

# Lecture Notes in Measure Theory

Joel Sleeba

forked from Ashish Kujur

Last Updated: August 18, 2022

## Introduction

This is a set of lecture notes which I took for reviewing stuff that I typed after taking class from *Dr. Sachindranath Jayaraman*. All the typos and errors are of mine.

## Contents

<b>§1 Lecture 1 — 10th August 2022 — Review of things done in the previous semester...</b>	<b>1</b>
§1.1 Definitions and Some Results . . . . .	1
§1.2 Dynkin's pi-lambda theorem; Measures and their properties . . . . .	3
<b>§2 Lecture 2 — 12th August 2022 — Properties of Measures and Definition of semialgebra</b>	<b>4</b>
§2.1 Properties of Measures . . . . .	5

## §1 Lecture 1 — 10th August 2022 — Review of things done in the previous semester...

### §1.1 Definitions and Some Results

**Definition §1.1.1** (algebra). Let  $\Omega$  be nonempty set. An algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  is a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$  satisfying the following properties:

1.  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
2.  $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{F}$  and
3.  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under finite unions.

*Remark §1.1.2.* It immediately follows from the definition that an algebra of sets is closed under taking finite intersections. Take compliment of finite intersections and make use of De Morgan's theorem.

**Definition §1.1.3** ( $\sigma$ -algebra). Let  $\Omega$  be nonempty set. A  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  is a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$  satisfying the following properties:

1.  $\Omega \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
2.  $A \in \mathcal{F} \implies A^c \in \mathcal{F}$  and
3.  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable unions.

*Remark §1.1.4.* Similar to what we saw in an algebra of sets, the  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets is also closed under countable intersection. The proof is similar to that of the same with algebra of sets.

**Proposition §1.1.5.** Let  $\Omega$  be a set,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\sigma$ -algebra iff  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebra that is continuous from below, that is, if  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable unions of elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  it is also closed under countable unions. To prove this assume  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^N$  is the finite collection of sets, then take  $\{B_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  where

$$B_i = \begin{cases} A_i, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq N \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } i > N \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebra.

Again if  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , since  $\mathcal{F}$  being a  $\sigma$ -algebra is closed under countable unions

( $\impliedby$ ) We'll prove an algebra satisfying  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , when  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Assume  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{F}$  is a collection of subsets of  $\Omega$ .

Define

$$A_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} B_i$$

Then  $A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  being an algebra and  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence by assumption  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ , but

$$\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_n = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \in \mathcal{F}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra □

**Definition §1.1.6** ( $\sigma$ -algebra generated by a subset of power set). Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty set. Given an nonempty collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$ , the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is defined to be the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{C}$ . Notationally,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcap \{ \sigma\text{-algebra that contains } \mathcal{C} \}$$

$\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{C}$

**Definition §1.1.7** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra). If  $\Omega$  is a topological space then the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the open sets of  $\Omega$ . i.e. by definition Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by open sets in  $\Omega$ .

**Fact §1.1.8.** If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is generated by

- $\{(a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) \mid -\infty \leq a_i < b_i \leq +\infty\}$
- $\{(-\infty, a_1) \times (-\infty, a_2) \times \cdots \times (-\infty, a_n) \mid a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$
- $\{(a_1, b_1) \times (a_2, b_2) \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n) \mid a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

**Definition §1.1.9** ( $\pi$ -system,  $\lambda$ -system). A collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a  $\pi$ -system if  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under finite intersections.

A collection  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a  $\lambda$ -system if the following hold:

- $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}$ ,
- $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $A \subset B$  implies  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{L}$
- if  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}$  and  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  then  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{L}$

**Definition §1.1.10.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a collection of nonempty subsets of a nonempty set  $\Omega$ . The  $\lambda$ -system generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted as  $\lambda(\mathcal{C})$  is the intersection of all  $\lambda$ -systems containing  $\mathcal{C}$ .

## §1.2 Dynkin's pi-lambda theorem; Measures and their properties

**Theorem §1.2.1** (Dynkin  $\pi - \lambda$  theorem). If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $\pi$ -system of a nonempty set  $\Omega$  then  $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Equivalently, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system that contains  $\mathcal{C}$  then  $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition §1.2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ . A extended real valued function  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *measure* if the following hold:

1.  $\mu(A) \geq 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$
2.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$
3. If  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $\bigcup A_n \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_n \cap A_m = \emptyset$  for all  $m \neq n$  then  $\mu(\bigcup_i A_i) = \sum_i \mu(A_i)$

**Example §1.2.3** (Some examples of measures). 1. Let  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ . We define  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\mu(A)$  is the number of elements of  $A$  if  $A$  is finite and  $\mu = +\infty$  if  $A$  contains infinitely many elements. Then  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$  called the counting measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

2. Let  $\Omega = [0, 1]$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ . Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence of numbers in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\sum p_i = 1$ . Define  $\mu(A) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} p_i \delta_{p_i}(A)$ . Then  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$ .
3. Let  $F$  be a non-decreasing right-continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\mu_F$  to be Lebesgue-Stieljes measure induced by  $F$ . Recall that  $\mu_F((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a)$ . Then  $\mu_F$  is an example of  $\sigma$ -finite Radon measure on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Theorem §1.2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on a nonempty set  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a function.  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$  iff

1.  $\mu$  is finitely additive (that is, if  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  then  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ ) and
2.  $\mu$  is continuous from below (that is, if  $\{A_n\}$  is nondecreasing sequence of elements from  $\mathcal{F}$  then  $\mu(\bigcup(A_i)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$ ).

