Advanced Mathematical Physics, Assignment 2

Johannes Agerskov

Dated: June 9, 2020

1 Stability through Lieb-Oxford inequality

We are given the Lieb-Oxford inequality: For any bosonic or fermionic wave function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ with $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$ we have

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\psi(x_1, ..., x_N)|^2}{|x_i - x_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x_1 ... \, \mathrm{d}x_N - D(\rho_{\psi}, \rho_{\psi}) \ge -C_{LO} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{4/3} \, \mathrm{d}x, \tag{1.1}$$

with constant $0 \le C_{LO} \le 1.636$ independent of ψ and N. We now proceed to prove stability of the second kind through this inequality.

(a)

Let $\delta > 0$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{4/3} \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{N}{2\delta}.$$
 (1.2)

Proof. Notice first first that $\rho_{\psi}(x)^{4/3} = \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/6} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{1/2}$. Thus by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{4/3} \, \mathrm{d}x \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{N}, \quad (1.3)$$

where we used that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) dx = N$. Now using that for $\delta > 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ is holds that $\frac{\delta}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta}b^2 \ge ab$ (this is simply $(\sqrt{\delta}a - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}}b)^2 \ge 0$) we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{4/3} \, \mathrm{d}x \le \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{D}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{N}{2\delta}.$$
 (1.4)

(b)

Let $V_{\mathcal{C}}$ be defined as in the lecture notes with fixed $R_1, ..., R_M \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $Z_1 = = Z_N = Z$. We prove that if $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ is fermionic, then

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) = T_{\psi} + (V_{\mathcal{C}})_{\psi}$$

$$\geq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x + D(\rho_{\psi}, \rho_{\psi}) - \sum_{i=1}^M \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z\rho_{\psi}}{|x - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k \leq M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|} - C_2 N,$$

with some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of ψ and N.

Proof. By definition we have

$$(V_{\mathcal{C}})_{\psi} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} \frac{|\psi(x_1, ..., x_N)|^2}{|x_i - x_j|} - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{Z |\psi(x_1, ..., x_N)|^2}{|x_i - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x_1 ... \, \mathrm{d}x_N + \sum_{1 \le j < k \le M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|}.$$

$$(1.5)$$

Using that ψ is fermionic we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{Z \left| \psi(x_1, ..., x_N) \right|^2}{|x_i - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x_1 ... \, \mathrm{d}x_N = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z \rho_{\psi}(x_i)}{|x_i - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z \rho_{\psi}(x)}{|x - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

$$(1.6)$$

Furthermore, using the Lieb-Oxford inequality we find that

$$(V_{\rm C})_{\psi} \ge -C_{LO} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{4/3} \, \mathrm{d}x + D(\rho_{\psi}, \rho_{\psi}) - \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z\rho_{\psi}(x)}{|x - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{1 \le j < k \le M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|}.$$
 (1.7)

Therefore, by (a) we have

$$(V_{\rm C})_{\psi} \ge -C_{LO} \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x + \frac{N}{2\delta} \right) \mathrm{d}x + D(\rho_{\psi}, \rho_{\psi}) - \sum_{j=1}^{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z \rho_{\psi}(x)}{|x - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{1 \le j < k \le M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|}$$
(1.8)

Now we use the fact that there exist a constant C>0 such that $T_{\psi} \geq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} dx$. This can be seen¹ by considering the Lieb-Thirring inequality with potential $V=-\alpha \rho_{\psi}^{2/3}$ with some $\alpha>0$. Notice that then $V\in L^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by Sobolev's inequality and the fact that $\rho_{\psi}\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^2$. Thus we may apply the Lieb-Thirring inequality

$$\sum_{i} |E_{i}| \le L_{1,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V_{-}(x)^{5/2} dx = \alpha^{5/2} L_{1,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} dx.$$
 (1.9)

Notice however, that from the very definition of the eigenvalues we have $T_{\psi} \geq -V_{\psi} + E_0$. Thus

¹This is Problem 23(c) in the exercises for stability of matter.

