

Properties of the Lebesgue Measure

Corollary: The Borel sets are Lebesgue Measurable and $\lambda(I) = \ell(I)$ for all intervals I .

Proof: Recall every set of the form $(a, b]$, (a, ∞) and $(-\infty, b]$ are Lebesgue-Stieltjes measurable and thus Lebesgue measurable by the Carathéodory-Hahn extension theorem and $\lambda(I) = \ell(I)$ for all I of this form. Since $(a, b]$, (a, ∞) and $(-\infty, b)$ generate $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$. Note that

$$\lambda(\{c\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda\left(\left(c - \frac{1}{n}, c\right]\right) = 0$$

by the Monotone Convergence Theorem for Measures.

Since every other interval can be obtained by adding or removing at most 2 singletons, the claim follows.

Corollary: λ is σ -finite.

Proof: $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [-n, n]$ with $[-n, n] \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lambda([-n, n]) = 2n < \infty$.


Corollary: If $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is countable, then $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lambda(A) = 0$.

Proof: Let $A = (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I_n = \left(a_n - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}, a_n + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}\right)$. Then $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$, so $0 \leq \lambda^*(A) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n-1}}$. Thus, as $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, $\lambda^*(A) = 0$, so $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$.

Example: Let $P_0 = [0, 1]$. Let $P_1 = [0, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ (remove middle third of P_0). Let $P_2 = [0, \frac{1}{9}] \cup [\frac{2}{9}, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, \frac{7}{9}] \cup [\frac{8}{9}, 1]$, i.e. remove the open interval in the centre of each closed interval of length $\frac{1}{3}$ of each closed set.

Repeat to get a sequence P_n , where P_n is the union of 2^n disjoint closed intervals of length $\frac{1}{3^n}$. Then

$\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$ is called the Cantor Set,



Facts:

- \mathcal{C} is closed, so $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$
- $\mathcal{C}^o = \emptyset$
- If $x \in [0, 1]$, $x \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n} \quad a_n \in \{0, 2\} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
- $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $f\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{3^n}\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n/2}{2^n}$ is a bijection, so $|\mathcal{C}| = |\mathbb{R}| = |[0, 1]|$
- $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = 0$. Indeed, $\lambda(P_n) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n \rightarrow 0$, so $\lambda(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ by Monotone Convergence Theorem.
- If $A \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ then $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ because λ is complete.

Thus, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, $|\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})| = |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})|$.

Example: The "one-element from each equivalence class set is not Lebesgue Measurable.

Lemma: If $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$, then $x + A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\lambda(x + A) = \lambda(A)$.

Proof: Note that $\lambda^*(x + A) = \lambda^*(A)$ as translation of open interval covers yields open interval covers of the same length. Assume $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^*(B) &= \lambda^*(-x + B) \\ &= \lambda^*((-x + B) \cap A) + \lambda^*((-x + B) \cap A^c) \\ &= \lambda^*(B \cap (x + A)) + \lambda^*(B \cap (x + A^c))\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $x + A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$.

Lemma: If $A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\alpha A \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lambda(\alpha A) = |\alpha| \lambda(A)$.

Remark: The "one element from each equivalence class set" cannot be Lebesgue Measurable, as we can repeat the proof we did earlier using the first lemma to get a contradiction. Henceforth let A be this set. Indeed $42 + A$ is not Lebesgue Measurable. So if $B \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, then $B \cup (42 + A)$ is not Lebesgue measurable since $B \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$, so $B^c \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ and $B^c \cap (B \cup (42 + A))^c = 42 + A$. Then

$$|\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})^c| = |\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})|.$$

Measurable Functions

Definition: Let (X, \mathcal{A}_X) , (Y, \mathcal{A}_Y) be measurable functions.

A function $f: (X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$ is said to be measurable if $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{A}_X$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}_Y$.

Examples:

(α) If f is constant, then f is measurable.

(β) If $\mathcal{A}_X = \mathcal{P}(X)$ then all functions are measurable.

(γ) If $\mathcal{A}_Y = \{\emptyset, Y\}$, all functions are measurable.

Example: Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space and

let $A \subseteq X$. Define $\mathbb{1}_A: X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by

$$\mathbb{1}_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases}$$

We call $\mathbb{1}_A$ the characteristic function on A .

Using $\mathcal{P}(\{0, 1\})$, $\mathbb{1}_A$ is measurable if and

only if

$$\mathbb{1}_A^{-1}(B) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } 0, 1 \notin B \\ A & \text{if } 1 \in B, 0 \notin B \\ A^c & \text{if } 0 \in B, 1 \notin B \\ X & \text{if } 0, 1 \in B. \end{cases}$$

Example: Let $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the Cantor Ternary

Function that is

(i) Non-decreasing

(ii) Onto

(iii) Continuous

(iv) Constant on each interval in C^c .

$$(v) f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1.$$

If $\phi: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,2]$ is defined by $\phi(x) = x + f(x)$.

Then ϕ is onto, continuous, and strictly increasing.

So $\psi = \phi^{-1}: [0,2] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is continuous.

By Assignment 2, there exists $B \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi(B)$ is not Lebesgue measurable. So $B \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ but $\psi^{-1}(B) = \phi(B) \notin \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$

Thus, we do not want to use $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ as the σ -algebra on the codomain for functions that are continuous functions that are not measurable.

Notation: $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$.

Definition: Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space. A function $f: (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is said to be measurable using $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{K})$ on \mathbb{K} .

Proposition: Let $(X, \mathcal{A}_X), (Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$ be measurable spaces. Let $f: (X, \mathcal{A}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{A}_Y)$ and let $A \subseteq \mathcal{A}_Y$ be such that $\mathcal{A}_Y = \sigma(A)$. TFAE:

(a) f is measurable.

(b) $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_X \quad \forall B \in A$.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b) easy by definition.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Assume $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}_X$ for any $B \in A$. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{C \subseteq Y: f^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{A}_X\}$$

Note $A \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ by assumption.

Claim: \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra

(i) $\emptyset, Y \in \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) Because $f^{-1}(C^c) = f^{-1}(C)^c$, $C \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow C^c \in \mathcal{A}$.

(iii) Because $f^{-1}(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f^{-1}(C_n)$, $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \in \mathcal{A}$.

Thus $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, so $\mathcal{A}_f \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, hence f is measurable.

Corollary: (X, \mathcal{A}) ms. Let $f: (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. TFAE:

(a) $f: (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is measurable

(b) $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{A} \quad \forall U$ open in \mathbb{C}

Let $g: (X, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. TFAE

(a) g is measurable.

(b) $g^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{A} \quad \forall U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ open.

(c) $\{x : f(x) > a\} = f^{-1}((a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{A}$

Corollary: Let (X, \mathcal{C}) be a topological space (ts) and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a σ -algebra containing $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{K})$. If $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is continuous, then f is measurable.

Proposition: Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a ms, let $(Y, \mathcal{C}), (Z, \mathcal{E})$ be ts, and equip Y, Z with the Borel σ -algebras and $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be measurable. Then $gf: X \rightarrow Z$ is measurable.

Proof: Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be open. Because g is measurable, $g^{-1}(U)$ is Borel. Thus, because f is measurable, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U))$ is measurable, so gf is measurable.

Remark: If $f, g: (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lebesgue measurable functions, gf may not be Lebesgue measurable.