

Advanced Mathematical Physics, Assignment 3

Johannes Agerskov

Dated: June 8, 2020

1 The Connes are formula

Let $\text{Area}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denote the oriented area of the triangle with vertices $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ which is positive if x_1, x_2 , and x_3 are in counter clockwise order. Let, furthermore, $(\mathbb{Z}^2)^* = \mathbb{Z}^2 + (1/2, 1/2)$ denote the dual lattice points.

(a)

We prove first that the $\text{Area}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is equal to the number of dual lattice points inside the triangle $x_1x_2x_3$ plus half the number of dual lattice points on the boundary.

Proof. We notice first that for any rectangle with sides parallel to the two axes of \mathbb{Z}^2 and vertices $y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, it trivially holds that

$$\text{Area}(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = \#(\text{dual lattice point in the rectangle } y_1y_2y_3y_4), \quad (1.1)$$

since there are no dual lattice points on the boundary and we can cover the rectangle with disjoint (up to sets of measure zero) unit squares around each dual lattice point inside the rectangle.

Now notice that then it also holds for any right triangle $x_1x_2x_3$, $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, with the legs parallel to the two axes of \mathbb{Z}^2 . To see this we construct from any right triangle with legs parallel to the two axes, a rectangle with sides parallel to the two axes. This is done by rotating the triangle by π and gluing (by translation) the hypotenuses together. We then notice that the area of the resulting rectangle is exactly twice the area of the right triangle. Thus the area of the right triangle is equal to half the number of dual lattice points in the rectangle. Since the two triangles have an equal number of dual lattice points inside, and they share the dual lattice points on their boundary (hypotenuses), we conclude that the area of a single triangle is the number of dual lattice points inside the triangle plus half the number of dual lattice points on the boundary.

We finally notice that the desired result now follows from observing that any triangle in the lattice can be made into a rectangle with sides parallel to the axes, by adding right triangles with legs parallel to the axes. Simply add right triangles with hypotenuses given by the sides

of the original triangle (such that they are disjoint from the original triangle). Thus the area of the original triangle is the area of the resulting rectangle minus the area of the right triangles we added. However, then each dual lattice point inside the original triangle contributes one to the area. Each dual lattice point on the boundary of the triangle is added once by the rectangle, and half is then subtracted by the right triangles, thus they contribute one half each. Finally all dual lattice point outside the triangle is added once by the rectangle, and subtracted once by the right triangle and thus contribute nothing to the area. In total we have that the area is the number of dual lattice point inside the triangle plus one half times the number of dual lattice points on the boundary. \square

(b)

For any dual lattice point $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$, let $\theta_i(a) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ be the angle at a in the triangle $x_i a x_{i+1}$ where $x_4 \equiv x_1$, unless a lies on the line between x_i and x_{i+1} , in which case $\theta_i(a) = 0$.

We then prove that $\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (\theta_1(a) + \theta_2(a) + \theta_3(a)) = 2\pi \text{Area}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

Proof. This is actually quite obvious from the fact that by the definition of θ_i we have $(\theta_1(a) + \theta_2(a) + \theta_3(a)) = 2\pi$ for a inside the triangle and $(\theta_1(a) + \theta_2(a) + \theta_3(a)) = \pi$ for a on the boundary of the triangle. On the other hand $(\theta_1(a) + \theta_2(a) + \theta_3(a)) = 0$ for a outside of the triangle. Thus

$$\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (\theta_1(a) + \theta_2(a) + \theta_3(a)) = 2\pi \left(\#(\text{dlp inside}) + \frac{1}{2} \#(\text{dlp on boundary}) \right) = 2\pi \text{Area}(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (1.2)$$

where dlp stands for "dual lattice points". \square

(c)

Let $f : (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be antisymmetric and bounded such that $f(\theta) = \mathcal{O}(|\theta|^3)$. We then prove that

$$\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} f(\theta_i(a)), \quad (1.3)$$

is absolutely summable for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proof. Notice that for any triangle bac with $b, a, c \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we have the cosine relation

$$|bc|^2 = |ab|^2 + |ac|^2 - 2 \cos(\theta) |ab| |ac| \quad (1.4)$$

where θ is the angle at a in the triangle bac .

