Question 2

Joseph Froelicher

4/23/2021

Part A

```
\begin{split} Y_{ij} &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 treatment_{ij} + \beta_2 time_{ij} + \beta_3 (treatment_{ij} * time_{ij}) + b_i + \epsilon_{ij} \\ * b_i &\sim N(0, \sigma_b^2) \\ * \epsilon_{ij} &\sim N(0, \sigma^2) \\ * Corr(\epsilon_{ij}, \epsilon_{ik}) &= 0 \\ * Corr(b_i, \epsilon_{ij}) &= 0 \end{split}
```

Part B

In the model above, the fixed effects are the treatment, time, and the interaction term of treatment and time, whose coefficients are represented by β_i . The random effects for the model above are the subject ID's, represented by b_i .

Part C

```
##
                        Estimate Std. Error
                                                          t value
                                                                      Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                     80.11214904 0.83882705
                                              37.50971 95.504966 2.147974e-46
## factor(trt)2
                      1.21644032 1.11353140
                                              37.52302
                                                         1.092417 2.816144e-01
## time
                      0.12145189 0.02695975 199.06278
                                                         4.504933 1.130501e-05
## factor(trt)2:time
                      0.03398007 0.03667787 199.15549
                                                        0.926446 3.553356e-01
##
             variance
## Intercept
               10.678
## Residual
                1.212
```

Part D

The estimated variance of the random intercepts is 10.678. The differences between subjects is accounting for 89.81% of variablility in strength, relative to the variability within subjects.

Part E

The fixed effect for the second treatment group is not significant (p > 0.05). However, the fixed effect for the covariate of interest time, is significant (p < 0.001). The average increase in strength for a one day increase is 0.12145. The interaction between linear time measured in days, and treatment group is not significant (p > 0.05).

Part F

The random intercept term, when treatment group is 1, was statistically significant (p < 0.001). The average population-level strength at baseline (time = 0 days) for treatment group 1 was 80.11. This is interpretable, because measurements for baseline were taken at 0 days.

Part G

While it appeared from our plots that there may be a difference between the two treatment groups in strength, nothing in our analysis has suggest a statistically significant difference between the two treatment groups.

Appendix

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE, include = TRUE, warning = FALSE)
library(haven)
library(lmerTest)
data = read_dta('exercise_therapy.dta')
# Part C
model0 = lmer(y ~ (1 | id) + factor(trt) + time + factor(trt) * time , data = data)
output = summary(model0)
fixed = coef(output)
rand = data.frame(
  'variance' = c(10.678, 1.212)
rownames(rand) = c('Intercept', 'Residual')
fixed
rand
# Part D
sigma_b = 10.678
sigma = 1.212
icc = sigma_b / (sigma + sigma_b)
```