

## Projective Modules and Injective Modules

Definition: A module  $P$  over  $R$  is projective if and only if for every diagram of  $R$ -modules

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & P & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow f & \searrow & \\ A & \xleftarrow{g} & B & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

With the bottom row exact, there exists a morphism  $h: P \rightarrow A$  with  $gh = f$ .

Exercise: If  $R$  is a ring with identity, and  $P$  is a unitary  $R$ -module, it suffices to check the above  $A, B$  are unitary.

Theorem: Every free module over a ring with identity is projective.

Proof: let  $F$  be a free module over  $R$  and assume we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F & \\ & \downarrow f & \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

With the bottom row exact and  $A, B$  are unitary. Since  $F$  is free on  $X$ , for example,  $i: X \rightarrow F$  and since  $g$  is onto,  $\forall x \in X \exists a_x \in A, g(a_x) = f(i(x))$ .

We can define our homomorphism by

$$h(\sum c_x i(x)) = \sum c_x a_x \in A,$$

so  $h: F \rightarrow A$ . We check that  $gh = f$ . Indeed,

$$gh(\sum c_x i(x)) = g(\sum c_x a_x) = \sum c_x g(a_x)$$

$$= \sum c_x f(i(x)) = f(\sum c_x i(x))$$

Therefore  $gh = f$ .

So every  $R$ -module is the homomorphic image of a projective module.

**Theorem:** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $P$  be an  $R$ -module.

TFAE:

(a)  $P$  is projective.

(b) Every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$  splits (so  $B \cong A \oplus P$ )

(c). There exists a free module  $F$  and another module  $K$  so that  $F \cong K \oplus P$ .

**Example:**  $\mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ , so  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a projective module over  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

**Proof:**

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Assume  $P$  is projective, and consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ . The

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & P & & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \text{id} & & \\ B & \xrightarrow{g} & P & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By definition,  $\exists h: P \rightarrow B$  such that  $gh = \text{id}$ . This is what it means for a sequence to split.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) Assume (b), Then  $\exists g: F \rightarrow P$  and let

$K = \ker(g)$ . Then

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \xrightarrow{g} P \rightarrow 0$$

so by (ii),  $F \cong K \oplus P$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Assume  $F \cong K \oplus P$ . Let  $\pi: F \rightarrow P$  be the projection, and  $i: P \rightarrow F$  be the inclusion map. Suppose we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & F & & \\ & \swarrow h_1 & \downarrow \pi & \searrow i & \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & P & \xrightarrow{f} & B \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with the bottom row exact. Because  $F$  is projective, there exists some  $h_1: F \rightarrow A$  such that  $h_1 g = f \pi$ .

let  $h = h_1 i$ . Then  $gh = g h_1 i = f \pi i = f$ .

**Proposition:** A direct sum  $\sum_{i \in I} P_i$  is projective if and only if  $\forall i \in I$ ,  $P_i$  is projective.

**Partial Proof:** (see notes for full proof)

In one direction, if  $\sum_{i \in I} P_i$  is projective

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \sum_{i \in I} P_i & & \\ & \swarrow h & \downarrow \pi & \searrow i & \\ A & \xrightarrow{g} & P_j & \xrightarrow{f} & B \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

same proof  $\Rightarrow P_j$  is projective, so each  $P_j$  is projective.

Conversely

$$\begin{array}{c} P_j \\ \downarrow i_j \quad \uparrow \pi_j \\ \sum_{i \in I} P_i \\ \downarrow f \\ A \xrightarrow{s} B \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$gh_j = f_{ij}$ . "Stitch together" the  $h_j : P_j \rightarrow A$  to

$h : \sum_{i \in I} P_i \rightarrow A$  such that  $gh = f$ .

### Injective Modules

**Definition:** An  $R$ -module  $J$  is **injective** if and only if given a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{g} & B \\ & & \downarrow f & & \swarrow h \\ & & J & & \end{array}$$

with the top row exact, there exists a morphism  $h : B \rightarrow J$  with  $f = hg$ .

**Proposition:** Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and  $J$  be an  $R$ -module. TFAE:

(a)  $J$  is injective.

(b) Every short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  splits, so  $B \cong J \oplus C$ .

(c)  $J$  is a direct summand of every module of

which it is a submodule.

**Proposition:** Every unitary module over a ring with identity can be embedded into an injective module.

**Lemma:** Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. A unitary  $R$ -module  $J$  is injective if and only if for every ideal  $L$  in  $R$  and an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $L \rightarrow J$ , there is an extension  $R \rightarrow J$ .

