

# FunkAn - 1

Milos Mathias Koch - skg675


December 2020

## Problem 1

(a)

As  $T$  is linear, we know that  $T(0) = 0$ , hence

$$\|x\|_0 = \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y = 0 \iff (\|x\|_X = 0 \wedge \|Tx\|_Y = 0) \iff x = 0.$$

Thus  $\|\cdot\|_0$  is positive definite.  Let  $x, y \in X$  and  $a \in \mathbb{K}$ . By direct computation, we see


$$\begin{aligned}\|ax\|_0 &= \|ax\|_X + \|T(ax)\|_Y \\ &= |a|\|x\|_X + |a|\|Tx\|_Y \\ &= |a|(\|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y) \\ &= |a|\|x\|_0\end{aligned}$$



and


$$\begin{aligned}\|x + y\|_0 &= \|x + y\|_X + \|T(x + y)\|_Y \\ &= \|x + y\|_X + \|Tx + Ty\|_Y \\ &\leq \|x\|_X + \|y\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y + \|Ty\|_Y \\ &\leq \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y + \|y\|_X + \|Ty\|_Y \\ &= \|x\|_0 + \|y\|_0.\end{aligned}$$




Thus we have shown that  $\|\cdot\|_0$  is indeed a norm on  $X$ . 

Now assume that  $\|\cdot\|_0$  and  $\|\cdot\|_X$  are equivalent. Then there exists  $c, C \in (0, \infty)$  such that  $c\|x\|_0 \leq \|x\|_X \leq C\|x\|_0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence


$$\|Tx\|_Y = \|x\|_0 - \|x\|_X \leq C\|x\|_X - \|x\|_X = (C - 1)\|x\|_X.$$

Thus  $T$  is bounded with  $\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X,Y)} \leq C - 1$ .  For the converse implication, assume that  $T$  is bounded. We can establish the first inequality by noting that, since  $0 \leq \|Tx\|_Y$ , we have  $\|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0$ . Hence by setting  $c = 1$ , we have  $c\|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0$ . As  $T$  is bounded, we have  $\|Tx\|_Y \leq C\|x\|_X$  for all  $x \in X$  and some  $C > 0$ . This immediately gives us that

$$\|x\|_0 = \|x\|_X + \|Tx\|_Y \leq \|x\|_X + C\|x\|_X = (1 + C)\|x\|_X,$$

thus the two norms are equivalent. 

**(b)**

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be finite-dimensional normed spaces and let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  a linear map. Define  $\|\cdot\|_0$  as in problem 1 (a). By theorem 1.6,  $\|\cdot\|_0$  and  $\|\cdot\|_X$  are equivalent, hence  $T$  is bounded by the result of problem 1 (a). As  $T$  was chosen arbitrarily, any linear map between  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  will be bounded. 

**(c)**

Choose a Hamel basis of non-zero elements,  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ , for  $X$ . As  $X$  is infinite dimensional,  $I$  must be at least countably infinite. Let  $J \subseteq I$  be countably infinite subset of  $I$ . Choose an enumeration  $(j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $J$ . Let  $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a subset of  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ , defined as  $e_n := e_{j_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $Y \neq \{0\}$ , we can choose a non-zero  $y \in Y$  with  $\|y\|_Y = 1$ . Define the set  $(y_i)_{i \in I}$  as  $y_i := n\|e_n\|_X y$ , for  $i \in J$ , and 0 otherwise. As  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  is a Hamel basis, there exists a unique linear map  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $T(e_i) = y_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

If  $T$  is bounded, then  $\sup(\|Tx\|_Y / \|x\|_X = 1) < \infty$ . However, by direct computation, we

see

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup(\|Tx\|_Y \|x\|_X = 1) &\geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \left\| T \left( \frac{e_n}{\|e_n\|} \right) \right\|_Y \right) \\
&= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{1}{\|e_n\|} \|Te_n\|_Y \right) \\
&= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{1}{\|e_n\|} \|y_n\|_Y \right) \\
&= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{1}{\|e_n\|} \underbrace{\|n\|e_n\|_X y\|_Y}_{\text{red wavy line}} \right) \\
&= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \frac{1}{\|e_n\|} n\|e_n\|_X \|y\|_Y \right) \\
&= \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n) \\
&= \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

∴ hence  $T$  is unbounded,

(d)

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a Banach space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be an unbounded linear map. Define  $\|\cdot\|_0$  as in problem 1 (a). We saw in problem 1 (a) that  $\|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0$  for all  $x \in X$ . By problem 1 (a), we have that  $\|x\|_X$  and  $\|x\|_0$  are not equivalent. By HW3 problem 1, or rather the contraposition of HW3 problem 1, we have that if  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(X, \|\cdot\|_0)$  are normed spaces, with  $\|x\|_X \leq \|x\|_0$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $\|x\|_X$  and  $\|x\|_0$  are not equivalent, then either  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  or  $(X, \|\cdot\|_0)$  are not complete. By assumption  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is a Banach space, hence  $(X, \|\cdot\|_0)$  is not.

