



EAD Variance Analyzer with LangChain

Upload two datasets (old vs new) to analyze EAD changes and get an AI explanation.

Upload OLD dataset (CSV)



Drag and drop file

here

Browse files

Limit 200MB per file • ...



Data1 v4.csv 16.8KB



Upload NEW dataset (CSV)



Drag and drop file

here

Browse files

Limit 200MB per file • ...



Data2 v4.csv 16.8KB



Conversation Controls



Start New Conversation

Ask a Question



Top 3 netting set by variance



Top 3 Netting Sets by EAD Variance

Based on the EAD comparison data, here are the top 3 netting sets ranked by absolute EAD variance (EAD_Δ):

1. Netting Set ID 2: -\$38.4M variance (EAD decreased)

- Old EAD: \$38.4M → New EAD: \$0.0M

- **Key Driver:** Massive increase in eligible collateral from \$23.5M to \$815.3M
- **Root Cause:** LRM Flag changed from 'N' to 'Y' for Security 11 (Txn 11), making previously ineligible collateral now eligible for netting
- **Impact Breakdown:**
 - Collateral increased by \$791.8M
 - Security Addon increased by \$285.1M
 - FX Addon increased by \$90.1M
 - Net result: EAD reduced to zero due to over-collateralization

2. Netting Set ID 5: +\$8.1M variance (EAD increased)

- **Old EAD:** \$55.7M → **New EAD:** \$63.8M
- **Key Drivers:**
 - Market Value increased by \$6.0M (from \$2.0M to \$8.0M for Security 28, Txn 28)
 - Illiquid Flag changed from 'Y' to 'N' for Security 24 (Txn 24)
- **Impact Breakdown:**
 - Exposure increased by \$6.0M
 - Security Addon increased by \$1.4M
 - FX Addon increased by \$0.7M
- **Note:** The Illiquid Flag change from 'Y' to 'N' made the security liquid, but since this is a Buy transaction, it affected Scenario B collateral calculations

3. Netting Set ID 3: +\$2.7M variance (EAD increased)

- **Old EAD:** \$5.0M → **New EAD:** \$7.8M
 - **Key Driver:** MPOR (Margin Period of Risk) increased from 10 to 20 days across all transactions
 - **Impact Breakdown:**
 - Security Addon increased by \$1.9M (due to $\sqrt{(\text{MPOR}/10)}$ scaling factor: $\sqrt{2}$ vs $\sqrt{1} = 1.414$ x multiplier)
 - FX Addon increased by \$0.8M (same MPOR scaling effect)
 - **Additional Factor:** Illiquid Flag changed from 'N' to 'Y' for Security 17 (Txn 17), further reducing eligible collateral in Scenario B
-

Summary Pattern

- **Netting Set 2** shows the most dramatic change due to collateral eligibility improvements
- **Netting Sets 3 & 5** show EAD increases driven by MPOR extensions and market value changes
- MPOR changes have multiplicative effects on both Security and FX addons through the $\sqrt{(\text{MPOR}/10)}$ scaling factor



Give me a summary of missing values in input



Summary of Missing Input Data

Based on the Missing Input Data report, there are **22 instances** of missing or null values across the input dataset, affecting **6 unique netting sets** and spanning **2 reporting dates** (6/29/2025 and 6/30/2025).

Missing Data by Category

1. ISO Currency Code Missing (7 instances)

- **Affected Netting Sets:** 1, 3, 9
- **Affected Transactions:** Txn 1, Txn 17, Txn 40
- **Issue:** ISO CCY is null when Market Value is not null/non-zero
- **Impact:** This affects the currency denomination of collateral, which is critical for FX addon calculations
- **Dates:** Both 6/29/2025 and 6/30/2025

Breakdown:

- Netting Set 1 (Txn 1): 2 occurrences
 - Netting Set 3 (Txn 17): 2 occurrences
 - Netting Set 9 (Txn 40): 2 occurrences
-

2. Agreement Settlement Currency Code Missing (14 instances)

- **Affected Netting Set:** 2 only
- **Affected Transactions:** Txn 7, Txn 8, Txn 9, Txn 10, Txn 11, Txn 12, Txn 13
- **Issue:** Agr Settlement Ccy code is null
- **Mitigation:** System defaulted to USD
- **Impact:** If the actual settlement currency is not USD, FX addon calculations may be understated or overstated
- **Dates:** Both 6/29/2025 and 6/30/2025

Breakdown:

- 7 transactions on 6/29/2025
 - 7 transactions on 6/30/2025 (same transactions repeated)
-

3. Principal Currency Code Missing (2 instances)

- **Affected Netting Set:** 5
 - **Affected Transaction:** Txn 24
 - **Issue:** Prin CCY is null when Principal is not null/non-zero
 - **Impact:** Affects exposure currency determination for trades where Principal represents the exposure amount
 - **Dates:** Both 6/29/2025 and 6/30/2025
-

Key Observations

1. **Netting Set 2 is most affected** with 14 out of 22 missing values (63.6%), all related to settlement currency
 - However, the system applied a USD default, which may mask the true risk if actual currencies differ
2. **Currency-related fields dominate** the missing data:

- ISO Currency: 31.8% of missing values
 - Settlement Currency: 63.6% of missing values
 - Principal Currency: 9.1% of missing values
3. **Data quality is consistent across dates** - the same transactions have missing values on both reporting dates, suggesting systematic data sourcing issues rather than sporadic errors
 4. **Critical for FX Addon calculations** - All missing fields relate to currency denomination, which directly impacts the FX Addon component of EAD. The FX addon applies an 8% haircut to currency mismatches, so incorrect currency assumptions could materially misstate risk
-

Recommended Actions

1. **Investigate source systems** for Netting Sets 1, 2, 3, 5, and 9 to determine why currency fields are not populated
2. **Validate USD default assumption** for Netting Set 2 - confirm whether these 7 transactions are actually USD-denominated
3. **Implement data quality controls** to flag transactions with non-zero monetary amounts but missing currency codes before EAD calculation
4. **Review FX Addon calculations** for affected netting sets to assess potential risk measurement impact

Enter a question...

