .

### Key Theorems

**Theorem. [Jensen's Inequality]** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$  with  $\mu(X) = 1$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ ,  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $a < f(x) < b$ , for all  $x \in X$ , and  $\varphi$  be convex on  $(a, b)$ . Then we have

$$\varphi\left(\int_X f d\mu\right) \leq \int_X \varphi \circ f d\mu .$$

**Theorem.** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $C_c(X)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mu)$ .



**Theorem. [Hölder's and Minkowski's Inequality]** Let  $p$  and  $q$  be conjugate exponents, and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $X$  be a measure space, with measure  $\mu$ . Let  $f, g : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be measurable. Then

$$\textbf{Hölder's:} \quad \|f \cdot g\|_1 = \int_X f \cdot g \, d\mu \leq \left( \int_X f^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left( \int_X g^q \, d\mu \right)^{1/q} = \|f\|_p \cdot \|g\|_q$$

and

$$\textbf{Minkowski's:} \quad \|f + g\|_p = \left( \int_X (f + g)^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \int_X f^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} + \left( \int_X g^p \, d\mu \right)^{1/p} = \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.$$

**Theorem. [Egoroff's Theorem]** Let  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,  $f_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be measurable and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$ , for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ . Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a measurable set  $E \subset X$  with  $\mu(E^c) < \varepsilon$  such that  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly on  $E$ .

*Proof.*

□

### Question 3.4

Suppose that  $f$  is a complex measurable function on  $X$ ,  $\mu$  is a positive measure on  $X$ , and

$$\varphi(p) = \int_X |f|^p d\mu = \|f\|_p^p \quad \text{with } 0 < p < \infty$$

Let  $E = \{p : \varphi(p) < \infty\}$ . Assume  $\|f\|_\infty > 0$ .

- (a) If  $r < p < s$  and  $r, s \in E$ , then  $p \in E$ .
- (b) Prove that  $\log \varphi$  is convex in the interior of  $E$  and that  $\varphi$  is continuous on  $E$ .
- (c) By (a),  $E$  is connected. Is  $E$  necessarily open or closed? Can  $E$  consist of a single point? Can  $E$  be any connected subset of  $(0, \infty)$ ?
- (d) If  $r < p < s$ , prove that  $\|f\|_p \leq \max\{\|f\|_r, \|f\|_s\}$ . Show that this implies the inclusion  $L^r(\mu) \cap L^s(\mu) \subset L^p(\mu)$ .
- (e) Assume that  $\|f\|_r < \infty$  for some  $r < \infty$ . Prove that  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = \|f\|_\infty$ .

## CHAPTER 4

### Key Definitions

**Definition.** If  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a sesquilinear, positive semidefinite form on  $H$ , then the **seminorm** of  $x \in H$  is defined to be

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}.$$

**Definition.** Let  $H$  be an inner product space. If  $H$  is complete with respect to  $\|\cdot\|$ , then  $H$  is known as a **Hilbert Space**.

**Definition.** We say that  $x, y \in H$  are **orthogonal** if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ , and we denote it by  $x \perp y$ . If  $S \subset H$ , then we define the set  $S^\perp = \{x \in H : \langle x, y \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } y \in S\}$

**Definition.** A family  $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset H$  is called **orthonormal** if

$$\langle u_\alpha, u_\beta \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \neq \beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_\alpha\| = 1, \quad \forall \alpha \in A.$$

If  $x \in H$ , the complex numbers  $\langle x, u_\alpha \rangle$  are called the **Fourier coefficients** of  $x$  relative to the set  $\{u_\alpha\}$ , or coordinate orthogonal projections onto  $\text{Span}(u_\alpha : \alpha \in A)$ .

**Definition.** An orthonormal set  $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is called **complete**, or an **orthonormal basis**, if for all  $h \in H$ ,

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in A} |\langle h, u_\alpha \rangle|^2.$$

**Definition.** The complex numbers  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \langle f, e_n \rangle$  are precisely the **Fourier coefficients** of  $f$ .

**Definition.** Let  $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$  and  $(K, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_K)$  be Hilbert spaces. A linear map  $\Lambda : H \rightarrow K$  is called an **isometry** if for all  $h, g \in H$ ,

$$\langle \Lambda(h), \Lambda(g) \rangle_K = \langle h, g \rangle_H.$$

In addition, if  $\Lambda$  is surjective, we say  $\Lambda$  is a **unitary**. If such a  $\Lambda$  exists, then  $H$  and  $K$  are **isomorphic**. In that case,  $\Lambda$  is called a **Hilbert space isometric isomorphism**.

### Key Theorems

**Theorem.** *Every nontrivial Hilbert space  $H$  has an orthonormal basis.*

*Proof.* Involves Zorn's Lemma XD.

□

**Theorem. [Riesz Representation Theorem on Hilbert Space]** Let  $\Lambda : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a continuous linear functional. Then there is a unique  $y \in H$  such that  $\Lambda(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$  for every  $x \in H$ .

*Proof.* First suppose  $\Lambda \neq 0$  and let  $M = \{x \in H : \Lambda(x) = 0\} = \ker(\Lambda)$ . Since  $M$  is the preimage image of a closed set under a continuous mapping, it is closed. We also have that  $\Lambda \neq 0$  implies  $M \subset H$ . Hence  $M^\perp \neq \{0\}$ .

Suppose  $u, v \in M^\perp$ . By linearity of  $\Lambda$  we have that if  $u \neq 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ , then  $\Lambda(u) \neq 0$  and  $\Lambda(v) \neq 0$  and

$$\Lambda\left(\frac{u}{\Lambda(u)} - \frac{v}{\Lambda(v)}\right) = \frac{1}{\Lambda(u)} \cdot \Lambda(u) - \frac{1}{\Lambda(v)} \cdot \Lambda(v) = 0 \implies \left(\frac{u}{\Lambda(u)} - \frac{v}{\Lambda(v)}\right) \in M$$

On the other hand,  $M^\perp$  is subspace of  $H$ , so  $\left(\frac{u}{\Lambda(u)} - \frac{v}{\Lambda(v)}\right) \in M^\perp$ . These two facts together implies that

$$\left(\frac{u}{\Lambda(u)} - \frac{v}{\Lambda(v)}\right) = 0 \implies \frac{u}{\Lambda(u)} = \frac{v}{\Lambda(v)} \implies u = \frac{\Lambda(u)}{\Lambda(v)} \cdot v$$

and from the fact that  $u$  was arbitrary, we have that  $M^\perp = \text{span}(v)$ .

Now let  $x \in H$ . Since  $M$  is closed, there exists vectors  $w \in M$  and  $w' \in M^\perp$  such that  $x = w + w'$ . Further because  $M^\perp = \text{span}(v)$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $w' = \frac{\alpha \cdot v}{\Lambda(v)}$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(x) &= \Lambda(w) + \Lambda(w') = 0 + \alpha = \alpha \frac{\langle v, v \rangle}{\|v\|^2} = \langle w, 0 \rangle + \left\langle \alpha v, \frac{\overline{\Lambda(v)} \cdot v}{\Lambda(v) \cdot \|v\|^2} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle w, 0 \rangle + \left\langle \frac{\alpha \cdot v}{\Lambda(v)}, \frac{\overline{\Lambda(v)} \cdot v}{\|v\|^2} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle w, 0 \rangle + \left\langle w', \frac{\overline{\Lambda(v)} \cdot v}{\|v\|^2} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle x, \frac{\overline{\Lambda(v)} \cdot v}{\|v\|^2} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $y = \frac{\overline{\Lambda(v)} \cdot v}{\|v\|^2}$ , then we have the desired vector.

