

# Assignment 1 -Diffun

Johannes Agerskov

Dated: March 1, 2021

## Ex 1

Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ . We show that  $f(x) = \langle u, \varphi(x, \cdot) \rangle$  defines a function in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  with  $f'(x) = \langle u, \partial_x \varphi(x, \cdot) \rangle$ .

*Proof.* We first of all notice that in the product topology the projection maps  $\pi_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \ni (x, y) \mapsto x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\pi_2 : \mathbb{R}^2 \ni (x, y) \mapsto y \in \mathbb{R}$  are continuous. Therefore,  $\pi_1(\text{supp}(\varphi)) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\pi_2(\text{supp}(\varphi)) \subset \mathbb{R}$  are compact sets, as they are images of compact sets under continuous maps. Now clearly, we have  $\text{supp}(\varphi(x, \cdot)) \subset \pi_2(\text{supp}(\varphi))$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $\text{supp}(\varphi(x, \cdot))$  is closed subset of a compact set, and therefore  $\varphi(x, \cdot)$  has compact support for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore,  $\varphi(x, \cdot)$  is a  $C^\infty$  function, since all derivatives are equal to some partial derivative of  $\varphi$  which is continuous by assumption. Hence  $\varphi(x, \cdot) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and,  $f$  is well-defined. Now by a similar argument we have that  $\text{supp}(\varphi(\cdot, y)) \subset \pi_1 \text{supp}(\varphi)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and therefore  $\varphi(x, \cdot) \neq 0$  only if  $x \in \pi_1 \text{supp}(\varphi)$ . Therefore, we may conclude that  $\text{supp}(f(x)) \subset \pi_1(\text{supp}(\varphi))$ . Thus  $\text{supp}(f(x))$  is a closed subset of a compact set, hence it is compact.

Thus we know that  $f(x)$  is well-defined and have compact support. to show that  $f$  is a  $C^\infty$  function. We compute the difference coefficient for  $f$

$$\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} = \left\langle u, \frac{\varphi(x+h, \cdot) - \varphi(x, \cdot)}{h} \right\rangle, \quad (0.1)$$

where we used linearity of  $\langle u, \cdot \rangle$ . Now we show that for any sequence  $h_n$ , such that  $h_n \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\frac{\varphi(x+h_n, \cdot) - \varphi(x, \cdot)}{h_n} \rightarrow \partial_x \varphi(x, \cdot)$  in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . This is seen by the mean value theorem, since  $\frac{\varphi(x+h_n, \cdot) - \varphi(x, \cdot)}{h_n} = \partial_x \varphi(x + \xi_n(x, h_n, \cdot), \cdot)$  for some  $0 \leq \xi_n(x, h_n, \cdot) \leq h_n$ . However, since we by the above argument have that  $\text{supp}(\varphi(x, \cdot)) \subset \pi_2 \text{supp}(\varphi) \subset \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we see that  $\text{supp}\left(\frac{\varphi(x+h_n, \cdot) - \varphi(x, \cdot)}{h_n}\right) \subset \pi_2 \text{supp}(\varphi)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus there exist a  $j \geq 1$  such that  $\text{supp}\left(\frac{\varphi(x+h_n, \cdot) - \varphi(x, \cdot)}{h_n}\right) \subset K_j$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , where  $K_j$  is the increasing sequence of compact sets defined in lemma 2.2 in the book. Furthermore, since  $\partial_x \varphi(\cdot, \cdot)$  is continuous with compact support, it is a well known result that it is uniformly continuous. But then it is clear that  $\frac{\varphi(x+h_n, \cdot) - \varphi(x, \cdot)}{h_n} = \partial_x \varphi(x + \xi_n(x, h_n, \cdot), \cdot) \rightarrow \partial_x \varphi(x, \cdot)$  uniformly for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The same holds for all the derivatives,  $\partial_y^m \varphi(x, \cdot)$ , by the same argument applied to  $\partial_y^m \varphi(x, \cdot)$ . Thus we have shown

that there exist a  $j \geq 1$  such that  $\frac{\varphi(x+h_n, \cdot) - \varphi(x, \cdot)}{h_n} \in C_{K_j}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \partial_y^m \left( \frac{\varphi(x+h_n, y) - \varphi(x, y)}{h_n} - \partial_x \varphi(x, y) \right) \right| : y \in K_j, m \leq \alpha \right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (0.2)$$

