

# Functional Analysis

## Mandatory Assignment 2

Thais James Minet (vtp239)

**Problem 1.** Let  $H$  be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . Set  $f_N = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} e_n$ , for all  $N \geq 1$ .

- (a) Show that  $f_N \rightarrow 0$  weakly, as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , while  $\|f_N\| = 1$ , for all  $N \geq 1$ .
- (b) Let  $K$  be the norm closure of  $\text{co}\{f_N : N \geq 1\}$ . Argue that  $K$  is weakly compact, and that  $0 \in K$ .
- (c) Show that  $0$ , as well as each  $f_N$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , are extreme points in  $K$ .
- (d) Are there any other extreme points in  $K$ ? Justify your answer.

*Solution.* (a) Let  $H$  be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and let  $f_N = N^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} e_n$ . By homework 4 problem 2(a) to show that  $f_N \rightarrow 0$  weakly as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  it is sufficient to show that for all  $g \in H^*$ ,  $g(f_N) \rightarrow g(0) = 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, let  $g \in H^*$ , then by the Riesz representation theorem there exists a unique  $y \in H$  such that  $g = \langle -, y \rangle$ . Additionally, since  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H$ , then  $y$  may be written as  $y = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j e_{t_j}$  for some finite  $k$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(f_N) &= \langle f_N, y \rangle \\
 &= \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} e_i, \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j e_{t_j} \right\rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\lambda_j} \langle e_i, e_{t_j} \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\lambda_j} \delta_{it_j}
 \end{aligned}$$

and clearly

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^k \overline{\lambda_j} \delta_{it_j} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $f_N \rightarrow 0$  weakly as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally, we have  $\|f_N\| = 1$  since

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_N\|^2 &= \langle f_N, f_N \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} e_i, \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N^2} e_j \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^{N^2} \langle e_i, e_j \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N^2} \sum_{j=1}^{N^2} \delta_{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} N^2 \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

*Solution.* (b) Let  $F = \{f_N : N \geq 1\}$  so that  $K = \overline{\text{co}(F)}^{\|\cdot\|}$ . Now since  $\|f_N\| = 1$ , then  $f_N \in \overline{B(0,1)}^{\|\cdot\|}$  the closed unit ball in the norm and since  $\overline{B(0,1)}^{\|\cdot\|}$  is convex it follows that  $\text{co}(F) \subset \overline{B(0,1)}^{\|\cdot\|}$  by definition of  $\text{co}(F)$ . Thus,  $K \subset \overline{B(0,1)}^{\|\cdot\|}$  and since  $H$  is reflexive, then the  $w^*$ -topology and  $w$ -topologies agree so  $\overline{B(0,1)}^{\|\cdot\|}$  is compact in the weak topology. Now since  $\text{co}(F)$  is convex, then so is  $K = \overline{\text{co}(F)}$  since the closure of a convex set is convex. Thus, by theorem 5.7

$$K = \overline{\text{co}(F)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\text{co}(F)}^{\tau_w}$$

so that  $K$  is closed. It follows that since  $(H, \tau_w)$  is a Hausdorff space,  $\overline{B(0,1)}^{\|\cdot\|}$  is compact, and  $K \subset \overline{B(0,1)}^{\|\cdot\|}$  is closed, then  $K$  is compact as desired. Finally, since  $K$  is closed in  $\tau_w$  and  $f_N \rightarrow 0$  weakly as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  it follows that  $0 \in K$  and we are done.

