Message Passing Programming coursework: optimization of percolate v0.1.0 using a 2d domain decomposition with MPI

Abstract

Keywords: Scientific programming, benchmark, parallelization, performance optimization, MPI

1. Introduction

percolate v0.1.0 is a scientific program written in the Fortran programming language. It generates a random matrix with two kinds of cells: empty and filled. Empty cells build clusters with their neighboring empty cells. percolate computes all clusters in the matrix and searches for a cluster that makes the matrix percolate. The matrix percolates, if there exits a cluster that begins in the left most column of the matrix and ends in the right most column.

The computing of the clusters—the clustering—is done iteratively and is the main cause of computation in percolate. If the clustering is done in a serial way, it does not scale well and clustering bigger matrices can consume a lot of time and power.

This paper presents a parallelized version of percolate. The parallel version decomposes the matrix into smaller chunks and distributes them among worker instances. Every worker performs the clustering of its chunk and communicates with the neighboring worker instances through halo swapping, which ensures that the whole matrix is clustered. Chunks are generated by splitting the matrix on both axes. This makes it a 2d domain decomposition of the matrix. The parallel version is based on MPI and the worker instances are MPI processes (see Message Passing Interface Forum, 2015).

First, this paper describes the clustering algorithm used by percolate and the way percolate is parallelized using the MPI library. The parallel version's correctness is tested by a regression test suite, which is briefly outlined. Afterwards a benchmark is presented, which analyzes the scaling behavior of the parallel version over multiple amounts of MPI processes and with different sized matrices. The results of the benchmark are discussed and a conclusion is drawn.

2. Method

This chapter presents a mathematical definition of the clustering algorithm used by percolate. The poor running time of the serial version of the clustering algorithm is shown. Afterwards the parallelized version of the clustering is described and a brief outline of the

regression test suite for testing the correctness of the parallel version is given. At last, this chapter presents the benchmark, that is discussed in the following chapters.

2.1 Mathematical definition of the clustering algorithm used by percolate

Let $A \in \mathbb{N}_0^{n \times n}$ be the matrix that is clustered by percolate. Let A(i,j); $1 \le i,j \le n$ be the element at the *i*th row and *j*th column of A. An element from A has a state. It is either empty or filled. percolate randomly initializes A with empty and filled elements. The density of the filled elements ρ_{goal} can be defined as a parameter, which is approximated during initialization. n, as well, is provided as a parameter to percolate.

Let $\sigma: \mathbb{N}_0 \to \{empty, filled\}$ be a function mapping a non-negative integer to its state:

$$\sigma(x) := \begin{cases} filled & \text{if } x = 0\\ empty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Proposition 1 Let $x, y \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For every $x : \sigma(x) = empty$, follows: x > y, if $\sigma(y) = filled$.

Proof There exits no smaller non-negative integer than 0. $\sigma(y) = filled$, only for y = 0. Therefore, every number x, for which $\sigma(x) = empty$, must be bigger than y.

Let μ be the function that returns the maximum value of an element and its neighbors:

$$\mu(A, i, j) := \max(A(i, j), A(i - 1, j), A(i + 1, j), A(i, j - 1), A(i, j + 1)).$$

For now, if i or j equals 0 or n+1 (in other words, if i or j violate the boundaries of A), then A(i,j) will return 0.

Proposition 2 If $\sigma(A(i,j)) = empty$, than $\sigma(\mu(A,i,j)) = empty$ as well.

Proof $\mu(A, i, j)$ returns the maximum of the element A(i, j) and its neighbors, wherefore $\mu(A, i, j) \geq A(i, j)$. From Proposition 1 follows, that every number $y \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \sigma(y) = filled$ must be less than A(i, j), if $\sigma(A(i, j)) = empty$.

With Proposition 2, we can now safely derive a recursive definition of the clustering operation (because μ will never change the state of an empty cell to filled). First, let $c_{step}: \mathbb{N}_0^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{N}_0^{n \times n}$ be a single clustering step, that maps every empty element of A to its biggest neighbor and leaves filled elements untouched:

$$c_{step}(A) := i, j = 1, \dots, n : \begin{cases} \mu(A, i, j) & \text{if } \sigma(A(i, j)) = empty \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The clustering operation c of percolate can now be defined as a recursive function, that executes c_{step} , as long as it continues to change empty elements to their biggest neighbor:

$$c(A) := \begin{cases} A & \text{if } c_{step}(A) = A \\ c(c_{step}(A)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Imagine the case where for every element $\sigma(A(i,j)) = filled$ follows, that $\mu(A,i,j) = A(i,j)$. This would make c(A) call c_{step} just a single time, resulting in the best case running time of c: $\Omega(c) = n^2$, which—for bigger n—is still quite slow, even though it is the best case.

After the clustering, it is easy to check whether the matrix percolates. The matrix percolates, if $\exists i, \exists j : A(i,1) = A(j,n)$. In other words, if any element in the first column has the same value as any element in the last column of A, the matrix percolates.

2.2 Parallelized version of percolate using MPI

To tackle the poor performance of the clustering algorithm, percolate was parallelized using the MPI Standard, version 3.1 (see Message Passing Interface Forum, 2015).

