

# MANDATORY ASSIGNMENT - MAT-INF4300

JOHAN ÅMDAL ELIASSEN

## 1. PROBLEM 1

**a.** Assume  $u \in C^3(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$  solves  $u_t - \Delta u = 0$ .

Let  $u_\lambda(x, t) = u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $(u_\lambda)_t(x, t) = \lambda^2 u_t(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ , and  $(u_\lambda)_{x_i x_i}(x, t) = \lambda^2 u_{x_i x_i}(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)$ , and so

$$(1.1) \quad ((u_\lambda)_t - \Delta u_\lambda)(x, t) = \lambda^2(u_t(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) - \Delta u(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t)) = 0.$$

□

**b.** Let  $v(x, t) = x \cdot Du(x, t) + 2tu_t(x, t)$ .

(I am assuming now that  $u$  is three times continuously differentiable, not just two).

Differentiating  $(x, t, \lambda) \mapsto u_\lambda(x, t)$  with regards to  $\lambda$  gives  $x \cdot Du(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) + 2t\lambda u_t(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) =: w(x, t, \lambda)$ ; we then have  $v(x, t) = w(x, t, 1)$ .

Since  $(x, t, \lambda) \mapsto u_\lambda(x, t)$  solves the heat equation for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , so does  $w(x, t, \lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and in particular, for  $\lambda = 1$ . Thus  $v$  solves the heat equation.

Slightly more written out, continuity of the derivatives of  $u_\lambda$  allows us to interchange the order of differentiation, and so,

$$(1.2) \quad v_t - \Delta v = \left( \left( \frac{\partial u_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} \right)_t - \Delta \left( \frac{\partial u_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} \right) \right) \Big|_{\lambda=1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} ((u_\lambda)_t - \Delta u_\lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=1} = 0.$$

□

**c.** Let  $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex and twice continuously differentiable, let  $u$  solve the heat equation, and set  $v(x, t) := \eta(u)$ . Then

$$(1.3) \quad v_t = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \eta'(u) = u_t \eta'(u),$$

$$(1.4) \quad v_{x_i} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \eta'(u) = u_{x_i} \eta'(u),$$

$$(1.5) \quad v_{x_i x_i} = u_{x_i}^2 \eta''(u) + u_{x_i x_i} \eta'(u).$$

Hence  $v_t - \Delta v = \eta'(u)(u_t - \Delta u) - \eta''(u)|Du|^2 = -\eta''(u)|Du|^2 \leq 0$  because  $\eta''(u) \geq 0$ . □

## 2. PROBLEM 2

Problem:

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + cu = f, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f \in C_c^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ ,  $u_0 \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**a.** Assume there exists a  $v$  satisfying

$$(**) \quad \begin{cases} v_t - \Delta v = e^{ct} f, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ v(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases}$$

Then for  $w := e^{-ct}v$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad w_t = e^{-ct}(-cv + v_t),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta w = e^{-ct} \Delta v.$$

And so

$$(2.3) \quad w_t - \Delta w + cw = e^{-ct}(-cv + v_t - \Delta v + cv) = e^{-ct}(v_t - \Delta v) = f,$$

so  $w$  satisfies the first half of (\*).

A solution of (\*\*) is

$$(2.4) \quad v(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t) u_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t - s) e^{cs} f(y, s) ds.$$

This is valid because  $f$  and  $u_0$  have compact support.

Hence, an explicit formula for  $u$  satisfying (\*) is

$$(2.5) \quad u(x, t) = e^{-ct} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t) u_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t - s) e^{cs} f(y, s) ds \right).$$

It remains to verify:

- (1) that  $u$  really is in  $C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$ ,
- (2) that  $u$  really does satisfy  $u_t - \Delta u + cu = f$ , and
- (3) that  $u(x, t) \rightarrow u_0(x_0)$  whenever  $(x, t) \rightarrow (x_0, 0)$ .

(1). Since  $v \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$  and  $u$  is a product of a smooth function with  $v$ , it follows that  $u$  is also a member of  $C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$ .

(2). Write  $u$  as

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= e^{-ct} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t) u_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x - y, t - s) e^{cs} f(y, s) ds \right) \\ &=: e^{-ct}(I(x, t) + J(x, t)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, from Theorem 1 on pp. 47 in Evans,  $I$  satisfies the homogenous heat equation; additionally,  $I(x, t) \rightarrow u_0(x_0)$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $t \downarrow 0$  for  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Likewise, from Theorem 2 on pp. 50 in Evans,  $J$  satisfies  $J_t - \Delta J = e^{ct} f$ . Additionally,  $J(x, t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow x_0$ ,  $t \downarrow 0$  for  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

I omit any direct verification, as the calculations involved would necessarily just mirror those in the book.

