Endpoint Indexing

Users

@router.get("/users/", tags=["users"])

```
-- Before indexes
"Limit (cost=0.00..1072.30 rows=5 width=32) (actual time=0.141..1.068 rows=5 loops=1)"

" -> Seq Scan on ""user"" (cost=0.00..21446.00 rows=100 width=32) (actual time=0.140..1.067 rows=5 loops=1)"

" Filter: (name ~~ 'oliver%'::text)"

" Rows Removed by Filter: 11341"

"Planning Time: 0.073 ms"

"Execution Time: 1.081 ms"

-- Index done

CREATE INDEX idx_user_name ON public."user" (name text_pattern_ops);

-- After indexes

"Limit (cost=0.42..0.83 rows=5 width=32) (actual time=0.066..0.103 rows=5 loops=1)"

" -> Index Scan using idx_user_name on ""user"" (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=100 width=32) (actual time=0.064..0.101 rows=5 loops=1)"

" Index Cond: ((name ->= 'oliver'::text) AND (name -<- 'olives'::text))"

" Filter: (name ~ 'oliver%'::text)"

"Planning Time: 0.842 ms"

"Execution Time: 0.114 ms"
```

The index was added on the name column of the users table because the endpoint could either list all users or filter by name. Since in the endpoint, the comparison for all names were done using the LIKE operator, we added text_pattern_ops for name comparisons.

