

# Ionized winds driven away from black holes (SPEX/PION thread)

Junjie Mao

Latest update: May 26, 2024

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Context</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Overview</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Preparation</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Target bio</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Step-by-step guide</b>	<b>2</b>
5.1	Read and plot the spectrum . . . . .	2
5.2	Model set-up . . . . .	2
5.2.1	Continuum . . . . .	2
5.2.2	Explore the Galactic absorption model . . . . .	3

## 1 Context

Ionized winds driven away from black holes across different mass scales have been observed with X-ray and UV grating instruments (e.g., HST/COS, XMM-Newton/RGS, Chandra/HETGS, and Chandra/LETGS) over the past two decades. We still have quite some gaps in our understanding of these ionized winds, such as their origin, structure, and impact on the evolution of black holes, circumnuclear media, and host galaxies.

## 2 Overview

In this project, we will use the *pion* (photoionization equilibrium) plasma model in SPEX to measure the physical properties (e.g., hydrogen column density, ionization parameter, wind velocity) of the ionized winds.

For teaching and learning, we use a simulated Chandra ACIS-S/MEG 1st-order spectrum (exposure: 100 ks). We also use the old atomic data (“var calc old” in SPEX, default) for

fast calculations. When dealing with real observed data, one should use the new atomic data “var calc new” in SPEX). You can learn more about the setting [here](#).

Notice: To maximize the learning outcomes, follow this thread step-by-step. Write a written document (scientific writing) with proper text, tables, and figures. All the questions should be answered.

### 3 Preparation

Install SPEX and download all the files in the [cloud drive](#)

- Spectral data files bhiw\_amo1.spo and inst\_amo1.res

### 4 Target bio

Our target black hole is called PG J2024-0820 at a cosmological redshift of 0.01158. The line of sight Galactic hydrogen column density is  $8.5 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ .

### 5 Step-by-step guide

Hint: The [log](#) command in SPEX can be quite useful throughout the entire exercise.

#### 5.1 Read and plot the spectrum

Read the data into SPEX and plot the spectrum in the wavelength-flux space ( $\text{\AA}$  for the X-axis and  $\text{Counts s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  for the Y-axis). You can also plot the spectrum in the energy-flux space (keV for the X-axis and  $\text{Counts s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ keV}^{-1}$  for the Y-axis). The documentation of these SPEX commands might be useful: [data](#), [ignore](#), [obtin](#), [plot](#) and the [plotting reference](#).

Question: What are the disadvantages of plotting the spectrum in units of  $\text{Counts s}^{-1} \text{ \AA}^{-1}$  or  $\text{Counts s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1}$ ?

#### 5.2 Model set-up

Several groups of spectral models are typically required to analyze ionized winds running away from black holes. The first two model components are cosmological redshift ([reds](#)) and Galactic absorption ([hot](#)). Both these two models are multiplicative models. Don't forget to set the redshift value and Galactic hydrogen column density properly.

##### 5.2.1 Continuum

Then, we need to set up the continuum spectral model components. Ignoring the data above  $5 \text{ \AA}$  (i.e.,  $\lesssim 2.5 \text{ keV}$ ) and plot the spectrum again. We found that the continuum follows a power-law shape. Therefore, we add a power-law component ([pow](#)), which is an

additive model. Using the *comp* command to set the relationships among the (additive and multiplicative) model components properly, i.e.

$$hot \times reds \times pow \tag{1}$$

To check the set-up of model components and relations, one can use the command “model show”.

### 5.2.2 Explore the Galactic absorption model

In the step above (Sct. 5.2.1), you might wonder if you can switch the order of *hot* and *reds*? Let’s explore the Galactic absorption model by plotting the model (Eq. 1) spectra (“plot type model” in SPEX) in the wavelength-flux space.

List the top three absorption lines (incl., wavelength). Tip: A spreadsheet (online or not) might be particularly useful for many reasons.

Zoom in to the 6 – 8 Å wavelength range where a prominent absorption line can be found. Use the *par* command to reset the value of the redshift component (*reds*) to 0.1 temporarily and switch the order of the two multiplicative models in Eq. 1. Tip: The set up is only effective after the “calc” command.

Question: How to interpret the difference between the two sets of component relations? Hint: What is the wavelength difference before and after switching the order? What is the relation to the temporary redshift value?

Let’s reset the *reds* value to 0.01158 and further explore the Galactic absorption model. Plot and compare the absorbed power-law model spectra in the wavelength-flux space with different Galactic column densities  $N_H = 1.0 \times 10^{19}, 5.0 \times 10^{19}, 1.0 \times 10^{20}, \dots, 5.0 \times 10^{23}, 1.0 \times 10^{24} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ .

Use the “plot adum ... ” command to dump the plot in an ascii file. Use python or similar to show multiple absorbed power-law spectra in one figure. Summarize the trend of the absorption feature with increasing  $N_H$ .

While the redshift component (*reds*) has been set up so far, the target distance has not been set via the *dist* command (the default is  $10^{22}$  m). Once the distance is set properly, the flux and luminosity in a given energy band are meaningful (to change the energy band, one can use the *elim* command).

Question: How did the 0.5 – 10 keV flux and luminosity change before and after setting the distance properly? Calculate the flux and luminosity relation, does it follow the inverse distance-square relation exactly? If not, why?