Bayesian Econometrics Tutorial 05 - Bayesian VAR Models

Tutor: Richard Schnorrenberger richard.schn@stat-econ.uni-kiel.de

Institute for Statistics and Econometrics Kiel University

Winter Term 2024/25

Bayesian VAR Models

- The Vector Autoregressive (VAR) model is the most popular tool in empirical macroeconomics for policy analysis and forecasting.
- VAR models are regression models with many equations where the dependent variables depend on their own past values and also on lags of all other variables.
- VAR models tend to be overparameterized: sample information alone is not sufficient to obtain precise estimates.
- Bayesian solution to VARs: shrinkage of posterior estimates towards prior choices.
- ▶ The literature on Bayesian VARs allows us to work out Big Data issues and add interesting features such as multivariate stochastic volatility and time-varying parameters.

Review the Concepts and Proofs

Bayesian VAR Models:

- 1. Why is shrinkage particularly important for VAR models?
- 2. What are the marginal distributions of a Normal-inverse Wishart distribution?
- 3. Explain the specification of the Minnesota prior.
- Explain the fictitious sample interpretation of the natural conjugate prior for normally distributed VAR models.
- **5.** In what respect in the natural conjugate prior more restrictive than the independent Normal-Wishart prior? Give an example.
- 6. How can you find a symmetric 90 percent H step ahead forecast interval for a VAR model that is estimated (a) with a natural conjugate prior and (b) with an independent Normal-Wishart prior?

1. Consider the M-dimensional VAR(p) model

$$y_t = a_0 + A_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + A_p y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t, \qquad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

with $\varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ and $\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s) = 0$ for $t \neq s$.

(a) Show that the VAR(p) model can be cast in matrix representation

$$Y = XA + E$$

and in vector representation

$$y = \mathbf{X}\alpha + \varepsilon$$
.

Find the dimensions of the above vectors and matrices.

Transpose the VAR(p) model to obtain

$$y'_t = a'_0 + y'_{t-1}A'_1 + \dots + y'_{t-\rho}A'_{\rho} + \varepsilon'_t = [1, y'_{t-1}, \dots, y'_{t-\rho}] \begin{pmatrix} a'_0 \\ A'_1 \\ \vdots \\ A'_{\rho} \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon'_t = x_t A + \varepsilon'_t$$

with the $(pM+1) \times M$ matrix $A = [a_0, A_1, \dots, A_p]'$ and the $1 \times (pM+1)$ vector $x_t = [1, y'_{t-1}, \dots, y'_{t-p}]$. Stacking all observations $t = 1, \dots, T$ yields the matrix representation

$$Y=XA+E,$$

where $Y = [y_1, \ldots, y_T]'$ is $T \times M$, $X = [x_1', \ldots, x_T']'$ is $T \times (pM+1)$, and $E = [\varepsilon_1, \ldots, \varepsilon_T]'$ is $T \times M$.

Note that E is not a vector which is why it has a matrix-variate normal distribution.

To arrive at a vector-variate normal distribution (the one we know), we vectorize the system:

$$\operatorname{vec}(Y) = \operatorname{vec}(XA) + \operatorname{vec}(E) = (I_M \otimes X) \operatorname{vec}(A) + \operatorname{vec}(E),$$

where we use the rule $\operatorname{vec}(QPR) = (R' \otimes Q) \operatorname{vec}(P)$ for matrices Q, P, and R of appropriate dimensions. Defining the $TM \times 1$ vector $y = \operatorname{vec}(Y)$, the $TM \times (pM^2 + M)$ matrix $\mathbf{X} = I_M \otimes X$, the $(pM^2 + M) \times 1$ vector $\alpha = \operatorname{vec}(A)$, and the $TM \times 1$ vector $\varepsilon = \operatorname{vec}(E)$ yields the vector representation

$$y = \mathbf{X}\alpha + \varepsilon.$$

1. Consider the *M*-dimensional VAR(p) model

$$y_t = a_0 + A_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + A_{\rho} y_{t-\rho} + \varepsilon_t, \qquad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

with
$$\varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$$
 and $\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s) = 0$ for $t \neq s$.

(b) Find the distribution of ε .

