

**Theorem:** (Hölder's Inequality) If  $f \in L_p(X, \mu)$  and  $g \in L_q(X, \mu)$  with  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$  conjugate exponents, i.e.  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Then  $fg \in L_1(X, \mu)$  and  $\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$

**Corollary:** If  $\mu(X) < \infty$  and  $f \in L_p(X, \mu)$ , then  $f \in L_1(X, \mu)$  and  $\|f\|_1 \leq \mu(X)^{\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_p$ .

**Theorem:** (Minkowski's Inequality) For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $f, g \in L_p(X, \mu)$  then  $\|f+g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$ .

**Proof:** Note for  $p=1$ , we have

$$\int_X |f+g| d\mu \leq \int_X |f| + |g| d\mu = \int_X |f| d\mu + \int_X |g| d\mu.$$

Otherwise, for  $p > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |f+g|^p d\mu &= \int_X |f+g| |f+g|^{p-1} d\mu \\ &\leq \int_X (|f| + |g|) |f+g|^{p-1} d\mu \\ &= \int_X |f| |f+g|^{p-1} d\mu + \int_X |g| |f+g|^{p-1} d\mu \\ &\leq \|f\|_p \| (f+g)^{p-1} \|_q + \|g\|_p \| (f+g)^{p-1} \|_q \end{aligned}$$

If  $\| (f+g)^{p-1} \|_q = 0$ , we are done. Otherwise we have

$$\left( \int_X |f+g|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p.$$

**Corollary:**  $(L_p(X, \mu), \|\cdot\|_p)$  is a normed space.

**Theorem:** (Riesz - Markov Theorem): For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $(L_p(X, \mu), \|\cdot\|_p)$  is complete.

**Proof:** Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L_p(X, \mu)$  and let  $(f_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a subsequence such that

$$\|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|_p < \frac{1}{2^k}$$

Note that  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges if and only if  $(f_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges because  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy.

For each  $x \in X$ , let  $g(x) = |f_{n_1}(x)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |f_{n_{k+1}}(x) - f_{n_k}(x)|$  so  $g: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  and  $g$  is measurable. By Fatou's Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_X |g|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_X |f_{n_1}| + \sum_{k=1}^N |f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}| d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \|f_{n_1}\|_p + \sum_{k=1}^N \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|_p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \|f_{n_1}\|_p + 1 \end{aligned}$$

so  $g \in L_p(X, \mu)$ , thus,  $\mu(\{x : g(x) = \infty\}) = 0$ . So with  $A = \{x : g(x) = \infty\} \in \mathcal{A}$  we can multiply  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  by  $\chi_{A^c}$  to assume  $g(x) < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Since  $L_p(X, \mu)$  is the equivalence class under a.e. equivalence and  $\mu(A) = 0$ . Thus,

$f(x) = f_{n_1}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_{n_{k+1}}(x) - f_{n_k}(x))$  defines a function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ , since  $\mathbb{K}$  is complete. Note  $f$  is a pointwise limit of measurable functions, thus, measurable.

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_1}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^N (f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}(x)) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_{N+1}}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to show  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|_p = 0$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n_{k+1}}(x) - f_{n_k}(x)|^p &\leq (|f_{n_{k+1}}(x)| + |f_{n_k}(x)|)^p \\ &\leq 2^p |g(x)|^p \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$  by DCT. So  $g \in L_1(X, \mu)$  and we have

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_{k+1}}(x) - f_{n_k}(x)| = 0$ , so DCT implies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |f_{n_{k+1}}(x) - f_{n_k}(x)|^p d\mu = 0$$

**Corollary:**  $L_2(X, \mu)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_X f \bar{g} d\mu.$$

**Corollary:** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence in  $L_p(X, \mu)$  that converges to  $f$  with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_p$ , then there exists a subsequence  $(f_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  that converges pointwise to  $f$ .

**Proof:** Riesz - Fischer implies there exists a  $h \in L_p(X, \mu)$  and a subsequence  $(f_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  that converges to  $h$  in  $L_p(X, \mu)$  pointwise a.e. By uniqueness,  $f = h$ .

**Definition:** We say a measurable function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is essentially bounded if there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > M\}) = 0$ . We denote all essentially bounded functions by  $L_{\infty}(X, \mu)$  and

$$L_{\infty}(X, \mu) = \{[f] : f \in L_{\infty}(X, \mu)\}$$

call this the  $L_{\infty}$  space of  $(X, \mu)$ .

**Definition:** We define the  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ -norm on  $L_{\infty}(X, \mu)$  by

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \inf \{M > 0 : \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > M\}) = 0\}$$

**Theorem:**  $L_{\infty}(X, \mu)$  is a vector space.  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  is a norm on  $L_{\infty}(X, \mu)$ .

**Proof:** Easy to note that  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  is well-defined,  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty} \in [0, \infty)$

$\|0\|_{\infty} = 0$ . If  $\|f\|_{\infty} = 0$ , then  $f = 0$  because there exists

$(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  and

$$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > a_n\}) = 0, \text{ so}$$

$$\{x \in X : |f(x)| > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : |f(x)| > a_n\} \text{ so}$$

$$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > 0\}) = 0 \text{ by subadditivity.}$$

Now let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(X, \mu)$ , we show  $\alpha f \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(X, \mu)$  if  $\alpha = 0$ , we are done. Otherwise

$$\{x \in X : |\alpha f(x)| > M\} = \{x \in X : |f(x)| > \frac{M}{|\alpha|}\}$$

So  $\alpha f \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(X, \mu)$  and  $\|\alpha f\|_{\infty} = |\alpha| \|f\|_{\infty}$  by computation with inf.

