Advanced Mathematical Physics, Assignment 1

Johannes Agerskov

Dated: May 22, 2020

1 Stability through Lieb-Oxford inequality

We are given the Lieb-Oxford inequality: For any bosonic or fermionic wave function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ with $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$ we have

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \frac{|\psi(x_1,...,x_N)|^2}{|x_i - x_j|} \,\mathrm{d}x_1 ... \,\mathrm{d}x_N - D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi) \geq -C_{LO} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{4/3} \,\mathrm{d}x, \tag{1.1}$$

with constant $0 \leq C_{LO} \leq 1.636$ independent of ψ and N. We now proceed to prove stability of the second kind through this inequality.

(a)

Let $\delta > 0$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{4/3} \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{N}{2\delta}. \tag{1.2}$$

Proof. Notice first first that $\rho_\psi(x)^{4/3} = \rho_\psi(x)^{5/6} \rho_\psi(x)^{1/2}$. Thus by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{4/3} \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x) \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{N}, \tag{1.3}$$

where we used that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = N$. Now using that for $\delta > 0$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ is holds that $\frac{\delta}{2} a^2 + \frac{1}{2\delta} b^2 \geq ab$ (this is simply $(\sqrt{\delta} a - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta}} b)^2 \geq 0$) we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{4/3} \,\mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{N}{2\delta} \tag{1.4}$$

□

(b)

Let V_{C} be defined as in the lecture notes with fixed $R_1, ..., R_M \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $Z_1 = ... = Z_N = Z$. We prove that if $\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ is fermionic, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(\psi) &= T_\psi + (V_{\mathrm{C}})_\psi \\ &\geq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x + D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi) - \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z\rho_\psi}{|x - R_j|} \,\mathrm{d}x + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|} - C_2 N,\end{aligned}$$

with some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of ψ and N.

Proof. By definition we have

$$(V_{\mathrm{C}})_\psi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{|\psi(x_1, ..., x_N)|^2}{|x_i - x_j|} - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{Z\,|\psi(x_1, ..., x_N)|^2}{|x_i - R_j|} \,\mathrm{d}x_1 ... \,\mathrm{d}x_N + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|}. \tag{1.5}$$

Using that ψ is fermionic we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{Z\,|\psi(x_1, ..., x_N)|^2}{|x_i - R_j|} \,\mathrm{d}x_1 ... \,\mathrm{d}x_N = \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z\rho_\psi(x_i)}{|x_i - R_j|} \,\mathrm{d}x_i = \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z\rho_\psi(x)}{|x - R_j|} \,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{1.6}$$

Furthermore, using the Lieb-Oxford inequality we find that

$$(V_{\mathrm{C}})_\psi \geq -C_{LO} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{4/3} \,\mathrm{d}x + D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi) - \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z\rho_\psi(x)}{|x - R_j|} \,\mathrm{d}x + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|}. \tag{1.7}$$

Therefore, by (a) we have

$$(V_{\mathrm{C}})_\psi \geq -C_{LO} \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x + \frac{N}{2\delta} \right) \mathrm{d}x + D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi) - \sum_{j=1}^M \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z\rho_\psi(x)}{|x - R_j|} \,\mathrm{d}x + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|} \tag{1.8}$$

Now we use the fact that there exist a constant $C > 0$ such that $T_\psi \geq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x$. This can be seen by considering the Lieb-Thirring inequality with potential $V = -\alpha \rho_\psi^{2/3}$ with some $\alpha > 0$. Notice that then $V \in L^{5/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by Sobolev's inequality and the fact that $\rho_\psi \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus we may apply the Lieb-Thirring inequality

$$\sum_i |E_i| \leq L_{1,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} V_-(x)^{5/2} \,\mathrm{d}x = \alpha^{5/2} L_{1,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{1.9}$$

Notice however, that from the very definition of the eigenvalues we have $T_\psi \geq -V_\psi + E_0$. Thus we may conclude that

$$T_\psi \geq \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x - \alpha^{5/2} L_{1,3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{1.10}$$

Thereby we see that if we choose $\alpha < 1$ and $\alpha^{3/2} < L_{1,3}^{-1}$ we see that there exist some constant $C = \alpha(1 - \alpha^{3/2} L_{1,3}) > 0$ such that

$$T_\psi \geq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x. \tag{1.11}$$

Combining this with (1.8) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq \left(C - C_{LO} \frac{\delta}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x + D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi) - \sum_{j=1}^{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z \rho_\psi(x)}{|x - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|} - C_{LO} \frac{N}{2\delta} \end{aligned}. \tag{1.12}$$