for all  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Hence by theorem 2.5(a) we have that  $\frac{\varphi(x+h_n, \cdot) - \varphi(x, \cdot)}{h_n} \rightarrow \partial_x \varphi(x, \cdot)$  in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . It then follows from continuity of  $u : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that  $\frac{f(x+h_n) - f(x)}{h_n} \rightarrow \langle u, \partial_x \varphi(x, \cdot) \rangle$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , but since this was for any sequence,  $h_n$ , converging to 0, we then may conclude that  $\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \rightarrow \langle u, \partial_x \varphi(x, \cdot) \rangle$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ , such that  $f'(x) = \langle u, \partial_x \varphi(x, \cdot) \rangle$ . It then follows that  $f$  is continuous, since it is differentiable. Now to see that  $f$  is  $C^\infty$ , we simply proceed by induction. Iterating the argument with  $\varphi$  replaced by  $\partial_x^m \varphi$ , shows that  $f$  is  $m+1$  times differentiable with  $f^{(m+1)}(x) = \langle u, \partial_x^{m+1} \varphi(x, \cdot) \rangle$ , thus  $f \in C_0^m(\mathbb{R})$ . Therefore, by induction,  $f \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $k \geq 0$  such that  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

## Ex 2

Consider the function  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $u(x) = \exp(-|x|)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

1) We show that  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and that in the sense of distributions we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) u = 2\delta_0. \quad (0.3)$$

where  $\delta_0$  is the  $\delta$ -distribution at 0. That  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is easily verified:  $u$  is measurable, since it is continuous. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x)| dx &= 2 \int_{[0, \infty)} \exp(-x) dx = 2 \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0, N]} \exp(-x) dx \\ &= 2 \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^N \exp(-x) dx = 2 \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [-\exp(-x)]_0^N = 2 < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (0.4)$$

So  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . To verify (0.3), notice that  $u$  is  $C^\infty$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\mathbb{R}_-$ , and that  $u$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, by lemma 3.6 in G. Grubb, we have that

$$\frac{d}{dx} u = \begin{cases} -\exp(-x), & x > 0 \\ \exp(x), & x < 0. \end{cases} \quad (0.5)$$

which is again an  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  function. Now notice that  $\frac{d}{dx} u + 2H$  is extendible to a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $H$  is the Heaviside step function  $H = \mathbb{1}_{(0, \infty)}$ . Thus lemma 3.6 again applies to this function, giving us

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{d}{dx} u + 2H \right) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} u + 2\delta_0 = \begin{cases} \exp(-x), & x > 0, \\ \exp(x), & x < 0. \end{cases} = u \quad (0.6)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) u = 2\delta_0. \quad (0.7)$$

as desired.

**2)** We show that if  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  then  $u * \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$\left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) u * \phi = 2\phi. \quad (0.8)$$

That  $u * \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  follows from theorem 3.16 and by noticing that  $u * \phi = \phi * u$  (by definition as the adjoint operation). Now (0.8) follows from Eq (3.42) in G. Grubb. Using this relation and linearity of the convolution we may calculate

$$\left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) u * \phi = \left(\left(1 - \frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right) u\right) * \phi = 2\delta_0 * \phi = 2\langle \delta_0, \phi(x - \cdot) \rangle = 2\phi(x - 0) = 2\phi(x). \quad (0.9)$$

where we also used theorem 3.16 in the third equality again.

### Ex 3

**a)**

Let  $f(x) = x^{-3/2}H(x)$ , where  $H$  is the Heaviside step function. We show that  $f|_{\mathbb{R}_+} \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , but  $f$  is not in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Proof.* Notice first that  $f_n = \mathbb{1}_{(1/n, \infty)} f$  is a non-negative increasing sequence of functions such that  $f_n \uparrow f$  pointwise.  $f_n$  are measurable, since

$$\{f_n > a\} = \begin{cases} (1/n, a^{-2/3}) & 0 < a < n^{3/2}, \\ (1/n, \infty) & a = 0, \\ \emptyset & a \geq n^{3/2}, \\ \mathbb{R} & a < 0 \end{cases} \quad (0.10)$$

which are all open sets, *i.e.*  $\{f_n > a\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus by the monotone convergence theorem we have  $f$  is measurable. Now for any compact set  $K \in \mathbb{R}_+$  we have that there exist  $a, b > 0$  such that  $K \in [a, b]$ . Thus we estimate

$$\int_K |f(x)| dx = \int_K f(x) dx \leq \int_{[a, b]} f(x) dx \quad (0.11)$$

since  $f$  is continuous on the interval  $(a, b)$  we may rewrite this integral as a Riemann integral

$$\int_K |f(x)| dx \leq \int_a^b f(x) dx = \int_a^b x^{-3/2} dx = \left[-2x^{-1/2}\right]_a^b = 2(a^{-1/2} - b^{-1/2}) < \infty. \quad (0.12)$$