□

## Question 4.1

If  $M$  is a closed subspace of  $H$ , prove that  $M = (M^\perp)^\perp$ . Is there a similar true statement for subspaces  $M$  which are not necessarily closed?

**Answer:**

*Proof.* Let  $x \in M$ . Since  $M^\perp = \{y \in H : \langle y, x \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M\}$ ,  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$  for all  $y \in M^\perp$  implying  $x \in (M^\perp)^\perp$ . Conversely, suppose  $x \in (M^\perp)^\perp$ . Because  $M$  is closed, by **Theorem 4.12**,  $x = u + v$  for some  $u \in M$  and  $v \in M^\perp$ . Since  $x \in (M^\perp)^\perp$ , we have  $0 = \langle x, v \rangle = \langle u + v, v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle + \langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle$  implying  $v = 0$ . Hence  $x = u \in M$ , so  $M = (M^\perp)^\perp$ . □

## Question 4.5

If  $M = \{x : Lx = 0\}$ , where  $L$  is a bounded linear functional on  $H$ , prove that  $M^\perp$  is a vector space of dimension 1.

**Answer:**

*Proof.*

□

## Question (HW212)

Let  $f$  be a continuous,  $2\pi$ -periodic function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\alpha$  an irrational number. Show that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(2\pi n\alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt.$$

Hint: First examine the special case  $f(t) = e^{ikt}$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Answer:**

Following the hint, let  $f(t) = e^{ikt}$  with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From calculus we see that when  $k = 0$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N 1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} 1 dt = 1.$$

Now suppose that  $k \neq 0$  and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Then the computation of the integral yields:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ikt} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi ik} \cdot e^{ikt} \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} = 0.$$

And using the fact that  $|e^{i\theta}| \leq 1$  for all  $\theta$  gives

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (e^{ik2\pi\alpha})^n \right| = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{e^{ik2\pi\alpha} (1 - e^{ik2\pi\alpha N})}{1 - e^{ik2\pi\alpha}} \right| \leq \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \cdot \frac{2}{1 - e^{ik2\pi\alpha}} \right| = 0.$$

Therefore the equality holds in this special case. By linearity of sums and integrals, the equality holds for any trigonometric polynomial.

Now we use the fact that  $\{e^{ikt} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  forms an orthonormal basis for continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . By **Theorem 4.25**, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a trigonometric polynomial  $P(t)$  such that  $|f(t) - P(t)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . All together we have that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(2\pi n\alpha) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(2\pi n\alpha) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N P(2\pi n\alpha) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N P(2\pi n\alpha) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P(t) dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P(t) dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N f(2\pi n\alpha) - \sum_{n=1}^N P(2\pi n\alpha) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N P(2\pi n\alpha) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P(t) dt \right| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P(t) dt - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt \right| \\ &< \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right| + \left| \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\varepsilon}{3} dt \right| = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the equality holds for any such  $f$ .

### Question 4.3

Show that  $L^p(T)$  is separable if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , but  $L^\infty(T)$  is not separable.

### Question 4.7

Suppose  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of positive numbers such that  $\sum a_n b_n < \infty$  whenever  $b_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum b_n^2 < \infty$ . Prove that  $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$ .

**Answer:**

*Proof.*

□

## CHAPTER 5

### Key Definitions

**Definition.** A complex vector space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , with a **norm**  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , is a **normed vector space** if it satisfies the following: for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

1.  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$
2.  $\|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$
3. If  $\|x\| = 0$ , then  $x = 0$

**Definition.** If  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  is said to **converge to  $x$**  if for some  $x \in X$ , the partial sums satisfy  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n = x$ . The series is called **absolutely convergent** if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|x_n\| < \infty$ .

**Definition.** A **Banach Space** is a normed vector space that is complete in the metric topology induced by the norm.

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be normed vector spaces. Let  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear map. We define the **operator norm** of  $\Lambda$  by

$$\|\Lambda\| = \sup\{\|\Lambda(x)\|_Y : \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

If  $\|\Lambda\| < \infty$ , then we say that  $\Lambda$  is bounded.

**Definition.** A set  $E \subset X$  is called **nowhere dense** if  $\overline{E}$  does not contain any open set in  $X$ . A countable union of such sets  $E$  is called a **set of the first category**. Otherwise, it is a **set of the second category**.

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a normed vector space. We define the **dual space** of  $X$  as

$$X^* = \{\Lambda : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \Lambda \text{ is a bounded linear functional}\}$$

### Key Theorems

**Theorem.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be normed vector spaces, and  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $\Lambda$  is bounded
2.  $\Lambda$  is continuous
3.  $\Lambda$  is continuous at some  $x_0 \in X$ .



**Theorem. [Baire's Category Theorem]** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space, and  $U_n$  be an open dense subset of  $X$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$  is also dense in  $X$ .

**Theorem. [Banach-Steinhaus Theorem]** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a Banach space and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be a normed vector space. Let  $\{\Lambda_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a collection of bounded linear maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ . Then either

1. **Bounded Uniformly:** There is an  $M > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\|\Lambda_\alpha\| \leq M$
2. **Everything Blows Up:** There is a  $G_\delta$ -set  $S \subset X$ , such that for all  $x \in S$ ,  $\sup_{\alpha \in A} \|\Lambda_\alpha\|_Y = \infty$ .

**Theorem. [Open Mapping Theorem]** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be Banach spaces. If  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective bounded linear map, then  $\Lambda$  is an open map.

**Theorem. [Closed Graph Theorem]** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be Banach spaces. Let  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow Y$  be linear. Then  $\Lambda$  is bounded if and only if the set  $\mathcal{G}(\Lambda) = \{(x, \Lambda(x)) : x \in X\}$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

**Theorem. [Hahn-Banach]** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed vector space. Let  $M$  be a subspace of  $X$ , and  $\lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded linear functional. Then,  $\lambda$  can be extended to a bounded linear functional  $\Lambda : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Lambda|_M = \lambda$  and  $\|\Lambda\| = \|\lambda\|$ .

## Question 5.8

Let  $X$  be a normed linear space, and let  $X^*$  be its dual space with the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

- (a) Prove that  $X^*$  is a Banach space.
- (b) Prove that the mapping  $f \mapsto f(x)$  is, for each  $x \in X$ , a bounded linear functional on  $X^*$ , of norm  $\|x\|$ .
- (c) Prove that the sequence  $(\|x_n\|)_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded if  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $(f(x_n))_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded for every  $f \in X^*$ .

## Question 5.9

Let  $c_0$ ,  $l^1$ , and  $l^\infty$  be the Banach spaces consisting of all complex sequences  $x = (\xi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ , defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in l^1 & \text{ if and only if } \|x\|_1 = \sum |\xi_i| < \infty \\ x \in l^\infty & \text{ if and only if } \|x\|_\infty = \sup |\xi_i| < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and  $c_0$  is the subspace of  $l^\infty$  consisting of all  $x \in l^\infty$  for which  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \xi_i = 0$ .

(a) Show that if  $\Lambda$  is a bounded linear functional on  $c_0$ , then there is a  $y \in l^1$  such that for each  $x \in c_0$ ,

$$\Lambda(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n y_n.$$

(b) In the same sense  $(l^1)^* = l^\infty$ .

