# Mandatory Assignment 1 for FunAn

#### Problem 1

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ ,  $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$  be (non-zero) normed vector spaces over  $\mathbb{K}$ 

a) Let  $T: X \to Y$  be a linear map, and set  $||x||_0 = ||x||_X + ||Tx||_Y$  for all  $x \in X$ . We want to show that  $||\cdot||_0$  is a norm on X:

$$||x + y||_0 = ||x + y||_X + ||T(x + y)||$$

$$\leq ||x||_X + ||y||_X + ||Tx||_Y + ||Ty||_Y$$

$$= ||x||_0 + ||y||_0, \quad x, y \in X$$

since  $\|\cdot\|_X, \|\cdot\|_Y$  are norms and T is linear, so the triangular inequality holds.

$$\|\alpha x\|_{0} = \|\alpha x\|_{X} + \|T(\alpha x)\|_{Y}$$
$$= |\alpha|\|x\|_{X} + |\alpha|\|Tx\|_{Y}$$
$$= |\alpha|\|x\|_{0}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{K}, x \in X$$

again since  $\|\cdot\|_X, \|\cdot\|_Y$  are norms and T is linear.

Let x = 0 then  $||0||_0 = 0 + ||T0||_Y = 0$ . And if  $||x||_0 = 0$  then  $||x||_X = -||Tx||_Y$  so x = 0. Thus  $||\cdot||_0$  is a norm on X.

We want to show that  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_0$  are equivalent iff T is bounded.

Assume there exists  $c_1, c_2$  where  $0 < c_1 \le c_2$  s.t  $c_{\parallel} x \parallel_X \le \|x\|_0 \le c_2 \|x\|_X$  for  $x \in X$ . So  $\|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y \le c_2 \|x\|_X$ .

But then  $||Tx||_Y \le c_2 ||x||_X - ||x||_X \le c_2 ||x||_X + ||x||_X = (c_2 + 1) ||x||_X$ , where  $c_2 + 1 > 0$ . So T is bounded.

Assume T is bounded. So there exists a C > 0 s.t.  $||Tx||_Y \le C||x||_X$  for all  $x \in X$ .

We then have that  $||x||_X + ||Tx||_Y \le ||x||_X + C||x||_X = (C+1)||x||_X$ , where C+1>0.

And  $||x||_X \le ||x||_X + ||Tx||_Y$ , since  $||Tx||_Y \le 0$ . So we have that  $||x||_X \le ||x||_0 \le (C+1)||x||_X$  where  $0 < 1 \le C+1$ . Thus  $||\cdot||_X$  and  $||\cdot||_0$  are equivalent.

**b)** We want to show that if X is finite dimensional then any linear map  $T:X\to Y$  is bounded.

Assume X is finite dimensional (dimX = n). Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis for X. Given  $x \in X$  there exists unique scalars  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{K}$  s.t.  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$ .

$$||Tx||_{Y} = \left| \left| T \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} e_{i} \right) \right| \right|_{Y} = \left| \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} T e_{i} \right| \right|_{Y}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}| ||Te_{i}||_{Y} \leq ||x||_{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||Te_{i}||_{Y}$$

$$= C||x||_{\infty}$$

where  $C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||Te_i||_Y$ . But since X is finite dimensional we have by theorem 1.6 that any two norms on X are equivalent.

So there exists  $c_1, c_2, 0 < c_1 \le c_2$  s.t

$$c_1 ||x||_x \le ||x||_\infty \le c_2 ||x||_X$$

So  $||Tx||_Y \leq C||x||_\infty \leq C \cdot c_2||x||_X$ , where  $C \cdot c_2 = K > 0$ . Thus T is bounded.

c) Suppose X is infinite dimensional. We want to show that there exists a linear map  $T: X \to Y$ , which is not bounded.

Take a Hamel basis  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  for X. So  $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$  is unique family with  $x = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i e_i$ and  $\{i \in I : \lambda_i \neq 0\}$  is finite.