Note that ε is the $TM \times 1$ vector

$$\varepsilon = \mathsf{vec}(E) = [\varepsilon_{11}, \dots, \varepsilon_{1T}, \varepsilon_{21}, \dots, \varepsilon_{2T}, \dots, \varepsilon_{M1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{MT}]'.$$

Defining $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i = [\varepsilon_{i1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{iT}]'$ as the vector of all T disturbances of equation $i = 1, \dots, M$ of the VAR, we can write equivalently

$$\varepsilon = [\tilde{\varepsilon}'_1, \dots, \tilde{\varepsilon}'_M]'.$$

Since each element of ε is normally distributed, ε is also normally distributed. It has mean zero because each element has mean zero.

To find the variance, first note that disturbances from different time periods are uncorrelated which is why

$$\mathsf{E}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_i\tilde{\varepsilon}_i')=\sigma_{ii}I_T$$

where σ_{ij} is the row i, column j element of Σ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Var}(\varepsilon) &= \mathsf{E} \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{\varepsilon}_M \end{pmatrix} [\tilde{\varepsilon}_1', \dots, \tilde{\varepsilon}_M'] \end{bmatrix} = \mathsf{E} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \tilde{\varepsilon}_1' & \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \tilde{\varepsilon}_2' & \cdots & \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 \tilde{\varepsilon}_M' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{\varepsilon}_M \tilde{\varepsilon}_1' & \tilde{\varepsilon}_M \tilde{\varepsilon}_2' & \cdots & \tilde{\varepsilon}_M \tilde{\varepsilon}_M' \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{11} I_T & \sigma_{12} I_T & \cdots & \sigma_{1M} I_T \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{M1} I_T & \sigma_{M2} I_T & \cdots & \sigma_{MM} I_T \end{bmatrix} = \Sigma \otimes I_T. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma \otimes I_T)$.

1. Consider the M-dimensional VAR(p) model

$$y_t = a_0 + A_1 y_{t-1} + \cdots + A_\rho y_{t-\rho} + \varepsilon_t, \qquad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

with $\varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ and $\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s) = 0$ for $t \neq s$.

(c) Show that the joint pdf of y (conditional on p pre-sample observations y_0, \ldots, y_{1-p}) can be written as

$$f(y|\alpha, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{T}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_T)(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)\right\}.$$

To this end, use an appropriate recursive factorization of the joint distribution in conditionals and marginals.

To obtain the joint pdf of $y = \text{vec}([y_1, \dots, y_T]')$, we use the conditional-marginal factorization

$$f(y|y_{1-p},...,y_0) = f(y_T|\mathcal{I}_{T-1}) \cdot f(y_{T-1}|\mathcal{I}_{T-2}) \cdot ... \cdot f(y_1|\mathcal{I}_0)$$

$$= \prod_{t=1}^T f(y_t|\mathcal{I}_{t-1})$$
(1)

where \mathcal{I}_{t-1} is the information set of period t-1.

To find $f(y_t|\mathcal{I}_{t-1})$, note that the conditional mean of y_t given the past is

$$\mathsf{E}(y_t|\mathcal{I}_{t-1}) = \mathsf{E}(y_t|y_{t-1},\ldots,y_{t-p}) = \mathsf{a}_0 + A_1y_{t-1} + \cdots + A_py_{t-p} = A'x_t'$$

and the conditional variance is

$$\mathsf{Var}(y_t|\mathcal{I}_{t-1}) = \mathsf{Var}(y_t|y_{t-1},\ldots,y_{t-p}) = \mathsf{Var}(\varepsilon_t) = \Sigma.$$

Also note that y_t is, conditional on the past, a linear function of the normally distributed random vector ε_t . Hence, it is also conditionally normally distributed. Taken together, we have found that $y_t | \mathcal{I}_{t-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(A'x_t', \Sigma)$ with pdf

$$f(y_t|\mathcal{I}_{t-1}) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(y_t - A'x_t')'\Sigma^{-1}(y_t - A'x_t')\right].$$
 (2)

Substituting (2) into (1) yields

$$f(y|\alpha, \Sigma) \propto \prod_{t=1}^{T} \left\{ |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} (y_t - A'x_t')' \Sigma^{-1} (y_t - A'x_t') \right] \right\}$$

$$\propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{T}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - A'x_t')' \Sigma^{-1} (y_t - A'x_t') \right]. \tag{3}$$

where we neglect y_0, \ldots, y_{1-p} in the condition set but add $\alpha = \text{vec}(A)$ and Σ to stress the dependence on these parameters.