Consider now $\theta_i(a)$. We know by the cosine relation above that $\cos(\theta_i(a)) = \frac{|ax_i|^2 + |ax_{i+1}|^2 - |x_i x_{i+1}|^2}{2|ax_i||ax_{i+1}|}$. Since $\arccos(1 - |x|) = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{|x|})$, we conclude that $(f \circ \arccos)(1 - x) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{3/2})$. By the triangle inequality we know that $||ax_i| - |x_i x_{i+1}|| \leq |ax_{i+1}| \leq |ax_i| + |x_i x_{i+1}|$. Let M denote the set

$$M = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid |ax_i| > |x_i x_{i+1}|\}, \quad (1.5)$$

then the sum in (1.3) can be split in a sum over M and a sum over M^c . Clearly the sum over M^c is finite by boundedness of f , and we need not worry about any divergences from that contribution. Let $a \in M$, then we may conclude that

$$1 \geq \cos(\theta_i(a)) \geq \frac{|ax_i|}{2|ax_{i+1}|} + \frac{|ax_{i+1}|}{2|ax_i|} - \frac{|x_i x_{i+1}|^2}{2|ax_i|(|ax_i| - |x_i x_{i+1}|)}. \quad (1.6)$$

Using that $1 \leq \frac{1}{2}(k + k^{-1})$, for any $k > 0$, we find

$$1 \geq \cos(\theta_i(a)) \geq 1 - C \frac{1}{|ax_i|^2}, \quad (1.7)$$

for some $C > 0$. Therefore we may conclude

$$f(\theta_i(a)) = (f \circ \arccos)(\cos(\theta_i(a))) = \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1}{|ax_i|}\right)^3\right) \quad (1.8)$$

therefore we also have

$$|f(\theta_i(a))| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|ax_i|^3}\right). \quad (1.9)$$

It is then a well known fact that $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{|a|^3} < \infty$, which, by translation of the summand, proves the claim. \square

(d)

Let τ_i be the reflection of $(\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$ in the midpoint $(x_i + x_{i+1})/2$, *i.e.* it takes the point $a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$ and maps to the point $a + 2((x_i + x_{i+1})/2 - a) = x_i + x_{i+1} - a$. Clearly since $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $x_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ we have $x_i + x_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, and since $a \in \mathbb{Z}^2 + (1/2, 1/2)$ we have $x_i + x_{i+1} - a \in \mathbb{Z}^2 + (1/2, 1/2) = (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$. Thus we conclude that $\tau_i : (\mathbb{Z}^2)^* \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$. Now the triangle $x_i a x_{i+1}$ is related to $x_i \tau_i(a) x_{i+1}$ by a π rotation and the interchange $x_i \leftrightarrow x_{i+1}$. This can be seen by the fact that under a π rotation around the point $(x_i + x_{i+1})/2$, the point a maps exactly to $\tau_i(a)$. On the other hand x_i maps to x_{i+1} and vice versa, thus the π rotation around the midpoint, maps $x_i a x_{i+1}$ to $x_{i+1} \tau_i(a) x_i = \tau_i(x_i) \tau_i(a) \tau_i(x_{i+1})$. Now interchanging x_i and x_{i+1} we exactly see that we get $x_i \tau_i(a) x_{i+1}$. Thus we conclude that $\theta_i(\tau_i(a)) = -\theta_i(a)$, since the magnitude of the angle is preserved but the counter clockwise order of the vertices have changed. Furthermore, we then conclude that

$$f(\theta_i(\tau_i(a))) = -f(\theta_i(a)), \quad (1.10)$$

by antisymmetry of f .