Let  $\left(\frac{e_i}{\|e_i\|_X}\right)_{i\in I}$  be a family of elements in X. Then we have that  $(\lambda_i\|e_i\|_X)_{i\in I}$  is a unique family in  $\mathbb{K}$  where  $\sum_{i\in I}(\lambda_i\|e_i\|)\frac{e_i}{\|e_i\|_X}=\sum_{i\in I}\lambda_ie_i=x$  and  $\{i\in I:$ 

 $\lambda_i \|e_i\|_X \neq 0\}. \text{ So } \left(\frac{e_i}{\|e_i\|_X}\right)_{i \in I} \text{ also a Hamel basis for } X \text{ with } \left\|\frac{e_i}{\|e_i\|_X}\right\|_X = 1.$  So we can chose a Hamel basis  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  s.t  $\|e_i\|_X = 1$  for all  $i \in I$ . Let  $\left(\frac{iy_i}{\|y_i\|_Y}\right)_{i \in I}$  be a family in Y where  $\left\|\frac{iy_i}{\|y_i\|_Y}\right\|_Y = i$  for all  $i \in I$ , but since X is

infinite dimensional we have that I contains infinite elements. So  $\left\|\frac{iy_i}{\|y_i\|_Y}\right\|_Y \to \infty$ as  $i \to \infty$ . Then we can choose a family  $(y_i)_{i \in I}$  in Y where  $||y_i||_Y \to \infty$  as  $i \to \infty$ .

Because  $(e_i)_{i\in I}$  is Hamel basis we have there exists a linear map  $T:X\to Y$  s.t.  $T(e_i) = y_i \text{ for all } i \in I.$ 

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , then there exists a  $n \in I$  s.t.  $||y_i||_Y > N$  for all  $i \ge n$ .

But then  $||T(e_i)||_Y = ||y_i||_Y > N = N||e_i||_X$  since  $||e_i||_X = 1$  for all  $i \ge n$ . So T is not bounded.

d) Suppose X is infinite dimensional. Then there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$  on X, which is not equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and which satisfies  $\|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0$ .

Let  $\|\cdot\|_0$  be as in (a) with the linear map T from (c) then  $\|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Since X is infinite dimensional we have that by (c) that T is not bounded, and then by (a) we have that  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_0$  are not equivalent.

We now want to show that if  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is a Banach space then  $(X, \|\cdot\|_0)$  is not complete. Let  $f:(X,\|\cdot\|_0)\to (X,\|\cdot\|_X)$  given by f(x)=x in the other norm. Since  $||f(x)||_X = ||x||_X \le ||x||_0$  we have that f is continuous by Proposition 1.10. We have that f is not homeomorphism since the norms  $\|\cdot\|_0$  and  $\|\cdot\|_X$  are not equivalent. But then f is not open.

Assume that  $(X_{\parallel} \cdot \parallel_X)$  is a Banach space, and assume for contradiction that  $(X, \|\cdot\|_0)$  is also a Banach space.

Since f is continuous we have  $f \in \mathcal{L}((X, \|\cdot\|_0), (X, \|\cdot\|_X))$  and f is surjective so by Theorem 3.15 (the Open mapping theorem) we have that f is open, but this a contradiction. So  $(X, \|\cdot\|_0)$  is not complete.

f) Take  $(X, \|\cdot\|) = (\ell_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_1)$  and  $\|\cdot\|' = \|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ . Then

$$\|(x_n)_{n\geq 1}\|_{\infty} = \max\{|x_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| = \|(x_n)_{n\geq 1}\|_1$$

We have that  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  are not equivalent.

Assume for contradiction that there exists C > 0 s.t  $||(x_n)_{n \ge 1}||_1 \le C||(x_n)_{n \ge 1}||_{\infty}$ . Take the sequence

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n \le \lceil C+1 \rceil \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

Then we have that  $\|(x_n)_{n\geq 1}\| = \lceil C+1 \rceil > C = C\|(x_n)_{n\geq 1}\|_{\infty}$ , since  $\|(x_n)_{n\geq 1}\|_{\infty} = 1$ . So  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  are not equivalent.