It is convenient to express the joint pdf in a form without a summation. To this end, note that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - A'x_t')' \Sigma^{-1} (y_t - A'x_t') \; = \; \sum_{t=1}^T \text{tr} \left[(y_t - A'x_t')' \Sigma^{-1} (y_t - A'x_t') \right]$$

because it is scalar. Using the rule tr(PQR) = tr(RPQ) for matrices P, Q, R of appropriate dimensions, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - A'x_t')' \Sigma^{-1} (y_t - A'x_t') &= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \operatorname{tr} \left[(y_t - A'x_t')(y_t - A'x_t')' \Sigma^{-1} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{tr} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - A'x_t')(y_t - A'x_t')' \Sigma^{-1} \right]. \end{split}$$

Now note that $\sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - A'x_t')(y_t - A'x_t')' = (Y - XA)'(Y - XA)$ which allows us to write

$$\operatorname{tr}\left[\sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - A'x_t')(y_t - A'x_t')'\Sigma^{-1}\right] = \operatorname{tr}\left[(Y - XA)'(Y - XA)\Sigma^{-1}\right].$$

Substituting this into (3) yields

$$f(y|\alpha, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{T}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[(Y - XA)'(Y - XA)\Sigma^{-1}\right]\right\}. \tag{4}$$

While (4) is a perfectly sufficient solution to this question, is convenient for subsequent questions to further transform this expression. To this end, apply the rule $\operatorname{tr}(PQR) = \operatorname{vec}(P')'(R' \otimes I)\operatorname{vec}(Q)$ for matrices P, Q, R of appropriate dimensions, which yields

$$\operatorname{tr}\left[(Y - XA)'(Y - XA)\Sigma^{-1}\right] = \operatorname{vec}(Y - XA)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{T}})\operatorname{vec}(Y - XA)$$
$$= (y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{T}})(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha).$$

Substituting this into the joint pdf yields

$$f(y|\alpha, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{T}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_T)(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)\right\}.$$
 (5)

1. Consider the M-dimensional VAR(p) model

$$y_t = a_0 + A_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + A_p y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t, \qquad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

with $\varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ and $\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s) = 0$ for $t \neq s$.

(d) (*) Find the distribution of y (conditional on p pre-sample observations y_0, \ldots, y_{1-p}). To this end, apply the transformation technique

$$f(y) = \left| \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial y'} \right| f_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon)$$

and use without proof $\left|\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial v'}\right|=1$.

(e) (*) Show that the likelihood of the VAR(p) model has a Normal-Wishart structure.

To preview the results, in the following we show that likelihood

$$f(y|\alpha, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{T}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{T}})(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)\right\}.$$
 (6)

can be interpreted as the kernel of a Normal-Wishart distribution where

$$\alpha | \Sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\alpha}, \Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1})$$

and

$$\Sigma \sim iW(S, T - pM - M - 2)$$

with $S = (Y - X\hat{A})'(Y - X\hat{A})$, $\hat{A} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y$ and $\hat{\alpha} = \text{vec}(\hat{A})$. For the definition of the Wishart and inverse Wishart distributions, see Appendix.

To prove this claim is a bit tedious (which is why it is omitted in the lecture). However, in principle it works as in the simple linear regression model. We proceed as follows:

- 1. Conjecture that α is, conditional on Σ , normally distributed with mean m_{α} and variance V_{α} , i.e., $\alpha | \Sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(m_{\alpha}, V_{\alpha})$. Compare the pdf of this distribution with the kernel of the likelihood function to determine m_{α} and V_{α} .
- Factorize the likelihood function into the normal pdf and a remainder term.Calculate this remainder term.
- 3. Conjecture that Σ is inverse Wishart distributed with parameters S and ν , i.e., $\Sigma \sim iW(S,\nu)$. Compare the pdf of this distribution and the remainder term to determine S and ν .