(c) Every  $y \in l^1$  induces a bounded linear functional on  $l^\infty$ . However, this does not give all of  $(l^\infty)^*$ , since  $(l^\infty)^*$  contains nontrivial functionals that vanish on all of  $c_0$ .

(d)  $c_0$  and  $l^1$  are separable but  $l^\infty$  is not.

**Answer:**

(a) Let  $B = \{e_n\}$  denote the canonical basis for  $c_0$ . Then any vector  $x \in c_0$  can be written as  $x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n e_n$ , where  $x_n \in \mathbb{C}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then by linearity of  $\Lambda$ , we have  $\Lambda(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x_n \Lambda(e_n)$ . Then our candidate sequence is  $y_n = \Lambda(e_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\Lambda(e_n)| < \infty$ , then we're done. Since  $\Lambda : c_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , for any  $e_m \in B$ , there exists  $\theta_m \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that  $e^{i\theta_m} \cdot \Lambda(e_m) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\Lambda(e_n)| = \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{i\theta_n} \cdot \Lambda(e_n)$ . Now, let  $N < \infty$  and consider the following:

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |\Lambda(e_n)| = \sum_{n=1}^N e^{i\theta_n} \cdot \Lambda(e_n) = \Lambda \left( \sum_{n=1}^N e^{i\theta_n} \cdot e_n \right) \leq \|\Lambda\| \cdot \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N e^{i\theta_n} \cdot e_n \right\|_\infty.$$

Since the supremum norm on the right hand side is bounded above by 1, for fixed  $N$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^N |\Lambda(e_n)|$  is bounded by  $\|\Lambda\|$ . Using the fact that  $\Lambda$  is a bounded linear functional,  $\|\Lambda\| < \infty$ . Because this is true for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , taking the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\Lambda(e_n)| < \infty$ .

### Question 5.10

If  $\sum \alpha_i \xi_i$  converges for every sequence  $(\xi)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \xi_i = 0$ , prove that  $\sum |\alpha_i| < \infty$ .

**Answer:**

*Proof.*

□

## CHAPTER 6

### Key Definitions

**Definition.** A **measurable partition** of  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  is a sequence  $(E_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$  such that  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$  and  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n = E$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra. A **complex measure**  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  is a set function  $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n)$$

for each measurable partition  $(E_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ .

**Definition.** The **total variation** of  $\mu$  is a set function  $|\mu| : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$|\mu|(E) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(E_n)| : (E_n)_{n=1}^\infty \text{ is a partition of } E \right\}.$$

**Definition.** Define  $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{M})$  to be the set of all complex measures on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . For all  $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{M})$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , define  $\mu + \alpha\nu : \mathbb{M}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$(\mu + \alpha\nu)(E) = \mu(E) + \alpha\nu(E).$$

Hence,  $\mathbb{M}(\mathcal{M})$  is a complex vector space. Moreover, define  $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(X)$ . Then,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M})$  is a normed vector space.

**Definition.** Let  $\mu$  be a real measure on  $X$ . Define

$$\mu^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| + \mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^- = \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| - \mu).$$

Both  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  are positive real measures. They are called the **positive** and **negative variations** of  $\mu$ , respectively.

This representation is also called the **Jordan decomposition**.

**Definition.** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure, and  $\lambda$  be any measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ .

- 1)  $\lambda$  is said to be **absolutely continuous** with respect to  $\mu$  if  $\lambda(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(E) = 0$ , for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ . We write this as  $\mu \ll \lambda$ .
- 2)  $\lambda$  is said to be **concentrated on**  $A$  if  $\lambda(E) = \lambda(E \cap A)$ , for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ .
- 3) Suppose  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  are measures on  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\lambda_1$  is concentrated on  $A$  and  $\lambda_2$  is concentrated on  $B$ , then we say  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are **mutually disjoint**, and denote this by  $\lambda_1 \perp \lambda_2$ .

**Definition.** A complex Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  is said to be **regular** if  $|\mu|$  is regular on  $X$ . Denote

$$\mathcal{M}(X) := \{\mu : \text{regular complex Borel measure on } X\}.$$

## Key Theorems

**Theorem.** If  $\mu$  is a complex measure on  $X$ , then  $|\mu|(X) < \infty$ .

**Theorem. [Lebesgue-Radon-Nikodym Theorem]** Let  $\mu$  be a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure and  $\lambda$  be a complex measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Then we have

1. There exists a unique pair of complex measures  $\lambda_a$  and  $\lambda_s$  such that

$$\lambda = \lambda_a + \lambda_s, \quad \lambda_a \ll \mu, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_s \perp \mu.$$

2. There is a unique  $h \in L^1(\mu)$  such that

$$\lambda_a(E) = \int_E h \, d\mu, \quad \text{for all } E \in \mathcal{M}.$$

We call the pair  $(\lambda_a, \lambda_s)$  the **Lebesgue decomposition** of  $\lambda$  relative to  $\mu$ . Also, we call the function  $h \in L^1(\mu)$  the **Radon-Nikodym derivative** of  $\lambda_a$  with respect to  $\mu$ . Also,  $d\lambda_a = h \, d\mu$  or  $h = \frac{d\lambda_a}{d\mu}$ .

**Theorem. [Riesz Representation on Complex Measures Theorem]** Let  $\mu$  be a complex measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ .

Then there is a measurable function  $h$  such that  $|h(x)| = 1$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $d\mu = h \, d|\mu|$ .

**Theorem. [ $L^p$ -Isometry]** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $q$  be its conjugate exponent, and  $\mu$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite positive measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Then for all bounded linear functionals  $\Lambda \in L^p(\mu)^*$ , there is a unique  $g \in L^q(\mu)$  such that for each  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ ,

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_X f g \, d\mu.$$

Moreover,  $\|\Lambda\| = \|g\|_q$ . Hence,  $L^q(\mu) \cong L^p(\mu)^*$ .

**Theorem. [Hahn Decomposition Theorem]** Let  $\mu$  be a real measure on  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ . Then there are  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A \cup B = X$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , such that  $\mu^+(E) = \mu(E \cap A)$  and  $\mu^-(E) = -\mu(E \cap B)$ , for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ .

*Proof.* Because  $\mu$  is a complex measure, there exists a measurable function  $h$  such that  $|h| = 1$  and  $d\mu = h d|\mu|$ .

Since  $\mu$  is specifically a real measure  $h = \pm 1$ . Define the sets

$$A = \{x \in X : h(x) = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{x \in X : h(x) = -1\}$$

and note that  $\frac{1}{2}(1 + h)(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & x \in B \end{cases}$ ; thus, for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^+(E) &= \frac{1}{2}(|\mu|(E) + \mu(E)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_E d|\mu| + \int_E d\mu \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_E d|\mu| + \int_E h d|\mu| \right) \\ &= \int_E \frac{1}{2}(1 + h) d|\mu| \\ &= \int_{A \cap E} h d|\mu| \\ &= \int_{A \cap E} d\mu \\ &= \mu(A \cap E) . \end{aligned}$$

□

## Question 6.4

Suppose  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , and  $q$  is the exponent conjugate to  $p$ . Suppose  $\mu$  is a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure and  $g$  is a measurable function such that  $fg \in L^1(\mu)$  for every  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ . Prove that  $g \in L^q(\mu)$ .