We have that  $(\ell_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_1)$  is a Banach space. Assume for contradiction that  $(\ell_1, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is a Banach space. We repeat the argument from (d) with the function  $f: (\ell_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \to (\ell_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_1)$  given by f(x) = x. And get a contradiction. And so  $(\ell_1, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is not complete.

#### Problem 2

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  be fixed, and consider the subspace M of the Banach space  $(\ell_p(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_p)$ , considered as a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , given by

$$M = \{(a, b, 0, \dots) : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}\$$

Let  $f: M \to \mathbb{C}$  be given by f(a, b, 0...) = a + b for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ 

a) We want to show that f is bounded on  $(M, \|\cdot\|_p)$ . We have that

$$|f(a,b,0,\ldots)| = |a+b| \le |a| + |b| = (|a|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (|b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

But  $(|a|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le (|a|^p + |b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , since  $|b|^p \le 0$ . The same inequality holds for  $(|b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . So

$$(|a|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (|b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \le (|a|^p + |b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} + (|a|^p + |b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$= 2(|a|^p + |b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 2||(a, b, 0, \dots)||_p$$

Hence  $|f(a,b,0,\dots)| \le 2\|(a,b,0,\dots)\|_p$ , so f is bounded.

Now we want to compute ||f||. We spilt it up in two cases.

For p = 1: Since f is bounded, we have by Remark 1.11 that:

$$||f|| = \sup\{|f(a, b, 0, \dots)| : ||(a, b, 0, \dots)||_1 = 1\}$$
  
=  $\sup\{|a + b| : |a| + |b| = 1\}$ 

Then for |a| + |b| = 1 we have that  $|a + b| \le |a| + |b| = 1$ , so  $||f|| \le 1$ . And we see that  $|f(1,0,\ldots)| = 1$  where  $||(1,0,\ldots)||_1 = 1$  so  $||f|| \ge 1$ . Then for p = 1 we have that ||f|| = 1.

For 1 : Again by Remark 1.11 we have that

$$||f|| = \sup\{|f(a, b, 0, \dots)| : ||(a, b, 0, \dots)||_p = 1\}$$

$$= \sup\{|a + b| : (|a|^p + |b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 1\}$$

$$= \sup\{|a + b| : |a|^p + |b|^p = 1\}$$

We see for 
$$a = b = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$
 that  $\left\| \left( \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}, 0, \dots \right) \right\|_p = \left| \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right|^p + \left| \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right|^p = 1.$ 

We also have that  $\left| f\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right) \right| = \frac{2}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ . Thus  $||f|| \ge \frac{2}{2^{\frac{1}{p}}} = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$ .

Now we want to show that  $||f|| \le 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = 2^{\frac{1}{q}}$  for where we have assumed that

 $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$ 

Recall Hölder's inequality from HW1. For  $1 and <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n y_n| \le \|(x_n)_{n \ge 1}\|_p \|(y_n)_{n \ge 1}\|_q$$

where  $(x_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \ell_p(\mathbb{N})$  and  $(y_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \ell_q(\mathbb{N})$ . We use Hölder's inequality for  $(a,b,0,\ldots) \in \ell_p(\mathbb{N})$  and  $(1,1,0\ldots) \in \ell_q(\mathbb{N})$ . We then get

$$|a+b| \le |a| + |b| \le (|a|^p + |b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot (1+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

And  $(|a|^p+|b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\cdot (1+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}=1\cdot 2^{\frac{1}{q}}$  for  $|a|^p+|b|^p=1$ . This means that  $||f||\leq 2^{\frac{1}{q}}=2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$ . Thus  $||f||=2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$  for  $1< p<\infty$ .

b) We want to show that for 1 there is a unique linear functional Fon  $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$  extending f and satisfying ||F|| = ||f||.