- 2. Consider the VAR(p) model (1). Find the posterior distribution of α and Σ if you use the improper prior $f(\alpha, \Sigma) = |\Sigma|^{-\frac{M+\phi}{2}}$.
- 3. Consider the VAR(p) model (1). Find the posterior distribution of α if you use the Minnesota prior $\Sigma = \hat{\Sigma}$ and $\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{V}_M)$.
- 4. Consider the VAR(p) model (1). Find the posterior distribution of α and Σ if you use the natural conjugate prior $\Sigma \sim iW(\underline{S},\underline{\nu})$ and $\alpha|\Sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\alpha},\Sigma \otimes \underline{V})$.
- 5. Consider the VAR(p) model (1). Show that the following two estimation procedures yield the same posterior distributions of α and Σ : Applying the natural conjugate prior $\Sigma \sim iW(\underline{S},\underline{\nu})$ and $\alpha|\Sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\alpha},\Sigma \otimes \underline{V})$ to the data Y and X, or applying the diffuse prior $f(\alpha,\Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{M+1}{2}}$ to the augmented data

$$Y_* = \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Y_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_* = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ X_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_* = \begin{pmatrix} E \\ E_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where Y_0 and X_0 contain \mathcal{T}_0 fictitious observations chosen to satisfy the conditions (C.1) $\underline{V} = (X_0'X_0)^{-1}$, (C.2)

$$\underline{\alpha} = \text{vec}(\underline{A}) = \text{vec}(\hat{A}_0) \equiv \text{vec}[(X_0'X_0)^{-1}X_0'Y_0], \text{ and (C.3)}$$

 $S = (Y_0 - X_0\hat{A}_0)'(Y_0 - X_0\hat{A}_0).$

The joint prior pdf is

$$f(\alpha, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{M+\phi}{2}}.$$
 (7)

The likelihood is

$$f(y|\alpha, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{T}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_T)(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)\right\}.$$
 (8)

Multiplying the prior (7) with the likelihood (8) yields the posterior:

$$f(\alpha, \Sigma | y) \propto f(y | \alpha, \Sigma) f(\alpha, \Sigma)$$

$$\propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{T + M + \phi}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\left[(y - \mathbf{X} \alpha)' (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{T}) (y - \mathbf{X} \alpha) \right]}_{=\kappa} \right\}. \tag{9}$$

This loosely resembles the product of a normal and an inverse Wishart distribution.

To further work this out, expand κ so that the quadratic form in α becomes clearer (also substitute $\mathbf{X} = (I_M \otimes X)$):

$$\kappa = y'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{\mathcal{T}})y - 2y'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{\mathcal{T}})\boldsymbol{X}\alpha + \alpha'\boldsymbol{X}'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{\mathcal{T}})\boldsymbol{X}\alpha$$

$$= y'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{\mathcal{T}})y - 2y'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X)\alpha + \alpha'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X'X)\alpha.$$
 (10)

Substituting this back into (9) and separating into two exponential functions (one that contains α and Σ and one that contains only Σ) yields

$$f(\alpha, \Sigma | y) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{T+M+\phi}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[y'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{T}) y \right] \right\} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\alpha'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X'X) \alpha - 2y'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X) \alpha \right] \right\}.$$
(11)

Let us conjecture that (11) can be written as $f(\alpha, \Sigma|y) = f(\alpha|y, \Sigma)f(\Sigma|y)$, where $f(\alpha|y, \Sigma)$ is a normal pdf with posterior mean $\bar{\alpha}$ and posterior variance matrix $\Sigma \otimes \bar{V}$ that need to be determined. This pdf is

$$f(\alpha|y,\Sigma) \propto |\Sigma \otimes \bar{V}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\alpha - \bar{\alpha})' (\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \bar{V}^{-1}) (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \right]$$

$$\propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{Mp+1}{2}} |\bar{V}|^{-\frac{M}{2}} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left[\alpha'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \bar{V}^{-1})\alpha - 2\bar{\alpha}'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \bar{V}^{-1})\alpha + \bar{\alpha}'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \bar{V}^{-1})\bar{\alpha}\right]\right\}. \tag{12}$$

Comparison of (11) and (12) shows that $\Sigma^{-1}\otimes ar V^{-1}=\Sigma^{-1}\otimes X'X$ and thus

$$\bar{V} = (X'X)^{-1}.$$
 (13)