@router.get("/users/{user_id}/", tags=["users"])

```
-- Before indexes
"Nested Loop (cost=41608.32..78948.89 rows=1 width=64) (actual time=274.944..275.007 rows=1 loops=1)"
  Join Filter: (""user"".user_id = user_1.user_id)"
  -> GroupAggregate (cost=1000.42..13569.91 rows=1 width=64) (actual time=36.655..36.694 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Group Key: ""user"".user_id"
         -> Nested Loop Left Join (cost=1000.42..13569.89 rows=1 width=37) (actual time=1.731..36.686 rows=1 loops=1)"
             Join Filter: (deposit.user_id = ""user"".user_id)"
              -> Index Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=32) (actual time=0.010..0.013 rows=1 loops=1)"
                    Index Cond: (user_id = 20000)"
              -> Gather (cost=1000.00..13561.43 rows=1 width=13) (actual time=1.719..36.670 rows=1 loops=1)"
                    Workers Planned: 2"
                    Workers Launched: 2"
                    -> Parallel Seq Scan on deposit (cost=0.00..12561.33 rows=1 width=13) (actual time=10.136..21.746 rows=0 loops=3)"
                          Filter: (user_id = 20000)"
                          Rows Removed by Filter: 333333"
  -> GroupAggregate (cost=40607.89..65378.95 rows=1 width=64) (actual time=238.283..238.306 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Group Key: user_1.user_id"
         -> Nested Loop Left Join (cost=40607.89..65378.93 rows=1 width=37) (actual time=152.946..238.296 rows=1 loops=1)"
              Join Filter: (category.user_id = user_1.user_id)"
              -> Index Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" user_1 (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=32) (actual time=0.019..0.022 rows=1 loops=
                    Index Cond: (user_id = 20000)"
              -> Hash Right Join (cost=40607.47..65370.48 rows=1 width=13) (actual time=152.924..238.271 rows=1 loops=1)"
                    Hash Cond: (item.expense_id = expense.expense_id)"
                    -> Seq Scan on item (cost=0.00..21013.00 rows=1000000 width=13) (actual time=0.023..40.469 rows=1000000 loops=1)"
                    -> Hash (cost=40607.46..40607.46 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=150.911..150.933 rows=1 loops=1)"
                          Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 9kB"
                           -> Hash Right Join (cost=16595.45..40607.46 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=41.301..150.925 rows=1 loops=1)"
                                Hash Cond: (expense.category_id = category.category_id)"
                                -> Seq Scan on expense (cost=0.00..21387.00 rows=1000000 width=16) (actual time=0.022..66.525 rows=10000
                                \hbox{-> Hash \ (cost=16595.43..16595.43\ rows=1\ width=16)\ (actual\ time=38.924..38.945\ rows=1\ loops=1)"}
                                      Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 9kB"
                                      -> Gather (cost=1000.00..16595.43 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=1.787..38.939 rows=1 loops=1)"
                                            Workers Planned: 2"
                                            Workers Launched: 2"
                                            -> Parallel Seq Scan on category (cost=0.00..15595.33 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=12.775..
                                                  Filter: (user id = 20000)'
                                                  Rows Removed by Filter: 333333"
"Planning Time: 0.371 ms"
"Execution Time: 275.059 ms"
```

```
-- Index done
CREATE INDEX idx_deposit_user_id ON deposit(user_id);
CREATE INDEX idx_category_user_id ON category(user_id);
CREATE INDEX idx_category_id ON category(category_id);
CREATE INDEX idx_item_expense_id ON item(expense_id);
CREATE INDEX idx_expense_category_id ON expense(category_id);
-- After indexes
"Nested Loop (cost=2.55..42.83 rows=1 width=64) (actual time=0.300..0.301 rows=1 loops=1)"
" Join Filter: (""user"".user_id = user_1.user_id)"
  -> GroupAggregate (cost=0.85..16.92 rows=1 width=64) (actual time=0.108..0.108 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Group Key: ""user"".user_id"
         -> Nested Loop Left Join (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=37) (actual time=0.098..0.100 rows=1 loops=1)"
              Join Filter: (deposit.user_id = ""user"".user_id)"
               -> Index Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=32) (actual time=0.016..0.017 rows=1 loops=1)"
                    Index Cond: (user_id = 20000)"
              -> Index Scan using idx_deposit_user_id on deposit (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=13) (actual time=0.078..0.079 rows=1 loop
                    Index Cond: (user_id = 20000)"
   -> GroupAggregate (cost=1.70..25.88 rows=1 width=64) (actual time=0.188..0.188 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Group Key: user_1.user_id"
         -> Nested Loop Left Join (cost=1.70..25.86 rows=1 width=37) (actual time=0.183..0.185 rows=1 loops=1)"
              -> Nested Loop Left Join (cost=1.27..25.35 rows=1 width=40) (actual time=0.137..0.138 rows=1 loops=1)"
                     -> Nested Loop Left Join (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=40) (actual time=0.091..0.092 rows=1 loops=1)"
                          Join Filter: (category.user_id = user 1.user id)"
                           -> Index Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" user_1 (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=32) (actual time=0.012..0.012 rows=1)
                                Index Cond: (user_id = 20000)"
                          -> Index Scan using idx_category_user_id on category (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=0.077..0.0
                                Index Cond: (user_id = 20000)"
                    -> Index Scan using idx_expense_category_id on expense (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=0.044..0.044 rows=1 width=16)
                          Index Cond: (category_id = category.category_id)"
              -> Index Scan using idx_item_expense_id on item (cost=0.42..0.50 rows=1 width=13) (actual time=0.044..0.045 rows=1 loops=1
                    Index Cond: (expense_id = expense.expense_id)"
"Planning Time: 4.556 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.369 ms"
```

The indexes added were for the deposit(user_id), category(user_id), category(category_id), item(expense_id), and expense(category_id). I added these since these were the sequential scans with filters listed on the EXPLAIN query.

@router.post("/users/", tags=["users"])

```
-- Before indexes
-- Index done: N/A
-- No index added for this endpoint
```

Indexes optimize for queries that might do read operations. For this endpoint, we're just adding a row to the users table. No index added for this endpoint.

@router.post("/users/login", tags=["users"])

```
-- Before indexes
"Index Scan using idx_user_name on ""user"" (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.049..0.050 rows=1 loops=1)"

" Index Cond: (name = 'samuel96@example.org'::text)"
"Filter: (hashed_pwd = 'password'::text)"
"Planning Time: 0.075 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.064 ms"

-- Index done
CREATE INDEX idx_user_name_and_hashed_pwd ON "user" (name, hashed_pwd);

-- After indexes
"Index Scan using idx_user_name_and_hashed_pwd on ""user"" (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.048..0.049 rows=1 loops=1)"
"Index Cond: ((name = 'samuel96@example.org'::text) AND (hashed_pwd = 'password'::text))"
"Planning Time: 0.736 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.060 ms"
```

The query is currently finding the user based on their name and password. In that case, we should index both name and hashed_pwd to find users based on those two things as an index.