We know such a functional exists by Corollary 2.6. Since by (a) we have that fis bounded so  $f \in \mathcal{L}(M, \mathbb{K})$ , and the conditions follows from Corollary 2.6.

Show we want to show uniqueness. By HW1 Pb 5 we have that  $(\ell_p(\mathbb{N}))^* \cong \ell_q(\mathbb{N})$ isometrically isometric when  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

Let  $F: \ell_p(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbb{C}$  be extension. Then by HW1 Pb 5

$$F((y_n)_{n\geq 1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n, \quad \text{for some } (x_n)_{n\geq 1} \in \ell_q(\mathbb{N})$$

We see that for  $(1, 0, ...), (0, 1, 0, ...) \in M$ 

$$x_1 = F(1, 0, \dots) = f(1, 0, \dots) = 1$$
  
 $x_2 = F(0, 1, 0, \dots) = f(0, 1, 0, \dots) = 1$ 

Since  $(\ell_p(\mathbb{N}))^* \cong \ell_q(\mathbb{N})$  isometrically isometric we have that  $\|(x_n)_{n\geq 1}\| = \|F\|$ , and  $||F|| = ||f|| = 2^{\frac{1}{q}}$ , thus  $||(x_n)_{n \ge 1}|| = 2^{\frac{1}{q}}$ . We also have that

$$||(x_n)_{n\geq 1}|| = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(x_1 + x_2 \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} |x_n|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$
$$= \left(2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} |x_n|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Since  $(2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} |x_n|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} = ||(x_n)_{n\geq 1}|| = 2^{\frac{1}{q}}$  then  $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} |x_n|^q = 0$  so  $x_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

Thus  $F((y_n)_{n>1}) = y_1 + y_2$ 

c) We want to show that if p=1 then there are infinitely many linear functional F in  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  extending f and satisfying ||F|| = ||f||.

So assume p = 1. Let  $F_t : \ell_1(\mathbb{N}) \to \mathbb{N}$ . We want to show that

 $F_t((y_n)_{n\geq 1})=y_1+y_2+\frac{1}{2}y_t$  for  $t\geq 3$  is an infinite family of such extensions.

We see that  $F_t|_M = f$ . We have that

$$||F_t|| = \sup\{|F_t((y_n)_{n\geq 1}) : ||(y_n)_{n\geq 1}||_1 = 1\}$$

$$= \sup\left\{|y_1 + y_2 + \frac{1}{2}y_t| : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n| = 1\right\}$$

$$\leq \sup\left\{|y_1| + |y_2| + \frac{1}{2}|y_t| : |y_1| + |y_2| + |y_t| \le 1\right\}$$

$$< 1$$

since if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n| = 1$  then we must have that  $|y_1| + |y_2| + |y_t| \le 1$ , and since  $|y_1| + |y_2| + \frac{1}{2}|y_t| \le |y_1| + |y_2| + |y_t| \le 1$ . We also see that  $\|(1,0,\dots)\|_1 = 1$  and  $|F_t(1,0,\dots)| = 1$  so  $\|F_t\| \ge 1$ . This means that  $\|F_t\| = 1 = \|f\|$ .

#### Problem 3

Let X be an infinite dimensional normed vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

a) Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. We want to show that no linear map  $F: X \to \mathbb{K}^n$  is injective.

Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1} \in X$  be linear independent. The we have that  $span\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}\} \subset X$ . But this is n+1 dimensional so there is no injective linear map  $span\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n+1}\} \to \mathbb{K}^n$ . And any injective map  $F: X \to \mathbb{K}^n$  would restrict to injective linear map on the subspace. So there is no such injective map  $F: X \to \mathbb{K}^n$ .

b) Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in X^*$ . We want to show that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} \ker f_j \neq \{0\}$$

Consider the map  $F: X \to \mathbb{K}^n$  given by  $F(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x, \dots, f_n(x)))$  for  $x \in X$ . We have that

$$\ker F = \{x \in X : F(x) = 0\}$$

$$= \{x \in X : (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x) = 0)\}$$

$$= \{x \in X : f_j(x) = 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

$$= \left\{x \in X : \bigcap_{j=1}^n f_j(x) = 0\right\}$$

$$= \bigcap_{j=1}^n \ker f_j \neq \{0\}$$

since  $\ker F \neq \{0\}$  since by (a) F is not injective.

c) Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ . We want to show there exists a  $y \in X$  s.t. ||y|| = 1 and  $||y - x_j|| \ge ||x_j||$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ .

Assume  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \neq 0$ . Since if  $x_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$  then let y be an unit vector, and then the two conditions are met.

So since  $x_j \neq 0$  for all  $j = 1, \ldots, n$  we have by Theorem 2.7 (b) that for each  $x_j$ there exists  $f_j \in X^*$  where  $||f_j|| = 1$  and  $f_j(x_j) = ||x_j||$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ .

So there exists  $x' \neq 0$  since by (b) we can choose  $x' \in \bigcap \ker f_i \neq \{0\}$ . Then let

 $y = \frac{x'}{\|x'\|}$ , so  $\|y\| = \left\|\frac{x'}{\|x'\|}\right\| = 1$ . Note  $y - x_j \in X$ . Then since  $f_j$  are bounded for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , we have that  $|f(y-x_j)| \le ||f_j|| ||y-x_j|| = ||y-x_j||$ , since by Remark 1.11  $||f_j|| = \inf\{C > 1\}$  $0: |f_j(z)| \le C||z||, z \in X\} \text{ and } ||f_j|| = 1.$ 

$$|f(y-x_j)| = |f(y) - f(x_j)| = |-f(x_j)| = f(x_j)$$

since  $f_j$  are linear, and f(y) = 0 since f(x') = 0. And so we have that

$$||y - x_j|| \ge f(x_j) = ||x_j||$$

for all  $j = 1, \ldots, n$ .

We have that

**d)** We want to show that we cannot cover the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S} = \{x \in X : ||x|| = 1\}$ with a finite family of closed balls in X s.t. none of the balls contains 0.

Assume we can cover S with a finite family of closed balls  $\{\overline{B_j}(x_j,r_j)\}_{j=1}^n$  where we let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  be the center of these balls. Then by (c) we know there exists a  $y \in X$  s.t. ||y|| = 1. This means that  $y \in \mathbb{S}$ , and since the balls cover  $\mathbb{S}$ we have that y much lie in one of these balls. So assume  $y \in B_j(x_j, r_j)$ . Then  $||y-x_j|| \le r_j$ , but then  $||x_j-0|| \le r_j$ , since by (c) we have that  $||y-x_j|| \ge ||x_j-0||$ for all j = 1, ..., n. So  $0 \in \overline{B_j}(x_j, x_j)$ .

Thus we have that if we have that a finite family of closed ball cover the unit sphere, then one of the balls much contain 0. So we cannot cover the unit sphere with a family of closed balls, where none contain zero.

e) We want to show that S is non-compact. Let  $\{B(x,\frac{1}{2})\}_{x\in\mathbb{S}}$  be a open cover

Assume for contradiction that the sets  $B(x_1, \frac{1}{2}), \dots, B(x_n, \frac{1}{2})$  are a finite subcover. Then we have that the sets  $\overline{B}(x_1, \frac{1}{2}), \dots, \overline{B}(x_n, \frac{1}{2})$  also are a finite subcover of S but none of these balls contain 0, which contradicts (d). So S is non-compact.

## Problem 4

Let  $L_1([0,1],m)$  and  $L_3([0,1],m)$  be Lebesgue spaces on [0,1]. For  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$E_n := \left\{ f \in L_1([0,1], m) : \int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm \le n \right\}$$

a) Given  $n \geq 1$ . We have that the  $E_n \subset L_1([0,1],m)$  is not absorbing. If  $E_n \subset L_1([0,1],m)$  was absorbing then for all  $0 \neq f \in L_1([0,1],m)$  there exists  $t > 0 \text{ s.t. } t^{-1} f \in E_n.$ 

Take  $f \in L_1([0,1],m)$  but where  $f \notin L_3([0,1],m)$ . We can choose such a f since

 $L_3([0,1],m) \subseteq L_1([0,1],m)$  by HW2 Pb 2.