*Solution.* (c) Let  $x \in K = \overline{\text{co}(F)}$ , then by definition we may write  $x$  as

$$x = \lim_k \sum_{i=1}^{n(k)} \alpha_i^{(k)} f_{N_i}^{(k)}$$

where  $f_{N_i} \in F$ ,  $\alpha_i^{(k)} > 0$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n(k)} \alpha_i^{(k)} = 1$ . Thus, since  $\alpha_i^{(k)} > 0$  and  $f_{N_i}^{(k)} = N_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i^2} e_j$  it follows that  $x_i := \langle x, e_i \rangle \geq 0$ , that is, since  $x$  is a limit of elements with only non-negative coefficients in the  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$  basis. Now let  $0 = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$  for some  $x, y \in K$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\alpha x_i + (1 - \alpha)y_i = 0$$

where  $x_i := \langle x, e_i \rangle \geq 0$  and  $y_i := \langle y, e_i \rangle \geq 0$  as before. Hence, it follows that  $\alpha x_i, (1 - \alpha)y_i \geq 0$  so necessarily  $\alpha x_i = (1 - \alpha)y_i = 0$  and therefore  $x_i, y_i = 0$  since  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Thus, since  $x_i, y_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $x = y = 0$  and so  $0 \in \text{Ext}(K)$ .

Now to see that  $f_N \in \text{Ext}(K)$  suppose  $f_N = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$  for some  $x, y \in K$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Additionally, we claim that  $\|x\| = \|y\| \leq 1$ . Indeed since

$$x = \lim_k \sum_{i=1}^{n(k)} \alpha_i^{(k)} f_{N_i}^{(k)}$$

with  $f_{N_i} \in F$ ,  $\alpha_i^{(k)} > 0$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^{n(k)} \alpha_i^{(k)} = 1$ , then

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n(k)} \alpha_i^{(k)} f_{N_i}^{(k)} \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n(k)} \alpha_i^{(k)} \|f_{N_i}\| = \sum_{i=1}^{n(k)} 1 = 1$$

since  $\|f_N\| = 1$ . Hence,  $\|x\| \leq 1$  and similarly for  $y$ .

Thus, it follows that

$$1 = \|f_N\| = \|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y\| \leq \alpha\|x\| + (1 - \alpha)\|y\| \leq 1$$

since  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Thus, since  $\alpha\|x\| + (1 - \alpha)\|y\| = 1$  with  $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $\|x\|, \|y\| = 1$ . Additionally, we recall from standard linear algebra that the equality

$$\|\alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y\| = \alpha\|x\| + (1 - \alpha)\|y\| = 1$$

implies  $\alpha x$  is a non-negative scalar multiple of  $(1 - \alpha)y$ , that is, for some  $\lambda \geq 0$

$$\alpha x = \lambda(1 - \alpha)y.$$

Hence, we have  $\alpha = \lambda(1 - \alpha)$  since  $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$  so  $\lambda = \alpha/(1 - \alpha)$ . Thus,  $\alpha x = \alpha y$  and since  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $x = y = f_N$ . Therefore,  $f_N \in \text{Ext}(K)$  by definition, as desired.

*Solution.* (d) Recall, that  $(H, \tau_w)$  is a LCTVS and additionally we have that

$$K = \overline{\text{co}(F)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\text{co}(F)}^{\tau_w}$$

is non-empty compact and convex. Thus, it follows by Milman's theorem (theorem 7.9) that  $\text{Ext}(K) \subset \overline{F}^{\tau_w}$  and since  $f_N \rightarrow 0$  weakly as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\overline{F}^{\tau_w} = F \cup \{0\}$ . Therefore, by part (c) we have that  $\text{Ext}(K) = \overline{F}^{\tau_w} = F \cup \{0\}$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be infinite dimensional Banach spaces.

- (a) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . For a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $X$  and  $x \in X$ , show that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , implies that  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  weakly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (b) Let  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . For a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $X$  and  $x \in X$ , show that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , implies that  $\|Tx_n - Tx\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (c) Let  $H$  be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space. If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, Y)$  satisfies that  $\|Tx_n - Tx\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , whenever  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence in  $H$  converging weakly to  $x \in H$ , then  $T \in \mathcal{K}(H, Y)$ .
- (d) Show that each  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}), \ell_1(\mathbb{N}))$  is compact.
- (e) Show that no  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  is onto.
- (f) Let  $H = L_2([0, 1], m)$ , and consider the operator  $M \in \mathcal{L}(H, H)$  given by  $Mf(t) = tf(t)$ , for  $f \in H$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Justify that  $M$  is self-adjoint, but not compact.