Deposit

@router.get("/user/{user_id}/deposits/", tags=["deposits"])

```
-- Before indexes
"Limit (cost=1000.00..17728.10 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=1.293..41.688 rows=1 loops=1)"
" -> Gather (cost=1000.00..17728.10 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=1.292..41.686 rows=1 loops=1)"
         Workers Planned: 2"
         Workers Launched: 2"
         -> Parallel Seq Scan on deposit (cost=0.00..16728.00 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=11.639..24.074 rows=0 loops=3)"
              Filter: ((user_id = 10000) AND (date(""timestamp"") >= '2023-06-06'::date) AND (date(""timestamp"") <= '2023-06-13'::date))"
               Rows Removed by Filter: 333333"
"Planning Time: 0.599 ms"
"Execution Time: 41.703 ms"
-- Index done
CREATE INDEX idx_deposit_user_id_timestamp ON deposit(user_id, timestamp);
-- After indexes
"Limit (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=0.037..0.038 rows=1 loops=1)"
  -> Index Scan using idx_deposit_user_id_timestamp on deposit (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=21) (actual time=0.036..0.037 rows=1 loops=
         Index Cond: (user_id = 10000)"
         Filter: ((date(""timestamp"") >= '2023-06-06'::date) AND (date(""timestamp"") <= '2023-06-13'::date))"
"Planning Time: 0.801 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.048 ms'
```

The query is currently finding a deposit based on a specific user_id and a timestamp. In that case, adding an index for both attributes could help find a deposit_id quicker.

@router.post("/user/{user_id}/deposits/", tags=["deposits"])

```
-- Before indexes
-- Index done: N/A
-- No index added for this endpoint
```

Indexes optimize for queries that might do read operations. For this endpoint, we're just adding a row to the deposits table. No index added for this endpoint.

Category

@router.post("/users/{user_id}/categories/", tags=["category"])

```
-- Before indexes
-- Index done: N/A
-- No index added for this endpoint
```

Indexes optimize for queries that might do read operations. For this endpoint, we're just adding a row to the category table. No index added for this endpoint.

@router.get("/users/{user_id}/categories", tags=["category"])

```
-- Before indexes
"Limit (cost=1000.42..16603.89 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.968..42.776 rows=1 loops=1)"

" -> Nested Loop (cost=1000.42..16603.89 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.968..42.775 rows=1 loops=1)"

" -> Gather (cost=1000.00..16595.43 rows=1 width=53) (actual time=0.954..42.759 rows=1 loops=1)"

" Workers Planned: 2"

" Workers Launched: 2"

" -> Parallel Seq Scan on category c (cost=0.00..15595.33 rows=1 width=53) (actual time=11.888..24.863 rows=0 loops=3)"

" Filter: (user_id = 10050)"

" Rows Removed by Filter: 3333333"

" -> Index Only Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" u (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.013..0.014 rows=1 loops=1)"

" Index Cond: (user_id = 10050)"

" Heap Fetches: 0"
"Planning Time: 0.092 ms"
```

```
"Execution Time: 42.798 ms"

-- Index done

CREATE INDEX idx_category_user_id ON category(user_id);

-- After indexes

"Limit (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.058..0.059 rows=1 loops=1)"

" -> Nested Loop (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.057..0.058 rows=1 loops=1)"

" -> Index Scan using idx_category_user_id on category c (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=53) (actual time=0.047..0.047 rows=1 loops=

" Index Cond: (user_id = 10050)"

" -> Index Only Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" u (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.009..0.010 rows=1 loops=1)"

" Index Cond: (user_id = 10050)"

" Heap Fetches: 0"

"Planning Time: 0.762 ms"

"Execution Time: 0.071 ms"
```

