

# FunkAn Assignment 1

Jacob Pesando, gcr109

December 2020

## Problem 1

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be (non-zero) normed vector spaces over  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

a)

Show that  $\|\cdot\|_0$  is a norm on  $X$ .

(1) If  $\|x\|_0 = 0 \Rightarrow \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y = 0 \Rightarrow \|x\|_X = 0$  and  $\|Tx\|_Y = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$

(2)  $\|\lambda x\|_0 = \|\lambda x\|_X + \|T(\lambda x)\|_Y = |\lambda|(\|x\|_X + \|T(x)\|_Y) = |\lambda|(\|x\|_0)$

(3)  $\|x+y\|_0 = \|x+y\|_X + \|T(x+y)\|_Y = \|x+y\|_X + \|Tx+Ty\|_Y \leq \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y + \|y\|_X + \|Ty\|_Y = \|x\|_0 + \|y\|_0$  Thus we conclude  $\|\cdot\|_0$  is a norm.

Show next that the two norms  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_0$  are equivalent if and only if  $T$  is bounded.

" $\Rightarrow$ ": If  $\|\cdot\|_X$  and  $\|\cdot\|_0$  are equivalent  $C_1\|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0 = \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y \leq C_2\|x\|_X$  therefore it follows  $\|Tx\|_Y \leq C_2\|x\|_X - \|x\|_X = \|x\|_X(C_2 - 1)$ . Which means  $\|T\| \leq C_2 - 1 < \infty$ , thus  $T$  is bounded.

" $\Leftarrow$ ": If  $T$  bounded  $\|Tx\|_Y \leq \|T\| \cdot \|x\|_X$ . Inserting this equation in the next, one gets.

$$\|x\|_0 = \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y \leq \|x\|_X + \|T\| \cdot \|x\|_X = \|x\|_X(1 + \|T\|) \Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + \|T\|} \|x\|_0 \leq \|x\|_X$$

We also know that  $\|x\|_0 = \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y \Rightarrow \|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0$  thus the norms are equivalent.

b)

Show that any linear map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is bounded, if  $X$  is finite dimensional.

$X$  is finite dimensional  $\Rightarrow$  all norms are equivalent by Theorem 1.6 in the notes  $\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|_X, \|\cdot\|_0$  are equivalent. Thus by (a)  $T$  must be bounded

c)

Suppose that  $X$  is infinite dimensional. Show that there exists a linear map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ , which is not bounded (= not continuous). [Hint: Take a Hamel basis for  $X$ (see below).]

Let  $(e'_i)_{i \in I}$  be a Hamel basis for  $X$ . One can chose the family  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  where  $e_i = \frac{e'_i}{\|e'_i\|_X}$  by linearity of  $T$ , this family is again a Hamel basis where each element has norm 1. Now chose  $y' \in Y$  where  $y' \neq 0$  ( $Y$  is nonzero) and let  $y = \frac{y'}{\|y'\|_Y}$  ( $y \in Y$  as  $Y$  v.s.)

Define:

$$T(e_i) = \begin{cases} i \cdot y & i \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

This  $T$  is unbounded as for any positive integer  $k$  chose  $e_i$  where  $i = k + 1$ . Then  $\|T(e_i)\|_Y = \|y \cdot i\|_Y = k + 1 \geq k = k\|e_i\|_X$ .

d)

Suppose again that  $X$  is infinite dimensional. Argue that there exists a norm  $\|\cdot\|_0$  on  $X$ , which is not equivalent to the given norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$ , and which satisfies  $\|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Conclude that  $(X, \|\cdot\|_0)$  is not complete if  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is a Banach space.

Let  $\|\cdot\|_0$  be the norm from (a). By (a) we also have  $\|x\|_0 = \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y \Rightarrow \|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0 \forall x \in X$ .

If the norms were equivalent one would have that  $\|x\|_0 \leq \|x\|_X \cdot C_1 \Rightarrow \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y \leq \|x\|_X \cdot C_1 \Rightarrow \|Tx\|_Y \leq \|x\|_X (C_1 - 1)$ . But by (c) there exist a linear map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  which is not bounded. Thus for this  $T$ :  $\|Tx\|_Y \not\leq \|x\|_X (C_1 - 1)$ . Therefore this norm is not equivalent with the  $\|\cdot\|_X$  norm.

By HW3 Problem 1 we know: "If the norms are not equivalent  $X$  cannot be complete w.r.t both norms". Thus if  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  Banach,  $(X, \|\cdot\|_0)$  cannot be complete

e)

Give an example of a vector space  $X$  equipped with two inequivalent norms  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|'$  satisfying  $\|x\|' \leq \|x\|$ , for all  $x \in X$  such that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is complete, while  $(X, \|\cdot\|')$  is not.