**Answer:**

Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, we can write  $X$  as a countable union of measurable sets  $X_n$  such that  $\mu(X_n) < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the characteristic function  $\chi_E$  of a measurable set  $E \subset X$  with  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Since  $\chi_E \in L^p(\mu)$ , the assumption implies that  $\chi_E g \in L^1(\mu)$ , meaning

$$\int_E |g| d\mu < \infty.$$

Thus,  $g$  is integrable over any finite-measure subset of  $X$ .

Now, define the functional  $T : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$T(f) = \int_X fg d\mu.$$

For any  $f_1, f_2 \in L^p(\mu)$  and scalars  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$T(\alpha f_1 + \beta f_2) = \int_X (\alpha f_1 + \beta f_2)g d\mu = \alpha \int_X f_1 g d\mu + \beta \int_X f_2 g d\mu = \alpha T(f_1) + \beta T(f_2).$$

Thus,  $T$  is linear. Next, we show that  $T$  is bounded. Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, we can write  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$  where  $X_n$  is a nested sequence of sets with increasing but finite measure. Define

$$f_n = \frac{|g|^{q-1}}{\|g\|_{q,n}^{q-1}} \chi_{X_n}, \quad \text{where} \quad \|g\|_{q,n} = \left( \int_{X_n} |g|^q d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Then  $f_n \in L^p(\mu)$  and satisfies  $\|f_n\|_p \leq 1$ . Evaluating  $T(f_n)$ , we get

$$|T(f_n)| = \left| \int_X f_n g d\mu \right| = \left| \int_X \frac{|g|^{q-1}}{\|g\|_{q,n}^{q-1}} \chi_{X_n} \cdot g d\mu \right| \leq \int_{X_n} \frac{|g|^{q-1}}{\|g\|_{q,n}^{q-1}} \cdot |g| d\mu = \frac{1}{\|g\|_{q,n}^{q-1}} \int_{X_n} |g|^q d\mu = \|g\|_{q,n}.$$

On the other hand, by assumption,

$$|T(f)| = \left| \int_X fg d\mu \right| \leq \int_X |fg| d\mu < \infty$$

for all  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ , namely all  $f$  such that  $\|f\|_p \leq 1$ , so  $T$  is bounded, and there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|g\|_{q,n} = |T(f_n)| \leq C \|f_n\|_p < \infty.$$

Now because the  $X_n$  are nested, taking the supremum over  $n$ , we obtain  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|g\|_{q,n} = \|g\|_q < \infty$ .



## Question 6.5

Suppose  $X$  consists of two points  $a$  and  $b$ ; define  $\mu(\{a\}) = 1$ ,  $\mu(\{b\}) = \mu(X) = \infty$ , and  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . Is it true, for this  $\mu$ , that  $L^\infty(\mu)$  is the dual space of  $L^1(\mu)$ ?

**Answer:**

Firstly, define the following functions:

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = a \\ 0 & x = b \end{cases} \quad f_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = a \\ 1 & x = b \end{cases}$$

If  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , then we must have that

$$\int_X |f| d\mu = \int_{\{a\}} |f| d\mu + \int_{\{b\}} |f| d\mu = |f(a)| \cdot \mu(\{a\}) + |f(b)| \cdot \mu(\{b\}) < \infty,$$

but since  $\mu(\{b\}) = \infty$ ,  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  implies  $|f(b)| = 0$ . Therefore if  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ , then  $f(x) = c_1 \cdot f_1(x)$  for some  $c_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Hence  $L^1$  can be thought of as the span of  $f_1$ , which is a 1-dimensional vector space. It then follows that because  $L^1(\mu)$  has finite dimension, then  $\dim L^1(\mu) = \dim (L^1(\mu))^* = 1$ .

On the other hand, if  $g \in L^\infty(\mu)$ , then we must have that

$$\sup_{x \in X} |g(x)| < \infty \quad \implies \quad |g(a)|, |g(b)| \in \mathbb{C},$$

so  $g(x) = c_2 \cdot f_1(x) + c_3 \cdot f_2(x)$  for some  $c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence  $\dim L^\infty(\mu) \neq \dim (L^1(\mu))^*$ , so no isomorphism can exist between the two spaces.

## Question 6.10

Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a positive measure space. Call a set  $\Phi \subset L^1(\mu)$  uniformly integrable if to each  $\varepsilon > 0$  corresponds a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_E f \, d\mu \right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $f \in \Phi$  and  $\mu(E) < \delta$ .

- (a) Prove that every finite subset of  $L^1(\mu)$  is uniformly integrable.
- (b) Prove the following convergence theorem of Vitali: If  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in uniformly integrable,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  a.e., and  $|f(x)| < \infty$  a.e., then  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_n - f| \, d\mu = 0.$$

## Question 6.13

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the collection of all subsets of  $[0, 1]$  such that either  $E$  or  $[0, 1] \setminus E$  is at most countable. Let  $\mu$  be the counting measure on this  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $g(x) = x$  for  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ , show that  $g$  is not  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable, although the mapping

$$\Lambda : f \mapsto \int fg \, d\mu$$

makes sense for every  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and defines a bounded linear functional on  $L^1(\mu)$ . Thus  $(L^1)^* \neq L^\infty$  in this situation.

**Answer:**

Firstly,  $(0, \frac{1}{2}) \subset [0, 1]$  is an open set with respect to the usual topology on  $\mathbb{R}$ , but  $g^{-1}((0, \frac{1}{2})) = (0, \frac{1}{2})$  is not countable, nor is its complement  $((0, \frac{1}{2}))^c = \{0\} \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ . Therefore  $g^{-1}((0, \frac{1}{2})) \notin \mathcal{M}$ , so  $g$  is not  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable.

Now, let  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  and define the sets

$$F_n = \left\{ x \in [0, 1] : |f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \{x \in [0, 1] : |f(x)| > 0\}.$$

Since  $f \in L^1(\mu)$ ,  $\int_I |f| \, d\mu < \infty$ , but we also have:

$$\infty > \int_{[0,1]} |f| \, d\mu \geq \int_{E_n} |f| \, d\mu \geq \int_{E_n} \frac{1}{n} \, d\mu = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \mu(E_n)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ , and because  $\mu$  is the counting measure,  $E$  is at most countable.

Therefore, if  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  is such that  $\|f\|_1 = 1$ , then

$$\|\Lambda(f)\| = \left| \int fg \, d\mu \right| = \left| \int xf(x) \, d\mu \right| = \left| \sum_{x \in E} xf(x) \right| \leq \sum_{x \in E} |xf(x)| \leq \sum_{x \in E} |f(x)| = \|f\|_1 < \infty$$

Thus  $\Lambda$  is a bounded linear functional on  $L^1(\mu)$ .

## CHAPTER 7

### Key Definitions

**Definition.** A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is **differentiable** at  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  if there exists  $A(x_0) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x \in (a, b)$  we have:

$$\left| \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} - A(x_0) \right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $|b - a| < \delta$ . If  $A(x_0)$  exists, we denote it by  $f'(x_0)$ .

**Definition.** The **symmetric derivative** of  $\mu$  at  $x$  is defined to be

$$(D\mu)(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B(x, r))}{m(B(x, r))}$$

where  $m$  is the Lebesgue measure. If it exists for all  $x$  we simply denote it by  $D\mu$ .