For this query, we're finding the categories associated with a user_id. Because of that, we indexed the by category(user_id) since the sequential search happens on category with a filter based on the user_id

@router.get("/users/{user_id}/categories/{category_id}", tags=["category"])

```
-- Before indexes
"Nested Loop (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.037..0.038 rows=1 loops=1)"
  -> Index Scan using idx_category_user_id on category c (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=53) (actual time=0.023..0.024 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Index Cond: (user_id = 48)
        Filter: (category_id = 48)"
  -> Index Only Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" u (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.013..0.013 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Index Cond: (user_id = 48)"
        Heap Fetches: 0"
"Planning Time: 0.147 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.065 ms"
CREATE INDEX idx_category_user_category_id ON category(user_id, category_id);
"Nested Loop (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.044..0.045 rows=1 loops=1)"
" -> Index Scan using idx_category_user_category_id on category c (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=53) (actual time=0.033..0.033 rows=1 loo
        Index Cond: ((user_id = 48) AND (category_id = 48))"
" -> Index Only Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" u (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.009..0.010 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Index Cond: (user_id = 48)"
        Heap Fetches: 0"
"Planning Time: 0.835 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.057 ms"
```

For this query, we're finding the categories associated with a $[user_id]$ and a $[category_id]$. Because of that, we indexed the by $[category_id]$, $[category_id]$.

Budget

@router.post("/users/{user_id}/categories/{category_id}/budget/", tags=["budgets"])

```
-- Before indexes
-- Index done: N/A
-- No index added for this endpoint
```

Indexes optimize for queries that might do read operations. For this endpoint, we're just adding a row to the budget table. No index added for this endpoint.

@router.get("/users/{user_id}/categories/{category_id}/budget/{budget_id}/", tags=["budgets"])

```
-- Before indexes

"Nested Loop (cost=1.27..25.35 rows=1 width=37) (actual time=0.037..0.039 rows=1 loops=1)"

" -> Nested Loop (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.025..0.026 rows=1 loops=1)"

" -> Index Scan using budget_pkey on budget (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=37) (actual time=0.016..0.016 rows=1 loops=1)"

" Index Cond: (budget_id = 150000)"

" Filter: (category_id = 150000)"
```

```
-> Index Scan using category_pkey on category (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=0.008..0.009 rows=1 loops=1)"
               Index Cond: (category_id = 150000)"
               Filter: (user_id = 150000)"
  -> Index Only Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.012..0.012 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Index Cond: (user_id = 150000)"
         Heap Fetches: 0"
"Planning Time: 0.168 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.061 ms"
CREATE INDEX idx_budget_budget_category_id ON budget(budget_id, category_id);
CREATE INDEX idx_category_category_user_id ON category(category_id, user_id);
"Nested Loop (cost=1.27..21.35 rows=1 width=37) (actual time=0.268..0.270 rows=1 loops=1)"
  -> Nested Loop (cost=0.85..12.90 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.253..0.254 rows=1 loops=1)"
        -> Index Scan using idx_budget_budget_category_id on budget (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=37) (actual time=0.035..0.036 rows=1 l
               Index Cond: ((budget_id = 150000) AND (category_id = 150000))"
         -> Index Only Scan using idx_category_category_user_id on category (cost=0.42..4.44 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=0.216..0.216 rows=1 width=16)
               Index Cond: ((category_id = 150000) AND (user_id = 150000))"
               Heap Fetches: 0"
" -> Index Only Scan using user_pkey on ""user"" (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.015..0.015 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Index Cond: (user id = 150000)"
         Heap Fetches: 0"
"Planning Time: 1.347 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.291 ms'
```

For this query, we're finding the budget associated with a <code>budget_id</code>. The query filters based on the <code>category_id</code> while it uses the <code>budget_id</code> when searching within the budget table. So for that, we added <code>idx_budget_budget_category_id</code>. Since category also searches based on it's primary key but filters based on the <code>user_id</code>, we added <code>idx_category_category_user_id</code>