My example is  $(X, \|\cdot\|) = (l_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_1)$  and  $(X, \|\cdot\|') = (l_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

We know that  $(l_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_1)$  is complete (Analysis 2) and that  $\|\cdot\|_\infty \leq \|\cdot\|_1$  (if i have to give a reference: TA sessions).

Further we know  $\|\cdot\|_1 \not\leq C_1 \cdot \|\cdot\|_\infty$  as for any  $C_1$  we can construct a sequence in  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $\|(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_1 > C_1 \cdot \|(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\|_\infty$  (take for example the sequence of sequences i construct in a couple lines, for any  $C_1$  there exists  $i$  big enough so  $\|(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^i\|_1 > C_1 \cdot \|(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^i\|_\infty$ ), therefore the norms are inequivalent.

To show that  $(l_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is not complete i need to find a sequence of sequences in  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$  that is Cauchy w.r.t  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  that "converges" to a sequence not in  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$  (thus diverges in  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$ ).

Let each term of the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$  is just an index not an exponent) be given by:

$$x_n^i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & n \leq i \\ 0 & n > i \end{cases}$$

Each  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^i$  is a sequence in  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$  as it has finite support and each element is finite (thus the absolute sum is finite). Furthermore the sequence is Cauchy as for integers  $k > i$ ,  $\|(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^k - (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^i\|_\infty = \frac{1}{i+1}$  thus given any  $\epsilon > 0$  take  $i > \frac{1-\epsilon}{\epsilon}$  then  $\|(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^k - (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^i\|_\infty = \frac{1}{i+1} < \epsilon$  for all  $k > i$ .

But sadly  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}^i = (\frac{1}{n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which is not in  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$  as  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\frac{1}{n}| = \infty$  therefore we conclude that  $(l_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is not complete.

## Problem 2

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  be fixed, and consider the subspace  $M$  of the Banach space  $(l_p(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_p)$ , considered as a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , given by

$$M = \{(a, b, 0, 0, \dots) : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

let  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be given by  $f(a, b, 0, 0, \dots) = a + b$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$

a)

Show that  $f$  is bounded on  $(M, \|\cdot\|_p)$  and compute  $\|f\|$ . (Answer depends on  $p$ .)

For  $p = 1$ :

Using the triangle inequality, which holds for all norms:

$$|f(a, b, 0, 0, \dots)| = |a + b| \leq |a| + |b| = \|(a, b, 0, 0, \dots)\|_1$$

Thus  $\|f\| \leq 1$  and because  $|f(1, 1, 0, \dots)| = 2 = |1| + |1| = \|(1, 1, 0, \dots)\|_1$  then  $\|f\| = 1$  for  $p = 1$ .

Now assume  $p > 1$ :

We firstly note that  $(x)^p$  is convex on the set  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and integer  $p \geq 2$ . This is shown with the double derivative test (from Matintro)  $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(x)^p = p \cdot (p-1)x^{p-2} \geq 0$ . Thus  $|x|^p$  is convex on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

By using Jensen's inequality (Thm 13.13 Schilling) one has

$$\frac{1}{2^p} |a+b|^p = \left| \frac{a+b}{2} \right|^p = \left| \frac{a}{2} + \frac{b}{2} \right|^p = \left| \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2}b \right|^p \leq \frac{1}{2} |a|^p + \frac{1}{2} |b|^p = \frac{1}{2} (|a|^p + |b|^p) \Rightarrow |a+b|^p \leq 2^{p-1} (|a|^p + |b|^p)$$

By taking the  $p$ th root we get  $|f(a, b, 0, 0, \dots)| = |a + b| \leq 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (|a|^p + |b|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|(a, b, 0, 0, \dots)\|_p$ . Thus we conclude that  $\|f\| \leq 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$  and by noting that

$$|f(1, 1, 0, 0, \dots)| = 1 + 1 = 2 = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{p}} = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} (|1|^p + |1|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \|(1, 1, 0, 0, \dots)\|_p$$

we conclude  $\|f\| \geq 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$  and finally we get  $\|f\| = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$

**b)**

Show that if  $1 < p < \infty$ , then there is a unique linear functional  $F$  on  $l_p(\mathbb{N})$  extending  $f$  and satisfying  $\|F\| = \|f\|$ .