 $^{{}^2\|}V\|_{5/2}^{5/2} = \alpha^{5/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}^{5/3}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \le \alpha^{5/2} \|\rho_{\psi}\|_3 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^{2/3} < \infty \text{ where the last inequality follows from Sobolev's inequality with } \psi \in H_1(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ and } \rho_{\psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3).$

we may conclude that

$$T_{\psi} \ge \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} dx - \alpha^{5/2} L_{1,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} dx.$$
 (1.10)

Thereby we see that if we choose $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha^{3/2} < L_{1,3}^{-1}$, there exist some constant $C = \alpha(1 - \alpha^{3/2}L_{1,3}) > 0$ such that

$$T_{\psi} \ge C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x.$$
 (1.11)

Combining this with (1.8) we find that

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \ge \left(C - C_{LO}\frac{\delta}{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, dx + D(\rho_{\psi}, \rho_{\psi}) - \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z\rho_{\psi}(x)}{|x - R_j|} \, dx + \sum_{1 \le j \le k \le M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|} - C_{LO}\frac{N}{2\delta}.$$
(1.12)

Now choosing $0 < \delta < \frac{2C}{C_{LO}}$, we find that $C_1 = \left(C - C_{LO} \frac{\delta}{2}\right) > 0$ and $C_2 = \frac{C_{LO}}{2\delta} > 0$ and

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \ge C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x + D(\rho_{\psi}, \rho_{\psi}) - \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z \rho_{\psi}(x)}{|x - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{1 \le j < k \le M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|} - C_2 N.$$
(1.13)

as desired.
$$\Box$$

(c)

We now prove that for any fermionic $\psi \in H_1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ it hold for any b > 0 that

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \ge C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x - Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \right) \, \mathrm{d}x - ZbN - C_2 N.$$
 (1.14)

with some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of ψ and N.

Proof. First notice that by the basic electrostatic inequality with measure $\mu(dx) = \rho_{\psi}(x) dx$ (which indeed defines a non-negative Borel measure since $\rho_{\psi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\rho_{\psi} \geq 0$) and the result of (b) it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \ge C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x - Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) \frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - C_2 N.$$
 (1.15)

Now using that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) dx = N$ we see that

$$-Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) \frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} dx = -Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \right) dx - ZbN, \tag{1.16}$$

from which the claim follows:

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \ge C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x - Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \right) \mathrm{d}x - ZbN - C_2N.$$
 (1.17)

Johannes Agerskov

(d)

Seeking to find the minimizer of the right hand side of (1.17), we notice that we restrict ρ_{ψ} to be non-negative, for it to be bounded from below. Thus we may rewrite the rhs. of (1.17) as

$$C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\sqrt{\rho_{\psi}(x)^2} \right)^{5/3} dx - Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\sqrt{\rho_{\psi}(x)^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \right) dx - ZbN - C_2 N.$$
 (1.18)

In this way we may allow ρ_{ψ} to take negative values which enables us to do the variation without restrictions. Thus we can write down the Euler-Lagrange equations (for $\rho_{\psi}(x) \neq 0$) in order to minimize (1.18). We find

$$\frac{5}{3}C_1\rho_{\psi}(x)\left(\sqrt{\rho_{\psi}(x)^2}\right)^{-1/3} - Z\rho_{\psi}(x)\left(\sqrt{\rho_{\psi}(x)^2}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b\right) = 0.$$
 (1.19)

Notice that all terms are well behaved in the limits $\rho_{\psi}(x) \to 0_{\pm}$, however the second term does have a discontinuity in approaching zero so we assume $\rho_{\psi}(x) \neq 0$. We observe that for $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b\right) > 0$ this equation have the solution

$$\rho_{\psi}(x) = \pm \left(\frac{3Z}{5C_1}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b\right)^{3/2},\tag{1.20}$$

Since we are seeking solutions satisfying $\rho_{\psi} \geq 0$, we of course choose the positive solution. It is not hard to check that letting $\rho_{\psi} = 0$ on any subset of $\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \right) > 0 \right\}$ with positive measure, will increase the lower bound of the energy, thus this is indeed a minimizer.