**Definition.** The **maximal function** of  $\mu$  is the function  $M\mu : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined by

$$(M\mu)(x) = \sup_{r > 0} \frac{|\mu|(B(x, r))}{m(B(x, r))}.$$

We see that  $M\mu$  always exists since its range includes infinity.

**Definition.** The  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Define the **maximal function of  $f$**  to be

$$(Mf)(x) = \sup_{r > 0} \frac{1}{m(B(x, r))} \cdot \int_{B(x, r)} |f| \, dm.$$

**Definition.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , we say that  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is a **Lebesgue point** of  $f$  if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{m(B(x_0, r))} \cdot \int_{B(x_0, r)} |f(x) - f(x_0)| \, dm(x) = 0.$$

**Definition.** A function  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is call **absolutely continuous** if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that whenever  $((\alpha_i, \beta_i))_{i=1}^n$  is a finite collection of disjoint intervals in  $I$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n (\beta_i - \alpha_i) < \delta$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(\beta_i) - f(\alpha_i)| < \varepsilon.$$

**Definition.** Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be absolutely continuous. The **total variation function**  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is defined by

$$F(x) := \sup_{\{t_i\}_{i=0}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N |f(t_i) - f(t_{i-1})|,$$

where  $\{t_i\}_{i=0}^N$  is any finite partition of  $[a, x]$  with  $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_N = x$ .

## Key Theorems

**Theorem. [Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem]** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , then  $m$ -almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is a Lebesgue point of  $f$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $I = [a, b]$  and  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and non-decreasing. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $f$  is absolutely continuous
2.  $f$  maps sets of measure zero to sets of measure zero
3.  $f$  is differentiable  $m$ -almost everywhere on  $I$ ,  $f' \in L^1(I)$ , and  $f(x) - f(a) = \int_a^x f' \, dm$ .

**Theorem. [Fundamental Theorem of Calculus]** If  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is absolutely continuous, then  $f'$  exists  $m$ -almost everywhere,  $f' \in L^1(I)$ , and for all  $x \in I$  we have:

$$f(x) - f(a) = \int_{[a, b]} f' \, dm.$$

## Question 7.1

Show that  $|f(x)| \leq (Mf)(x)$  at every Lebesgue point of  $f$  if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^k)$ .

**Answer:**

Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Then by definition

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} |f(y)| dy \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} |f(y) - f(x)| dy = 0$$

So for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $0 < r < \delta$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} |f(y) - f(x)| dy < \varepsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} f(y) dy - f(x) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} f(y) - f(x) dy \right| \leq \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} |f(y) - f(x)| dy < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, by the reverse triangle inequality

$$\varepsilon > \left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} f(y) dy - f(x) \right| \geq \left| \left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} f(y) dy \right| - |f(x)| \right| \implies \left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} f(y) dy \right| > |f(x)| - \varepsilon.$$

On the other hand

$$\left| \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} f(y) dy \right| \leq \frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} |f(y)| dy.$$

Combining the two inequalities, we get

$$\frac{1}{2r} \int_{x-r}^{x+r} |f(y)| dy \geq |f(x)| - \varepsilon.$$

Taking the supremum over all  $r > 0$ , and letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we conclude that

$$Mf(x) \geq |f(x)|.$$

## Question 7.10

If  $f \in \text{Lip } 1$  on  $[a, b]$ , prove that  $f$  is absolutely continuous and that  $f' \in L^\infty$ .

**Answer:**

From  $f \in \text{Lip } 1$ , we have that for all  $s, t \in [a, b]$

$$\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{|s - t|} < \infty \implies \text{there exists } M > 0 \text{ such that } |f(s) - f(t)| \leq M \cdot |s - t|.$$

Then for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{M}$  and let  $\{(\alpha_i, \beta_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  be a finite collection of disjoint subintervals of  $[a, b]$ . Whenever we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i - \alpha_i| < \delta$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |f(\beta_i) - f(\alpha_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n M \cdot |\beta_i - \alpha_i| = M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |\beta_i - \alpha_i| < M \cdot \delta = \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $f$  is absolutely continuous, and by a theorem we have  $f' \in L^1([a, b])$  and that

$$f(x) - f(a) = \int_a^x f' \, dm \implies \int_a^x f' \, dm \leq M \cdot |x - a| \implies \frac{1}{|x - a|} \cdot \int_a^x f' \, dm \leq M.$$

This holds for any  $s, t \in [a, b]$  since  $f' \in L^1([s, t])$ .

Now by the Lebesgue Differentiation Theorem, almost every  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  is a Lebesgue point of  $f'$ , and at all such points we have

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{m(B_r(x_0))} \cdot \int_{B_r(x_0)} f'(x) \, dm \leq M.$$

Therefore,  $f'(x) \leq M$  for almost every  $x \in [a, b]$ ; thus,  $f' \in L^\infty([a, b])$ .

### Question 7.11

Assume that  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $f$  is absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$ ,  $f' \in L^p$ , and  $\alpha = \frac{1}{q}$ , where  $q$  is the exponent conjugate of  $p$ . Prove that  $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha$ .

### Question 7.14

Show that the product of two absolutely continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  is absolutely continuous. Use this to derive a theorem about integration by parts.



## CHAPTER 8

### Key Definitions

**Definition.** An **Algebra**  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a nonempty collection of subsets of  $X$  which is closed under finite unions and complements.

**Definition.** A **monotone class**  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a nonempty collection of subsets in  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{M}$  is closed under countable increasing unions and countable decreasing intersections. In other words, for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$ ,  $B_{i+1} \subset B_i$  and  $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ ,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**Definition.** A **measurable rectangle**  $E \in X \times Y$  is of the form  $A \times B$  where  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{N}$ . An **elementary set** is a finite union of disjoint measurable rectangles. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  denote the collection of all elementary sets, and  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $E \subset X \times Y$ . Define the  **$x$ -section** and  **$y$ -section**, respectively, by the following:

$$E_x = \{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E\} \quad \text{and} \quad E^y = \{x \in X : (x, y) \in E\}$$

**Definition.** The **product measure**  $\mu \times \nu : (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is defined by

$$(\mu \times \nu)(Q) = \int_X \nu(Q_x) d\mu(x) = \int_Y \mu(Q^y) d\nu(y) .$$

**Definition.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The **convolution** of  $f$  and  $g$  is the function  $f * g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x - t)g(t) dt .$$

We denote  $f * g$  as the convolution of  $f$  and  $g$ .

### Key Theorems

**Theorem. [Monotone Class Theorem]** If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra of a set  $X$ , then the monotone class  $\mathcal{M}$  generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  is precisely the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem.** If  $f : (X \times Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ -measurable, then  $f^y(x) = f(x, y)$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable. Likewise,  $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -measurable.

**Theorem. [Fubini's Theorem]** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{N}, \nu)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces,  $f$  be a complex  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ -measurable. Then we have:

1. If  $f \geq 0$ , then

$$\int_X \int_Y f_x \, d\nu \, d\mu = \int_{X \times Y} f \, d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_Y \int_X f^y \, d\mu \, d\nu$$

2. If  $f \in L^1(\mu \times \nu)$ , then for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$ ,  $f_x \in L^1(\nu)$ ,  $\int_Y |f_x| \, d\nu < \infty$ , and  $\psi(x) = \int_Y f_x \, d\nu \in L^1(\mu)$ .

3. If  $f$  is complex and

$$\int_X \left( \int_Y |f_x| \, d\nu \right) d\mu,$$

then  $f \in L^1(\mu \times \nu)$  and (2) holds.