In addition, $\bar{lpha}'(\Sigma^{-1}\otimes ar{V}^{-1})=y'(\Sigma^{-1}\otimes X)$ and thus

$$\bar{\alpha}' = y'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X)(\Sigma \otimes \bar{V}) = y'(I_M \otimes X\bar{V})$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\alpha} = (I_M \otimes X'\bar{V})y = (I_M \otimes X'(X'X)^{-1})y$$
(14)

or, equivalently,

$$\bar{A} = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y = \hat{A}.$$
 (16)

Hence, we have found that $\alpha | \Sigma, y \sim \mathcal{N}(\text{vec}(\hat{A}), \Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1})$.

In a final step, let us find

$$f(\Sigma|y) = \frac{f(\alpha, \Sigma|y)}{f(\alpha|y, \Sigma)}.$$

Substituting (11) and (12) yields

$$\begin{split} f(\Sigma|y) &\propto \frac{|\Sigma|^{-\frac{T+M+\phi}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[y'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{T})y\right]\right\}}{|\Sigma|^{-\frac{M\phi+1}{2}}|\bar{V}|^{-\frac{M}{2}}} \\ &\times \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X'X)\alpha - 2y'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes X)\alpha\right]\right\}}{\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \bar{V}^{-1})\alpha - 2\bar{\alpha}'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \bar{V}^{-1})\alpha + \bar{\alpha}'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \bar{V}^{-1})\bar{\alpha}\right]\right\}} \end{split}$$

Note that, by construction of $\bar{\alpha}$ and \bar{V} , the first two additive parts (the one that is quadratic in α and the one that is linear in α) in the exponential functions are identical and thus cancel out. This yields

$$f(\Sigma|y) \propto \frac{|\Sigma|^{-\frac{T+M+\phi}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[y'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_{T})y\right]\right\}}{|\Sigma|^{-\frac{M\rho+1}{2}}|\bar{V}|^{-\frac{M}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{\alpha}'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \bar{V}^{-1})\bar{\alpha}\right]\right\}}.$$
(17)

Leaving out \bar{V} which is not a function of α or Σ , and noting that $y'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes I_T)y = \operatorname{tr}(Y'Y\Sigma^{-1})$ and $\bar{\alpha}'(\Sigma^{-1} \otimes \bar{V}^{-1})\bar{\alpha} = \operatorname{tr}(\bar{A}'\bar{V}^{-1}\bar{A}\Sigma^{-1})$, this can be simplified to

$$f(\Sigma|y) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{T+M+\phi-\rho M-1}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}\left[(Y'Y - \bar{A}'\bar{V}^{-1}\bar{A})\Sigma^{-1}\right]\right\}. \tag{18}$$

This is the kernel of an inverse Wishart pdf with parameters

$$\bar{\nu} = T + \phi - pM - 2. \tag{19}$$

and (using $M_X = I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$)

$$\bar{S} = Y'Y - \bar{A}'\bar{V}^{-1}\bar{A} = Y'Y - Y'X(X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}X'Y$$

= $Y'M_XY = (Y - X\hat{A})'(Y - X\hat{A}).$

Hence, we have found that $\Sigma | y \sim iW((Y - X\hat{A})'(Y - X\hat{A}), T + \phi - pM - 2)$.

The Minnesota prior sets $\Sigma = \hat{\Sigma}$. Hence, we only need to estimate α . The prior $\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{V}_M)$ implies the pdf kernel

$$f(\alpha) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \underline{\alpha})' \underline{V}_{M}^{-1}(\alpha - \underline{\alpha})\right]$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha' \underline{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha - 2\underline{\alpha}' \underline{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha + \underline{\alpha}' \underline{V}_{M}^{-1}\underline{\alpha}\right]\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha' \underline{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha - 2\underline{\alpha}' \underline{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha\right]\right\},$$
(20)

where we leave out any factor that is not a function of α .