Notice that $(\mathbb{Z}^2)^*$ can be split in two. Through the point $(x_i + x_{i+1})/2$ we draw a vertical line, all dual lattice points to left of that line *or* on the line above the point $(x_i + x_{i+1})/2$ we denote by \mathbb{Z}_L^2 and all points to the right of that line *or* on the line below the point $(x_i + x_{i+1})/2$ we denote by \mathbb{Z}_R^2 . Notice that $\tau_i(\mathbb{Z}_L^2) = \mathbb{Z}_R^2$, *i.e.* the image of τ_i on \mathbb{Z}_L^2 is equal to \mathbb{Z}_R^2 , and

$(\mathbb{Z}^2)^* = \mathbb{Z}_L^2 \cup \mathbb{Z}_R^2 \cup Z$, where

$$Z = \begin{cases} \{(x_i + x_{i+1})/2\} & \text{if } (x_i + x_{i+1})/2 \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^* \\ \emptyset & \text{if } (x_i + x_{i+1})/2 \notin (\mathbb{Z}^2)^* \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

Thus we may split the sum

$$\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} f(\theta_i(a)) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_L^2} [f(\theta_i(a)) + f(\theta_i(\tau_i(a)))] + \sum_{a \in Z} f(\theta_i(a)), \quad (1.12)$$

where we used the fact that $\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} f(\theta_i(a))$ is absolutely summable, to switch around the order of the terms. Clearly, the last term is zero if $Z = \emptyset$, on the other hand if $Z = \{(x_i + x_{i+1})/2\}$, the last term is also zero, since $\theta_i((x_i + x_{i+1})/2) = 0$ so $f(\theta_i((x_i + x_{i+1})/2)) = 0$ by antisymmetry. The first term is also zero by the fact that $f(\theta_i(\tau_i(a))) = -f(\theta_i(a))$, so all terms in the series vanish. Thus we conclude that $\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} f(\theta_i(a)) = 0$.

(e)

Now let $g : (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and antisymmetric such that

$$g(\theta) = \theta + \mathcal{O}(|\theta|^3), \quad (1.13)$$

We then prove the Connes area formula

$$\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (g(\theta_1(a)) + g(\theta_2(a)) + g(\theta_3(a))) = 2\pi \text{Area}(x_1, x_2, x_3). \quad (1.14)$$

Proof. The Connes area formula follows from the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (g(\theta_1(a)) + g(\theta_2(a)) + g(\theta_3(a))) &= \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (\theta_1(a) + \theta_2(a) + \theta_3(a)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (h(\theta_1(a)) + h(\theta_2(a)) + h(\theta_3(a))), \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

where $h(\theta) = g(\theta) - \theta = \mathcal{O}(|\theta|^3)$. Here we used the fact that the two series on the right hand side are both convergent. The last sum satisfies the assumptions on f in problem 1.(d) so we may conclude that $\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (h(\theta_1(a)) + h(\theta_2(a)) + h(\theta_3(a))) = 0$. By problem 1.(b) we thus have

$$\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (g(\theta_1(a)) + g(\theta_2(a)) + g(\theta_3(a))) = \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (\theta_1(a) + \theta_2(a) + \theta_3(a)) = 2\pi \text{Area}(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (1.16)$$

as desired. \square

2 Flux piercing index generalizes Chern number

Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$. We define the unitary multiplication operator

$$U_a |x, \sigma\rangle = e^{i\theta_a(x)} |x, \sigma\rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\theta_a(x) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow [0, 2\pi)$, is the angle the vector $x - a$ makes with the first axis. The flux piercing index is defined by the index of a pair of projections in the following way

$$\text{Ch}_{II}(P) = \text{index}(U_a^\dagger P U_a, P) \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.2)$$

It was shown in the lecture notes that $(U_a^\dagger P U_a - P)^3$ is trace class and that we then have

$$\text{Ch}_{II}(P) = \text{Tr} \left((U_a^\dagger P U_a - P)^3 \right). \quad (2.3)$$