Thus  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . On the other hand,  $[0, 1]$  is clearly a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and by the monotone

convergence theorem we have

$$\int_{[0,1]} |f(x)| dx = \int_{[0,1]} f(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} f_n(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(1/n,1]} x^{-3/2} dx \quad (0.13)$$

again since  $x^{-3/2}$  is continuous on  $(1/n, 1)$  we may rewrite in terms of Riemann integrals

$$\int_{[0,1]} |f(x)| dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/n}^1 x^{-3/2} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ -2x^{-1/2} \right]_{1/n}^1 = 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n^{1/2} - 1) = \infty, \quad (0.14)$$

from which it follows that  $f \notin L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\square$

We now show that  $\langle \Lambda, \varphi \rangle = \int_{(0,\infty)} x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0))$  defines a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ , which is equal to  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and on  $\mathbb{R}_-$ .

*Proof.* We have already shown that  $x^{-3/2} \mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}$  is measurable so  $\langle \Lambda, \varphi \rangle$  is well defined. That  $\langle \Lambda, \cdot \rangle$  is a linear functional is obvious from linearity of the integral.  $\langle \Lambda, \varphi \rangle \neq \infty$  will follow from the proof of continuity below. We thus need to show, that  $\langle \Lambda, \cdot \rangle$  is also continuous on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . To see this, let  $a > 0$  and let  $\varphi \in C_{K_j}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Lambda, \varphi \rangle| &= \left| \int_{(0,\infty)} x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{(0,a]} x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx \right| + \left| \int_{(a,\infty)} x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (0.15)$$

Now by the mean value theorem  $|\langle \Lambda, \varphi \rangle| (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) = \varphi'(\xi(x))x$  where  $0 \leq \xi(x) \leq x$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Lambda, \varphi \rangle| &\leq \left| \int_{(0,a]} x^{-1/2} \varphi'(\xi(x)) dx \right| + \left| \int_{(a,\infty)} x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx \right| \\ &\leq \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (|\varphi'(x)|) \int_{(0,a]} x^{-1/2} dx + 2 \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (|\varphi(x)|) \int_{(a,\infty)} x^{-3/2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (0.16)$$

where the maxima  $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (|\varphi'(x)|) = \max_{x \in K_j} (|\varphi'(x)|)$  and  $\max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (|\varphi(x)|) = \max_{x \in K_j} (|\varphi(x)|)$  exist since,  $\varphi \in C_{K_j}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . By the usual conversion of Lebesgue integrals to Riemann integrals, via *e.g.* monotone convergence theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{x \in K_j} (|\varphi'(x)|) \int_{(0,a]} x^{-1/2} dx + 2 \max_{x \in K_j} (|\varphi(x)|) \int_{(a,\infty)} x^{-3/2} dx \\ &= 2 \max_{x \in K_j} (|\varphi'(x)|) a^{1/2} + 4 \max_{x \in K_j} (|\varphi(x)|) a^{-1/2} \leq C \sup \left\{ |\varphi^{(m)}(x)| : x \in K_j, m \leq 1 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (0.17)$$

where  $C$  might be chosen to be *e.g.*  $C = 6$ , which is easily seen by setting  $a = 1$ . Thereby we have shown for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  that

$$\langle \Lambda, \varphi \rangle \leq C \sup \left\{ |\varphi^{(m)}(x)| : x \in K_j, m \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (0.18)$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_{K_j}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus by theorem 2.5(d) we see that  $\langle \Lambda, \cdot \rangle$  defines a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ . That  $\Lambda = \Lambda_f$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is easily seen: Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then

$$(\Lambda - \Lambda_f)(\varphi) = \int_{(0,\infty)} x^{-3/2} \left( \varphi(x) - \underbrace{\varphi(0)}_{=0} \right) dx - \int_{(0,\infty)} x^{-3/2} \varphi(x) dx = 0. \quad (0.19)$$

where we used that  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$  in the first equality and that  $\text{supp}(\varphi) \subset (0, \infty)$  implies that  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and linearity of the integral in the second equality. Thus we have shown that  $\Lambda|_{\mathbb{R}_+} - \Lambda_f|_{\mathbb{R}_+} = 0$  which by definition means that  $\Lambda = \Lambda_f (= f)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . On  $\mathbb{R}_-$  both distributions are trivially zero, so  $\Lambda = \Lambda_f (= f)$  on  $\mathbb{R}_-$  as well.  $\square$

b)

