Lecture Notes in Commutative Algebra

Ashish Kujur

Last Updated: August 11, 2022

Introduction

This is a set of lecture notes which I took for reviewing stuff that I typed after taking class from *Dr. Viji Z Thomas*. All the typos and errors are of mine.

Contents

§ 1	Lecture 1 — 10th August 2022 — Local Rings, Semilocal rings, Chinese Remainder The-	
	orem	1
	§1.1 Basic Definitions — Local Rings, Semilocal rings and few other results	2
	§1.2 Chinese Remainder Theorem	3
§ 2	2 Lecture 2 — 12th August 2022 —	4
	§2.1 Proof of Chinese Remainder Theorem continued	4

§1 Lecture 1 — 10th August 2022 — Local Rings, Semilocal rings, Chinese Remainder Theorem

We will be assuming the following things before proceeding in the course:

- A ring *A* is a commutative ring with unity.
- Existence of maximal ideals in a commutative ring with unity (this follows immediately from Zorn's Lemma)
- Definition of ring morphism.
- Definition of prime and maximal ideals and the facts that
 - P is a prime ideal of A iff A/P is an integral domain and
 - M is a maximal ideal of A iff A/P is a field

§1.1 Basic Definitions — Local Rings, Semilocal rings and few other results

Definition §1.1.1 (local ring). Let A be a ring. A is said to be a *local ring* if A has a unique maximal ideal M. A local ring is often denoted by (A, M).

Definition §1.1.2 (semilocal ring). Let A be a ring. A is said to be *semilocal ring* if A has only fintiely many maximal ideals.

How does one come up with a semilocal ring with exactly m maximal ideals? Here's an example:

Example §1.1.3 (A ring with m distinct maximal ideals). Let $A = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. It is fairly easy to show that all the ideals of A are of the form (\overline{k}) where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \mid n$ and also that if $k, j \mid n$ and $(\overline{k}) \subset (\overline{j})$ iff $j \mid k$. (See Sepanski Exercise 3.47 and 3.48) Now let p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m be m distinct primes. Define $n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m$. It is easy to see from the aforementioned facts that $A = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ has m distinct maximal ideals.

Example §1.1.4 (A standard example of a local ring?). Let A be a ring, M be a maximal ideal of A and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that M^n is a ideal of A (See Sepanski Exercise 3.51). We claim that A/M^n has only prime ideal namely M/M^n . Let \mathscr{P} be a prime ideal of A/M^n . Then by the correspondence theorem, $\mathscr{P} = P/M^n$ where P is a prime ideal of A containing M^n . Then $P \supset M^n$ which further implies that $P \supset M$ since $M^n \supset M$. Since M is a maximal ideal, we have that P = M. This completes the proof of the claim. Also, note that since every maximal ideal is prime, we have that A/M^n is a local ring.

Fact §1.1.5. Let A be ring, B be an integral domain, $f : A \to B$ be a ring morphism and Q be a prime ideal of B. Then $\ker(f)$ is a prime ideal of A.

Proof of the fact. Suppose that $ab \in \ker(f)$. Then f(ab) = 0 which further implies f(a)f(b) = 0 and hence $a \in \ker(f)$ or $b \in \ker(f)$ since B is an integral domain. □

Lemma §1.1.6. Let A, B be rings, $f: A \to B$ be a ring morphism and Q be a prime ideal in B. Then $f^{-1}(Q)$ is a prime ideal of A.

Proof. Let $p: B \to B/Q$ be the canonical homomorphism. Consider the map $p \circ f: A \to B/Q$. We show that $\ker(p \circ f) = f^{-1}(Q)$. The lemma will follows from fact §1.1.5, if we show that $\ker(p \circ f) = f^{-1}(Q)$ as B/Q is an integral domain. So consider the following:

$$x \in \ker(p \circ f) \Leftrightarrow p(f(x)) = Q$$

 $\Leftrightarrow f(x) + Q = Q$
 $\Leftrightarrow f(x) \in Q$
 $\Leftrightarrow x \in f^{-1}(Q)$

Lemma §1.1.7. *Let* A *be a ring, let* I, J *be ideals of* A *and* P *be a prime ideal of* A. *If* $P \supset IJ$ *then either* $P \supset I$ *or* $P \supset J$.

Proof. Suppose that $P \not\supset J$. Then there is some $i \in I \setminus P$. We show that $J \subset P$. Let $j \in P$. Then $i j \in IJ$ and hence $i j \in P$. Since P is a prime ideal, we must have that either $i \in P$ or $j \in P$. But the former is not possible by assumption, therefore, $j \in P$. Since j was arbitrary, the proof is complete.