(a)

We show that

$$\text{Ch}_{II}(P) = \sum_{x,y,z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \text{Tr} (P_{xy} P_{yz} P_{zx}) (\sin \angle(y, a, x) + \sin \angle(z, a, y) + \sin \angle(x, a, z)) \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left((U_a^\dagger P U_a - P)^3 \right) &= \sum_{x,y,z} \left(\langle x | (U_a^\dagger P U_a - P) | y \rangle \langle y | (U_a^\dagger P U_a - P) | z \rangle \langle z | (U_a^\dagger P U_a - P) | x \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{x,y,z} \left(\text{Tr} (P_{xy} P_{yz} P_{zx}) (e^{i(\theta_a(y) - \theta_a(x))} - 1)(e^{i(\theta_a(z) - \theta_a(y))} - 1)(e^{i(\theta_a(x) - \theta_a(z))} - 1) \right) \\ &= \sum_{x,y,z} \left(\text{Tr} (P_{xy} P_{yz} P_{zx}) (e^{i(\theta_a(y) - \theta_a(x))} - 1)(e^{i(\theta_a(z) - \theta_a(y))} - 1)(e^{i(\theta_a(x) - \theta_a(z))} - 1) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Using that

$$\begin{aligned} &(e^{i(\theta_a(y) - \theta_a(x))} - 1)(e^{i(\theta_a(z) - \theta_a(y))} - 1)(e^{i(\theta_a(x) - \theta_a(z))} - 1) \\ &= e^{i(\theta_a(y) - \theta_a(x))} - e^{-i(\theta_a(y) - \theta_a(x))} + e^{i(\theta_a(z) - \theta_a(y))} - e^{-i(\theta_a(z) - \theta_a(y))} + e^{i(\theta_a(x) - \theta_a(z))} - e^{-i(\theta_a(x) - \theta_a(z))} \\ &= 2i (\sin(\theta_a(y) - \theta_a(x)) + \sin(\theta_a(z) - \theta_a(y)) + \sin(\theta_a(x) - \theta_a(z))), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and the fact that we define the angle $\angle(b, a, c)$ to be positive if b, a, c is in clockwise order in \mathbb{Z}^2 (see figure 1 in the assignment) such that *e.g.* $\theta_a(y) - \theta_a(x) = -\angle(y, a, x)$, we find

$$\text{Tr} \left((U_a^\dagger P U_a - P)^3 \right) = -2i \sum_{x,y,z} \text{Tr} (P_{xy} P_{yz} P_{zx}) (\sin \angle(y, a, x) + \sin \angle(z, a, y) + \sin \angle(x, a, z)), \quad (2.7)$$

which is the desired result. \square

(b)

By translation invariance of P we may rewrite

$$\mathrm{Tr}(P_{xy}P_{yz}P_{zx}) = \mathrm{Tr}(P_{0(y-x)}P_{(y-x)(z-x)}P_{(z-x)0}). \quad (2.8)$$

Furthermore, since translations preserve angles we may also rewrite

$$\angle(y, a, x) = \angle(y - x, a - x, 0), \quad \angle(z, a, y) = \angle(z - x, a - x, y - x), \quad \angle(x, a, z) = \angle(0, a - x, z - x). \quad (2.9)$$

Thus we have by 2.(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ch}_{II}(P) &= -2i \sum_{x,y,z} \mathrm{Tr}(P_{0(y-x)}P_{(y-x)(z-x)}P_{(z-x)0}) \\ &\quad \times (\sin \angle(y - x, a - x, 0) + \sin \angle(z - x, a - x, y - x) + \sin \angle(0, a - x, z - x)) \\ &= -2i \sum_{\substack{y,z \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \\ a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*}} \mathrm{Tr}(P_{0y}P_{yz}P_{z0}) (\sin \angle(y, a, 0) + \sin \angle(z, a, y) + \sin \angle(0, a, z)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where we in the last step simply renamed the dummy variables and used the fact that the domain of summation is translation invariant.