For $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}-b\right)\leq 0$ there is no solution, however by observing (1.18) directly we easily see that in this domain $\rho_{\psi}=0$ is the minimizer. Combining these domains we find that the functional obtained in (c) is minimized by

$$\rho_{\psi}(x) = d\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b\right)^{3/2} \chi_{\left\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \ge 0\right\}}(x)$$
(1.21)

with $d = \left(\frac{3Z}{5C_1}\right)^{3/2}$ which is independent of ψ and N.

Thereby, we may conclude that $\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq C(Z)(N+M)$. To see this notice that by inserting the minimizer on the left-hand side of (1.17) we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \ge (C_1 d^{5/3} - Zd) \int_{\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \ge 0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b\right)^{5/2} dx - ZbN - C_2 N$$

$$\ge \min\left\{0, (C_1 d^{5/3} - Zd)\right\} \int_{\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} \ge b\}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}\right)^{5/2} dx - (Zb + C_2) N$$
(1.22)

Now defining $\alpha := b^{-1}$ we have

$$\int_{\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} \ge b\}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}\right)^{5/2} dx \le \sum_{j=1}^{M} \int_{\{|x-R_j| \le \alpha\}} \left(\frac{1}{|x-R_j|}\right)^{5/2} dx = 8\pi\sqrt{\alpha}M, \tag{1.23}$$

where we used that $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}\right)^{5/2} \chi_{\left\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}\right\}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(\frac{1}{|x-R_j|}\right)^{5/2} \chi_{\left\{|x-R_j| \leq \alpha\right\}}$, which is obvious from the fact that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the left-hand side will equal at least one of the terms on the right-hand side, and since all the terms on the right-hand side are non-negative the inequality follows. From this it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \ge -K_1(Z)M - K_2(Z)N \ge -C(Z)(N+M) \tag{1.24}$$

with $K_1(Z) = \max \left\{ 0, -(C_1 d^{5/3} - Z d) \right\} \frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{b}}, K_2(Z) = (Z b + C_2), \text{ and } C(Z) = \max \{ K_1(Z), K_2(Z) \}.$ Inserting the value of d in $K_1(Z)$ we find $K_1(Z) = \frac{6}{25} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} Z \left(\frac{Z}{C_1} \right)^{3/2} \frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{b}}.^3.$

2 The volume occupied by matter

Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ $(\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N}))$ be a fermionic wave function with $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$.

(a)

It holds that $\mathcal{E}(\psi) = T_{\psi} + (V_{\rm C})_{\psi} \ge -CN$ where C > 0 depends on Z and the ratio M/N. This is a direct consequence of the result from problem 1. Since we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \ge -C(Z)(M+N) = -C(Z)(M/N+1)N = -CN, \tag{2.1}$$

where C = C(Z)(M/N + 1).

(b)

Using a scaling argument, it is possible to conclude from (a) that

$$(1 - \lambda)T_{\psi} + (V_{\mathcal{C}})_{\psi} \ge -\frac{CN}{1 - \lambda},\tag{2.2}$$

for any $0 < \lambda < 1$. From this it follows that

$$T_{\psi} \le \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN}{\lambda} + \frac{CN}{1 - \lambda} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(b - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} \right)^{5/2} dx \le M \frac{5\pi^2}{4\sqrt{b}}$$
 (1.25)

in the proof above one could have gotten the even tighter bound $K_1(Z) = \frac{6}{25} \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} Z \left(\frac{Z}{C_1}\right)^{3/2} \frac{5\pi^2}{4\sqrt{b}}$

³Using the bound from the lecture notes on page 45 (mid)

Proof. To see this, notice that from (2.2) we have

$$-\lambda T_{\psi} \ge -\frac{CN}{1-\lambda} - \mathcal{E}(\psi),\tag{2.4}$$

from which it follows that

$$T_{\psi} \le \frac{CN}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)}{\lambda} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN}{\lambda} + \frac{CN}{1-\lambda},$$
 (2.5)

where we in the last equality used the partial fraction decomposition $\frac{CN}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} = \frac{CN}{\lambda} + \frac{CN}{1-\lambda}$.