Existence:

As  $f \in M^*$  and  $(l_p(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_p)$  is a normed vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $M$  is a subspace of  $X$  by Corollary 2.6 in the lecture notes we know that there exists  $F \in (l_p)^*$  such that  $F$  is an extension of  $f$  and  $\|F\| = \|f\|$ .

Uniqueness:

Assume there exists two different extensions of  $f$ , namely  $F, F'$ . By problem 5 week 1 we know that  $(l_p)^*$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(l_q)$  (where  $q$  satisfies  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ ) with the following isometry:

$T: l_q \rightarrow (l_p)^*$  where  $T(x) = f_x$  and  $f_x(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n$  for  $y = (y_n)_{n \geq 1} \in l_p$  and  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in l_q$ .

Let  $x, x'$  be the corresponding elements of  $F, F'$  in  $l_q$ . Because of the isometry we know  $\|f\| = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} = \|F\| = \|F'\| = \|x\|_q = \|x'\|_q$ . As  $F, F'$  and  $f$  are equal on  $M$  let  $(a, b, 0, \dots) \in M$ , using the isometry on  $x, x'$  we get

$$a + b = F(a, b, 0, \dots) = (T(x))(a, b, 0, \dots) = f_x(a, b, 0, \dots) = x_1 a + x_2 b + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} x_n \cdot 0$$

$$a + b = F'(a, b, 0, \dots) = (T(x'))(a, b, 0, \dots) = f_{x'}(a, b, 0, \dots) = x'_1 a + x'_2 b + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} x'_n \cdot 0$$

Thus we know that  $x$  and  $x'$  both start with two 1s. The norm of  $x$  is given by:  $\|x\|_q = (1^q + 1^q + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} |x_n|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (1^q + 1^q + 0)^{\frac{1}{q}} = 2^{\frac{1}{q}} = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$ . But as we said before  $\|x\| = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$  and this is only possible if all the remaining terms of  $x$  are equal to 0. By the exact same argument (the norm of  $x'$  is given by:  $\|x'\|_q = (1^q + 1^q + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} |x'_n|^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq (1^q + 1^q + 0)^{\frac{1}{q}} = 2^{\frac{1}{q}} = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$ . But as we said before  $\|x'\| = 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}}$  and this is only possible if all the remaining terms of  $x'$  are equal to 0) we can conclude that  $x = x'$  and thus  $F = F'$ , this shows uniqueness.

**c)**

Show that if  $p = 1$ , then there are infinitely many linear functionals  $F$  on  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$  extending  $f$  and satisfying  $\|F\| = \|f\|$ .

Let  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_1(\mathbb{N})$ , Define  $F_i(x) = \sum_{n=1}^i x_n$  for all positive integers  $i > 2$ . We find the norm:  $|F_i(x)| = |\sum_{n=1}^i x_n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| = \|x\|_1$ , thus  $\|F_i\| \leq 1$ . Given the element  $\alpha_i$  of  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$  given by  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i, 0, 0, \dots)$  (where  $a_n = 1 \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) we see that  $|F_i(\alpha_i)| = |\sum_{n=1}^i 1| = \sum_{n=1}^i |1| + \sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} |0| = \sum_{n=1}^i |a_n| + \sum_{n=i+1}^{\infty} |a_n| = \|\alpha_i\|_1$  thus showing  $\|F_i\| \geq 1$ . Therefore we conclude that  $\|F_i\| = 1$  for all  $i$ . We also note that  $F_i(a, b, 0, \dots) = a + b$  for all  $i$ . Therefore each  $F_i$  is an extension of  $f$  on  $l_1(\mathbb{N})$  that satisfies  $\|F_i\| = \|f\| = 1$ , furthermore there are infinitely many of them.

## Problem 3

Let  $X$  be an infinite dimensional normed vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$ , where  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**a)**

Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Show that no linear map  $F: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$  is injective.

Assume per contradiction that an such an injective map exists. This map would map bijectively onto a basis of a subspace of  $\mathbb{K}^n$  (the subspace  $Im(T)$ ), let this basis be  $(e_i)$  (consisting of  $j$  elements

where  $0 < j \leq k$ , further let the unique preimage elements of  $(e_i)$  be  $(x_i)$  ( $Tx_i = e_i$ ). The span of  $(x_i)$  will then be mapped by  $T$  in the following way:

$$T\left(\sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_i x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_i T(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_i e_i$$

(Where we've used the linearity of  $T$  and  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{K}$ ). Thus we see that the span of  $(x_i)$  maps bijectively into  $Im(T)$  but because  $X$  is infinite dimensional there exists an element  $y \in X$  that is not in the span of the  $(x_i)$ s. As all elements in  $Im(T)$  are mapped to by the span of the  $(x_i)$ ,  $y$  can only be mapped to an element already mapped to by the span of  $(x_i)$ . Thus  $T$  cannot be injective.

