

Recall in the previous lecture:

Definition 1. An *ideal* in a ring R is a subring $I \triangleleft R$ such that if $a \in I$ and $r \in R$, then $ar, ra \in I$.

Observe that if $I \leq R$, then

- $(R, +)$ is an abelian group and $(I, +) \leq (R, +)$ and for $r \in R$, then $r + I = I + r$. This is what it means for $I \triangleleft R$ to be a normal subgroup.

Definition 2. The set

$$R/I = \{r + I : r \in R\}$$

is called the *factor ring* or *quotient ring*.

Based on the definition above, we note that R/I is a group with addition and operation defined by

$$(r + I) + (s + I) = (r + s) + I$$

For the multiplication, we seek an operation so that R/I under multiplication is well defined.

Lemma 1. Let $I \leq R$. Then the operation $(r + I)(s + I) = (rs) + I$ is well defined if and only if $I \triangleleft R$.

Proof. Suppose that $I \triangleleft R$. We want to show that for all $a, b \in I$,

$$(r + I)(s + I) = [(r + a) + I][(s + b) + I]$$

So on the right hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(r + a) + I][(s + b) + I] &= (r + a)(s + b) + I \\ &= (rs + as + rb + ab) + I \\ &= (rs) + I \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, assume that $I \leq R$. Then there exists an $r \in R$ and $a \in I$ such that either $ar \notin I$ or $ra \notin I$, or both. Without loss of generality, assume that $ar \notin I$. Then

$$(0 + I)(r + I) = 0r + I = 0 + I$$

but

$$(a + I)(r + I) = ar + I$$

But $ar \notin I$, so $ar + I \neq 0 + I$. Therefore, the operation is not well defined. □

Example 1. • For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}_n = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/\langle n \rangle$.

- How many elements does $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x, 2 \rangle$ have? Note that

$$\langle x, 2 \rangle = \{xg(x) + 2f(x) : f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$$

Let $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, then $f(x) \in \langle x, 2 \rangle$ if c_0 is even, and $f(x) \in \langle x, 2 \rangle$ if c_0 is odd.

Recall that if $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, the kernel of ϕ is an ideal of R .

Theorem 1. *Let $I \triangleleft R$. Then the map $\phi : R \rightarrow R/I$ defined by $\phi(r) = r + I$ is a ring homomorphism from R to R/I and $\ker(\phi) = I$.*

Theorem 2 (First Isomorphism Theorem). *Let $\psi : R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. Then $\ker(\psi)$ is an ideal of R . If $\phi : R \rightarrow R/\ker(\psi)$ is the canonical homomorphism, then there exists a unique isomorphism $\eta : R/\ker(\psi) \rightarrow \psi(R)$ such that $\psi = \eta\phi$.*

Example 2. Consider the evaluation homomorphism $\phi_\alpha : \mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\phi_\alpha(f(X)) = f(\alpha)$. Then

$$\ker(\phi_\alpha) = \langle x - \alpha \rangle$$

and so by the first isomorphism theorem, $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x - \alpha \rangle \simeq \phi_\alpha(\mathbb{Z})$. We want to show that $\phi_\alpha(\mathbb{Z}[x]) = \mathbb{Z}$, or ϕ_α is onto. For all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $f(x) = a$ and $\phi_\alpha(f(x)) = a$, so ϕ_α is onto so $\mathbb{Z}[x]/\langle x - \alpha \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}$.