From this we may conclude that

$$T_{\psi} \le (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})^2. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. For $\mathcal{E}(\psi) = 0$ it follows by choosing $\lambda = 1/2$ in (2.3). Now assume $\mathcal{E}(\psi) \neq 0$, we then optimize (2.3) w.r.t λ :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda} \left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN}{\lambda} + \frac{CN}{1 - \lambda} \right) = -\frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN}{\lambda^2} + \frac{CN}{(1 - \lambda)^2} = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Using that $0 < \lambda < 1$, this is equivalent to

$$-(1-\lambda)^2(\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN) - \lambda^2 CN = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

which has the solutions $\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN \pm \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)CN + C^2N^2}}{\mathcal{E}(\psi)}$, where we see that only the λ_{-} solution is consistent with $0 < \lambda < 1$ (it is consistent since $\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq -CN$). We now insert this λ_{-} back into (2.3). First notice that by combining (2.3) and (2.7) we have

$$T_{\psi}/\lambda_{-} \le \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN}{\lambda^{2}} + \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda_{-})\lambda_{-}} = \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda_{-})^{2}} + \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda_{-})\lambda_{-}} = \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda_{-})^{2}\lambda_{-}}.$$
 (2.9)

Thus, we find

$$T_{\psi} \leq \frac{CN}{(1 - \lambda_{-})^{2}} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)^{2}CN}{(-CN + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)CN + C^{2}N^{2}})^{2}} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)^{2}}{(-\sqrt{CN} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})^{2}(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} - \sqrt{CN})^{2}}{(-\sqrt{CN} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN})^{2}}$$

$$= (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})^{2},$$
(2.10)

such that we have

$$T_{\psi} \le (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})^2, \tag{2.11}$$

as desired.
$$\Box$$

(c)

It is known that for any p>0 there exist a $C_p>0$ independent of ρ_{ψ} such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{p/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^p \, \rho_{\psi}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge C_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1 + \frac{5p}{6}},\tag{2.12}$$

Thus from the previous sections it follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) |x|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \ge C_p' \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)/N + C} + \sqrt{C}\right)^{-1} N^{1/3}.$$
 (2.13)

Proof. By the proof of problem 1.(b) we know that there exist C' independent of ρ_{ψ} such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x \le C' T_{\psi}. \tag{2.14}$$

Combining this with problem 2.(b) we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x \le C' (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})^2.$$
 (2.15)

Now using that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_{\psi}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = N$ we get from (2.12) the inequality

$$\left(\sqrt{C'}\left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN}\right)\right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^p \,\rho_{\psi}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x \ge C_p N^{1+5p/6}. \tag{2.16}$$

Using monotonicity of $x \mapsto x^{1/p}$ with p > 0, we find

$$\left(\sqrt{C'}(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^p \,\rho_{\psi}(x) \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} \ge C_p N^{5/6} N^{1/p},\tag{2.17}$$

which is equivalent to (since all quantities are positive)

$$\left(\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^p \, \rho_{\psi}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} \ge \left(\sqrt{C'} (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})\right)^{-1} C_p N^{5/6}
= C'_p \left((\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)/N + C} + \sqrt{C}) \right)^{-1} N^{1/3},$$
(2.18)

where we defined $C_p' = C_p/\sqrt{C'}$ which is clearly independent of ρ_{ψ} . Setting p = 1 we find that the average distance from all the particles to the centre scales (at least) like $N^{1/3}$.

3 Local and locally bounded Hamiltonians are bounded

We are considering the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d;\mathbb{C}^N)$. We denote by $|y,\sigma_i\rangle$ the function $x\mapsto \delta_{x,y} |\sigma_i\rangle$ where $(|\sigma_i\rangle)_{i\in\{1,\dots,N\}}$ forms an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^N . Thus, $(|x,\sigma_i\rangle)_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\dots,N\}}$ forms a basis of $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d;\mathbb{C}^N)$. Letting P_x denote the orthogonal projection $P_x = \sum_{i=1}^N |x,\sigma_i\rangle \langle x,\sigma_i|$, we specify a Hamiltonian H, on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d;\mathbb{C}^N)$ by specifying its hopping matrices $H_{yx} = P_y H P_x$ and requiring:

- R-locality: $H_{yx} = 0$ if $||x y||_1 \ge R$,
- local boundedness: There is a c > 0 such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have $||H_{yx}|| \le c$.