Let  $g(x) = -2x^{-1/2}H(x)$ . We show that  $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$  and that  $g' = \Lambda$ .

*Proof.* Define  $g_n = \mathbb{1}_{(1/n, \infty)}g$ , then  $-g_n$  is an increasing sequence of non-negative functions such that  $-g_n \uparrow -g$ .  $g_n$  are measurable, by a similar argument to one made in (a), or by noticing that  $g_n$  may be written as a product of a continuous function  $\tilde{g}(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & x > 1/n \\ -2xn^{3/2} & x \leq 1/n \end{cases}$ , and the measurable function  $\mathbb{1}_{(1/n, \infty)}$ . Thus,  $-g_n$  are measurable and by the monotone convergence theorem  $-g$  is measurable, from which it follows that  $g$  is measurable. Now let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , then there exist  $a > 0$  such that  $K \subset (-a, a)$  therefore, we estimate

$$\int_K |g(x)| dx \leq \int_{[-a, a]} |g(x)| dx = \int_{[0, a]} 2x^{-1/2} dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(1/n, a]} 2x^{-1/2} dx, \quad (0.20)$$

where we used the monotone convergence theorem in the last equality. The last integrals may be rewritten as Riemann integrals and thus we have

$$\int_K |g(x)| dx \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/n}^a 2x^{-1/2} dx = 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 2x^{1/2} \right]_{1/n}^a = 4a^{1/2} < \infty. \quad (0.21)$$

Thus it follows that  $g \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ . It therefore makes sense to compute the distributional derivative,  $g'$ . This can be computed directly from definition, let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

$$\langle g', \varphi \rangle = -\langle g, \varphi' \rangle = \int_{(0, \infty)} 2x^{-1/2} \varphi'(x) dx = \int_{(0, \infty)} 2x^{-1/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0))' dx, \quad (0.22)$$

where we used that  $(\varphi(x) - \varphi(0))' = \varphi'(x)$  in the last equality. Noticing that  $|-2x^{1/2}\varphi'(x)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , since  $\varphi' \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\langle g', \varphi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{(1/n, n)} 2x^{-1/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0))' dx \quad (0.23)$$

By rewriting in terms of Riemann integrals we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle g', \varphi \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/n}^n 2x^{-1/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0))' dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left[ 2x^{-1/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) \right]_{1/n}^n + \int_{(1/n, n)} x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx \right)\end{aligned}\quad (0.24)$$

Now we use that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \left[ 2x^{-1/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) \right]_{1/n}^n \right) = 2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ n^{-1/2} (\varphi(n) - \varphi(0)) - n^{1/2} (\varphi(1/n) - \varphi(0)) \right] = 0, \quad (0.25)$$

which can be seen from the fact that  $\varphi(n)$  is bounded, and  $\varphi(1/n) - \varphi(0) = \varphi'(\xi_n)/n \leq C/n$  for some  $C > 0$  by the mean value theorem. Now notice also that  $|x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0))| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  since, as was also used in part a), we have

$$\left| x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) \right| \leq \begin{cases} \max(|\varphi'|) x^{-1/2} & 0 < x < 1 \\ 2 \max(|\varphi|) x^{-3/2} & x \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (0.26)$$

where as usual the top estimate follows from the mean value theorem and the bottom one is straightforward. Clearly, as seen by above in part a), this shows that  $|x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0))| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . But then notice that by the dominated convergence theorem it follows that

$$\int_{(1/n, n)} x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx \rightarrow \int_{(0, \infty)} x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (0.27)$$

We have thereby shown that  $\langle g', \phi \rangle = \int_{(0, \infty)} x^{-3/2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)) dx = \langle \Lambda, \varphi \rangle$ , for all  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , such that  $g' = \Lambda$ .  $\square$