*Solution.* (a) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset X$  a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We claim that  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  weakly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . First, by homework 4 problem 2(a) we have that  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  weakly if and only if for every  $f \in Y^*$ ,  $fTx_n \rightarrow fTx$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now  $f \circ T \in X^*$  so by proposition 5.4  $f \circ T$  is weakly continuous from which it follows that  $fTx_n \rightarrow fTx$  since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, since  $f \in Y^*$  was arbitrary, then  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  weakly as desired.

*Solution.* (b) Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and let  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ . Then we claim that  $\|Tx_n - Tx\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  in norm as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . To see this recall that if every subsequence  $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$  of  $(Tx_n)_{n \geq 1}$  has a subsequence which converges to  $Tx$ , then  $(Tx_n)_{n \geq 1}$  converges to  $Tx$ . Now by homework 4 problem 2b  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a bounded sequence since it converges weakly and in particular every subsequence of  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is then necessarily bounded. Hence, let  $(x_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , then there is a subsequence  $(x_{n_{k_j}})_{j \geq 1}$  such that  $(Tx_{n_{k_j}})_{j \geq 1}$  converges by proposition 8.2-(4) since  $T$  is compact. In particular, by part (a) we necessarily have  $Tx_{n_{k_j}} \rightarrow Tx$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  since  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  weakly. Therefore, every subsequence of  $(Tx_n)_{n \geq 1}$  has a subsequence converging to  $Tx$  so  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  in norm as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $\|Tx_n - Tx\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  as desired.

*Solution.* (c) Let  $H$  be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space and  $Y$  an infinite dimensional Banach space. Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, Y)$  be a continuous linear map such that for any  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset H$  which converges weakly to  $x \in H$ , then  $\|Tx_n - Tx\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  in norm, then the claim is that  $T$  is compact. To see this we will apply proposition 8.4-(4)

Hence, let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset H$  be bounded, then without loss of generalization by scaling we may assume  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \overline{B_H(0,1)}$ . Now  $\overline{B_H(0,1)}$  is compact in the weak topology and our claim is that  $\overline{B_H(0,1)}$  is in fact sequentially compact in the weak topology. If  $\overline{B_H(0,1)}$  is sequentially compact in the weak topology, then by definition of sequentially compact  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  has a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  weakly as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $x \in \overline{B_H(0,1)}$ . Hence, by the condition on  $T$  we have that  $\|Tx_{n_k} - Tx\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  so  $T \in \mathcal{K}(H, Y)$  by proposition 8.2-(4).

Now to see that  $\overline{B_H(0,1)}$  is sequentially compact in the weak topology recall that any compact metric space is sequentially compact so if  $\overline{B_H(0,1)}$  is metrizable in the weak topology, then we are done. Thus, by theorem 5.13 the closed unit ball in  $H^*$ ,  $\overline{B_{H^*}(0,1)}$ , is metrizable in the weak\* topology if and only if  $H$  is separable. Hence, since  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space, then the weak and weak\* topologies agree and  $H \cong (H^*)^*$  so the result follows if  $H^*$  is separable. However, this follows by Folland proposition 5.29 since  $(\langle -, e_n \rangle)_{n \geq 1}$  is clearly an orthonormal basis for  $H^*$  where  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H$ .

*Solution.* (d) Let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}), \ell_1(\mathbb{N}))$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$  which converges weakly to  $x$ , then by part (a)  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  weakly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now by remark 5.3 we have that a sequence in  $\ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  converges weakly if and only if it converges in norm. Hence, it follows that  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  in norm as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in particular,  $\|Tx_n - Tx\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Finally, since  $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$  is a separable Hilbert space, then by part (c) we get that  $T \in \mathcal{K}(\ell_2(\mathbb{N}), \ell_1(\mathbb{N}))$  as desired.