Hence, we confirm

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= e^{-ct}(-c(I+J) + (I_t + J_t)), \\ \Delta u &= e^{-ct}(\Delta I + \Delta J), \\ u_t - \Delta u + cu &= e^{-ct}(-c(I+J) + (I_t - \Delta I) + (J_t - \Delta J) + c(I+J)) = f. \end{aligned}$$

□

(3). Again writing  $u = e^{-ct}(I+J)$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we may pick  $(x, t)$  with  $t > 0$  s.t.  $|I(x, t)| < \epsilon/3$  and  $|J(x, t) - u_0(x)| < \epsilon/3$  and finally so that  $1 - e^{-ct} < \epsilon/3/(|u_0(x_0)| + \epsilon/3)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x, t) - u_0(x)| &= |e^{-ct}I(x, t) + (e^{-ct}J(x, t) - u_0(x_0))| \\ &\leq |e^{-ct}I(x, t) - u_0(x_0)| + e^{-ct}|J(x, t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e^{-ct} = 1 - \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , this can be written

$$\begin{aligned} (\dots) &= |(1 - \delta)I(x, t) - u_0(x_0)| + e^{-ct}|J(x, t)| \\ &\leq |I(x, t) - u_0(x_0)| + \delta|I(x, t)| + |J(x, t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Now by choice of  $(x, t)$ ,  $\delta = 1 - e^{-ct} < \epsilon/3/(|u_0(x_0)| + \epsilon/3)$ , and  $|I(x, t)| < |u_0(x, t)| + \epsilon/3$ . Thus, finally,

$$< \epsilon/3 + \delta(|u_0(x_0)| + \epsilon/3) + \epsilon/3 < \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 + \epsilon/3 = \epsilon.$$

□

**b. Note** I failed to get the energy bound that was asked for, but I stand by my computations, and the result I got is more than sufficient.

Assume  $f \equiv 0$ , and that  $u \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Now proceeding in the reverse direction, let  $v := e^{ct}u$ . Then as seen,  $v$  satisfies the homogenous heat equation, and so it is smooth; hence  $u$  is also smooth, and we can differentiate under the integral.

Define the energy  $E(t)$  by

$$(2.6) \quad E(t) = \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x, t)^2 dx.$$

Then

$$(2.7) \quad E'(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2uu_t dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -2cu^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u\Delta t dx,$$

whence, integrating the last term by parts, we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad (\dots) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -2cu^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du|^2 dx = -2cE(t) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Du|^2 dx \leq -2cE(t).$$

This implies that  $2cE(t) + E'(t) \leq 0$ , and so, by Grönwall's inequality,  $E(t) \leq e^{-2ct}E(0) = e^{-2ct}\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$ .

Assuming we have two solutions  $u_1, u_2$  of (\*) satisfying  $u \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , we let  $w := u_1 - u_2$ ,  $E_w := \|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ . Then  $w$  satisfies

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} w(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ w(x, 0) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

As has been shown, this implies  $0 \leq E_w(t) \leq e^{-2ct} E_w(0) = 0$ , so  $w \equiv 0$ ; hence  $u_1 \equiv u_2$ , as was to be shown.

### 3. PROBLEM 3

$$(***) \quad \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = -u^3, & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, \cdot) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(x, t) = 0, & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty). \end{cases}$$

with  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open and bounded,  $u_0$  continuous.

Assume there exists a twice continuously differentiable  $u$  satisfying (\*\*\*). Then as before, let

$$(3.1) \quad E(t) := \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} u^2 \, dx.$$

Justifying that I can differentiate under the integral sign is a bit finicky: let  $v := u^2$  for simplicity of notation. Then  $v_t$  exists on  $\Omega$  and is bounded wrt  $x$ ,  $\|v_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty$ . Fix  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $t - \epsilon > 0$ . Let  $M = \|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times (t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon))} < \infty$ . Then the function  $g(x) = M$  is summable, and dominates  $v$  for all  $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (t - \epsilon, t + \epsilon)$ .

Take some sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  s.t.  $t_n \rightarrow t$  and  $|t_n - t| < \epsilon$ . Then for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by the mean value theorem,

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{v(x, t_n) - v(x, t)}{t_n - t} = \frac{\partial v(x, \zeta_n)}{\partial t} \leq M,$$

for some  $\zeta_n \in (t_n, t)$ .

Thus the sequence of functions  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} := \frac{v(x, t_n) - v(x, t)}{t_n - t}$  is dominated by the summable function  $g(x)$ , and so by the Dominated Convergence Theorem,

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} w_n(x, t) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n(x, t) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} v_t(x, t) \, dx.$$

Since this holds for any such sequence, for any  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , we are free to differentiate  $v = u^2$  under the integral sign.