**b)**

Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in X^*$ . Show that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n \ker(f_j) \neq \{0\}$$

Consider  $F(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x))$  this map is a linear map from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{K}^n$  thus it cannot be injective (by (a)) and therefore the kernel cannot be only 0 as  $\exists x_j, y_j \in X, x_j \neq y_j$  such that  $F(x_j) = k = F(y_j)$  as  $F$  is linear we get  $F(x_j - y_j) = 0$ . This element is in the kernel of all  $f_j$  too, thus we conclude  $\bigcap_{j=1}^n \ker(f_j) \neq \{0\}$ .

**c)**

Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ . Show that there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $\|y\| = 1$  and  $\|y - x_j\| \geq \|x_j\|$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Using Thm 2.7(b) in the notes if  $0 \neq x_i \in X, \exists f_i \in X^*$  s.t  $\|f_i\| = 1$  and  $\|f_i(x_i)\| = \|x_i\|$ . Consider the element (given by (b)) in the kernel of all the  $f_i$  that is non zero. We can pick it to be with norm  $\|y\| = 1$  as any if  $y'$  is in the kernel of all  $f_i$  then  $y = \frac{y'}{\|y'\|}$  is too. Then for all  $x_j$ :

$$\|y - x_j\| \geq \|f_j(y - x_j)\| = \|f_j(y) - f_j(x_j)\| = \| - f_j(x_j) \| = \|x_j\|$$

Thus showing what we wanted.

**d)**

Show that one cannot cover the unit sphere  $S = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$  with a finite family of closed balls in  $X$  such that none of the balls contains 0.

Assume that there exists such a cover. let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be the centers of the balls and let  $f_i$  be the corresponding functionals from (c). Let  $y$  be the element in the kernel of all the  $f_i$  also given by (b) and (c). As  $\|y\| = 1$  we have that  $\|y\|$  must lie inside one of the balls. WLOG assume  $y$  is inside the ball centered around  $x_y$ . By (c) we have that  $\|y - x_y\| \geq \|x_y\|$ . But this means that the radius of the ball centered at  $x_y$  must be greater than  $\|x_y\|$  and thus it must contain 0. This is a contradiction and therefore such a cover does not exist.

**e)**

Show that  $S$  is non-compact and deduce further that the closed unit ball in  $X$  is non-compact.

Firstly i note that the result for (d) also holds for open balls. Just exchange in the proof of (d) with "open" instead of "closed" and strict inequalities instead of weak.

Assume  $S$  is compact. Let an open cover be the family of open balls of a radius strictly less than 1 around each point  $x \in S$ . As  $S$  is compact then there exists a finite subcover for this cover. But as no ball contains 0 then by (d) we arrive at a contradiction. Thus we conclude  $S$  is not compact and as  $S$  is a closed subset of the closed unit ball and we know: "A closed subset of a compact space is compact". By contraposing that statement we get that as  $S$  is a closed subset of the unit ball and it is not compact then the closed unit ball cannot be compact either.

## Problem 4

Let  $L_1([0, 1], m)$  and  $L_3([0, 1], m)$  be the Lebesgue spaces on  $[0, 1]$ . Recall from HW2 that  $L_3([0, 1], m) \subsetneq L_1([0, 1], m)$ . For  $n \geq 1$ , define

$$E_n := \left\{ f \in L_1([0, 1], m) : \int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm \leq n \right\}$$

a)

Given  $n \geq 1$ , is the set  $E_n \subset L_1([0, 1], m)$  absorbing? Justify.

I will show that it is convex for later but not absorbing.

Let  $f, g \in E_n$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

$$\int_{[0,1]} |\alpha f + (1 - \alpha)g| dm \leq \alpha \int_{[0,1]} |f| dm + (1 - \alpha) \int_{[0,1]} |g| dm < \infty$$

$$\|\alpha f + (1 - \alpha)g\|_3^3 \leq (\alpha \|f\|_3 + (1 - \alpha)\|g\|_3)^3 \leq (\sqrt[3]{n}(\alpha + 1 - \alpha))^3 = \sqrt[3]{n}^3 = n$$

Therefore  $\alpha f + (1 - \alpha)g \in E_n$  and thus the set is convex.