A priori, it is not clear that specifying the hopping matrices defines the Hamiltonian uniquely. However, we show in this exercise that the hopping matrices, *R*-locality, and local boundedness indeed defines a unique Hamiltonian that, furthermore, is bounded.

Notice first that the set of all finite linear combination of $(|x, \sigma_i\rangle)_{(x,i) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \{1,\dots,N\}}$, denoted by $\langle |x, \sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \{1,\dots,N\}}$, forms a dense subset of $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{C}^N)$ (which is also why they form a basis). Furthermore, we note that the action of H on $\langle |x, \sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \{1,\dots,N\}}$ is clearly defined by the hopping matrices since the hopping matrices defines the action on each basis vector

$$H|x,\sigma_i\rangle = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d} H_{yx}|x,\sigma_i\rangle,$$
 (3.1)

and this action can be linearly extended to all finite linear combinations of the basis vectors by

$$H\left(\sum_{(l,i)=(1,1)}^{(K,M)} c_{l,i} | x_l, i \right) = \sum_{(l,i)=(1,1)}^{(K,M)} c_{l,i} H | x_l, \sigma_i \rangle = \sum_{(l,i)=(1,1)}^{(K,M)} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_{l,i} H_{yx_l} | x_l, \sigma_i \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_l H_{yx_l} | x_l, \sigma^l \rangle.$$
(3.2)

where we introduced $|x_l,\sigma^l\rangle=\frac{1}{c_l}\sum_{i=1}^M c_{l,i}\,|x_l,\sigma_i\rangle$ and $c_l=(\sum_{i=1}^M|c_{l,i}|^2)^{1/2}$. Notice also that $M\leq N$. We clearly have that $(|x_l,\sigma^l\rangle)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ are orthonormal vectors and $(c_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d}\in l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Here R-locality ensures that the sums in (3.1) and (3.2) are finite. Now notice that H is actually bounded on $\langle |x,\sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\dots,N\}}$. This can be seen by the following estimate. Let $|v\rangle=\sum_{(l,i)=(1,1)}^{(K,M)}c_{l,i}\,|x_l,i\rangle$ be some finite linear combination of the basis vectors $|x,\sigma_i\rangle$. First for notational convenience we introduce the notation $|l\rangle=|x_l,\sigma^l\rangle$, with $|x_l,\sigma^l\rangle=\frac{1}{c_l}\sum_{i=1}^M c_{l,i}\,|x_l,\sigma_i\rangle$ and $c_l=(\sum_{i=1}^M|c_{l,i}|^2)^{1/2}$, such that $|v\rangle=\sum_{l=1}^K c_l\,|l\rangle$. Then we find

$$\left\| H\left(\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_{l} | l \rangle\right) \right\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{l'=1}^{K} \sum_{y \in Z^{d}} \sum_{y' \in Z^{d}} \langle l' | \overline{c_{l'}} (H_{y'x_{l'}})^{*} H_{yx_{l}} c_{l} | l \rangle.$$
(3.3)

Since $(H_{yx})^*$ is also R-local and locally bounded⁴, and we have $(H_{yx})^* = (P_y H P_x)^* = (P_x H^* P_y) = H_{xy}^*$, we conclude that

$$\left\| H\left(\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_{l} | l \rangle\right) \right\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{l'=1}^{K} \sum_{y \in Z^{d}} \left\langle l' | \overline{c_{l'}} H_{x_{l'}y}^{*} H_{yx_{l}} c_{l} | l \right\rangle.$$
(3.4)