Phew. Now, let's finally do that.

$$(3.4) \quad E'(t) = \int_{\Omega} 2uu_t \, dx = \int_{\Omega} 2u(\Delta u - u^3) \, dx = \int_{\Omega} 2u\Delta u \, dx - \int_{\Omega} 2u^4 \, dx.$$

Now integrate the first by parts and use that  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  to obtain

$$(3.5) \quad (\dots) = - \int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 + 2u^4 \, dx \leq 0,.$$

Hence  $E(t)$  is a nonincreasing function, and so  $E(t) \leq E(0) = \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ .  $\square$

## 4. PROBLEM 4

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be bounded and open. Then the task is to show that the Hölder space  $C^{0,\gamma}(\Omega)$  with exponent  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$  is a Banach space.

The space is equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})}$  given by

$$(4.1) \quad \|\cdot\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} = \|\cdot\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} + [\cdot]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})}.$$

First, I verify that the  $\gamma$ 'th Hölder seminorm is indeed a seminorm—then it follows that the  $\gamma$ 'th Hölder norm is a norm.

The two properties

$$[u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} \geq 0 \text{ and } [\lambda u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} = |\lambda| [u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} \text{ are trivial.}$$

For the triangle inequality, we have

$$(4.2) \quad [u + v]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} = \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \overline{\Omega} \\ x \neq y}} \left\{ \left| \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} + \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \right| \right\},$$

but since

$$(4.3) \quad \left| \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} + \frac{v(x) - v(y)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \right| \leq \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} + \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma}$$

for all  $x, y \in \overline{\Omega}, x \neq y$ , the same goes for its supremum.

Hence  $[\cdot]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})}$  is a seminorm as was to be shown.

Now, let  $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C^{0,\gamma}$  be a Cauchy sequence. Then necessarily it is also a Cauchy sequence in the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}$ . Since  $(C(\overline{\Omega}), \|\cdot\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})})$  is complete, there then exists a continuous  $u$  s.t.  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in the supremum norm.

Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\|u - u_n\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} < \epsilon/2$  and  $[u_n - u_m]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} < \epsilon/2$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $x, y \in \Omega, x \neq y$ ,

$$(4.4) \quad \left| \frac{u_m(x) - u_m(y) + u_n(y) - u_n(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \right| < \epsilon/2,$$

and so because  $u_m \rightarrow u$  pointwise,

$$(4.5) \quad \left| \frac{u(x) - u(y) + u_n(y) - u_n(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \right| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{u_m(x) - u_m(y) + u_n(y) - u_n(x)}{|x - y|^\gamma} \right| < \epsilon/2,$$

since the above holds for all  $m \geq N$ . Hence  $[u - u_n]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq \epsilon/2$ , and so we have  $\|u - u_n\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} < \epsilon$ .

It remains to show that  $\|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} < \infty$ . But  $\|u\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} < \infty$ , and

$$(4.6) \quad [u]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} = [u - u_n + u_n]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} \leq [u - u_n]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} + [u_n]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} < \infty,$$

for some  $u_n$  satisfying  $[u - u_n]_{C^{0,\gamma}(\overline{\Omega})} < \infty$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5. PROBLEM 5

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be open and bounded with a  $C^1$  boundary. Let  $V$  strictly contain  $\Omega$ .<sup>1</sup>

The task is to show that there exists a bounded linear operator

$$(5.1) \quad E : W^{1,\infty}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

satisfying, for all  $w \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$

- (1)  $Eu = u$  for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ .
- (2)  $\text{spt}(Eu) \subset V$ ,
- (3)  $\|Eu\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}$ , for some  $C$  not depending on  $u$ .

**Note** I believe for the case  $p = \infty$ , the procedure outlined in Evans for  $u \in C^1(\Omega)$  (pp 268-270) will more or less hold directly, so I will roughly follow the book. Where I feel it is obvious, I will then simply refer to the book for the sake of brevity.

Now, let  $u \in W^{1,\infty}$ , and as in the book, assume  $\partial\Omega$  is flat near  $x_0$ , lying in the plane  $x_n = 0$ .

