an individual equivalence class first. Say $[x] = \{y \in C : x \sim y\}$ which is the set of all bundles in C that are indifferent to x. Then we might create a class of sets (set of sets) using the indexed collection of sets notation you covered in the prerequisite readings. For example $\mathcal{I} = \{[x] : x \in C\}$ where $[x] = \{y \in C : x \sim y\}$. Remember that sets only contain distinct elements so even though we "loop" through all values x any two values x, y such that $x \sim y$ will lead to [x] = [y] and the distinct equivalence class will only show up once in the collection \mathcal{I} . **Problem 10** Let \succeq be rational preference relation and define \sim as the indifference relation where $x \sim y$ iff $[x \succsim y \land y \succsim x]$. Because \succsim is rational we know that it is complete, reflexive and transitive. To show $(x,x) \in \sim$, $\forall x$ we can refer to completeness to know that either $x \gtrsim x$ or $x \gtrsim x$ and by reflexiveness we know both statements are true so $x \sim x$. Symmetry would imply that for any $x, y \in C$ if $(x, y) \in \sim$ then $(y, x) \in \sim$. Again we now know that \sim is reflexive and we still know \succeq is complete, reflexive and transitive and we should use these facts to establish symmetry and transitivity of \sim . Let $x,y \in C$ and suppose $x \sim y$. Then we know $[x \succsim y \land y \succsim x]$ is true by definition of \sim . Because the order of arguments in the conjunction don't alter its truth value we could say $[y \gtrsim x \land x \gtrsim y]$ which is the definition of $y \sim x$. For transitivity, suppose $x, y, z \in C$ and $[x \sim y \land y \sim z]$. Then by definition

 $[x \succsim y \land y \succsim x]$ and $[y \succsim z \land z \succsim y]$. Hence we have $x \succsim y \succsim z$ which by transitivity of \succsim implies $x \succsim z$ and we also have $z \succsim y \succsim x$ which by transitivity of \succsim implies $z \succsim x$. Since we have $[x \succsim z \land z \succsim x]$ we see that $x \sim z$ and

therefore \sim is transitive.

Quotient Set Notation There are many ways denote the quotient set (check the *Book of Proof* for one way). A common method is to get a notation for