Since $\Sigma = \hat{\Sigma}$ is treated as known, the likelihood reduces to

$$f(y|\alpha) \propto |\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}|^{-\frac{T}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \otimes I_{T})(y - \mathbf{X}\alpha)\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[y'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \otimes I_{T})y - 2y'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \otimes I_{T})\mathbf{X}\alpha + \alpha'\mathbf{X}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \otimes I_{T})\mathbf{X}\alpha\right]\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha'\mathbf{X}'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \otimes I_{T})\mathbf{X}\alpha - 2y'(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}^{-1} \otimes I_{T})\mathbf{X}\alpha\right]\right\}$$

where we leave out again any factor that is not a function of α . Substituting $\mathbf{X} = (I_M \otimes X)$ yields

$$f(y|\alpha) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha'(I_{M} \otimes X')(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes I_{T})(I_{M} \otimes X)\alpha - 2y'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes I_{T})(I_{M} \otimes X)\alpha\right]\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X'X)\alpha - 2y'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X)\alpha\right]\right\}$$
(21)

Combining prior (20) and likelihood (21) yields the posterior

$$f(\alpha|y) \propto f(y|\alpha)f(\alpha)$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X'X)\alpha + \alpha'\underline{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha - 2y'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X)\alpha - 2\underline{\alpha'}\underline{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha\right]\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X'X + \underline{V}_{M}^{-1})\alpha - 2\left(y'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X) + \underline{\alpha'}\underline{V}_{M}^{-1}\right)\alpha\right]\right\}.$$
(22)

Since this resembles the kernel of a normal distribution, let us conjecture that the posterior is a normal with mean $\bar{\alpha}$ and variance matrix \bar{V}_M that have to be determined. This distribution has pdf

$$f(\alpha|y) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})'\bar{V}_{M}^{-1}(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha'\bar{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha - 2\bar{\alpha}'\bar{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha + \bar{\alpha}'\bar{V}_{M}^{-1}\bar{\alpha}\right]\right\}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\alpha'\bar{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha - 2\bar{\alpha}'\bar{V}_{M}^{-1}\alpha\right]\right\}.$$
(23)

Comparison of (22) and (23) shows that $ar V_M^{-1}=\hat \Sigma^{-1}\otimes X'X+\underline V_M^{-1}$ and thus

$$\bar{V}_M = (\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X'X + \underline{V}_M^{-1})^{-1}. \tag{24}$$

The comparison also shows that $\bar{\alpha}'\bar{V}_M^{-1}=y'(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1}\otimes X)+\underline{\alpha}'\underline{V}_M^{-1}$ and thus

$$\bar{\alpha} = \bar{V}_M[(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X')y + \underline{V}_M^{-1}\underline{\alpha}].$$

Using
$$(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X')y = (\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X'X)(I_M \otimes (X'X)^{-1}X')y = (\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X'X) \operatorname{vec}[(X'X)^{-1}X'Y] = (\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X'X)\hat{\alpha}$$
,

it can also be written as

$$\bar{\alpha} = \bar{V}_M[(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \otimes X'X)\hat{\alpha} + \underline{V}_M^{-1}\underline{\alpha}], \tag{25}$$

which demonstrates that $\bar{\alpha}$ is a weighted average of the OLS estimator and the prior mean.

Taken together, we have found that the posterior distribution of α is normal with mean $\bar{\alpha}$ given in (25) and variance \bar{V}_M given in (24).

Computer-Based Exercise: BVAR with the Minnesota prior

Use the data set **US_macrodata.xlsx** to estimate a Bayesian VAR with the Minnesota prior, where the general M-dimensional VAR(p) model is considered:

$$y_t = a_0 + A_1 y_{t-1} + \cdots + A_p y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t, \qquad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

with $\varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$ and $\mathsf{E}(\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_s) = 0$ for $t \neq s$.

Appendix 1: The Wishart distribution

A good reference is Steven W. Nydick (2012), The Wishart and Inverse Wishart Distributions, downloadable here.

Let H be an $M \times M$ random matrix that follows a Wishart distribution with parameters S and ν , $H \sim W(S, \nu)$. Then it has pdf

$$f_W(H|S,\nu) = c_W^{-1}|S|^{-\frac{\nu}{2}}|H|^{\frac{\nu-M-1}{2}}\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(S^{-1}H)\right],$$

where $c_W=2^{\frac{\nu M}{2}}\pi^{\frac{M(M-1)}{4}}\prod_{i=1}^M\Gamma(\frac{\nu+1-i}{2})$ is an integration constant, $\nu>M-1$ is a scalar parameter, and S is an $M\times M$ symmetric and positive definite scale matrix. The expectation is

$$E(H) = \nu S$$
.