@router.get("/users/{user_id}/categories/{category_id}/budget/", tags=["budgets"])

```
-- Before indexes
"Limit (cost=16595.35..30189.86 rows=1 width=66) (actual time=80.442..83.546 rows=1 loops=1)"
  -> Gather (cost=16595.35..30189.86 rows=1 width=66) (actual time=80.441..83.544 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Workers Planned: 2"
        Workers Launched: 2"
        -> Parallel Hash Join (cost=15595.35..29189.76 rows=1 width=66) (actual time=52.369..65.853 rows=0 loops=3)"
              Hash Cond: (b.category_id = c.category_id)"
              -> Parallel Seq Scan on budget b (cost=0.00..12500.67 rows=416667 width=37) (actual time=0.376..22.070 rows=333333 loops=3
              -> Parallel Hash (cost=15595.33..15595.33 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=23.988..23.988 rows=0 loops=3)"
                    Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 40kB"
                    -> Parallel Seq Scan on category c (cost=0.00..15595.33 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=11.755..23.968 rows=0 loops=3)
                         Filter: (user_id = 20200)"
                          Rows Removed by Filter: 333333"
"Planning Time: 0.158 ms"
"Execution Time: 83.567 ms"
-- Index done
CREATE INDEX idx_category_user_id ON category(user_id);
"Limit (cost=1008.46..14602.97 rows=1 width=66) (actual time=2.472..57.228 rows=1 loops=1)"
" -> Gather (cost=1008.46..14602.97 rows=1 width=66) (actual time=2.471..57.226 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Workers Planned: 2"
        Workers Launched: 2"
        -> Hash Join (cost=8.46..13602.87 rows=1 width=66) (actual time=22.050..39.266 rows=0 loops=3)"
              Hash Cond: (b.category_id = c.category_id)'
              -> Parallel Seq Scan on budget b (cost=0.00..12500.67 rows=416667 width=37) (actual time=0.169..22.963 rows=333333 loops=3
               -> Hash (cost=8.44..8.44 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.036..0.037 rows=1 loops=3)"
                    Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 9kB"
                    -> Index Scan using idx_category_user_id on category c (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.032..0.033 r
                          Index Cond: (user_id = 20200)"
"Planning Time: 0.607 ms"
"Execution Time: 57.248 ms"
```

For this query, we're finding all the budgets associated with a user_id and category_id. For this, we indexed the category(user_id) since that's where we do a sequential search on based on the user_id as a filter.

