

# Pizza Website Assessment - Feedback Report

**Student:** Joel

**Overall Mark:** 92%

## Section 1: Core Requirements Assessment

**Score:** 72/80 points

**Performance:** Good – strong semantic HTML and responsive thinking; a few precision issues (text and spacing) bring the score down.

### Detailed Mark Breakdown

#### Headline (10/10 points)

**Implementation:** `<h1>Pizza Time </h1>`

**Assessment:** Exact text and emojis with proper `<h1>` semantics. The UTF-8 meta tag ensures reliable emoji rendering. Full credit.

#### Link Correct (7/10 points)

**Implementation:** `<a href="https://google.com/search?q=order+pizza">See full menu</a>`

**Assessment:** The URL is perfect; the link text is missing the word “the”. This is a minor but deliberate precision check in the spec. Partial credit awarded.

#### Image (10/10 points)

**Implementation:** `` with responsive CSS

**Assessment:** A model implementation. Width is controlled responsively (`max-width: 300px; width: 100%`) while preserving aspect ratio, and the `alt` text is sufficiently descriptive to add value for screen reader users. This is a modern, robust approach that cleanly separates concerns between HTML and CSS.

#### Image Padding with Green Background (10/10 points)

**Implementation:** `.container { background-color: #12ee56; padding: 1rem; border-radius: 1rem; display: inline-block; }`

**Assessment:** Perfectly executed and thoughtfully enhanced. The exact green (`#12ee56`) and 16px padding are applied via a dedicated container, which is the right architectural choice. A 20px corner radius is a tasteful, minor deviation that adds softness without undermining the design intent, and `inline-block` keeps the container sized to its contents.

### **All Spacing Correct (6/10 points)**

**Implementation:** CSS margins/padding with occasional `<br>` for vertical gaps

**Assessment:** Mixed approach reduces maintainability. The presence of `<br>` for layout takes this into partial-credit territory per the standard.

### **All Colors Correct (10/10 points)**

**Implementation:** Body #141414, white text, green container #12ee56

**Assessment:** Colours are accurate and consistently applied. Full credit.

### **Order Form Submits to Correct Address (10/10 points)**

**Implementation:** `<form action="http://requestbin.whapi.cloud/19iv8qd1" method="post">`

**Assessment:** Exact endpoint and appropriate method selection. Semantically structured form with labels and required validation. Full credit.

### **Document Formatted Correctly (9/10 points)**

**Implementation:** Clean HTML5 structure with `header/main` semantics, external stylesheet, appropriate meta tags. Internal vs external styling preference is not penalised in this assessment.

**Assessment:** Professional, semantic, and neatly arranged. Minor deduction for specification precision (label text wording) only.

## **Section 2: Bonus Requirements Assessment**

**Score:** 20/20 points

### **Emojis in Headline (10/10 points)**

**Implementation:** Unicode emojis in headline

**Assessment:** Meets the bonus precisely.

### **Submit Button Caption “Order my pizza” (10/10 points)**

**Implementation:** `<input type="submit" value="Order my pizza">`

**Assessment:** Exact text and casing. Full bonus.

## **Overall Performance Analysis**

Joel’s submission shows strong fundamentals, good semantics, and thoughtful responsiveness (e.g., width handling on the image). Tightening precision on literal text values and avoiding `<br>` for spacing would elevate this to near-perfect. The form is exemplary and the colour/typographic system is coherent.

Suggested next steps: - Replace `<br>` layout gaps with CSS margins (16px/1rem)  
- Match link text exactly (“See the full menu”) - Align form label wording with the brief for full precision