Let p be the amount of MPI processes the parallel version of **percolate** is executed with. Every process has a rank, which is drawn from a sequence $0, 1, \ldots, p-1$. The process with rank 0 is called the root process.

The processes are arranged in a virtual, two dimensional Cartesian topology. The p processes are as evenly distributed as possible over both axes of the topology with the MPI_Dims_create routine. MPI_Dims_create returns an array dims with two elements, one for each axis. $dims_{row}$ contains the amount of processes the rows of A are split by, $dims_{column}$ the amount of processes the columns of A are split by. After generating the dimensions of the topology, MPI_Cart_create is used to generate the actual communicator that manages the virtual topology with MPI_Comm_World (see Message Passing Interface Forum, 2015, Chapter 7).

The previous chapter states, that A(i,j)=0, if i or j equals 0 or n+1 (i or j out of bounds). The actual clustering of percolate behaves differently. A(i,j)=0, only if j is out of bounds. If i is out of bounds, then a periodic boundary condition is used: A(0,j)=A(n,j), A(n+1,j)=A(1,j). This condition is easily implemented with MPI_Cart_create, which actually has an argument periods. periods is an array with two elements (for each axis) containing boolean values, whether an axis of the topology is periodic or not (see Message Passing Interface Forum, 2015, Chapter 7).

The root process initializes A, which needs to be distributed to the MPI processes. Every process has coordinates in the topology, based on its rank. The coordinates of a process come from the MPI_Cart_coords routine and are a representation of the place of the process in the two dimensional Cartesian topology (see Message Passing Interface Forum, 2015, Chapter 7).

Let $coords_{p_i}$ be the coordinates of the process with rank p_i and let $coords_{p_i,row}$ be the coordinates' value for the first axis and $coords_{p_i,column}$ be its value for the second axis of the topology. coords behaves like the ranks, ranging from 0 to $dims_{row} - 1$ and 0 to $dims_{column} - 1$, respectively (see Message Passing Interface Forum, 2015, Chapter 7).

The chunk of A, which is assigned to the process with rank p_i can now be defined by a tuple $\alpha_{p_i} := (i, j, l, m)$. i and j are the indices of A, which point to the first element of the chunk, while l is the amount of rows and m the amount of columns the chunk possesses. Let $s_{row} := \lfloor \frac{n}{dims_{row}} \rfloor$ and $s_{column} := \lfloor \frac{n}{dims_{column}} \rfloor$ be the general size of the splits of A for both axes. With use of these split values and coords and dims, we can define i, j, l and m:

$$\begin{split} i &:= coords_{p_i,row} \cdot s_{row} + 1 \\ j &:= coords_{p_i,column} \cdot s_{column} + 1 \\ l &:= \begin{cases} s_{row} + n \mod dims_{row} & \text{if } coords_{p_i,row} = dims_{row} - 1 \\ s_{row} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ m &:= \begin{cases} s_{column} + n \mod dims_{column} & \text{if } coords_{p_i,column} = dims_{column} - 1 \\ s_{column} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

For both axes of A, every process has a chunk of the same size, except the processes, which coordinates' value for the equivalent axis in the topology is the highest possible value for it $(dims_{row} - 1 \text{ or } dims_{column} - 1)$. The last process has a chunk of the same size as the other processes, plus the rest of the elements of A among that axis.

l and m are needed in order to generate a strided vector type with MPI_Type_vector, which is used for sending the chunk from the root process to p_i . In this case, the type for sending p_i 's chunk would be generated with setting count to m, blocklength to l and stride to n (see Message Passing Interface Forum, 2015, Chapter 4).

In order to insure correctness of the result, the first axis of the topology can not contain more elements than n ($dims_{row} \leq n$), because this axis uses a periodic boundary condition. If $dim_{row} > n$, than $s_{row} = 0$. That means, only the processes with $coords_{row} = dims_{row} - 1$ would contain elements and all other processes would contain empty chunks, because $l = s_{row} = 0$. If not for the periodic boundary condition, this would only endanger the performance of percolate, not its correctness. But during halo swapping, a process with $coords_{row} = 0$ would receive its lower halo from a process with $coords_{row} = dims_{row} - 1$, which means they are lost to the processes not containing empty chunks (which should actually have themselves as lower and upper neighbor), making the periodic boundary condition non-periodic.

Therefore, the Cartesian communicator truncates $dim_{row} = n$, if $dim_{row} > n$, which means there are n processes among the first axis of the topology, each containing a chunk which is the subset of a single row of A. Because empty processes only produce an overhead of communication and therefore endanger performance, the same truncation happens for dim_{column} .

- 2.3 Regression test suite for testing the correctness of the parallel version of percolate
- 2.4 The benchmark discussed in the following chapters
- 3. Results
- 4. Discussion
- 5. Conclusion

References

Message Passing Interface Forum. MPI: A Message-Passing Interface Standard Version 3.1. Technical report, 2015. URL https://www.mpi-forum.org/docs/mpi-3.1/mpi31-report.pdf.