

### Recall:

- If  $X$  is an inner product space, then

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$$

defines a norm on  $X$ .

- $\forall x, y \in X$ ,  $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$  (Cauchy-Schwarz)
- $\forall x, y \in X$ ,  $\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2$  (Parallelogram)
- $x, y \in X$  are orthogonal if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ .
- If  $x, y \in X$  are orthogonal,  $\|x+y\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2$  (Pythagorean)
- A complete inner product space is called a Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{H}$ .
  - $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_2)$  and  $(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_2)$  are Hilbert spaces.
  - $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_2)$  is not a Hilbert space.

### Orthogonal Projections

Def: Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $A \subset \mathcal{H}$ . The orthogonal set of  $A$  is

$$A^\perp = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \forall y \in A, \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}$$

Prop: Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $A \subset \mathcal{H}$ , then  $A^\perp$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Proof: If  $x, z \in A^\perp$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we will show that  $x + \lambda z \in A^\perp$ .

Fix  $y \in A$ . Then  $\langle x + \lambda z, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \lambda \langle z, y \rangle = 0 + \lambda \cdot 0 = 0$

Therefore,  $x + \lambda z \in A^\perp$ .

If  $x \in \overline{A^\perp}$ , take a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $A^\perp$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, for some  $y \in A$ ,

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, y \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, y \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \overline{A^\perp}$$

□

Recall: If  $X$  is a vector space, then two subspaces  $Y, Z$  of  $X$  form a linear decomposition of  $X$  if

$$(a) Y \cap Z = \{0_X\}$$

$$(b) Y + Z = X$$

**Prop:** If  $X$  is a vector space and  $Y$  and  $Z$  form a linear decomposition of  $X$ , then there exists a unique linear projection  $P: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $P(X) = Y$  and  $\ker(P) = Z$ .

**Def:** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y$  and  $Z$  be subspaces of  $X$ . Then we say  $X$  is a direct sum of  $Y$  and  $Z$  and write  $X = Y \oplus Z$ , if

(a)  $Y$  and  $Z$  form a linear decomposition of  $X$ .

(b)  $Y$  and  $Z$  are closed subspaces of  $X$ .

**Theorem:** (Existence of Orthogonal Projections) Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $Y$  closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ . The following hold:

(i)  $\mathcal{H} = Y \oplus Y^\perp$

(ii) There exists a unique linear projection  $P: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$

such that  $P[X] = Y$  and  $\ker(P) = Y^\perp$ . Furthermore,

$P$  is bounded and  $\|P\| = 1$  unless  $Y$  is zero-dimensional.

This  $P$  is called the orthogonal projection onto  $Y$ .

**Proof:**

**Step 1:** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $F$  be a closed and convex subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists a unique  $y_0 \in F$  such that  $\|x - y_0\| = \text{dist}(x, F)$

Fix  $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $F$  such that  $\|x - y_n\| \rightarrow \text{dist}(x, F) = \delta > 0$

We will show that  $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is Cauchy. Note that for every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_m) \in F$ , then  $\|x - \frac{1}{2}(y_n + y_m)\| \geq \delta$  and so  $\frac{1}{4}\|2x - (y_n + y_m)\|^2 \geq \delta^2$ . (\*)

Fix  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we have, by the parallelogram law,  
$$2\|x - y_n\|^2 + 2\|y_m - x\|^2 = \|y_n - y_m\|^2 + \|2x - (y_n + y_m)\|^2$$
$$\geq \|y_n - y_m\|^2 + 4\delta^2$$

$\Rightarrow \|y_n - y_m\|^2 \leq 2\|x - y_n\|^2 + 2\|x - y_m\|^2 - 4\delta^2 \rightarrow 0$

as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is Cauchy. Because  $\mathcal{H}$  is

a Hilbert space, then there exists  $y_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and because  $F$  is closed,  $y_0 \in F$ . Then

$$\|x - y_0\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x - y_n\| = \text{dist}(x, F).$$

Next, let  $y' \in F$  such that  $\|x - y'\| = \text{dist}(x, F)$ , we will show  $y_0 = y'$ . By the parallelogram law,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\|x - y'\|^2 + 2\|y_0 - x\|^2 &= \|y_0 - y'\|^2 + \|2x - (y' + y_0)\|^2 \\ &= \|y_0 - y'\|^2 + 4\|x - \frac{1}{2}(y' + y_0)\|^2 \\ &\geq \|y_0 - y'\|^2 + 4\delta^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|y_0 - y'\|^2 \leq 2\delta^2 + 2\delta^2 - 4\delta^2 = 0 \Rightarrow y_0 = y'. \quad \square$$

**Step 2:** If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $Y$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . For  $y \in Y$ , the following are equivalent.

(a)  $\|x - y\| = \text{dist}(x, Y)$

(b)  $x - y \in Y^\perp$

Assume (b). We will show for  $z \in Y$  arbitrary,  $\|x - y\| \leq \|x - z\|$ . Because  $x - y \in Y^\perp$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - z\|^2 &= \|(x - y) - (z - y)\|^2 = \|x - y\|^2 + \|z - y\|^2 \\ &\geq \|x - y\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Assume (a): For all  $z \in Y$ ,  $\|x - y\| \geq \|x - z\|$ . Fix  $w \in Y$  arbitrary. We will show that  $\langle x - y, w \rangle = 0$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - y\|^2 &\leq \|x - (y + \lambda w)\|^2 = \|(x - y) + \lambda w\|^2 \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + \|\lambda w\|^2 + 2\langle x - y, \lambda w \rangle \\ &= \|x - y\|^2 + \lambda^2 \|w\|^2 + 2\lambda \langle x - y, w \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda^2 \|w\|^2 + 2\lambda \langle x - y, w \rangle \geq 0$  which is a quadratic function that is nonnegative, so by the discriminant, so  $2\langle x - y, w \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow \langle x - y, w \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow x - y \in Y^\perp \quad \square$

**Step 3 (Main Proof):** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $Y$  be a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

(i)  $\mathcal{H} = Y \oplus Y^\perp$ . Because  $Y$  and  $Y^\perp$  are closed, we check that  $Y$  and  $Y^\perp$  form a linear decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Take  $x \in Y \cap Y^\perp$ . Then  $x \perp x \Rightarrow \|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle = 0$ ,

$$\Rightarrow x = 0_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Let  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and let  $y \in Y$  such that  $\|x - y\| = \text{dist}(x, Y)$ .