(e)

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X) = (\ell_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_1)$ . And consider  $(\ell_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the uniform norm. If an element  $x \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$  has at most 1 non-zero entries, then  $\|x\|_\infty = \|x\|_1$ , and if  $x$  has more than 1 non-zero entries, then  $\|x\|_\infty < \|x\|_1$ . Hence  $\|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Why?  
Calculation!

Consider the sequence of sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , defined as

$$x_n(k) \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} & k \leq n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

As each  $x_n$  has compact support,  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ . Furthermore, for  $x := (\frac{1}{n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$ , we have

$$\|x - x_n\|_\infty = \frac{1}{n+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

hence  $x_n$  converges to  $x$  in the uniform norm. Furthermore, it is a Cauchy sequence in  $(\ell_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , as, for  $m, n > N$

$$\|x_m - x_n\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{N} \rightarrow 0.$$

But as  $x \notin \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ , (the harmonic series diverges)  $(\ell_1(\mathbb{N}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  can not be complete. ✓

Assume for a contradiction that there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\|x\|_1 \leq C\|x\|_\infty$  for all  $x$ . Let  $N > C$  Consider the sequence

$$z(k) \begin{cases} C & k \leq N \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

Once again,  $z$  is compactly supported, hence  $z \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ . By direct computation we see

$$\|z\|_1 = N \cdot C = N\|z\|_\infty > C\|z\|_\infty,$$

contradicting  $\|x\|_1 \leq C\|x\|_\infty$ , hence the two norms are not equivalent. ✓

## Problem 2

Throughout this problem, we will suppress norm subscripts, so they will have to be inferred from context. *Careful, this makes it harder to read.*

(a)

Firstly, let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . We, by HW1 problem 5, know that the mapping  $\Phi : \ell_q(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\ell_p(\mathbb{N}))^*$ , where  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ , given by  $x \mapsto f_x(\cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\cdot)(k)x(k)$ , is a well-defined isometric isomorphism. Hence so is its inverse  $\Phi^{-1} : (\ell_q(\mathbb{N}))^* \rightarrow \ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ . This implies that  $\|f_x\| = \|x\|$ . Now let  $x = (1, 1, 0, \dots)$ . It is immediate that  $f_{x|M} = f$ , and as  $M \subseteq \ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in M} (\|f(y)\| \mid \|y\| \leq 1) &= \sup_{x \in M} (\|f_x(y)\| \mid \|y\| \leq 1) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in X} (\|f_x(y)\| \mid \|y\| \leq 1) \\ &= \|f_x\| = \|x\|, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\|f\| \leq \|f_x\|$  which shows that  $f$  is bounded, and we are only one inequality away from computing  $\|f\|$ . Now let  $y \in \ell_p(\mathbb{N})$ , and let  $y_M := (y(1), y(2), 0, 0, \dots)$ . We see

$$\|y_M\|_p = (|y(1)|^p + |y(2)|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y(n)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|y\|_p.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f_x(y)| &= |f_x(y_M)| \\ &= |f(y_M)| \\ &\leq \|f\| \|y_M\|_p \\ &\leq \|f\| \|y\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $\|f_x\| \leq \|f\|$ , and so  $\|f_x\| \leq \|f\| = \|x\|_q$ . Hence  $\|f\| = \|x\|_q = \|(1, 1, 0, \dots)\|_q = 2^{1-1/p}$ .  
for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ .

As the above argument is essentially an application of the isometric isomorphism relation  $\ell_p(\mathbb{N}) \cong (\ell_q(\mathbb{N}))^*$  shown in HW1 problem 5, we will use the corresponding result for  $p = 1$ , that states that  $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}) \cong (\ell_1(\mathbb{N}))^*$ . Let  $p = 1$  and  $x = (1, 1, 0, \dots)$ . A step for step copy of the norm-consideration above shows that  $\|f\| = \|f_x\| = \|x\|_{\infty} = 1$ . And so we have seen computed the norm of  $f$  for  $1 \in [1, \infty)$ .

