Do (wo)men talk too much in films?

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

Abstract

1

2 1 Introduction

3 2 Methods

- 4 We have chosen to focus on approaches using logistic regression, k-NN and LDA/QDA to classify
- 5 the lead actor's gender.
- 6 In order to make the methods as comparable as possible, we have used a common set of transforma-
- 7 tions of the input variables for all tested methods.

8 2.1 Input transformations

In the given dataset, there are columns for the total number of words spoken as well as the number of words spoken by the lead, the co-lead etc. This could present a problem since if we compare a movie where the lead says 10 out of 100 total words and another movie where the lead says 100 out of 1000 words, most models would think that the lead speaks more in the second movie and miss the fact that the *proportion* of words spoken by the lead is the same. For that reason we have transformed several input variables to express a proportion instead of absolute numbers. We also believe it might be important to have a dummy variable indicating if the lead or the co-lead is oldest. All transformations are given in Table 2.1.

Original column	New column	Transformation
Number of words lead	Proportion of words lead	Number of words lead Total words
N/A	Proportion of words co-lead	Number of words lead - Difference in words lead and co-lead Total words
Difference in words lead and co-lead	Ratio words co-lead lead	Proportion of words co-lead Proportion of words lead
Number words female	Proportion of words female	Number words female Total words - Number of words lead
Number of	Proportion of	Number of female actors
female actors	female actors	Number of female actors + Number of male actos
Number of male actors	Number of actors	Number of male actors + Number of female actors
N/A	Older lead	$\begin{cases} 1, \text{Age lead} > \text{Age Co-Lead} \\ 0, \text{else} \end{cases}$
T 11 1 T C		

Table 1: Transformations of input variables.

- Note that when determining 'Proportion of words female', this should only measure the words spoken by non-lead female actors so we have to subtract the lead's contribution to the total number of words.
- 19 The column 'Number of male actors' was dropped since all necessary information in this column is
- contained in 'Proportion of female actors' together with 'Number of actors'.
- 21 In order to improve regularization and k-NN, all remaining numerical input variables where centered
- 22 and scaled by their standard deviation. This means that columns with proportions have values in
- 23 the unit interval [0,1] and the other numerical variables have values that are of roughly the same
- 24 magnitude.

2.2 Logistic Regression

Logistic regression is a *general linear model* (GLM), i.e. the relationship between the data $X \in \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ and the outcome Y is on the form

$$E(Y|X) = g^{-1}(X \cdot \beta) \tag{1}$$

where $eta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and g is the link function. In the case of logistic regression, $Y|X \sim Ber(p)$

and the canonical link function is the logit link $g(x) = \log\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)$ with $g^{-1}(x) = \frac{\exp(x)}{1+\exp(x)}$. Since

- $Y|X \sim Ber(p)$, we get $E(Y|X) = p = q^{-1}(X \cdot \beta)$. In other words, $P(Y = 1|X = x) = q^{-1}(x \cdot \beta)$,
- which we can use to predict Y given data x. 31
- To do the regression, we find $\hat{\beta} \in \arg\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \hat{y}(x_i; \beta))^2$ where $\hat{y}(x; \beta) = g^{-1}(x \cdot \beta)$. This minimizes the mean squared error (MSE) loss function. A potential problem with this approach is 32
- 33
- that there are no restrictions on the components of β and that can lead to overfitting, especially if n is 34
- not much larger than p. To address that issue, one can introduce regularization. 35
- In general, regularization is done by adding a penalizing term to the loss function that restricts β 36
- in some way. If $L(\beta; x_i, y_i)$ is the loss function before regularization, we instead consider the new 37
- loss function $L(\beta; x_i, y_i) + \lambda R(\beta)$ and find $\hat{\beta}_{reg} \in \arg\min_{\beta} (L(\beta; x_i, y_i) + \lambda R(\beta))$. R is some
- penalizing function and λ is a hyper-parameter that can be tuned. The two most common forms of 39
- regularization is LASSO and Ridge regression.
- LASSO regression uses L_1 -regularization, meaning that $R_{LASSO}(\beta) = ||\beta||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^p |\beta_i|$ while Ridge regression uses L_2 -regularization, $R_{Ridge}(\beta) = ||\beta||_2^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i^2$. 41
- 42
- In order to find a value of λ that performs well on the data, cross-validation is used to find the optimal 43
- 44 value in a finite set $\Lambda = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$. Cross-validation works by splitting the data into n equally
- sized partitions and training the data separately on the n choices of n-1 partitions and testing on 45
- the partition that was left out. The test error E_{new} is estimated by the mean misclassification rate 46
- across the partitions. This procedure is repeated for each $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$ and the value resulting in the lowest 47
- 48 estimated test error is chosen.
- Since cross-validation is used to estimate the hyper-parameter λ , this method cannot be used to 49
- estimate the test error of the whole procedure. Instead, the dataset has to be split into a training set 50
- and a testing set with a specified fraction of the total data in each set. The whole procedure above is 51
- done on the training set and the test error is estimated by evaluating the performance of the model on 52
- the testing set. However, this can yield significantly different estimates of the test error since only 53
- one split into training and testing data is considered. To get a better estimate of the actual testing 54
- error, a bootstrap procedure is performed. 55
- Since the full dataset is an iid sample from some unknown distribution, the estimated test error \bar{E}_{new} 56
- is a random variable. By repeating the whole procedure B times (i.e. B independent splits into 57
- training and testing data and subsequent fitting and cross-validation), a bootstrap sample of E_{new} is 58
- obtained which can be used to estimate the distribution (or at least properties thereof) of E_{new} . This 59
- is very computationally intensive but gives a much clearer view of the variability of the test error
- compared to just computing it for one split. 61
- 2.3 k-Nearest Neighbors 62
- LDA and QDA 63
- 3 Results
- 3.1 Logistic Regression
- 3.1.1 k-Nearest Neighbors
- 3.2 LDA and QDA
- **Conclusions**
- **Feature Importance**