Now choosing $0 < \delta < \frac{2C}{C_{LO}}$, we find that $C_1 = \left(C - C_{LO} \frac{\delta}{2}\right) > 0$ and $C_2 = \frac{C_{LO}}{2\delta} > 0$ and

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x + D(\rho_\psi, \rho_\psi) - \sum_{j=1}^{M} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Z \rho_\psi(x)}{|x - R_j|} \, \mathrm{d}x + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq M} \frac{Z^2}{|R_j - R_k|} - C_2 N. \tag{1.13}$$

as desired. □

(c)

We now prove that for any $\psi \in H_1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ that is fermionic it hold for any $b > 0$ that

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x - Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \right) \mathrm{d}x - ZbN - C_2 N. \tag{1.14}$$

with some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ independent of ψ and N.

Proof. First notice that by the basic electrostatic inequality with measure $\mu(\mathrm{d}x) = \rho_\psi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$ (which indeed defines a measure since $\rho_\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\rho_\psi \geq 0$) and the result of (b) it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x - Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x) \frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x - C_2 N. \tag{1.15}$$

Now using that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = N$ we see that

$$-Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x) \frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} \, \mathrm{d}x = -Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \right) \mathrm{d}x - ZbN, \tag{1.16}$$

from which the claim follows:

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3} \, \mathrm{d}x - Z \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x) \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b \right) \mathrm{d}x - ZbN - C_2 N. \tag{1.17}$$

□

(d)

From calculus of variations it can be shown that the functional obtained in (c) is minimized by some ρ_ψ of the form

$$\rho_\psi(x) = d\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b\right)^{3/2} \chi_{\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}-b\geq 0\}}(x) \tag{1.18}$$

for some $d > 0$ independent of ψ and N. Thereby, we may conclude that $\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq C(Z)(N+M)$. To see this notice that by inserting the minimizer on the left-hand side of (1.17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(\psi) &\geq (C_1 d^{5/3} - Zd)\int_{\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}-b\geq 0\}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)} - b\right)^{5/2} \mathrm{d}x - ZbN - C_2N \\ &\geq \min\left\{0, (C_1 d^{5/3} - Zd)\right\}\int_{\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}\geq b\}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}\right)^{5/2} \mathrm{d}x - (Zb + C_2)N\end{aligned} \tag{1.19}$$

Now defining $\alpha := b^{-1}$ we have

$$\int_{\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}\geq c+b\}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}\right)^{5/2} \mathrm{d}x \leq \sum_{j=1}^{M}\int_{\{|x-R_j|\leq\alpha\}} \left(\frac{1}{|x-R_j|}\right)^{5/2} \mathrm{d}x = 8\pi\sqrt{\alpha}M, \tag{1.20}$$

where we used that $\left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}\right)^{5/2}\chi_{\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{D}(x)}\geq\frac{1}{\alpha}\}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{M}\left(\frac{1}{|x-R_j|}\right)^{5/2}\chi_{\{|x-R_j|\leq\alpha\}}$, which is obvious from the fact that, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ the left-hand side will equal at least one of the terms on the right-hand side, and since all the terms on the right-hand side are non-negative the inequality follows. From this it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq -K_1(Z)M - K_2(Z)N \geq -C(Z)(N+M) \tag{1.21}$$

with $K_1(Z) = \max\left\{0, -(C_1 d^{5/3} - Zd)\right\}\frac{8\pi}{\sqrt{b}}$, $K_2(Z) = (Zb + C_2)$, and
$C(Z) = \max\{K_1(Z), K_2(Z)\}$. Many of these estimates were quite rough and can be optimized. For example one can optimize w.r.t b. Notice to find the exact d we would have to minimize w.r.t to d. Thus we find $d = \left(\frac{3Z}{5C_1}\right)^{3/2}$.

2 The volume occupied by matter

Let $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ ($\psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$) be a fermionic wave function with $\|\psi\|_2 = 1$.

(a)

It holds that $\mathcal{E}(\psi) = T_\psi + (V_\mathrm{C})_\psi \geq -CN$ where $C > 0$ depends on Z and the ratio M/N. This is a direct consequence of the result from problem 1. Since we have $\mathcal{E}(\psi) \geq -C(Z)(M+N) = -C(Z)(M/N+1)N = -CN$ where $C = C(Z)(M/N+1)$.