This means that  $\left(\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \infty$ , but then  $\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm = \infty$ . And so we cannot find a t > 0 s.t.  $t^{-1} f \in E_n$ . So  $E_n$  is not absorbing.

**b)** We want to show that  $E_n$  has empty interior in  $L_1([0,1],m)$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Let  $f \in E_n$ . Then we take an open ball with f as its center. So  $B(f,r) = \{ g \in L_1([0,1], m) : ||f - g|| < r \}.$ 

Let  $g \in L_1([0,1], m)$  be given by  $g(x) := f(x) + \frac{r}{2\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ .

We then have that

$$||f - g||_1 = \left\| \frac{r}{2x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right\|_1 = \int_{[0,1]} \left| \frac{r}{2x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right| dm$$
$$= \frac{r}{r} \int_{[0,1]} x^{-\frac{1}{3}} dm = \frac{r}{2} \left[ \frac{3}{2} x^{\frac{2}{3}} \right]_0^1$$
$$= \frac{3r}{4} < r$$

So  $g \in B(f,r)$ . Now we want to show that  $g \notin E_n$ .

It is enough to so that  $\left(\int_{[0,1]} \left| f(x) + \frac{r}{2x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right|^3 dm \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \infty.$ 

We have that

$$\left( \int_{[0,1]} \left| f(x) + \frac{r}{2x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right|^3 dm \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} = \left\| f + \frac{r}{2x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right\|_3 \le \left| \|f\|_3 - \left\| \frac{r}{2x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right\|_3 \right| = \infty$$

where we uses the reverse triangular inequality and that  $||f||_3 < \infty$  since  $f \in E_n$ . But we have that  $\int_{[0,1]} \left| \frac{r}{2x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right|^3 dm = \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)^3 \int_{[0,1]} \frac{1}{x} dm = \infty$ , so  $\left\| \frac{r}{2x^{\frac{1}{3}}} \right\|_3 = \infty$ . Therefore  $g \notin E_n$ . So  $E_n$  has empty interior.

c) Show that  $E_n$  is closed in  $L_1([0,1],m)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since we are in a metric space it is enough to show that for  $f_n \to f$  as  $n \to \infty$ , where  $(f_n)_{n \ge 1} \subset E_n$  and  $f \in L_1([0,1],m)$  we have that  $f \in E_n$ . Let  $(f_k)_{k \ge 1} \subset E_n$  be convergent in  $L_1([0,1],m)$  so  $||f_k-f||_1 \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Then  $|f_n-f| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Now we want to show that  $f \in E_n$ .

We have there exists a subsequence  $(f_t)_{t\geq 1}\subset (f_k)_{k\geq 1}$  s.t  $|f_t(x)-f(x)|\to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$  a.e for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $g:[0,1]\to[0,1]$  be given by  $g(x)=|x|^3$ , which is continuous. Since g is continuous we have that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} |f_t(x)|^3 = \lim_{t \to \infty} g(f_t(x)) = g(\lim_{t \to \infty} f_t(x)) = g(f(x)) = |f(x)|^3 \ a.e.$$

This means that

$$\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm = \int_{[0,1]} \lim_{t \to \infty} |f_t|^3 dm \le \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{[0,1]} |f_t|^3 dm$$

where we use Fatou's lemma. We can do this since  $|f_t|^3$  is positive measureble function. But then since  $f_t \in E_n$  we have that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \int_{[0,1]} |f_t|^3 dm \le$ 

 $\lim_{t\to\infty} n = n$ . So  $\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm \le n$ . Hence  $f \in E_n$ . So  $E_n$  is closed.

d) We want to conclude that  $L_3([0,1],m)$  is of first category in  $L_1([0,1],m)$ . We have that  $L_3([0,1],m)$  is of first category in  $L_1([0,1],m)$  if it is a countable union of nowhere dense sets.