Expense

@router.get("/users/{user_id}/expenses/{expense_id}", tags=["expenses"])

```
-- Refore indexes
"GroupAggregate (cost=17246.36..17246.39 rows=1 width=129) (actual time=38.447..42.066 rows=1 loops=1)"
" Group Key: expense.expense_id, category.category_id"
   -> Sort (cost=17246.36..17246.37 rows=1 width=102) (actual time=38.440..42.057 rows=1 loops=1)"
         Sort Key: category.category_id"
         Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB"
         -> Nested Loop Left Join (cost=1000.85..17246.35 rows=1 width=102) (actual time=22.251..42.049 rows=1 loops=1)"
              Join Filter: (expense.expense_id = item.expense_id)"
               -> Nested Loop (cost=0.85..24.91 rows=1 width=97) (actual time=0.016..0.020 rows=1 loops=1)"
                     -> Index Scan using expense_pkey on expense (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=60) (actual time=0.010..0.013 rows=1 loops
                           Index Cond: (expense_id = 333222)"
                     -> Index Scan using category_pkey on category (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.005..0.005 rows=1 loo
                           Index Cond: (category_id = expense.category_id)"
                           Filter: (user_id = 333222)"
               -> Gather (cost=1000.00..17221.43 rows=1 width=13) (actual time=22.233..42.025 rows=1 loops=1)"
                     Workers Planned: 2"
                     Workers Launched: 2"
                     -> Parallel Seq Scan on item (cost=0.00..16221.33 rows=1 width=13) (actual time=16.652..22.019 rows=0 loops=3)"
                           Filter: (expense_id = 333222)'
                           Rows Removed by Filter: 333333"
"Planning Time: 0.211 ms"
"Execution Time: 42.107 ms"
-- Index done:
CREATE INDEX idx item expense id ON item(expense id):
-- After indexes
"Group Aggregate \quad (cost=33.37...33.40 \ \ rows=1 \ \ width=129) \ \ (actual \ \ time=0.077...0.078 \ \ rows=1 \ \ loops=1)"
  Group Key: expense.expense_id, category.category_id"
  -> Sort (cost=33.37..33.38 rows=1 width=102) (actual time=0.072..0.073 rows=1 loops=1)"
         Sort Key: category.category_id"
         Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB"
         -> Nested Loop Left Join (cost=1.27..33.36 rows=1 width=102) (actual time=0.063..0.064 rows=1 loops=1)"
              Join Filter: (expense.expense_id = item.expense_id)"
               -> Nested Loop (cost=0.85..24.91 rows=1 width=97) (actual time=0.018..0.018 rows=1 loops=1)"
                     -> Index Scan using expense_pkey on expense (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=60) (actual time=0.008..0.009 rows=1 loops
                           Index Cond: (expense_id = 333222)"
                     -> Index Scan using category_pkey on category (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.008..0.008 rows=1 loo
                           Index Cond: (category_id = expense.category_id)"
                           Filter: (user_id = 333222)"
               -> Index Scan using idx_item_expense_id on item (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=13) (actual time=0.044..0.044 rows=1 loops=1
                    Index Cond: (expense_id = 333222)"
"Planning Time: 1.107 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.101 ms"
```

For this query, we're finding the information related to a specific expense_id for a given user. Since the only sequential scan we're doing is based on the expense_id, we created an index for the item table's expense_id.