4. For all  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ -measurable  $f \in L^1(\mu \times \nu)$ ,

$$\int_X \left( \int_Y f_x \, d\nu \right) d\mu = \int_{X \times Y} f \, d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_Y \left( \int_X f^y \, d\mu \right) d\nu$$

## Question 8.4

Suppose  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (a) Imitate the proof of Theorem 8.14 to show that the integral defining  $(f * g)(x)$  exists for almost all  $x$ , that  $f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  and that  $\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p$ .
- (b) Show that equality can hold in (a) if  $p = 1$  and if  $p = \infty$ , and find the conditions under which this happens.
- (c) Assume  $1 < p < \infty$ , and equality holds in (a). Show that then either  $f = 0$  a.e. or  $g = 0$  a.e.
- (d) Assume  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and show that there exist  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\|f * g\|_p > (1 - \varepsilon) \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p.$$

**Answer:**

- (a) Since  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , both are measurable functions. Hence, the function  $h_x(y) = f(x - y)g(y)$  is measurable in  $y$  for each fixed  $x$ , because it is the product of measurable functions and translation preserves measurability. Moreover, by Hölder's inequality we have:

$$\int |f(x - y)g(y)| dy \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_p < \infty$$

for almost every  $x$ . Thus,  $f * g$  is well-defined almost everywhere.

Consider the case when  $p = 1$ . Then by definition

$$\|f * g\|_1 = \int |f * g| dx = \int \left| \int f(x - y) \cdot g(y) dy \right| dx \leq \iint |f(x - y) \cdot g(y)| dy dx.$$

Then we use the fact that  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable to invoke Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_1 &\leq \iint |f(x - y) \cdot g(y)| dx dy \\ &= \int |g(y)| \int |f(x - y)| dx dy \\ &= \int |g(y)| \cdot \|f\|_1 dy \\ &= \|f\|_1 \cdot \|g\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the case when  $p = \infty$ . Then we have:

$$\|f * g\|_\infty = |(f * g)(x)| = \left| \int f(x-y) \cdot g(y) dy \right| \leq \int |f(x-y) \cdot g(y)| dy$$

and by measurability of  $f$  and  $g$ , Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_\infty &\leq \int |f(x-y)| \cdot \|g\|_\infty dy \\ &= \int |f(x-y)| dy \cdot \|g\|_\infty \\ &= \|f\|_1 \cdot \|g\|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider the case when  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $q$  be the exponent conjugate of  $p$ . Knowing that

$|(f * g)(x)| \leq \int |f(x-y) \cdot g(y)| dy$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we apply Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} |(f * g)(x)| &\leq \int |f(x-y)| \cdot |g(y)| dy \\ &= \int |f(x-y)|^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}} \cdot |g(y)| dy \\ &= \int \left( |f(x-y)|^{\frac{1}{q}} \right) \cdot \left( |f(x-y)|^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot |g(y)| \right) dy \\ &\leq \left( \int |f(x-y)| dy \right)^{1/q} \cdot \left( \int |f(x-y)| \cdot |g(y)|^p dy \right)^{1/p} . \end{aligned}$$

With this in hand, consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_p^p &= \int |(f * g)(x)|^p dx \\ &\leq \int \left( \int |f(x-y)| dy \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left( \int |f(x-y)| \cdot |g(y)|^p dy \right) dx \\ &= \int \|f\|_1^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \left( \int |f(x-y)| \cdot |g(y)|^p dy \right) dx \\ &= \iint \|f\|_1^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot |f(x-y)| \cdot |g(y)|^p dy dx . \end{aligned}$$

The integrand in the final integral is measurable by assumption, so we use Fubini's theorem to swap the order of integration.

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_p^p &\leq \iint \|f\|_1^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot |f(x-y)| \cdot |g(y)|^p dx dy \\ &= \int \|f\|_1^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot |g(y)|^p \int |f(x-y)| dx dy \\ &= \|f\|_1^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \|f\|_1 \cdot \int |g(y)|^p dy \\ &= \|f\|_1^{\frac{p}{q}} \cdot \|f\|_1 \cdot \|g\|_p^p . \end{aligned}$$

At last, taking the  $p^{\text{th}}$  root on both sides of the inequality yields

$$\|f * g\|_p \leq \|f\|_1^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \|f\|_1^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \|g\|_p = \|f\|_1 \cdot \|g\|_p.$$

Because the right hand side in every case is finite by assumption,  $f * g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

(b) I think I'm too dumb to do the rest, but this is probably a good question to know.

## Question 8.5

Let  $M$  be the Banach space of all complex Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The norm in  $M$  is  $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(\mathbb{R})$ . Associate to each Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  the set

$$E_2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x + y \in E\}.$$

If  $\mu, \lambda \in M$ , define their convolution  $\mu * \lambda$  to be the set function given by  $(\mu * \lambda)(E) = (\mu \times \lambda)(E_2)$  for every Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ ; where  $\mu \times \lambda$  is as in Definition 8.7.

(a) Prove that  $\mu * \lambda \in M$  and that  $\|\mu * \lambda\| \leq \|\mu\| \cdot \|\lambda\|$ .

(b) Prove that  $\mu * \lambda$  is the unique  $\nu \in M$  such that

$$\int f \, d\nu = \int \int f(x + y) \, d\mu(x) \, d\lambda(y)$$

for every  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ .

(c) Prove that the convolution in  $M$  is commutative, associative, and distributive with respect to addition.

(d) Prove the formula

$$(\mu * \lambda)(E) = \int \mu(E - t) \, d\lambda(t)$$

for every  $\mu, \lambda \in M$  and every Borel set  $E$ . Here  $E - t = \{x - t : x \in E\}$ .

## Question 8.14

Complete the following proof of Hardy's inequality. Suppose  $f \geq 0$  on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $f \in L^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) \, dt.$$

Write  $x F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) t^\alpha t^{-\alpha} \, dt$ , where  $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{q}$ , use Hölder's inequality to get an upper bound for  $F(x)^p$ , and integrate to obtain

$$\int_0^\infty F^p(x) \, dx \leq (1 - \alpha q)^{1-p} (\alpha p)^{-1} \int_0^\infty f^p(t) \, dt.$$

Show that the best choice of  $\alpha$  yields

$$\int_0^\infty F^p(x) \, dx \leq \left( \frac{p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_0^\infty f^p(t) \, dt.$$

# CHAPTER 9

## Key Definitions

**Definition.** Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . The **convolution** of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y)g(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (9.1.1)$$

The **Fourier transform** of  $f$  is defined by

$$\hat{f}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-ixt} dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (9.1.2)$$

## Key Theorems

**Lemma.** Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . The Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  satisfies the following elementary properties:

(a) If  $g(x) = e^{i\alpha x}f(x)$ , then  $\hat{g}(t) = \hat{f}(t - \alpha)$ .

(b) If  $g(x) = f(x - \alpha)$ , then  $\hat{g}(t) = e^{-i\alpha t}\hat{f}(t)$ .

(c) If  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$(f \hat{*} g)(t) = \sqrt{2\pi} \hat{f}(t)\hat{g}(t).$$

(d) If  $g(x) = f(-x)$ , then  $\hat{g}(t) = \hat{f}(-t)$ .

(e) For  $\lambda \neq 0$ , if  $g(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)$ , then  $\hat{g}(t) = |\lambda| \hat{f}(\lambda t)$ .