Remark §1.1.8. Let *A* be a ring, *I* be any ideal of *A*. Then there is a maximal ideal *M* of *A* containing *A*. The proof of this remark is fairly straightforward. Consider the ring A/I. Since every ring has a maximal ideal, so there must be some maximal ideal \mathcal{M} of A/I. By the correspondence theorem, $\mathcal{M} = M/I$ for some ideal *M* of *A*. This ideal *M* of *A* must be maximal again by the correspondence theorem and this completes the proof of the remark.

Lemma §1.1.9. Let A be a ring, I, J, K be ideals of A. Furthermore, assume that I, J are comaximal and I, K are comaximal. Then I + JK = A. (Recall that two ideals I, J are said to be comaximal if I + J = A.)

Proof. Suppose that $I + JK \subsetneq A$. Then by Remark §1.1.8, we have that there is some maximal (and hence prime) ideal P containing I + JK. Thus, we have that $I \subset P$ and $JK \subset P$.

From $JK \subset P$, we can conclude that $J \subset P$ or $K \subset P$ from Lemma §1.1.7. But in the either case, we have that $I + J \subset P \subseteq A$. A contradiction and hence I + JK = A.

Example §1.1.10. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$. Note that the ideal (3,4) generated by 3 and 4 and the ideal (3,5) generated by 3 and 5 are exactly \mathbb{Z} . Thus, the ideal (3,20) = A by Lemma §1.1.9.

§1.2 Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem §1.2.1 (Chinese Remainder Theorem). Let A be a ring, $I_1, I_2, ..., I_n$ be ideals of A. Consider the canonical map $\varphi : A \to A/I_1 \times A/I_2 \times \cdots A/I_n$ given by $\varphi(x) = (x + I_1, ..., x + I_n)$. Then the following holds:

- 1. If I_p , I_q are comaximal for all $1 \le p < q \le n$ then $I_1 I_2 \dots I_n = I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \dots \cap I_n$
- 2. φ is injective iff $\ker \varphi = I_1 \cap I_2 \cap ... \cap I_n = \{0\}$
- 3. If φ is surjective iff I_m , I_n are comaximal for all $1 \le m < n \le n$

Proof of (1). We proceed by induction on n. Suppose that n = 2. Consider the ideals I_1, I_2 satisfying $I_1 + I_2 = A$. We show that $I_1 I_2 = I_1 \cap I_2$.

It is fairly easy to see that $I_1I_2 \subset I_1 \cap I_2$. if $i_1 \in I_1$ and $i_2 \in I_2$ then $i_1i_2 \in I_1$ and $i_1i_2 \in I_2$ as I_1 and I_2 are both ideals of A. Hence, $i_1i_2 \in I_1 \cap I_2$. To see the reverse inclusion, we use the comaximality of I_1 and I_2 . Since $I_1 + I_2 = A$, $I_1 = i_1 + i_2$ for some $i_1 \in I_1$ and some $i_2 \in I_2$. Let $c \in I_1 \cap I_2$. Then $c = i_1c + ci_2$. Clearly $i_1c \in I_1I_2$ and $ci_2 \in I_1I_2$ and hence $c \in I_1I_2$.

Suppose that (1) holds true for any n-1 ideals of A where n>2. Let $I_1,I_2,...,I_n$ be ideals of A. Define $J=I_1I_2\cdots I_{n-1}$ and $I=I_n$. We show that I+J=A.

It is easy to see that $I+J\subset A$. Now we use that comaximality of I_{n-1} and I_n . By the comaximality, we have $1=i_{n-1}+i_n$ for some $i_{n-1}\in I_{n-1}$ and some $i_n\in I_n$. Let $a\in A$. Then $a=ai_{n-1}+ai_n$. Clearly, $ai_n\in I_n$ as I_n is an ideal and $ai_{n-1}\in I_{n-1}$. Since $I_{n-1}\subset I$, we are done.

By the n=2, it follows that $IJ=I\cap J$. Now our result follows from the induction hypothesis:

$$I_1 \dots I_{n-1} I_n = JI$$

$$= J \cap I$$

$$= I_1 \dots I_{n-1} \cap I_n$$

$$= I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_{n-1} \cap I_n$$

Observe that the third equality follows from the induction hypothesis.

§2 Lecture 2 — 12th August 2022 —

§2.1 Proof of Chinese Remainder Theorem continued...