@router.get("/users/{user_id}/expenses", tags=["expenses"])

```
-- Before indexes
"Limit (cost=57190.96..57190.99 rows=1 width=129) (actual time=539.676..551.634 rows=1 loops=1)"
  -> GroupAddregate (cost=57190.96..57190.99 rows=1 width=129) (actual time=539.675..551.632 rows=1 loops=1)"
         Group Key: expense.expense_id, category.category_id"
         -> Sort (cost=57190.96..57190.97 rows=1 width=102) (actual time=539.664..551.622 rows=1 loops=1)"
              Sort Key: expense.expense_id, category.category_id"
              Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB"
              -> Gather (cost=23423.43..57190.95 rows=1 width=102) (actual time=505.568..551.610 rows=1 loops=1)"
                    Workers Planned: 2"
                    Workers Launched: 2"
                    -> Parallel Hash Left Join (cost=22423.43..56190.85 rows=1 width=102) (actual time=512.593..523.544 rows=0 loops=3)"
                          Hash Cond: (expense.expense_id = item.expense_id)"
                           -> Nested Loop (cost=0.42..31730.83 rows=1 width=97) (actual time=358.376..406.206 rows=0 loops=3)"
                                 -> Parallel Seq Scan on expense (cost=0.00..19720.33 rows=2083 width=60) (actual time=0.239..53.166 rows
                                       \label{eq:filter: ((date(""timestamp"") >= '2023-06-06'::date) AND (date(""timestamp"") <= '2023-06-13'::date) } 
                                 -> Index Scan using category_pkey on category (cost=0.42..5.76 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.001..0.00
                                      Index Cond: (category_id = expense.category_id)"
                                       Filter: (user_id = 643576)"
                                       Rows Removed by Filter: 1"
                           -> Parallel Hash (cost=15179.67..15179.67 rows=416667 width=13) (actual time=83.282..83.283 rows=333333 loops=
                                Buckets: 262144 Batches: 8 Memory Usage: 7968kB"
                                 -> Parallel Seq Scan on item (cost=0.00..15179.67 rows=416667 width=13) (actual time=0.168..35.318 rows=
"Planning Time: 0.304 ms"
```

```
"Execution Time: 551.690 ms"
-- Index done:
CREATE INDEX idx_expense_timestamp ON expense((date(timestamp)));
CREATE INDEX idx_item_expense_id ON item(expense_id);
CREATE INDEX idx_category_user_id ON category(user_id);
"Limit (cost=9267.82..9267.85 rows=1 width=129) (actual time=147.672..147.674 rows=1 loops=1)"
" -> GroupAggregate (cost=9267.82..9267.85 rows=1 width=129) (actual time=147.671..147.672 rows=1 loops=1)"
                  Group Key: expense.expense_id, category.category_id"
                    -> Sort (cost=9267.82..9267.83 rows=1 width=102) (actual time=147.662..147.663 rows=1 loops=1)"
                              Sort Key: expense.expense_id, category.category_id"
                               Sort Method: quicksort Memory: 25kB"
                               -> Nested Loop Left Join (cost=72.52..9267.81 rows=1 width=102) (actual time=100.329..147.656 rows=1 loops=1)"
                                           -> Nested Loop (cost=72.10..9262.01 rows=1 width=97) (actual time=100.272..147.598 rows=1 loops=1)"
                                                        Join Filter: (expense.category_id = category.category_id)"
                                                        Rows Removed by Join Filter: 999999"
                                                         -> Index Scan using idx category user id on category (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=45) (actual time=0.026..0.0
                                                                    Index Cond: (user_id = 643576)'
                                                         -> Bitmap Heap Scan on expense (cost=71.67..9191.06 rows=5000 width=60) (actual time=17.368..108.770 rows=1000
                                                                     Recheck Cond: ((date(""timestamp"") >= '2023-06-06'::date) \ AND \ (date(""timestamp"") <= '2023-06-13'::date)
                                                                    Heap Blocks: exact=11387"
                                                                     -> Bitmap Index Scan on idx expense timestamp (cost=0.00..70.42 rows=5000 width=0) (actual time=16.333...
                                                                                 Index Cond: ((date(""timestamp"") >= '2023-06-06'::date) AND (date(""timestamp"") <= '2023-06-13'::date)</pre>
                                           -> Index Scan using idx_item_expense_id on item (cost=0.42..5.80 rows=1 width=13) (actual time=0.052..0.053 rows=1 leads to the cost of th
                                                       Index Cond: (expense_id = expense.expense_id)"
"Planning Time: 2.705 ms"
"Execution Time: 147.768 ms'
```

For this query, we're listing all the expenses for a user within a certain time frame. Since we use the timestamp for searching, we added an index for the expense table's timestamp column. For all sequential searches, we added an index to optimize searches. That resulted in us adding indexes for item's expense_id and category's user_id.

@router.post("/users/{user_id}/expenses/", tags=["expenses"])

```
-- Before indexes
-- Index done: N/A
-- No index added for this endpoint
```

Indexes optimize for queries that might do read operations. For this endpoint, we're just adding a row to the expenses table. No index added for this endpoint.

Items

@router.post("/users/{user id}/expenses/{expense id}/items", tags=["items"])

```
-- Before indexes
-- Index done: N/A
-- No index added for this endpoint
```

Indexes optimize for queries that might do read operations. For this endpoint, we're just adding a row to the items table. No index added for this endpoint.