(f) If  $g(x) = -ixf(x)$  and  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\hat{f}$  is differentiable and

$$\hat{f}'(t) = \hat{g}(t).$$

*Proof.* (b) Let  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Define the convolution

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y)g(y) dy.$$

Which substituting the definition of convolution into the Fourier transform yields

$$(f \hat{*} g)(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x-y)g(y) dy \right) e^{-ixt} dx.$$

By Fubini's Theorem (justified since  $f, g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  implies  $f * g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ ), and by making the substitution  $u = x - y$ , so that  $x = u + y$  and  $dx = du$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (f \hat{*} g)(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)e^{-i(u+y)t} du \right) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y)e^{-iyt} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)e^{-iut} du \right) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u)e^{-iut} du \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(y)e^{-iyt} dy \right) = \sqrt{2\pi} \cdot \hat{f}(t) \cdot \hat{g}(t). \end{aligned}$$

□



**Theorem.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Define the **translate** of  $f$  by

$$f_y(x) = f(x - y).$$

If  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , then the map  $y \mapsto f_y$  is uniformly continuous from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem.** If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\hat{f} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\|\hat{f}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|f\|_1$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $H(t) = e^{-|t|}$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , define

$$h_\lambda(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} H(\lambda t) e^{itx} dt = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + x^2}.$$

If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$(f * h_\lambda)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\hat{f}(t)}{\lambda + ix} H(\lambda t) e^{ixt} dt.$$

**Theorem.** If  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g$  is continuous at  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (g * h_\lambda)(x) = g(x).$$

**Theorem. [Inversion Theorem]** If  $f, \hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(t) e^{itx} dt,$$

then  $g \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  and  $f(x) = g(x)$  for  $m$ -almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### Question 9.6

Suppose  $f \in L^1$ ,  $f$  is differentiable almost everywhere, and  $f' \in L^1$ . Does it follow that the Fourier transform of  $f'$  is  $ti\hat{f}(t)$ ?

### Question 9.8

If  $p$  and  $q$  are conjugate exponents,  $f \in L^p$ ,  $g \in L^q$ , and  $h = f * g$ , prove that  $h$  is uniformly continuous. If also  $1 < p < \infty$ , then  $h \in C_0$ ; show that this fails for some  $f \in L^1$  and  $g \in L^\infty$ .

## QUESTION GRAVEYARD

### Question 1 (1.1)

Does there exist an infinite  $\sigma$ -algebra which has only countably many members?

### Question 1.8

Put  $f_n = \chi_E$  if  $n$  is odd,  $f_n = 1 - \chi_E$  if  $n$  is even. What is the relevance of this example to Fatou's lemma?

### Question 1.7

Suppose  $f_n : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  is measurable for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_1 \geq f_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ , and  $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_X f_n d\mu = \int_X f d\mu$$

and show that this conclusion does not follow if the condition " $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$ " is omitted.

### Question 3 (1.5)

(a) Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$  and  $g : X \rightarrow [\infty, \infty]$  are measurable. Prove that the sets

$$\{x : f(x) < g(x)\} \text{ and } \{x : f(x) = g(x)\}$$

are measurable.

(b) Prove that the set of points at which a sequence of a measurable real-valued function converges to a finite limit is measurable.

### Question 2 (1.4)

Let  $(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be sequences in  $[-\infty, \infty]$ . Prove the following:

(a)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-a_n) = -\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$

(b)  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n + b_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$

provided none of the sums is of the form  $\infty - \infty$ .

(c) If  $a_n \leq b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$ .

Show by an example that strict inequality can hold in part (b).

### Question 2.21

If  $X$  is compact and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$  is upper semicontinuous, prove that  $f$  attains its maximum at some point of  $X$ .

### Question 2.22

Suppose that  $X$  is a metric space, with metric  $d$ , that  $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is lower semicontinuous, and that  $f(p) < \infty$  for at least one  $p \in X$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in X$ , define

$$g_n(x) = \inf\{f(p) + n \cdot d(x, p) : p \in X\}$$

and prove that

1.  $|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| \leq n \cdot d(x, y)$ ,
2.  $0 \leq g_1 \leq g_2 \leq \cdots \leq f$ ,
3.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Thus  $f$  is the pointwise limit of an increasing sequence of continuous functions. Note that the converse is almost trivial.

### Question 2.5

Let  $E$  be Cantor's familiar "middle thirds" set. Show that  $\mu(E) = 0$ , even though  $E$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  have the same cardinality.

### Question 2.7

If  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , construct an open set  $E \subset [0, 1]$  which is dense in  $[0, 1]$ , such that  $\mu(E) = \varepsilon$ . To say that  $A$  is dense in  $B$  means that the closure of  $A$  contains  $B$ .

### Question 2.2

Let  $f$  be an arbitrary complex function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and define

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x, \delta) &= \sup\{|f(s) - f(t)| : s, t \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)\}, \\ \varphi(x) &= \inf\{\varphi(x, \delta) : \delta > 0\}\end{aligned}$$

Prove that  $\varphi$  is upper semicontinuous, that  $f$  is continuous at a point  $x$  if and only if  $\varphi(x) = 0$ , and hence the set of points of continuity of an arbitrary complex function is a  $G_\delta$  set.

### Question 2.1

Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of real nonnegative functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and consider the following four statements:

- (a) If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are upper semicontinuous, then  $f_1 + f_2$  is upper semicontinuous.
- (b) If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are lower semicontinuous, then  $f_1 + f_2$  is lower semicontinuous.
- (c) If each  $f_n$  is upper semicontinuous, then  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n$  is upper semicontinuous.
- (d) If each  $f_n$  is lower semicontinuous, then  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n$  is lower semicontinuous.

Show that three of these are true and that one is false. What happens if the word "nonnegative" is omitted? Is the truth of the statements affected if  $\mathbb{R}$  is replaced by a general topological space?

### Question 3.1

Prove that the supremum of any collection of convex functions on  $(a, b)$  is convex on  $(a, b)$  if it is finite and that pointwise limits of sequences of convex functions are convex. What can you say about upper and lower limits of sequences of convex functions?

### Question 3.5

Assume, in addition to the hypothesis in the previous exercise, that  $\mu(X) = 1$ .

- (a) Prove that  $\|f\|_r \leq \|f\|_s$  if  $0 < r < s \leq \infty$ .
- (b) Under what conditions does it happen that  $0 < r < s \leq \infty$  and  $\|f\|_r = \|f\|_s < \infty$ ?
- (c) Prove that  $L^s(\mu) \subset L^r(\mu)$  if  $0 < r < s$ . Under what conditions do these two spaces contain the same functions?
- (d) Assume that  $\|f\|_r < \infty$  for some  $r > 0$ , and prove that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = \exp \int_X \log |f| \, d\mu$$

if  $\exp\{-\infty\}$  is defined to be 0.

### Question 3.7

For some measures, the relation  $r < s$  implies  $L^r(\mu) \subset L^s(\mu)$ ; for others, the inclusion is reversed; and there are some for which  $L^r(\mu)$  does not contain  $L^s(\mu)$  if  $r \neq s$ . Give examples of these situations, and find conditions on  $\mu$  under which these situations will occur.

### Question 3.10

Suppose  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in L^p(\mu)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_p = 0$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = g$ . What relation exists between  $f$  and  $g$ ?