Then there exists an open ball  $B(x_0, r)$ , which I split into

$$\begin{aligned} B^+ &:= B \cap \{x_n \geq 0\} \subset \overline{\Omega}, \\ B^- &:= B \cap \{x_n \leq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $\bar{u}$  to be

$$(5.2) \quad \bar{u}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in B^+, \\ -3u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n) + 4u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, -\frac{x_n}{2}), & x \in B^-. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, define  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$  to be

$$(5.3) \quad v_j(x) = \begin{cases} u_{x_j}(x), & x \in B^+, \\ 3u_{x_j}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n) + 4u_{x_j}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, -\frac{x_n}{2}), & x \in B^-, j \neq n, \\ 3u_{x_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, -x_n) - 2u_{x_n}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, -\frac{x_n}{2}), & x \in B^-, j = n, \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then the next step is to verify that  $v_j$  is a weak derivative of  $\bar{u}$  in  $B$ . Let  $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B)$ . For ease of notation, let  $x' = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}$ . Then for  $j = n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B \phi_{x_n} \bar{u} dx &= \int_{B^+} \phi_{x_n} \bar{u} dx + \int_{B^-} \phi_{x_n} \bar{u} dx \\ &= \int_{B^+} \phi_{x_n} u dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B^+} \phi_{x_n}(x', -x_n) - 3u(x', x_n) + 4u(x', \frac{x_n}{2}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The text says "V strictly larger than  $\Omega$ "—this is the only interpretation I can make sense of.

It is now safe to integrate by parts. Obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\dots) &= \int_{x_n=0} \phi u \overline{Ds(x)} - \int_{B^+} \phi u_{x_n} dx \\
 &\quad + \int_{x_n=0} \phi(-3u+4u) Ds(x) - \int_{B^+} \phi(x', -x_n)(3u_{x_n}(x', x_n) + 2u_{x_n}(x', \frac{x_n}{2})) dx \\
 &= - \int_{B^+} \phi u_{x_n} dx - \int_{B^-} \phi(3u_{x_n}(x', -x_n) - 2u_{x_n}(x', \frac{-x_n}{2})) dx \\
 &= \int_B \phi v dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

The cases  $1 \leq j \leq n$  are similar and omitted. Hence, for any multiindex  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| = 1$ , letting  $D^\alpha u = v_\alpha$ , we have  $\int_B D^\alpha \phi \bar{u} dx = \int_B D^\alpha \bar{u} \phi dx$ . As in the book, it is clear that  $\|\bar{u}B\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{\Omega})} C \|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{B}^+)}$ .

The rest of the proof now goes exactly as in the book, so the esteemed reader may skip the rest: if  $\partial\Omega$  is not flat near  $x_0$ , use a  $C^1$  homeomorphism to straighten it out, then exploit compactness of  $\partial\Omega$  to cover it with a finite number, say  $N$ , of open sets  $W_i$  in which to obtain extensions  $u_i$  of  $u$ . Let  $u_0 = u$ , choose  $W_0$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=0}^N W_i = \Omega$ , and let  $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=0}^N$  form an associated partition of unity. Finally, let  $v = \sum_{i=0}^N \zeta_i u_i$ . Then  $\|v\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C \|u\|_{C^{0,\gamma}(\bar{\Omega})}$  for some  $C > 0$ , and we may define  $E$  as the linear map mapping  $u$  to  $v$ .  $\square$

## 6. PROBLEM 6

Let  $u : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by

$$(6.1) \quad u(x) := |x - x_0|^\alpha, \quad x \in B(x_0; 1) =: \Omega.$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ . For  $x \neq 0$ , we have  $u_{x_i} = -\alpha x_i |x - x_0|^{-\alpha-2}$ , and so

$$(6.2) \quad |Du| = |\alpha| |x - x_0|^{-\alpha-1}.$$

For the notion of a weak derivative to make sense, we require that  $\int_\Omega \phi_{x_i} u dx = \int_\Omega u_{x_i} \phi dx$  for a test function  $\phi$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , and compute

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x_0, \epsilon)} \phi_{x_i} u dx = - \int_{\Omega \setminus B(x_0, \epsilon)} \phi u_{x_i} dx + \int_{\partial B(x_0, \epsilon)} \phi u \nu^i dS(x),$$

$\nu$  denoting the inward pointing unit normal.

We have

$$(6.4) \quad \left| \int_{\partial B(x_0, \epsilon)} \phi u \nu^i dS(x) \right| \leq \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_{\partial B(x_0, \epsilon)} \epsilon^{-\alpha} dS(x) \leq C \epsilon^{2-\alpha} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  so long as  $\alpha < 2$ .

For a bound on  $\|Du\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)}$ , we have

$$(6.5) \quad \int_\Omega |Du|^2 dx = \alpha^2 \int_\Omega |x - x_0|^{-2\alpha-2} dx = \alpha^2 4\pi \int_0^1 r^{-2\alpha} dr = \alpha^2 4\pi \frac{1}{1-2\alpha}$$

which is finite iff  $\alpha < 1/2$ . This is consistent with the result in the book, pp. 260.  $\square$