Notice that $H_{x_{l'}y}^*H_{yx_l}$ is only non-zero if $||x_l - x_{l'}||_1 \le ||x_l - y||_1 + ||y - x_{l'}||_1 \le 2(R - 1)$. Thereby we have

$$\left\| H\left(\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_{l} | l \right) \right\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{l'=1}^{K} \sum_{y \in Z^{d}} \langle l' | \overline{c_{l'}} H_{x_{l'}y}^{*} H_{yx_{l}} c_{l} | l \rangle$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{\substack{l'=1 \\ \|x_{l}-x_{l'}\|_{1} \leq 2(R-1)}}^{K} \sum_{y \in Z^{d}} \chi_{\{\|y-x_{l}\|_{1} < R\}} \chi_{\{\|y-x_{l'}\|_{1} < R\}} |c_{l}| |c_{l'}| c^{2}$$

$$\leq \operatorname{Num}(R) (2\operatorname{Num}(2R-1) - 1) \sum_{l=1}^{K} |c_{l}|^{2} c^{2},$$

$$(3.5)$$

where Num(R) denotes the number of lattice points in

$$B_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(0,R)^{\|\cdot\|_1} = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|x\|_1 < R \}, \tag{3.6}$$

i.e. the ball of radius R in the Manhattan metric. The first inequality of (3.5) is simply the triangle inequality of the sums followed by Cauchy-Schwartz and use of bounds $||H_{yx}|| \le c$ and $||H_{x_{l'}y}^*|| \le c$. To understand the second inequality notice that

$$\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_{\{\|y - x_l\|_1 < R\}} \chi_{\{\|y - x_{l'}\|_1 < R\}} \le \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_{\{\|y - x_l\|_1 < R\}} = \operatorname{Num}(R).$$
(3.7)

Furthermore, we used the following bound of the finite sum

$$\sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{\substack{l'=1\\ \|x_l - x_{l'}\|_1 \le 2(R-1)}}^{K} |c_l| |c_l'| \le (2\text{Num}(2R-1) - 1) \sum_{l=1}^{K} |c_l|^2.$$
(3.8)

This bound can be shown as follows, take the $\beta \in \{1,...,K\}$ such that $|c_{\beta}| \geq |c_{l}|$ for all $l \in \{1,...,K\}$. Then we observe

$$\sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{\substack{l'=1\\ \|x_{l}-x_{l'}\|_{1} \leq 2(R-1)}}^{K} |c_{l}| |c'_{l}| \leq (2\operatorname{Num}(2R-1)-1) |c_{\beta}|^{2} + \sum_{\substack{l=1\\ l\neq \beta}}^{K} \sum_{\substack{l'=1\\ l'\neq \beta\\ \|x_{l}-x_{l'}\|_{1} \leq 2(R-1)}}^{K} |c_{l}| |c'_{l}|, \quad (3.9)$$

⁴This follows from the fact that $(H_{yx})^* = 0$ when $H_{yx} = 0$ and $||(H_{yx})^*|| = ||(H_{yx})|| \le c$.

where we have simply taken all terms in the sum of the form $|c_{\beta}||c_{l}|$ and replaced with the larger term $|c_{\beta}|^{2}$, and used that there is a maximal of (2Num(2R-1)-1) such terms. Here 2Num(2R-1)-1 comes from the bound on the distance between x_{l} and $x_{l'}$. By induction of (3.9) we find (3.8).

Notice now that $\sum_{l=1}^{K} |c_l|^2 = \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l |l\rangle \right\|_2^2$. Thus, we have shown in (3.5) that

$$\left\| H\left(\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l | l \right) \right\|_2^2 \le \text{Num}(R)(2\text{Num}(2R-1)-1)c^2 \left\| \sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l | l \right\|_2^2, \tag{3.10}$$

which implies $||H|| \leq c\sqrt{\operatorname{Num}(R)(2\operatorname{Num}(2R-1)-1)}$. Therefore, we only need to bound $\operatorname{Num}(R)$. This can be done most easily by noticing that the ball $B_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(0,R)^{\|\cdot\|_1}$ can be embedded in \mathbb{R}^d . Now imagine forming unit d-dimensional cubes symmetrically around each lattice point in $B_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(0,R)^{\|\cdot\|_1}$, *i.e.* with the lattice point in the centre. Then the cubes overlap at most on a set of (Lebesgue) measure zero, and this collection of cubes is contained in a d-dimensional cube, \mathcal{K} , with diagonal D=2R. Since D can be related to the side lengths, a, by $D=\sqrt{d}a$, we have $\operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{K})=(2R)^d d^{-d/2}$. Thus, as each lattice point corresponds to a cube of volume exactly 1, the number of of lattice point in $B_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(0,R)^{\|\cdot\|_1}$ can be bounded by

$$Num(R) \le (2R)^d d^{-d/2}.$$
(3.11)