**Sketch Proof:** One direction follows by definition. Indeed,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & L \xrightarrow{\text{id}} R \\ & & f \downarrow \quad \swarrow h \\ & & J \end{array}$$

So if  $J$  is injective, morphisms on ideals extend.

For the other direction, assume  $J$  has the property.

Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A \xrightarrow{g} B \\ & & f \downarrow \\ & & J \end{array}$$

with the top row exact. Let  $S$  be the set of all  $R$ -module morphisms  $h: C \rightarrow J$  with  $\text{Im}(g) \subseteq C \subseteq B$  and  $hg = f$ . First, note  $S \neq \emptyset$ . We can take  $fg^{-1}: \text{Im}(g) \rightarrow J$ .

Partially Order  $S$  by extension  $h_1 \leq h_2$  if and only if  $h_2$  is an extension of  $h_1$ , i.e.  $h_2|_{\text{dom}(h_1)} = h_1$ , and

$(\text{domain}(h_1) \subseteq \text{domain}(h_2))$ . In  $(S, \leq)$  chains of upper bounds. By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal element  $h: H \rightarrow J$ . If  $H = B$ , we are done. Otherwise, let  $b \in B \setminus H$  and let  $L = \{r \in R : rb \in H\} \subseteq R$ .  $L$  is an ideal of  $R$ .

We have a function  $r \mapsto h(rb)$ ,  $L \rightarrow J$  and by assumption, this extends to  $k: R \rightarrow J$  with  $k(r) = h(rb)$  for  $r \in L$ . Let  $C = k(1_R)$ . Define an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $H + Rb \rightarrow J$  by  $a + rb \mapsto h(a) + rc$ . (check this is well-defined and showing it is an  $R$ -module homomorphism). But this gives a proper extension of  $h$  to  $B \ni H + rb \not\subseteq H$ . which is a contradiction. Hence,  $H = B$ .

**Example:**  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $\Leftrightarrow$  abelian groups.

**Proposition:** An abelian group  $G$  is divisible if and only if it is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

**Note:**  $G$  is divisible if and only if  $\forall g \in G, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there is an  $h \in G$  such that  $nh = g$ . (e.g.  $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$  is, but  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$  is not)

**Proof:** If  $G$  is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, let  $g \in G$  and  $n \neq 0$  be an integer. Define  $f: n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$  by  $f(nm) = mg$  (which is a group homomorphism). Because  $G$  is injective  $f$  extends to  $\hat{f}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ . Now take  $h = \hat{f}(1)$ . We have

$$nh = n\hat{f}(1) = f(n) = g.$$

Conversely, if  $G$  is divisible, let  $f: I \rightarrow G$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism with  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  an ideal. If  $I \neq \{0\}$  then  $I = n\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $n \neq 0$  integer. Then  $\hat{f}: n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$  Extend  $f$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  by  $\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n}f(nx)$ . (Check  $\hat{f}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism). If  $I = \{0\}$ , put  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So every  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism from an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $G$  extends to all of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $G$  is an injective  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

## Modules Over PIDs

**Theorem:** Let  $F$  be a free module over a PID, and let  $G \subseteq F$  be a submodule. Then  $G$  is free and  $\text{rank}(G)$  is at most  $\text{rank}(F)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $R$  be a PID, let  $F$  be a free module over  $R$ , and  $G \subseteq F$  a submodule. We apply induction on  $n = \text{rank}(F)$ .

Base Case: For  $n=1$ , we have  $F \cong Rx \cong R$  and this isomorphism identifies  $G$  with a submodule of  $R$ . By assumption, this is a principal ideal. If  $G$  maps to  $yR$  then  $G = Ry$ .

- If  $y = 0$ ,  $\text{rank}(G) = 0$ .
- If  $y \neq 0$ ,  $\text{rank}(G) = 1$ .

Inductive Step: Assume the statement holds for rank less than  $n$  and assume  $F$  is a free module of rank  $n$ .

Then  $F$  has a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  so the set

$$F' = Fx_1 + \dots + Fx_n \subseteq F.$$

Here,  $F'$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank  $n-1$ , and  $G' = G \cap F'$  is a submodule of  $F'$ , so its a free  $R$ -module of rank at most  $n-1$ .

Now consider

$$G/G' \cong G/(G \cap F') \cong (G+F')/F'$$

which holds by the 2nd Isomorphism Theorem, and  $G \cdot F' \subseteq F$ .