(b)

Using a scaling argument, it is possible to conclude from (a) that

$$(1-\lambda)T_\psi + (V_{\mathrm{C}})_\psi \geq -\frac{CN}{1-\lambda}, \tag{2.1}$$

for any $0<\lambda<1$. From this it follows that

$$T_\psi \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN}{\lambda} + \frac{CN}{1-\lambda} \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. To see this, notice that from (2.1) we have

$$-\lambda T_\psi \geq -\frac{CN}{1-\lambda} - \mathcal{E}(\psi), \tag{2.3}$$

from which it follows that

$$T_\psi \leq \frac{CN}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} + \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)}{\lambda} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN}{\lambda} + \frac{CN}{1-\lambda}, \tag{2.4}$$

where we in the last equality used the partial fraction decomposition $\frac{CN}{\lambda(1-\lambda)} = \frac{CN}{\lambda} + \frac{CN}{1-\lambda}$. □

From this we may conclude that

$$T_\psi \leq (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN} + \sqrt{CN})^2. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. For $\mathcal{E}(\psi)=0$ it follows by choosing $\lambda = 1/2$ in (2.2). Now assume $\mathcal{E}(\psi)\neq 0$, we then optimize (2.2) w.r.t λ:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN}{\lambda} + \frac{CN}{1-\lambda}\right) = -\frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN}{\lambda^2} + \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda)^2} = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

using that $0<\lambda<1$, this is equivalent to

$$-(1-\lambda)^2(\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN) - \lambda^2 CN = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

which has the solutions $\lambda_\pm = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN\pm\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)CN+C^2N^2}}{\mathcal{E}(\psi)}$, where we see that only the λ_- solution is consistent with $0<\lambda<1$ (it is consistent since $\mathcal{E}(\psi)\geq -CN$). We now insert this λ_- back into (2.2). First notice that by combining (2.2) and (2.6) we have

$$T_\psi/\lambda_- \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN}{\lambda_-^2} + \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda_-)\lambda_-} = \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda_-)^2} + \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda_-)\lambda_-} = \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda_-)^2\lambda_-}. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus, we find

$$T_\psi \leq \frac{CN}{(1-\lambda_-)^2} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)^2 CN}{(-CN + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)CN + C^2N^2})^2} = \frac{\mathcal{E}(\psi)^2}{(-\sqrt{CN} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN})^2}$$
$$= \frac{(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})^2(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} - \sqrt{CN})^2}{(-\sqrt{CN} + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN})^2} \tag{2.9}$$
$$= (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})^2,$$

such that we have

$$T_\psi \leq (\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})^2, \tag{2.10}$$

as desired. □

(c)

It is known that for any $p > 0$ there exist a $C_p > 0$ independent of ρ_ψ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3}\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{p/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^p \rho_\psi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \geq C_p \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1+\frac{5p}{6}}, \tag{2.11}$$

Thus from the previous sections it follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)\,|x|^p\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} \geq C_p' \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)/N + C} + \sqrt{C}\right)^{-1} N^{1/3}. \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. By the proof of problem 1.(b) we know that there exist C' independent of ρ_ψ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3}\,\mathrm{d}x \leq C' T_\psi. \tag{2.13}$$

Combining this with problem 2.(b) we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)^{5/3}\,\mathrm{d}x \leq C'(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})^2. \tag{2.14}$$

Now using that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_\psi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = N$ we get from (2.11) the inequality

$$\left(\sqrt{C'}(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})\right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^p \rho_\psi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x \geq C_p N^{1+5p/6}. \tag{2.15}$$

Using monotonicity of $x \mapsto x^{1/p}$ with $p > 0$, we find

$$\left(\sqrt{C'}(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi) + CN} + \sqrt{CN})\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |x|^p \rho_\psi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} \geq C_p N^{5/6} N^{1/p} \tag{2.16}$$

which is equivalent to (since all quantities are positive)

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|x|^p\,\rho_\psi(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p} &\geq \left(\sqrt{C'}(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)+CN}+\sqrt{CN})\right)^{-1}C_pN^{5/6}\\ &= C_p'\left((\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\psi)/N+C}+\sqrt{C})\right)^{-1}N^{1/3},\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

where we defined $C_p' = C_p/\sqrt{C'}$ which is clearly independent of ρ_ψ. Setting $p = 1$ we find that the average distance from all the particles to the centre scales (at least) like $N^{1/3}$. $\square$

3 Local and locally bounded Hamiltonians are bounded

We are considering the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d;\mathbb{C}^N)$. We denote by $|y,\sigma_i\rangle$ the function $x\mapsto\delta_{x,y}|\sigma_i\rangle$ where $(|\sigma_i\rangle)_{i\in\{1,\ldots,N\}}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^N$. Thus, $(|x,\sigma_i\rangle)_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\ldots,N\}}$ forms a basis of $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d;\mathbb{C}^N)$. Letting P_x denote the orthogonal projection $P_x = \sum_{i=1}^N |x,\sigma_i\rangle\langle x,\sigma_i|$, we specify a Hamiltonian H, on $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d;\mathbb{C}^N)$ by specifying its hopping matrices $H_{yx} = P_yHP_x$ and requiring:

- *R-locality*: $H_{yx} = 0$ if $\|x-y\|_1 \geq R$,
- *local boundedness*: There is a $c > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have $\|H_{yx}\| \leq c$.