The Wishart distribution is often used as a prior for the precision matrix (=inverse of the variance matrix).

Appendix 1: The inverse Wishart distribution

Let Σ be an $M \times M$ random matrix that follows an inverse Wishart distribution with parameters Ψ and δ , $\Sigma \sim iW(\Psi, \delta)$. Then it has pdf

$$f_{iW}(\Sigma|\Psi,\delta) = c_{iW}^{-1}|\Psi|^{rac{\delta}{2}}|\Sigma|^{-rac{\delta+M+1}{2}} \exp\left[-rac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\Psi\Sigma^{-1})
ight],$$

where $c_{iW}=2^{\frac{\delta M}{2}}\pi^{\frac{M(M-1)}{4}}\prod_{i=1}^{M}\Gamma(\frac{\delta+1-i}{2})$ is an integration constant, $\delta>M-1$ is a scalar parameter, and Ψ is an $M\times M$ symmetric and positive definite scale matrix. The expectation is

$$\mathsf{E}(\Sigma) = \Psi/(\delta - M - 1).$$

The inverse Wishart distribution is often used as a prior for the variance matrix.

Relationship between Wishart and inverse Wishart distribution:

let
$$H \sim W(S, \nu)$$
, then $\Sigma \equiv H^{-1} \sim iW(S^{-1}, \nu)$.

Appendix 2: The matrix variate *t* **distribution**

The $p \times q$ random matrix X has matrix variate t distribution, $X \sim MT(\mu, V, S, \nu)$, if it has pdf

$$f(X) = c_{MT}^{-1}|S|^{\frac{\nu}{2}}|V|^{-\frac{q}{2}}|S + (X - \mu)'V^{-1}(X - \mu)|^{-\frac{\nu+\rho}{2}}$$

where μ is a $p \times q$ symmetric and positive definite matrix, S is a symmetric and positive definite $q \times q$ matrix, V is a $p \times p$ matrix, $\nu > q-1$ is a scalar and

$$c_{MT}=\pi^{rac{
ho q}{2}}\prod_{i=1}^{q}rac{\Gammaig(rac{
u+1-i}{2}ig)}{\Gammaig(rac{
u+
ho+1-i}{2}ig)}.$$

The matrix variate t distribution has mean

$$\mathsf{E}(X) = \mu, \qquad \nu > 1,$$

and variance

$$\mathsf{Var}[\mathsf{vec}(X)] = rac{1}{
u - q - 1} \mathsf{S} \otimes V, \qquad
u > q + 1.$$

Appendix 2: The matrix variate *t* **distribution**

A single element X_{ij} has mean

$$\mathsf{E}(\mathsf{X}_{ij}) = \mu_{ij}, \qquad \nu > 1,$$

and variance

$$\mathsf{Var}(\mathsf{X}_{ij}) = \frac{1}{\nu - q - 1} \mathsf{V}_{ii} \mathsf{S}_{jj}, \qquad \nu > q + 1.$$

Its marginal distribution is the non-standardized t distribution, $X_{ij} \sim t(\mu_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}^2, \nu - q + 1)$, where $\sigma_{ii}^2 = V_{ii}S_{ij}/(\nu - q + 1)$. The standardized element

$$T_{ij} = \frac{X_{ij} - \mu_{ij}}{\sigma_{ij}} = \frac{X_{ij} - \mu_{ij}}{\sqrt{V_{ii}S_{ij}/(\nu - q + 1)}}$$

has student t distribution, i.e., $T_{ij} \sim t(\nu-q+1)$. In a Bayesian context, the matrix variate t distribution appears as a marginal distribution of a normal-inverse Wishart distribution. Suppose

$$\mathsf{vec}(X)|\Sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathsf{vec}(\mu), \Sigma \otimes V), \quad \Sigma \sim iW(S, \nu).$$

Then

$$X \sim MT(\mu, V, S, \nu)$$

Appendix 3: Rules for matrices

Suppose all matrices P, Q, R, and S are of appropriate dimensions. Then

- \circ tr(PQR) = tr(RPQ)