Thereby, we arrive at the bound

$$||H|| \le c\sqrt{d^{-d/2}(2R)^d \left(2d^{-d/2}(2R-1)^d - 1\right)} \le c\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{2R}{\sqrt{d}}\right)^d,$$
 (3.12)

where the second inequality presents a less tight bound, but more simple, expression. Now that it is known that H is bounded (and thus continuous) on the dense subspace $\langle |x,\sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\dots,N\}}$, it is clear that it extends to a bounded operator on all of $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d;\mathbb{C}^N)$. We simply extend H to all limit-points of $\langle |x,\sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\dots,N\}}$ by continuity.

4 Wannier states

Cosider the Fermi projector of a one-dimensional transnationally invariant insulator with one occupied band. It is described by an analytic projection valued map $\mathbb{T} \to \operatorname{Proj}_1(\mathbb{C}^N) : k \mapsto \tilde{P}(k)$, where \mathbb{T} is the one dimensional Brillouin zone (the circle). Suppose we have an analytic unit section $k \mapsto v(k) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ with ||v(k)|| = 1 and $\tilde{P}(k) = |v(k)\rangle \langle v(k)|$. We then define the Wannier states $w_x \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{C}^N)$ by

$$w_x(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk \ e^{-ik(x-y)} v(k), \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (4.1)

(a)

We show first that the Wannier states $\{w_x : x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ form an orthonormal basis of Ran (P) where $(P_{yx})_{j,i} = \langle y, \sigma_j | P | x, \sigma_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{ik(y-x)} \langle \sigma_j | \tilde{P}(k) | \sigma_i \rangle$. Let $|v\rangle \in \text{Ran}(P)$ i.e. $|v\rangle = P |u\rangle$ for some $|u\rangle \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{C}^N)$. We may calculate $|v\rangle$ by expanding

$$|u\rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{x,i} |x, \sigma_i\rangle, \qquad (4.2)$$

and using

$$\langle y, \sigma'_{j} | P | u \rangle = \langle y, \sigma'_{j} | \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{x,i} P | x, \sigma_{i} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{x,i} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{ik(y-x)} \langle \sigma'_{j} | v(k) \rangle \langle v(k) | \sigma_{i} \rangle.$$

$$(4.3)$$

Now notice that (Fourier inversion theorem)

$$v(k) = \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{x'}(y') e^{ik(x'-y')}.$$
(4.4)

where y' is arbitrary. Combining (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain

$$\langle y, \sigma'_{j} | P | u \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{x,i} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{ik(y-x)} e^{ik(x'-y)} \langle \sigma'_{j} | w_{x'}(y) \rangle \langle v(k) | \sigma_{i} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{x,i} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{ik(x'-x)} \langle \sigma'_{j} | w_{x'}(y) \rangle \langle v(k) | \sigma_{i} \rangle$$

$$= \langle y, \sigma'_{j} | \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{c}_{x'} w_{x'}.$$

$$(4.5)$$

where $\tilde{c}_{x'} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{x,i} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{ik(x'-x)} \langle v(k) | \sigma_i \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{x,i} \langle w_{x'}(x) | \sigma_i \rangle = \langle w_{x'} | u \rangle$. Since $|y, \sigma_j' \rangle$ was arbitrary we conclude that $P | u \rangle = \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle w_{x'} | u \rangle w_{x'}$. We note that the x' sum in the above calculation is absolutely convergent as a consequence of Parseval's identity with the fact that v(k) is analytic. This shows that the Wannier states span $\operatorname{Ran}(P)$. It Remains to show that they are orthonormal and thus form an orthonormal basis.