A priori, it is not clear that specifying the hopping matrices defines the Hamiltonian uniquely. However, we show in this exercise that the hopping matrices, R-locality, and local boundedness indeed defines a unique Hamiltonian that, furthermore, is bounded.
Notice first that the set of all finite linear combination of $(|x,\sigma_i\rangle)_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\ldots,N\}}$, denoted by $\langle|x,\sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\ldots,N\}}$, forms a dense subset of $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d,C^N)$ (which is also why they form a basis). Furthermore, we note that the action of H on $\langle|x,\sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\ldots,N\}}$ is clearly defined by the hopping matrices since the hopping matrices defines the action on each basis vector

$$H|x,\sigma_i\rangle = \sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d} H_{yx}|x,\sigma_i\rangle\,,\tag{3.1}$$

and this action can be linearly extended to all finite linear combinations of the basis vectors by

$$\begin{aligned}H\left(\sum_{(l,i)=(1,1)}^{(K,M)} c_{l,i}|x_l,i\rangle\right) = \sum_{(l,i)=(1,1)}^{(K,M)} c_{l,i}H|x_l,\sigma_i\rangle &= \sum_{(l,i)=(1,1)}^{(K,M)}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d} c_{l,i}H_{yx_l}|x_l,\sigma_i\rangle\\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{K}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{Z}^d} c_lH_{yx_l}|x_l,\sigma^l\rangle\,.\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

where we introduced $|x_l,\sigma^l\rangle = \frac{1}{c_l}\sum_{i=1}^M c_{l,i}|x_l,\sigma_i\rangle$ and $c_l = (\sum_{i=1}^M |c_{l,i}|^2)^{1/2}$. Notice also that $M \leq N$. We clearly have that $(|x_l,\sigma^l\rangle)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ are orthonormal vectors and $(c_l)_{l\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \in l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. Here R-locality ensures that the sums in (3.1) and (3.2) are finite. Now notice that H is actually bounded on $\langle|x,\sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\ldots,N\}}$. This can be seen by the following estimate. Let

$|v\rangle = \sum_{(l,i)=(1,1)}^{(K,M)} c_{l,i} |x_l, i\rangle$ be some finite linear combination of the basis vectors $|x, \sigma_i\rangle$. First for notational convenience we introduce the notation $|l\rangle = |x_l, \sigma^\ell\rangle$, with $|x_l, \sigma^l\rangle = \frac{1}{c_l} \sum_{i=1}^{M} c_{l,i} |x_l, \sigma_i\rangle$ and $c_l = (\sum_{i=1}^{M} |c_{l,i}|^2)^{1/2}$, such that $|v\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l |l\rangle$. Then we find

$$\left\| H \left(\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l |l\rangle \right) \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{l'=1}^{K} \sum_{y \in Z^d} \sum_{y' \in Z^d} \langle l' | \overline{c_{l'}} H^*_{y' x_{l'}} H_{y x_l} c_l |l\rangle . \tag{3.3}$$

Since we require the Hamiltonian to be self-adjoint we have $H^*_{yx} = (P_y H P_x)^* = (P_x H P_y) = H_{xy}$. Hence, we find

$$\left\| H \left(\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l |l\rangle \right) \right\|_2^2 = \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{l'=1}^{K} \sum_{y \in Z^d} \langle l' | \overline{c_{l'}} H_{x_{l'} y} H_{y x_l} c_l |l\rangle \tag{3.4}$$