So

$$G/G' \cong (G+F')/F' \subseteq F/F' \cong R.$$

Thus,  $G/G'$  is a free  $R$ -module of rank at most 1.

It follows that  $G/G'$  is projective, so

$$0 \longrightarrow G' \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/G' \longrightarrow 0$$

splits and  $G \cong G' \oplus G/G'$ , thus,  $G$  is the direct sum of a free module of rank at most  $n-1$  and a free module of rank at most 1, so  $G$  is a free module of rank at most  $n$ .

**Corollary:** Over a PID, TFAE:

- (a) A unitary module is free.
- (b) A unitary module is projective.

**Proof:** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) easy

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) Assume that  $P$  is a projective unitary module over a PID. Then  $F \cong K \oplus P$  for some free module  $F$  and some module  $K$ . So  $P$  is isomorphic to a submodule of a free module, so it is free.

**Notation:** Let  $A$  be a module over an integral domain  $R$ , and let  $a \in A$ . Define  $A^\alpha = \{r \in R : ra = 0\}$ , which is called the **annihilator** of  $a$ .

**Theorem:** Let  $R$  be an integral domain,  $A$  be an  $R$ -module.

(i)  $A^\alpha$  is an ideal of  $R$

(ii)  $A_t = \{a \in A : A^0 \neq \langle 0 \rangle\}$  is a submodule of  $A$

(iii) For each  $a \in A$ , there exists an isomorphism

$$R/A^0 \cong Ra.$$

Proof: exercise.

Note  $A_t$  is called the torsion submodule of  $A$ .

Definition:  $A$  is torsion-free if  $A_t = \{0\}$ .

Example:

(a) Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Then  $(\mathbb{Z}_n)_t = \mathbb{Z}_n$

(b)  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is torsion-free because if

$x \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $nx = 0$  for  $n \neq 0$  integer,  $x = 0$ , so

$$\mathbb{Q}_t = \{0\}.$$

Theorem: A finitely generated torsion-free module over a PID is free.

Theorem: If  $A$  is a finitely generated module over a PID, then  $A \cong A_t \oplus F$ , where  $F$  is a free  $R$ -module of finite rank.

Proof: Consider  $A/A_t$ , which is torsion-free, because if  $r \in R$ ,  $r \neq 0$ ,

$$0 + A_t = r(x + A_t) = rx + A_t, \text{ so } rx \in A_t$$

Hence, there is  $s \in R$  nonzero such that  $s(rx) = 0$ , but  $(sr)x = 0 \Rightarrow x \in A_t$ . So  $A/A_t$  is torsion-free and finitely generated, so  $A/A_t = F$  is a free module of

finite rank. Now

$$0 \rightarrow A_t \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/A_t \rightarrow 0$$

is exact and  $A/A_t$  is projective, so

$$A \cong A_t \oplus A/A_t.$$

**Theorem:** A finitely generated, torsion-free module over a PID is a free module of finite rank.

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be a finitely generated torsion-free module over a PID  $R$ . Let  $X \subseteq A$  be a finite set of generators for  $A$  over  $R$ . Think about sets  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$  with the property that  $r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_n x_n = 0 \Rightarrow r_i = 0 \ \forall i$ . There are some subsets with this property because if  $x \in X$ , then  $rx = 0$  if and only if  $r = 0$  (torsion-free). Let  $S$  be a maximal linearly independent set. The submodule  $F \subseteq A$  generated by  $S$  is a free module of rank  $|S|$ .

**Claim:** There exists  $r \in R$  nonzero such that  $rX \subseteq F$ .

Given the claim, this implies that  $rA \subseteq F$ . But  $rA \cong A$  as  $A$  is torsion-free, so  $A$  is a free module of rank at most  $\text{rank}(F)$ .

To prove the claim, let  $y \in X \setminus S$ . By the maximality there are  $r_1, \dots, r_{n+1} \in R$  not all zero with

$$r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_n x_n + r_{n+1} y = 0$$

If  $r_{n+1} = 0$ , this contradicts the linear independence of  $S$ ,

so  $r_{n+1} \neq 0$ . Thus,

$$r_{n+1} y = -r_1 x_1 - \cdots - r_n x_n \in F.$$

We shown for  $y \in X$ , there exists  $r_y \neq 0 \in R$  such that

$r_y y \in F$ . If  $r = \prod_{y \in X} r_y \in R$ , then  $r \neq 0$  and  $rX \subseteq F$ .