## §2 Lecture 2 — 12th August 2022 — Properties of Measures and Definition of semialgebra

*Proof of Theorem §1.2.4.* Let  $\mu : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a function.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\mu$  is a measure. We first show that  $\mu$  is finitely additive. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  and suppose that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Let  $A_1 = A$  and  $A_2 = B$  and  $A_n = \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq 3$ . Then  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(\cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(A_i) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$  as  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .

We now prove that  $\mu$  is continuous from below. Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a nondecreasing sequence of elements from  $\mathcal{F}$ . i.e.  $A_n \subset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $B_1 = A_1$  and  $B_n = A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$  for each  $n \geq 2$ . Clearly,  $\cup_n B_n = \cup_n A_n$  and  $B_n \cap B_m = \emptyset$  for all  $m \neq n$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n\right) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(B_n) && \text{(by the property of measure on } B_n) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=1}^n \mu(B_m) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \mu(A_1) + \sum_{m=2}^n (\mu(A_m) - \mu(A_{m-1})) \right] && \text{(by the definition of } B_m) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) && \text{(telescopic sum)}
 \end{aligned}$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Now suppose that  $\mu$  is finitely additive and continuous from below. We intend to prove that  $\mu$  is a measure. It is clear that from finite additivity that  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . If not  $\mu(\emptyset) = \mu(\emptyset) + \mu(\emptyset)$ , which will give a contradiction for any nonzero value for  $\mu(\emptyset)$ . Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of elements from  $\mathcal{F}$ . Define  $B_n = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,  $B_n \nearrow \cup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i$ . Clearly,  $\{B_n\}$  is a nondecreasing sequence of elements from  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} A_i\right) &= \mu\left(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_n\right) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(B_n) && \text{(using continuity from below)} \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j\right) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \mu(A_j) && \text{(finite additivity)} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}$  □

### §2.1 Properties of Measures

**Theorem §2.1.1.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then

(1)  $\mu$  is monotone.

(2) the inclusion-exclusion formula holds.

(3)  $\mu$  is finitely subadditive. i.e.  $\mu(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)$  for  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(4)  $\mu$  is continuous from above, that is, if  $(A_n) \subset \mathcal{F}$  such that  $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mu(A_{n_0}) < +\infty$  for some  $n_0$  then  $\lim \mu(A_n) = \mu(\bigcap_n A_n)$ .

(5)  $\mu$  is countably subadditive, that is, if  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{F}$  then  $\mu(\bigcup_n A_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_n)$

*Proof.* (1) We show that  $\mu$  is monotone. Let  $A \subset B$  be elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $B = A \cup B \setminus A$ . Hence  $\mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A)$ . Since  $\mu(B \setminus A) \geq 0$ , we have that  $\mu(B) \geq \mu(A)$ .

(2) Now, we prove that the inclusion exclusion formula holds for  $\mu$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ . If both  $\mu(A) = +\infty$  and  $\mu(B) = +\infty$  then there is nothing to prove. So, assume without loss of generality that  $\mu(A) < \infty$ . Then  $\mu(A \cap B) < \infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) &= \mu(A) + \mu(B \setminus A) + \mu(B \cap A) \\ &= \mu(A) + (\mu(B \setminus A) + \mu(B \cap A)) \\ &= \mu(A) + \mu(B) \end{aligned}$$

(3) Now, finite subadditivity follows immediately from inclusion-exclusion formula and induction.

(4) We now prove that  $\mu$  is continuous from above. Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of decreasing sequence sets with  $\mu(A_1) < \infty$ . Then we have that  $\mu(A_n) \leq \mu(A_1) < \infty$ . Define  $B_n = A_1 \setminus A_n$  and  $B = A_1 \setminus \bigcap_n A_n$ . It is easy to see that  $B_n \uparrow B$  (draw pictures!). From Theorem §1.2.4 (continuity from below), we have that  $\lim \mu(B_n) = \mu(B)$ .

Now, observe that  $\mu(B_n) = \mu(A_1) - \mu(A_n)$  for each  $n$ . So,  $\mu(B) = \lim \mu(B_n) = \mu(A_1) - \lim \mu(A_n)$ .

Also, we have that  $\mu(B) = \mu(A_1) - \mu(\bigcap_n A_n)$ . Hence, we have that  $\lim \mu(A_n) = \mu(\bigcap_n A_n)$ .

We now prove that  $\mu$  is countably subadditive. Let  $\{A_n\}$  be a sequence of elements from  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $B_k := \bigcup_{n=1}^k A_n \uparrow \bigcup_n A_n$ . By continuity from below, we have that  $\mu(\bigcup_n A_n) = \lim_k \mu(B_k) \leq \lim_k (\mu(A_1) + \mu(A_2) + \dots + \mu(A_k)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_k)$ . Note that the inequality is due to finite subadditivity.

□

**Definition §2.1.2.** A collection  $\mathcal{C}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$  is called a *semialgebra* if  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under finite- $\cap$  and if  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  then there exists some  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{C}$ , pairwise disjoint, such that  $A^c = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ .

**Exercise §2.1.3.** Find a general formulation of the inclusion-exclusion principle for measures.