*Solution.* (e) Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  is surjective, then clearly there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B_Y(0, r) \subset T(B_X(0, 1))$ . Thus,

$$\overline{B_Y(0, r)} \subset \overline{T(B_X(0, 1))}$$

where since  $T$  is compact, then  $\overline{T(B_X(0, 1))}$  is compact in  $Y$ . However, then  $\overline{B_Y(0, r)}$  is compact since it is a closed subset of a compact set, a contradiction, since  $\overline{B_Y(0, r)}$  is compact if and only if  $Y$  is finite dimensional. Therefore,  $T$  is not surjective.

*Solution.* (f) First, to see the  $M$  is self-adjoint we have for  $f, g \in L_2([0, 1], M)$  that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Mf, g \rangle &= \int_{[0,1]} Mf(t) \overline{g(t)} dm(t) \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} tf(t) \overline{g(t)} dm(t) \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} f(t) \overline{tg(t)} dm(t) \text{ since } t \in [0, 1] \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} f(t) \overline{Mg(t)} dm(t) \\ &= \langle f, Mg \rangle\end{aligned}$$

so  $M = M^*$  by uniqueness of adjoints.

Now to see that  $M$  is not compact we claim that  $M$  is surjective. Observe that  $M$  is injective since if  $Mf = Mg$ , then  $tf(t) = tg(t)$  so  $f(t) = g(t)$  almost everywhere for any  $f, g \in L_2([0, 1], m)$  so  $f = g$  in  $L_2([0, 1], m)$ . Thus,  $\ker M = \{0\}$  and by homework 6 problem 1 and since  $M$  is self-adjoint we have  $(\operatorname{im} M)^\perp = \ker M = \{0\}$  so  $\operatorname{im} T = L_2([0, 1], m)$ . Therefore, by part (e)  $M$  is not compact.

**Problem 3.** Consider the Hilbert space  $H = L_2([0, 1], m)$ , where  $m$  is the Lebesgue measure. Define  $K : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$K(s, t) = \begin{cases} (1-s)t, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \\ (1-t)s, & \text{if } 0 \leq s < t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and consider  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, H)$  defined by

$$(Tf)(s) = \int_{[0,1]} K(s, t) f(t) dm(t), \quad s \in [0, 1], f \in H.$$

(a) Justify that  $T$  is compact.

(b) Show that  $T = T^*$ .

(c) Show that

$$(Tf)(s) = (1-s) \int_{[0,s]} tf(t) dm(t) + s \int_{[s,1]} (1-t)f(t) dm(t), \quad s \in [0, 1], f \in H.$$

Use this to show that  $Tf$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , and that  $(Tf)(0) = (Tf)(1) = 0$ .

*Solution.* (a) First, it is clear that  $K$  is continuous by the gluing theorem for topological spaces. Hence, since  $[0, 1]$  is a compact Hausdorff space and the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$  is finite, then  $T$  is compact by theorem 9.6. well you should recognize  $T = T^*$  for this to apply. ✓

*Solution.* (b) Let  $f, g \in H$ , then by uniqueness of adjoints to see that  $T = T^*$  it is sufficient to show that  $\langle Tf, g \rangle = \langle f, Tg \rangle$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tf, g \rangle &= \int_{[0,1]} (Tf)(s) \overline{g(s)} dm(s) \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} \left( \int_{[0,1]} K(s, t) f(t) dm(t) \right) \overline{g(s)} dm(s) \\ &= \int_{[0,1] \times [0,1]} f(t) \overline{K(s, t) g(s)} dm(s, t) \text{ by Fubini and } K(s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} \left( \overline{K(s, t) g(s)} dm(s) \right) f(t) dm(t) \\ &= \langle f, Tg \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