(c)

We now apply the Connes area formula, which were proved in problem 1, to the result of 2.(b). We let $g(\theta) = \sin(\theta)$. Notice that then g is antisymmetric since $\sin(\theta)$ is odd. Furthermore, by Taylor's theorem we have

$$\sin(\theta) = \sin(0) + (\sin(\theta))'|_{\theta=0}\theta + \frac{1}{2}(\sin(\theta))''|_{\theta=0}\theta^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\sin(\theta))'''|_{\theta=0}\theta^3 + o(|\theta|^3) \quad (2.11)$$

by straightforward calculation we find

$$\sin(\theta) = \theta + \frac{1}{6}\theta^3 + o(|\theta|^3). \quad (2.12)$$

Since we clearly have $\frac{1}{6}\theta^3 + o(|\theta|^3) = \mathcal{O}(|\theta|^3)$ we conclude that g satisfies the hypotheses for Connes area formula to apply. Thus we have

$$\sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}^2)^*} (\sin \angle(y, a, 0) + \sin \angle(z, a, y) + \sin \angle(0, a, z)) = 2\pi \mathrm{Area}(y, 0, z) = 2\pi \frac{1}{2}y \wedge z \quad (2.13)$$

where the first step is Connes area formula, and the last step we introduced the oriented area of the parallelogram spanned by y and z ($y \wedge z = y_2z_1 - y_1z_2$). Thus we find by 2.(b)

$$\mathrm{Ch}_{II}(P) = -2\pi i \sum_{y,z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \mathrm{Tr}(P_{0y}P_{yz}P_{z0}) y \wedge z. \quad (2.14)$$

(d)

Notice that by the result in 2.(c) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ch}_{II}(P) &= -2\pi i \sum_{y,z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \text{Tr}(P_{0y}P_{yz}P_{z0}) y \wedge z \\
&= -2\pi i \sum_{y,z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \text{Tr}(P_{0y}P_{yz}P_{z0}) (y_2 z_1 - y_1 z_2) \\
&= -2\pi i \sum_{y,z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \langle 0|P|y\rangle \langle y|P|z\rangle \langle z|P|0\rangle (y_2 z_1 - y_1 z_2) \\
&= -2\pi i \sum_{y,z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \langle 0|P|y\rangle (\langle y|X_2 P X_1|z\rangle - \langle y|X_1 P X_2|z\rangle) \langle z|P|0\rangle \\
&= -2\pi i \text{Tr}(P_0 P (X_2 P X_1 - X_1 P X_2) P) \\
&= -2\pi i \text{Tr}(P_0 P (X_2 P X_1 P - X_1 P X_2 P)) \\
&= -2\pi i \text{Tr}(P_0 P (X_2 P X_1 P - X_1 P X_2 P + X_1 P P X_2 - P X_2 X_1 P - X_2 P X_1 \\
&\quad + P X_1 X_2 P - P X_1 P X_2 + P X_2 P X_1))
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where we in the last equality used that $\text{Tr}(P_0 \dots X_i) = 0$, $i = 1, 2$ and we added and subtracted the term $P X_1 X_2 P$. Collecting the terms we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
(X_2 P X_1 P - X_1 P X_2 P + X_1 P P X_2 - P X_2 X_1 P - X_2 P X_1 + P X_1 X_2 P - P X_1 P X_2 + P X_2 P X_1) \\
= -[[P, X_1], [P, X_2]],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

from which we conclude that

$$\text{Ch}_{II}(P) = 2\pi i \text{Tr}(P_0 P [[P, X_1], [P, X_2]]). \tag{2.17}$$

Comparing to eq. (99) in the lecture notes we conclude that $\text{Ch}_{II}(P) = \text{Ch}(P)$ whenever P is translational invariant, and Ch_{II} extends the Chern number.