### Question 3.14a

Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $f \in L^p((0, \infty))$ , relative to the Lebesgue measure, and

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) d\mu \quad (0 < x < \infty)$$

Prove Hardy's inequality

$$\|F\|_p \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \|f\|_p$$

which shows that the mapping  $f \mapsto F$  carries  $L^p$  to  $L^p$ .

### Question 3.14d

Suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $f \in L^p((0, \infty))$ , relative to the Lebesgue measure, and

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) d\mu \quad (0 < x < \infty)$$

If  $f > 0$  and  $f \in L^1$ , prove that  $F \notin L^1$ .

### Question 4.2

Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  be a linearly independent set of vectors in  $H$ . Show that the following construction yields an orthonormal set  $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$  and  $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N\}$  have the same span for all  $N$ .

Put  $u_1 = \frac{x_1}{\|x_1\|}$ . Having  $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$  define

$$v_n = x_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle x_n, u_i \rangle u_i, \quad u_n = \frac{v_n}{\|v_n\|}.$$

Note that this leads to a proof of the existence of a maximal orthonormal set in separable Hilbert spaces which makes no appeal to the Hausdorff maximality principle.

#### Question 4.4

Show that  $H$  is separable if and only if  $H$  contains a maximal orthonormal system which is at most countable.

#### Question 4.9

If  $A \subset [0, 2\pi]$  and  $A$  is measurable, prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \cos nx \, dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \sin nx \, dx = 0.$$

#### Question 5.2

Prove that the unit ball (open or closed) is convex in every normed linear space.

#### Question 5.6

Let  $f$  be a bounded linear functional on a subspace  $M$  of a Hilbert space  $H$ . Prove that  $f$  has a unique norm-preserving extension to a bounded linear functional on  $H$ , and that extension vanishes on  $M^\perp$ .

#### Question 5.11

For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , let  $\text{Lip } \alpha$  denote the space of all complex functions  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  for which

$$M_f = \sup_{s \neq t} \frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{|s - t|^\alpha} < \infty.$$

Prove that  $\text{Lip } \alpha$  is a Banach space, if  $\|f\| = |f(a)| + M_f$  or if  $\|f\| = M_f + \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$ .

#### Question 5.16

Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach, and suppose  $\Lambda$  is a linear mapping of  $X$  into  $Y$ , with the following property:

For every sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $X$  for which  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda x_n$  exist, it is true that  $y = \Lambda x$ . Prove that  $\Lambda$  is

continuous. Observe that there exist nonlinear mappings (of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}$ , for instance) whose graph is closed although

they are not continuous:  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  if  $x \neq 0$  and  $f(0) = 0$ .

### Question 5.17

If  $\mu$  is a positive measure, each  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$  defines a multiplication operator  $M_f$  on  $L^2(\mu)$  into  $L^2(\mu)$ , such that  $M_f(g) = fg$ . Prove that  $\|M_f\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ . For which measures  $\mu$  is it true that  $\|M_f\| = \|f\|_\infty$  for all  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$ ? For which  $f \in L^\infty(\mu)$  does  $M_f$  map  $L^2(\mu)$  onto  $L^2(\mu)$ ?

### Question 5.18

Suppose  $(\Lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of bounded linear transformations from a normed linear space  $X$  to a Banach space  $Y$ , suppose that  $\|\Lambda_n\| \leq M < \infty$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and suppose there is a dense set  $E \subset X$  such that  $(\Lambda_n x)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges for each  $x \in E$ . Prove that  $(\Lambda_n x)_{n=1}^\infty$  converges for each  $x \in X$ .

### Question 6.3

Prove that the vector space  $M(X)$  of all complex regular Borel measures on a locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$  is a Banach space if  $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(X)$ . *Hint:* Compare to Question 5.8

### Question 7.12

Suppose that  $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is nondecreasing.

- (a) Show that there is a left-continuous nondecreasing  $f$  on  $[a, b]$  so that  $\{x \in [a, b] : f(x) \neq \varphi(x)\}$  is at most countable. (Left-continuous means: if  $a < x \leq b$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there is a  $\delta > 0$  so that  $|f(x) - f(x-t)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $0 < t < \delta$ .)
- (b) Imitate the proof of Theorem 7.18 to show that there is a positive Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $[a, b]$  for which  $f(x) - f(a) = \mu([a, x])$  for  $a \leq x \leq b$ .

### Question 7.23

The definition of Lebesgue points applies to individual integrable functions and not to their equivalence classes (section 3.10). However if  $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^k)$  is one of these equivalence classes, one may call a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$  a Lebesgue point of  $F$  if there is a complex number, let us call it  $(SF)(x)$ , such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{m(B_r)} \int_{B(x,r)} |f - (SF)(x)| \, dm = 0$$



for one (hence every)  $f \in F$ . Define  $(SF)(x)$  to be 0 at those points  $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$  that are not Lebesgue points of  $F$ . Prove the following statement: If  $f \in F$ , and  $x$  is a Lebesgue point of  $f$ , then  $x$  is also a Lebesgue point of  $F$ , and  $f(x) = (SF)(x)$ . Hence  $SF \in F$ . Thus  $S$  "selects" a member of  $F$  that has a maximal set of Lebesgue points.

### Question 8.2

Suppose  $f$  is a Lebesgue measurable nonnegative real function on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $A(f)$  is the ordinate set of  $f$ . This is the set of all points  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for which  $0 < y < f(x)$ .

- (a) Is it true that  $A(f)$  is Lebesgue measurable, in the two-dimensional sense?
- (b) If the answer to (a) is affirmative, is the integral of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  equal to the measure of  $A(f)$ ?
- (c) Is the graph of  $f$  a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ?
- (d) If the answer to (c) is affirmative, is the measure of the graph equal to zero?

### Question 8.3

Find an example of a positive continuous function  $f$  in the open unit square in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , whose integral (relative to the Lebesgue measure) is finite but such that  $\varphi(x)$  (in the notation of Theorem 8.8) is infinite for some  $x \in (0, 1)$ .

### Question 8.12

Use Fubini's theorem and the relation

$$\frac{1}{x} = \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} dt \quad (x > 0)$$

to prove that

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^b \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

### Question 8.15

Put  $\varphi(t) = 1 - \cos t$  if  $0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$ ,  $\varphi(t) = 0$  for all other real  $t$ . For  $-\infty < x < \infty$ , define

$$f(x) = 1, \quad g(x) = \varphi'(x), \quad h(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi(t) dt.$$

Verify the following statements about convolutions of these functions:

1.  $(f * g)(x) = 0$  for all  $x$ .
2.  $(g * h)(x) = (\varphi * \varphi)(x) > 0$  on  $(0, 4\pi)$ .
3. Therefore  $(f * g) * h = 0$ , whereas  $f * (g * h)$  is a positive constant.

But convolution is supposedly associative, by Fubini's theorem (Question 8.5c). What went wrong?

## Question 9.2

Compute the Fourier transform of the characteristic function of an interval. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $g_n$  be the characteristic function of  $[-n, n]$ , let  $h$  be the characteristic function of  $[-1, 1]$ , and compute  $g_n * h$  explicitly. Show that  $g_n * h$  is the Fourier transform of a function  $f_n \in L^1$ ; except for a multiplicative constant,

$$f_n(x) = \frac{\sin x \sin nx}{x^2}.$$

Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_1 = \infty$  and conclude that the mapping  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  maps  $L^1$  into a proper subset of  $C_0$ . Show, however, that the range of this mapping is dense in  $C_0$ .