Now let  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(X, \mu)$ . If  $M_1, M_2 > 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in X : |f(x) + g(x)| > M_1 + M_2\} &\subseteq \{x : |f(x)| + |g(x)| > M_1 + M_2\} \\ &\subseteq \{x : |f(x)| > M_1\} \cup \{x : |g(x)| > M_2\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $M_1, M_2 > 0$  are such that

$$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > M_1\}) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(\{x : |g(x)| > M_2\}) = 0$$

so  $\mu(\{x : |f(x) + g(x)| > M_1 + M_2\}) = 0$ , Thus,  $f + g \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(X, \mu)$

$$\text{and } \|f + g\|_{\infty} \leq \|f\|_{\infty} + \|g\|_{\infty}$$

**Remark:** If  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(X, \mu)$ , then

$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| \geq \|f\|_{\infty}\}) = 0$ . Thus, in  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}(X, \mu)$ , we can assume  $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{\infty}$  a.e.

Indeed. if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then by the definition of inf, there exists  $M \in (\|f\|_{\infty}, \|f\|_{\infty} + \frac{1}{n})$  such that

$$\mu(\{x : |f(x)| > M\}) = 0 \text{ but then note}$$

$$\{x : |f(x)| > \|f\|_{\infty} + \frac{1}{n}\} \subseteq \{x : |f(x)| > M\}, \text{ so}$$

$\mu(\{x: |f(x)| > \|f\|_\infty + \frac{1}{n}\}) = 0$ . Because

$$\{x: |f(x)| > \|f\|_\infty\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x: |f(x)| \geq \|f\|_\infty + \frac{1}{n}\}$$

and by subadditivity, we are done.

**Remark:** If  $f \in C[a, b]$ , the Extreme Value Theorem implies there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $[a, b] = \{x: |f(x)| \leq M\}$ . Thus  $\lambda(\{x: |f(x)| > M\}) = 0$ , so  $f \in L_\infty([a, b], \lambda)$ .

We claim  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$ . Indeed, we have shown " $\leq$ " above. On the other hand, if  $M < \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$ , let  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  and  $\varepsilon = \frac{|f(x_0)| - M}{2} > 0$ . Because  $f$  is continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $|x - x_0| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$  so

$$|f(x)| > |f(x_0)| - \varepsilon > M, \text{ thus } \|f\|_\infty \geq M.$$

**Theorem:** (Riesz - Fischer)  $(L_\infty(X, \mu), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is complete. (\*)

**Proof:** Let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L_\infty(X, \mu)$ . WLOG,

$$|f_n(x)| \leq \|f_n\|_\infty \quad \forall x \in X, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and so}$$

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \leq \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } x \in X, n, m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now,  $(f_n(x))_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy on  $\mathbb{K}$  for all  $x \in X$ , which is complete, so there exists  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  such that

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x).$$

Note  $\forall x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_n(x) - f(x)| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

With  $n=1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f_1(x) - f(x)| &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_1 - f_m\|_\infty \\ &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|f_1\|_\infty + \|f_m\|_\infty) \quad \text{Cauchy sequence are bounded} \\ &\leq \|f_1\|_\infty + M \quad \text{for some } M > 0 \end{aligned}$$

thus  $f - f_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(X, \mu)$ , so because  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(X, \mu)$  is a vector space and  $f_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(X, \mu)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(X, \mu)$ , so

$$\|f_1 - f\|_\infty \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{because } (f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \text{ Cauchy}$$

**Corollary:** (Hölder's Inequality) If  $f \in \mathcal{L}_1(X, \mu)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(X, \mu)$ , then

$$fg \in \mathcal{L}_1(X, \mu) \text{ and } \|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty.$$

**Proof:**  $\int_X |fg| d\mu \leq \int_X |f| \|g\|_\infty d\mu = \|f\|_1 \|g\|_\infty.$

**Corollary:** If  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(X, \mu)$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , then  $g \in \mathcal{L}_p(X, \mu)$

$$\text{and } \|g\|_p \leq \mu(X)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|g\|_\infty$$

**Proof:**  $\left( \int_X |g|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left( \int_X \|g\|_\infty^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = (\|g\|_\infty^p \mu(X))^{\frac{1}{p}} =$

**Theorem:** Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . If

$$\mathcal{F} = \langle \{ \varphi : \varphi \text{ is simple such that } \exists A \in \mathcal{A}, \mu(A) < \infty, \varphi|_{A^c} = 0 \} \rangle$$

$$\text{Then } [\mathcal{F}] = \mathcal{L}_p(X, \mu)$$

**Theorem:** Let  $C_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})$  denote the  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued compact support

i.e.  $\text{supp}(f) = \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x)| > 0\}}$  is compact. Then

$$\overline{C_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K})} = \mathcal{L}_p(\mathbb{R}, \lambda), \quad 1 \leq p < \infty.$$