To see this consider the inner product

$$\langle w_x', w_x \rangle = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle w_{x'}(y) | w_x(y) \rangle.$$
 (4.6)

To calculate this we notice that $(w_x(y))_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ are the Fourier coefficients of $e^{-ikx}v(k)$, and $(w'_x(y))_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ are similarly the Fourier coefficients of $e^{-ikx'}v(k)$. Thus by analyticity of v(k)

and Parselval's identity we immediately conclude

$$\langle w_x', w_x \rangle = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle w_{x'}(y) | w_x(y) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{ik(x'-x)} \langle v(k) | v(k) \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{ik(x'-x)} = \delta_{x,x'}.$$

$$(4.7)$$

This concludes that the Wannier states are orthonormal.

(b)

Now as we have already seen the Wannier states are the Fourier coefficients of v(k) as seen in (4.4). Thus, by analyticity of $k \mapsto v(k)$ it is a well-known fact that the Fourier coefficients and thus $w_x(y)$ must have exponential decay, *i.e.* there exist C > 0 and $\mu > 0$ such that

$$|w_x(y)| \le Ce^{-\mu|x-y|} \tag{4.8}$$

(c)

We now show that the Wannier functions translates to each other i.e.

$$w_x(y) = w_{x+r}(y+r), \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (4.9)

This may be seen directly from the definition

$$w_x(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk \ e^{-ik(x-y)} v(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk \ e^{-ik((x+r)-(y+r))} v(k) = w_{x+r}(y+r)$$
 (4.10)

(d)

We now show the converse, namely that if Ran (P) is spanned by an orthonormal family of Wannier states, w_x that are exponentially localized and are translated to each other, then P admits an analytic unit section. To do this notice that $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} w_x(y) e^{ik(x-y)}$ is a finite sum due to the exponential localization. Furthermore, it is independent of y since

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} w_x(y) e^{ik(x-y)} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} w_{x-y}(0) e^{ik(x-y)} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} w_z(0) e^{ikz}.$$
 (4.11)

Thus we define $v(k) := \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} w_x(y) e^{ik(x-y)}$, then clearly $w_x(y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{-ik(x-y)} v(k)$. Furthermore, v(k) defines a section of $\tilde{P}(k)$ since w_x spans Ran (P). Notice namely that

$$P = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |w_x\rangle \langle w_x|, \qquad (4.12)$$

since $(w_x)_{x\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal set spanning Ran (P). Thus we have

$$(P_{yx})_{j,i} = \sum_{x' \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \sigma_j | w_{x'}(y) \rangle \langle w_{x'}(x) | \sigma_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{ik(y-x)} \langle \sigma_j | v(k) \rangle \langle v(k) | \sigma_i \rangle$$
(4.13)

where we used Parseval's identity in the second equality with the facts that $(\langle \sigma_j | w_{x'}(y) \rangle)_{x' \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are the Fourier coefficients of $e^{iky} \langle \sigma_j | v(k) \rangle$ and that $(\langle w_{x'}(x) | \sigma_i \rangle)_{x' \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are the Fourier coefficients of $e^{-ikx} \langle v(k), \sigma_i \rangle$. However, knowing that

$$(P_{yx})_{j,i} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} dk e^{ik(y-x)} \langle \sigma_j | \tilde{P}(k) | \sigma_i \rangle, \qquad (4.14)$$

we conclude⁵ that $\tilde{P}(k) = |v(k)\rangle \langle v(k)|$. Therefore, $\tilde{P}(k)v(k) = v(k)$ and $k \mapsto v(k)$ forms a section of $k \mapsto \tilde{P}(k)$. That $\langle v(k), v(k)\rangle = 1$ follows from Parseval's identity and the normalization of w_x again. Finally we notice that $k \mapsto v(k)$ is analytic as its Fourier coefficients are exponentially localized (thus the Cauchy-Riemann conditions can be verified in a neighbourhood of the real line by allowing k to take values in \mathbb{C} and differentiating under the sum). This proves that $k \mapsto \tilde{P}(k)$ admits an analytic unit section.

⁵This is Fourier's inversion theorem applied to each matrix element (i, j).