← only if  $K(s, t) = K(t, s)$

as desired. Note that we may apply Fubini's theorem by Tonelli's theorem, that is, since  $K$  is bounded we have for some  $M > 0$

$$\int_{[0,1] \times [0,1]} |f(t) \overline{K(s, t) g(s)}| dm(s, t) \leq M \int_{[0,1] \times [0,1]} |f(t)| |g(s)| dm(s, t) < \infty.$$

✓

*Solution.* (c) First, by definition of  $K(s, t)$  and  $T$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Tf)(s) &= \int_{[0,1]} K(s, t) f(t) dm(t) \\ &= \int_{[0,s]} K(s, t) f(t) dm(t) + \int_{[s,1]} K(s, t) f(t) dm(t) \\ &= \int_{[0,s]} (1-s)t f(t) dm(t) + \int_{[s,1]} s(1-t) f(t) dm(t) \\ &= (1-s) \int_{[0,s]} t f(t) dm(t) + s \int_{[s,1]} (1-t) f(t) dm(t) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Additionally, we have that

$$(Tf)(0) = \int_{[0,0]} t f(t) dm(t) = 0 = \int_{[1,1]} (1-t) f(t) dm(t) = (Tf)(1).$$

Now to see that  $Tf$  is continuous recall that for  $f \in L_1([0, 1], m)$ , then the function

$$s \mapsto \int_{[0,s]} f(t) dm(t)$$

is continuous<sup>1</sup>. Thus, since  $L_2([0, 1], m) \subset L_1([0, 1], m)$ , then the functions

$$g(s) := \int_{[0,s]} tf(t) dm(t) \text{ and } h(s) := \int_{[s,1]} (1-t)f(t) dm(t)$$

are continuous. Therefore, since products and sums of continuous functions are continuous, then it follows that  $Tf$  is continuous since

$$(Tf)(s) = (1-s)g(s) + sh(s).$$

should  
argue  $tf \in L_2 \subset L_1$ .  
(1-t)f  $\in L_2 \subset L_1$   
when  $f \in L_2$   
✓

**Problem 4.** Consider the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and view the Fourier transform as a linear map  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (a) For each integer  $k \geq 0$ , set  $g_k(x) = x^k e^{-x^2/2}$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Justify that  $g_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , for all integers  $k \geq 0$ . Compute  $\mathcal{F}(g_k)$ , for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .
- (b) Find non-zero functions  $h_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(h_k) = i^k h_k$ , for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .
- (c) Show that  $\mathcal{F}^4(f) = f$ , for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (d) Use (c) to show that if  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  is non-zero and  $\mathcal{F}(f) = \lambda f$ , for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then  $\lambda \in \{1, i, -1, -i\}$ . Conclude that the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{F}$  precisely are  $\{1, i, -1, -i\}$ .

*Solution.* (a) Let  $g_k(x) = x^k e^{-x^2/2}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Now observe that for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\|x\|^2 = |x|^2 = x^2$  and so by homework 7 problem 1 we have that  $e^{-x^2} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . Additionally, by homework 7 problem 1(d) we get that

$$f := S_{\sqrt{2}}(e^{-x^2}) = e^{-x^2/2} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Finally, by homework 7 problem 1(a) since  $g_k(x) = x^k f$  we get that  $g_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  since  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ .

First, by proposition 11.4 we have that

$$\hat{f} = \mathcal{F}(f) = f$$

---

<sup>1</sup>this follows from the dominated convergence theorem



and by proposition 11.13(d) it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}(g_k)(\xi) = (x^k f)^\wedge(\xi) = i^k (\partial^k \hat{f})(\xi) = i^k (\partial^k f)(\xi).$$