By (c) we have  $E_n$  is closed so its closure is itself  $E_n$  which has empty interior by (b) so  $E_n$  is nowhere dense. So now we just need to show that  $L_3([0,1],m) = \bigcup_{n>1}^{\infty} E_n$ .

Assume  $f \in L_3([0,1],m)$ . Then  $\left(\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} < \infty$ .

So we set  $k:=\left(\int_{[0,1]}|f|^3dm\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}$ . Then  $\int_{[0,1]}|f|^3dm=k^3$ , but that means that for some  $c\geq k^3$  we have  $\int_{[0,1]}|f|^3dm\leq c$ . Hence  $f\in E_c$ , so  $f\in\bigcup_{n\geq 1}^\infty E_n$ .

Assume  $f \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Then  $f \in E_k$ , so  $\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm \leq k$ . But then  $\left(\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq k^{\frac{1}{3}} < \infty$ . And so  $f \in L_3([0,1],m)$ .

### Problem 5

Let H be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space with associated norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , let  $(x_n)_{n\geq 1}$  be a sequence in H, and let  $x\in H$ .

**a)** Suppose that  $x_n \to x$  in norm, as  $n \to \infty$ . This means that  $||x_n - x|| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

By the reverse triangular inequality we have that  $||x_n - x|| \ge ||x_n|| - ||x|||$ .

So  $0 \le \left| \|x_n\| - \|x\| \right| \le \|x_n - x\| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . So  $\left| \|x_n\| - \|x\| \right| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . This means that  $\|x_n\| \to \|x\|$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**b)** Suppose that  $x_n \to x$  weakly, as  $n \to \infty$ .

Let  $(e_n)_{n\geq 1}$  be a countable orthonormal basis in H. H is separable so there is such a basis. Assume  $e_n \to x$  weakly, as  $n \to \infty$  for  $x \in H$ . Then by HW4 Pb 2 we have that  $f(e_n) \to f(x)$  as  $n \to \infty$  for all  $f \in H^*$ .

By Riesz representation (HW2 Pb 1) we have that there exists a  $y \in H$  s.t  $f(e_n) = \langle e_n, y \rangle$  for  $e_n \in H$ . So by Bessel's inequality we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(e_n)|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle e_n, y \rangle|^2 \le ||e_n||^2 = 1$$

since  $(e_n)_{n\leq 1}$  is a orthonormal basis. Because the series is bounded, we have that the tail goes of  $|\langle e_n,y\rangle|$  to zero. So  $\lim_{n\to\infty}|f(e_n)|=\lim_{n\to\infty}|\langle e_n,y\rangle|=0$  for all  $f\in H^*$ . So  $e_n\to 0$  weakly, as  $n\to\infty$ . But then

$$1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||e_n|| \neq \left\| \lim_{n \to \infty} e_n \right\| = ||0|| = 0$$

c) Suppose  $||x_n|| \le 1$ , for all  $n \ge 1$ , and that  $x_n \to x$  weakly, as  $n \to \infty$ . Assume  $0 \ne 0 \in H$ . Then by Theorem 2.7 (b) we have there exists a  $f \in H^*$  s.t. ||f|| = 1 and f(x) = ||x||. Since  $x_n \to x$  weakly, as  $n \to \infty$  we have by HW4 Pb 2 that  $f(x_n) \to f(x)$  for all  $f \in H^*$ . So  $|f(x_n) - f(x)| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Since  $||x_n|| \le 1$  we have that  $|f(x_n)| \le ||f|| = 1$ . Then  $|f(x)| - |f(x_n)| \le |f(x_n) - f(x)|$  so  $|f(x)| \le |f(x_n) - f(x)| + |f(x_n)| \le 1$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . But then we have that  $||x|| = f(x) \le |f(x)| \le 1$ .