By Step 2, because  $\|x - y\| = \text{dist}(x, Y)$ ,  $x - y \in Y^\perp$ , then  $x = y + (x - y)$ .

(ii) Uniqueness of linear projection  $P: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $P[\mathcal{H}] = Y$  and  $\ker(P) = Y^\perp$ . Because  $Y$  and  $Y^\perp$  form a linear decomposition of  $\mathcal{H}$ , such  $P$  exists and is unique. We will show  $\|P\| = 1$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , then

$$\|x\|^2 = \|Px + (x - Px)\|^2 = \|Px\|^2 + \|x - Px\|^2 \geq \|Px\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \|Px\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \Rightarrow P \text{ is bounded with } \|P\| \leq 1.$$

To show  $\|P\| \geq 1$ , take  $y \in Y$  with  $\|y\| = 1$ . Then

$$\|P\| \geq \|Py\| = \|y\| = 1 \quad (\text{bec. } y \in P[\mathcal{H}]).$$

□

**Remarks:** If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $Y$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ , denote  $P$  the orthogonal projection onto  $Y$ .

(i)  $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $Px$  is the closest vector to  $x$  in  $Y$ , i.e.

$$\|x - Px\| = \text{dist}(x, Y).$$

(ii)  $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $y \in Y$ ,  $\langle y, x \rangle = \langle y, Px \rangle$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle y, x \rangle &= \langle y, Px + (x - Px) \rangle \\ &= \langle y, Px \rangle + \langle y, \underbrace{x - Px}_{\in \ker(P)} \rangle \\ &= \langle y, Px \rangle \end{aligned}$$

## The Riesz Representation Theorem for Hilbert Spaces

**Theorem:** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Then  $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}^*$ . More precisely, the map  $\phi: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*$  given by  $(\phi x)(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$  for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ , is an onto linear isometry.

**Remark:** If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space. This can be identified with a bounded linear functional on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $(\phi x)(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$ . Furthermore, for every  $f \in \mathcal{H}^*$  is of the above form.

**Remark:**  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  is a Hilbert space and  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \equiv \ell^2(\mathbb{N})^*$

**Proof:** For  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\phi x$  is well-defined because for  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$|(\phi x)(y)| = |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|. \Rightarrow \phi x \in \mathcal{H}^* \text{ and } \|\phi x\| \leq \|x\|.$$

We also show for  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\|\phi x\| \geq \|x\|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } x = 0_{\mathcal{H}}, \text{ then this is trivial. Otherwise, } \|\phi x\| &\geq \|(\phi x)(\|x\|^{-1}x)\| \\ &= \langle x, \|x\|^{-1}x \rangle = \frac{1}{\|x\|} \langle x, x \rangle = \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

We will show  $\phi$  is onto. Fix  $f \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . We seek  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\phi x = f$ . If  $f = 0_{\mathcal{H}^*}$ , easy. Otherwise, if  $f$  is a nonzero bounded linear functional, then  $\ker(f)$  is a closed subspace of codimension 1.

By a previous proposition,  $\mathcal{H} = Y \oplus Y^\perp$  and because  $Y \neq \mathcal{H}$ , then  $Y^\perp \neq \{0_{\mathcal{H}}\}$ . Take  $x_0 \in Y^\perp$  with  $\|x_0\| = 1$ . Define  $x = f(x_0)x_0$ .

We show  $f = \phi x$ . Recall  $f$  is the unique linear functional on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $Y \subset \ker(f)$  and it has value  $f(x_0)$  at  $x_0$ . Observe that  $Y \subset \ker(\phi x)$  and  $(\phi x)(x_0) = f(x_0)$ . If  $y \in Y$ , then

$$(\phi x)(y) = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle f(x_0)x_0, y \rangle = 0.$$

Also,

$$(\phi x)(x_0) = \langle x, x_0 \rangle = \langle f(x_0)x_0, x_0 \rangle = f(x_0)\|x_0\|^2 = f(x_0). \quad \square$$

## Orthonormal Sets

**Def:** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. A subspace  $O$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is called an **orthonormal set** if it satisfies the following:

$$(i) \quad \forall x \in O, \quad \|x\| = 1$$

$$(ii) \quad \forall x \neq y \in O, \quad \langle x, y \rangle = 0.$$

**Remark:** If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hilbert space and  $O$  is an orthonormal set. Then  $\forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in O$  (distinct) and  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \right\|^2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

In particular,  $0$  is linearly independent.

### Examples:

- In any Hilbert space  $\phi$  is orthonormal.
- In  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with standard inner product,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is orthonormal.
- In  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  with standard inner product,  $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\text{Coo}(\mathbb{N})$  is an orthonormal set.
- In  $C[0,1]$  with the standard inner product,  $\{p_0, p_1, \dots\}$  with  $p_0(x) = 1$ ,  $p_1(x) = x, \dots$  is linearly independent, but is not orthonormal.