Thus, for  $g_0, g_1, g_2, g_3$  we get the following

$$\mathcal{F}(g_0) = \mathcal{F}(f) = f = g_0$$

$$\mathcal{F}(g_1) = i\partial f = -ixe^{-x^2/2} = -ig_1$$

$$\mathcal{F}(g_2) = i^2 \partial^2 f = i^2(x^2 - 1)e^{-x^2/2} = f - x^2 f = g_0 - g_2$$

$$\mathcal{F}(g_3) = i^3 \partial^3 f = -i^3 x(x^2 - 3)e^{-x^2/2} = ix(x^2 - 3)e^{-x^2/2} = i(g_3 - 3g_1)$$

*Solution.* (b) First, we note that it is clear from the definition that  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is a linear subspace due to linearity and additivity of limits and derivatives. Hence, any linear combination of  $g_k$  is in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  since by part (a)  $g_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .

Thus, after solving a system of linear equations we let

$$h_0 = g_0$$

$$h_1 = -\frac{3}{2}g_1 + g_3$$

$$h_2 = -\frac{1}{2}g_0 + g_2$$

$$h_3 = g_1,$$

then by part (a) we have

$$\mathcal{F}(h_0) = \mathcal{F}(g_0) = g_0$$

$$\mathcal{F}(h_1) = -\frac{3}{2}\mathcal{F}(g_1) + \mathcal{F}(g_3) = -\frac{3}{2}(-ig_1) + ig_3 - 3ig_1 = i(-\frac{3}{2}g_1 + g_3) = ih_1$$


$$\mathcal{F}(h_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}(g_0) + \mathcal{F}(g_2) = -\frac{1}{2}g_0 + g_0 - g_2 = i^2(-\frac{1}{2}g_0 + g_2) = i^2 h_2$$

$$\mathcal{F}(h_3) = \mathcal{F}(g_1) = -ig_1 = i^3 g_1 = i^3 h_3,$$

as desired.

*Solution.* (c) Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , then by definition

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^2(f)(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-i\langle \xi, t \rangle} dm(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\langle \xi, -t \rangle} dm(\xi) \\ &= (\mathcal{F}^* \circ \mathcal{F})(f)(-t) \\ &= f(-t).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{F}^2(f)(t) = f(-t)$  so  $\mathcal{F}^4(f)(t) = f(-(-t)) = f(t)$  and therefore,  $\mathcal{F}^4(t) = f$  as desired. 

*Solution.* (d) Let  $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  and suppose  $\mathcal{F}(f) = \lambda f$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , then by linearity of  $\mathcal{F}$  we have  $\mathcal{F}^4(f) = \lambda^4 f$ . Now by part (d)  $\mathcal{F}^4(f) = f$  so  $f = \lambda^4 f$ . Thus,  $\lambda^4 = 1$  so  $\lambda$  is a 4<sup>th</sup>-root of unity, that is,  $\lambda \in \{1, i, -1, -i\}$ . Therefore, it follows by definition that the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{F}$  are precisely  $\{1, i, -1, -i\}$  as desired.

*It follow that any eigenvalue is an element in  $\{1, i, -1, -i\}$   
But that they are all eigenvalues follows from b)*

**Problem 5.** Let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a dense subset of  $[0, 1]$  and consider the Radon measure  $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \delta_{x_n}$  on  $[0, 1]$ . Show that  $\text{supp}(\mu) = [0, 1]$ .

*Solution.* Let  $N = \cup_{i \in I} U_i$  be the union of all  $U \subset [0, 1]$  open such that  $\mu(U) = 0$  as in homework 8 problem 3(a). Then  $\text{supp}(\mu) = [0, 1] \setminus N$  by definition and we claim that  $N = \emptyset$  so that  $\text{supp}(\mu) = [0, 1]$ .

To see this let  $U \subset [0, 1]$  be open, then we claim that  $\mu(U) \neq 0$ . This follows since  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$  so  $x_k \in U$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  which implies

$$\mu(U) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \delta_{x_n}(U) = 2^{-k} \delta_{x_k}(U) = 2^{-k} \neq 0.$$

Therefore, there are no open sets such that  $\mu(U) = 0$  and so  $N = \emptyset$ , as desired.