Let  $f \in L_1([0, 1], m)$  but  $f \notin L_3([0, 1], m)$ . Then  $\|f\|_3 \not< \infty \Rightarrow \int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm \not< \infty$ . Thus given any positive constant  $t^{-1}$  we have  $\int_{[0,1]} |t^{-1}f|^3 dm = t^{-3} \int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm \not< \infty$ . Therefore there are functions in  $L_1([0, 1], m)$  that cannot be multiplied by a constant to "absorb" them into  $E_n$  thus  $E_n$  is not absorbing.

b)

Show that  $E_n$  has empty interior in  $L_1([0, 1], m)$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Suppose  $E_n$  didn't have empty interior, then there exists an open ball in  $E_n$  around an element  $f \in E_n$ ,  $B_r(f) = \{g \in L_1([0, 1], m) \mid \|f - g\|_1 < r\}$

As  $\| -g \| = \|g\|$  there exists an open ball around  $-f$ ,  $B_r(-f) \subset E_n$ . But as shown in (a)  $E_n$  is convex, using convexity we deduce that there exists an open ball  $B_r(0)$  that is also in  $E_n$ . But we know (3.3 lecture notes) open/closed balls around 0 are absorbing, but this contradicts (a), as  $E_n$  is not absorbing then any subset in  $E_n$  cannot be absorbing either. Thus we conclude that  $E_n$  must have empty interior

c)

Show that  $E_n$  is closed in  $L_1([0, 1], m)$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Let  $f_n$  be a sequence in  $E_n$  that converges to  $f$  w.r.t the 1-norm,  $\|f_n - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ , then we also know that  $|f_n| \rightarrow |f|$  and further  $|f_n|^3 \rightarrow |f|^3$  (still w.r.t. the 1-norm). By corollary 13.8 in Schilling there exists a subsequence  $|f_{n_j}|^3$  that converges almost everywhere to  $|f|^3$ . Thus by Fatou's lemma (9.11 Schilling):

$$\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm = \int_{[0,1]} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_j}|^3 dm \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} |f_{n_j}|^3 dm \leq n$$

Hence we have shown that any convergent (in  $L_1([0, 1], m)$ ) sequence in  $E_n$  converges to an element of  $E_n$  thus  $E_n$  is closed in  $L_1([0, 1], m)$ .

d)

Conclude from (b) and (c) that  $L_3([0, 1], m)$  is of first category in  $L_1([0, 1], m)$ .

As  $E_n$  is closed with empty interior (from (c) and (b) respectively) it follows that the interior of the closure of  $E_n$  is empty which means that  $E_n$  is nowhere dense.

Note that  $L_3([0, 1], m) = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$ , thus  $L_3([0, 1], m)$  is a countable union of nowhere dense sets and thus, by definition, it is of first category in  $L_1([0, 1], m)$ .

## Problem 5

Let  $H$  be an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space with associated norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $H$ , and let  $x \in H$ .

**a)**

Suppose that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in norm, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Does it follow that  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? Give a proof or a counterexample.

By proposition 5.21 in Folland  $\langle x_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle$  but  $\|x_n\| = \sqrt{\langle x_n, x_n \rangle} \rightarrow \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = \|x\|$ . Thus the assertion  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$  follows.

**b)**

Suppose that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Does it follow that  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ? Give a proof or a counterexample.

Pick  $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$  as a countable orthonormal basis for  $H$ , and let  $f \in H^*$  then by the Riesz representation theorem (Theorem 5.25 Folland) there exists a unique  $y \in H$  s.t.  $f(e_n) = \langle e_n, y \rangle$ . By Thm 5.26 Folland,  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle e_n, y \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\langle y, e_n \rangle|^2 \leq \|y\|^2$  but this implies that  $|f(e_n)|^2 \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . As this holds for all  $f \in H^*$ ,  $(e_n)$  converges weakly to 0. But  $\|e_n\| \rightarrow 1$  which is not 0 thus we have given a counterexample

**c)**

Suppose that  $\|x_n\| \leq 1$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ , and that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Is it true that  $\|x\| \leq 1$ ? Give a proof or a counterexample.

If  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  then  $\|0\| \leq 1$ . Now suppose  $x \neq 0$ . By Theorem 2.7 (b) in the notes there exist  $f \in H^*$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $f(x) = \|x\|$ . As  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly we have that (by problem 2 HMW4)  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ . Then we have  $|f(x_n)| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|x_n\| \leq 1$  for all  $n$  thus also for  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(x_n)| = |f(x)| = \|x\| \leq 1$  as  $[0, 1]$  is closed so any sequence will converge inside of it.