@router.get("/users/{user_id}/expenses/{expense_id}/items/{item_id}", tags=["items"])

```
-- Before indexes

"Nested Loop (cost=1.27..33.36 rows=1 width=50) (actual time=0.069..0.070 rows=1 loops=1)"

" -> Nested Loop (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=58) (actual time=0.049..0.050 rows=1 loops=1)"

" -> Index Scan using item_pkey on item (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=58) (actual time=0.042..0.042 rows=1 loops=1)"

" Index Cond: (item_id = 306648)"

" Filter: (expense_id = 306648)"

" -> Index Scan using expense_pkey on expense (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=0.006..0.006 rows=1 loops=1)"

" Index Cond: (expense_id = 306648)"

" -> Index Scan using category_pkey on category (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.019..0.019 rows=1 loops=1)"

" Index Cond: (category_id = expense.category_id)"
```

```
Filter: (user_id = 306648)"
"Planning Time: 1.349 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.088 ms"
-- Index done:
CREATE INDEX idx_category_user_id ON category(user_id);
"Nested Loop (cost=1.27..25.35 rows=1 width=50) (actual time=0.056..0.057 rows=1 loops=1)"
" Join Filter: (expense.category_id = category.category_id)"
" -> Nested Loop (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=58) (actual time=0.023..0.024 rows=1 loops=1)"
        -> Index Scan using item_pkey on item (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=58) (actual time=0.012..0.012 rows=1 loops=1)"
             Index Cond: (item_id = 306648)"
              Filter: (expense_id = 306648)"
       -> Index Scan using expense_pkey on expense (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=0.010..0.011 rows=1 loops=1)"
              Index Cond: (expense_id = 306648)"
" -> Index Scan using idx_category_user_id on category (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.032..0.032 rows=1 loops=1)"
        Index Cond: (user_id = 306648)"
"Planning Time: 1.131 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.076 ms"
```

For this query, it was more simple than most other queries. It was just searching for an item based on an item_id. We join it to the expense table, but we use expense_id which is the primary key of the expense table. We can add an index for category's user_id so that searches based on user_id will be marginally quicker.

@router.get("/users/{user id}/expenses/{expense id}/items", tags=["items"])

```
-- Before indexes
"Limit (cost=1000.85..17246.35 rows=1 width=50) (actual time=22.228..44.673 rows=1 loops=1)"
  -> Nested Loop (cost=1000.85..17246.35 rows=1 width=50) (actual time=22.227..44.671 rows=1 loops=1)"
        -> Nested Loop (cost=1000.42..17229.89 rows=1 width=58) (actual time=22.217..44.660 rows=1 loops=1)"
              -> Gather (cost=1000.00..17221.43 rows=1 width=58) (actual time=22.201..44.643 rows=1 loops=1)"
                    Workers Planned: 2"
                    Workers Launched: 2"
                    -> Parallel Seg Scan on item (cost=0.00..16221.33 rows=1 width=58) (actual time=20.473..26.904 rows=0 loops=3)"
                          Filter: (expense_id = 306648)"
                          Rows Removed by Filter: 333333"
             -> Index Scan using expense_pkey on expense (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=0.014..0.014 rows=1 loops=1)"
                    Index Cond: (expense_id = 306648)"
        -> Index Scan using category_pkey on category (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.008..0.008 rows=1 loops=1)"
              Index Cond: (category_id = expense.category_id)"
              Filter: (user_id = 306648)"
"Planning Time: 0.857 ms"
"Execution Time: 44.700 ms"
-- Index done:
CREATE INDEX idx_item_expense_id ON item(expense_id);
"Limit (cost=1.27..33.36 rows=1 width=50) (actual time=0.058..0.060 rows=1 loops=1)"
" -> Nested Loop (cost=1.27..33.36 rows=1 width=50) (actual time=0.058..0.059 rows=1 loops=1)"
        -> Nested Loop (cost=0.85..16.90 rows=1 width=58) (actual time=0.048..0.049 rows=1 loops=1)"
              -> Index Scan using idx_item_expense_id on item (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=58) (actual time=0.035..0.036 rows=1 loops=1
                    Index Cond: (expense_id = 306648)"
              -> Index Scan using expense_pkey on expense (cost=0.42..8.44 rows=1 width=16) (actual time=0.011..0.011 rows=1 loops=1)"
                    Index Cond: (expense_id = 306648)"
        -> Index Scan using category_pkey on category (cost=0.42..8.45 rows=1 width=8) (actual time=0.009..0.009 rows=1 loops=1)"
             Index Cond: (category_id = expense.category_id)"
               Filter: (user_id = 306648)"
"Planning Time: 0.936 ms"
"Execution Time: 0.081 ms"
```

For this query, we're listing all the expenses that a category has. We see that the query does a sequential scan on item's expense_id. We can add an index for this to optimize against sequential searches.