Notice that $H_{x_{l'} y} H_{y x_l}$ is only non-zero if $\|x_l - x_{l'}\|_1 \leq \|x_l - y\|_1 + \|y - x_{l'}\|_1 \leq 2(R-1)$. Thereby we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| H \left(\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l |l\rangle \right) \right\|_2^2 &= \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{l'=1}^{K} \sum_{y \in Z^d} \langle l' | \overline{c_{l'}} H_{x_{l'} y} H_{y x_l} c_l |l\rangle \\
&\leq \sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{\substack{l'=1 \\ \|x_l - x_{l'}\|_1 \leq 2(R-1)}}^{K} \sum_{y \in Z^d} \chi_{\{\|y - x_l\|_1 < R\}} \chi_{\{\|y - x_{l'}\|_1 < R\}} |c_l| \, |c_{l'}| \, c^2 \\
&\leq \mathrm{Num}(R)(2\mathrm{Num}(2R-1) - 1) \sum_{l=1}^{K} |c_l|^2 c^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\mathrm{Num}(R)$ is number of points in $B_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(0,R)^{\|\cdot\|_1} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|x\|_1 < R\}$ (the ball of radius R in the Manhattan metric). The first inequality is simply triangle inequality of the sums followed by Cauchy-Schwartz and use of bound $\|H_{yx}\| < c$. To understand the second inequality notice that $\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_{\{\|y - x_l\|_1 < R\}} \chi_{\{\|y - x_{l'}\|_1 < R\}} \leq \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \chi_{\{\|y - x_l\|_1 < R\}} = \mathrm{Num}(R)$. Furthermore, we used the following bound of the finite sum

$$\sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{\substack{l'=1 \\ \|x_l - x_{l'}\|_1 \leq 2(R-1)}}^{K} |c_l| \left| c'_l \right| \leq (2\mathrm{Num}(2R-1) - 1) \sum_{l=1}^{K} |c_l|^2 . \tag{3.6}$$

To understand this bound, take the $\beta \in \{1, ..., K\}$ such that $|c_\beta| \geq |c_l|$ for all $l \in \{1, ..., K\}$. Then we observe

$$\sum_{l=1}^{K} \sum_{\substack{l'=1 \\ \|x_l - x_{l'}\|_1 \leq 2(R-1)}}^{K} |c_l| \left| c'_l \right| \leq (2\mathrm{Num}(2R-1) - 1) |c_\beta|^2 + \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq \beta}}^{K} \sum_{\substack{l'=1 \\ l' \neq \beta \\ \|x_l - x_{l'}\|_1 \leq 2(R-1)}}^{K} |c_l| \left| c'_l \right| , \tag{3.7}$$

where we have simply taken all terms in the sum of the form $|c_\beta||c_l|$ and replaced with the larger term $|c_\beta|^2$, and used that there is a maximal of $(2\mathrm{Num}(2R-1) - 1)$ such terms. Here $2\mathrm{Num}(2R-1) - 1$ comes from the bound on the distance between x_l and $x_{l'}$. By induction of

(3.7) we find (3.6).
Notice now that $\sum_{l=1}^{K} |c_l|^2 = \left\|\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l \left|l\right\rangle\right\|_2^2$. Thus, we have shown that

$$\left\| H\left(\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l \left|l\right\rangle\right)\right\|_2^2 \leq \mathrm{Num}(R)(2\mathrm{Num}(2R-1)-1)c^2 \left\|\sum_{l=1}^{K} c_l \left|l\right\rangle\right\|_2^2, \tag{3.8}$$

which implies $\|H\| \leq c\sqrt{\mathrm{Num}(R)(2\mathrm{Num}(2R-1)-1)}$. We only need to bound $\mathrm{Num}(R)$. This can be done most easily by noticing that the ball $B_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(0,R)^{\|\cdot\|_1}$ can be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Now imagine forming unit cubes symmetrically around each lattice point in $B_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(0,R)^{\|\cdot\|_1}$. Then non of the cubes overlap and this collection of cubes is contained in a d-dimensional cube, $\mathcal{K}$, with diagonal $D = 2R$. Since D can be related to the side lengths, a, by $D = \sqrt{d}a$, we have $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathcal{K}) = (2R)^d d^{-d/2}$. Thus, as each lattice point corresponds to a cube of volume exactly 1, the number of of lattice point in $B_{\mathbb{Z}^d}(0,R)^{\|\cdot\|_1}$ can be bounded by

$$\mathrm{Num}(R) \leq (2R)^d d^{-d/2}. \tag{3.9}$$

Thereby, we arrive at the bound

$$\|H\| \leq c\sqrt{d^{-d/2}(2R)^d\left(2d^{-d/2}(2R-1)^d - 1\right)} \leq c\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{2R}{\sqrt{d}}\right)^d, \tag{3.10}$$

where the second inequality presents a less tight bound, but more simple, expression. Now that it is known that H is bounded (and thus continuous) on the dense subspace $\langle |x,\sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\dots,N\}}$, it is clear that it extends to a bounded operator on all of $l^2(\mathbb{Z}^d;\mathbb{C}^N)$. We simply extend H to all limit-points of $\langle |x,\sigma_i\rangle\rangle_{(x,i)\in\mathbb{Z}^d\times\{1,\dots,N\}}$ by continuity.

4 Wannier states