(b)

Existence is showed in problem 2 (a). Let  $x = (1, 1, 0 \dots)$ , and let  $f_x(\cdot) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\cdot)(k)x(k)$ . We also saw that  $\|f_x\| = \|x\| = \|f\|$ . Assume for a contradiction that there exist another linear functional  $f_{x'}$  that extends  $f$  to  $\ell_p(\mathbb{N})$  with  $f_x \neq f_{x'}$  and  $\|f_x\| = \|f_{x'}\| = \|f\|$ . As  $z \mapsto f_z$  is bijective, so is  $f_z \mapsto z$ , hence  $x \neq x'$ . As  $f_{x|M} = f_{x'|M}$ ,  $x$  and  $x'$  must agree on the first and second entries. Hence there exists  $k > 2$  such that  $x'(k) \neq x(k) = 0$ , hence  $|x'(k)| > 0$ . By direct computation we see

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_{x'}\|^q &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x'(n)|^q \\ &\geq |x'(1)|^q + |x'(2)|^q + |x'(k)|^q \\ &= \|x\|^q + |x'(k)|^q \\ &> \|x\|^q = \|f_x\|^q,\end{aligned}$$

which contradicts our assumption of equal norms. 

(c)

We know that  $\Phi : \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\ell_1(\mathbb{N}))^*$  given by  $x \mapsto f_x$ , is an isometric isomorphism. Hence  $\|x\|_{\infty} = \|f_x\| = 1$ . However choose some natural number  $k > 2$  and non-zero complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ , and let  $x'$  be defined as

$$x'(n) = \begin{cases} x(n) = 1 & \text{for } n \in \{1, 2\} \\ \alpha & \text{for } n = k \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\|x\|_{\infty} = \|x'\|_{\infty}$ , hence  $\|f_x\| = \|f_{x'}\|$ .  $f_x$  and  $f_{x'}$  agree on  $M$ . Indeed, let  $y \in M$ , and compute

$$f_{x'}(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x(n)y(n) = \underbrace{|y(1)| + |y(2)|}_{\text{Shouldn't be } | \cdot |} = f(y),$$

Hence  $f_{x'|M} = f$ . Since  $f_{x|M} = f$ , we see that  $f_{x'}$  actually is an extension of  $f$ . As  $\Phi$  is bijective, and so  $x \neq x'$  implies  $f_x \neq f_{x'}$ . As there are uncountable many  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \leq 1$

(and countably infinite entries to place those  $\alpha$ ), we see that there exist infinitely many linear extension of  $f$  for  $p = 1$ . ✓

### Problem 3

(a)

Assume for a contradiction that there exists a linear injection  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ . As  $X$  is infinite dimensional, we can find  $n + 1$  distinct, non-zero linearly independent elements in  $X$ , denote these with  $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}$ . By elementary linear algebra, we know that injective linear mappings preserve linear independence. Hence  $(F(x_i))_{1 \leq i \leq n+1}$  is also a set of linear independent elements in  $\mathbb{K}^n$ , a clear contradiction. Hence there does not exist a linear injection  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ . *show this.*

(b)

Consider the map  $F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ , given by  $x \mapsto (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ . It is clear that  $F(x) = 0_n \in \mathbb{K}^n$  if and only if  $f_j(x) = 0 \in \mathbb{K}$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . By Problem 3 (a),  $F$  is not injective, hence  $\ker F \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $x_0 \in \ker F$  be a non-zero element in the kernel of  $F$ . Hence  $x_0$  is also a non-zero element of the kernel of  $f_j$  for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus we have shown the desired result. ✓

(c)

For  $x_j = 0$  for any  $j \in \{1 \dots n\}$ , the assertion is trivial, indeed we can simply choose any  $y \in X$  with norm 1. Hence, assume that  $x_j \neq 0$  for all  $j \in \{1 \dots n\}$ . By theorem 2.7(b) there exist for  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in X^*$  such that  $f_j(x_j) = \|x_j\|_X$  and  $\|f_j\|_{X^*} = 1$  for all  $j \in \{1 \dots n\}$ . By problem 3 (b), we have that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(f_i) \neq \{0\}$ . As a finite intersection of subspaces are again a subspace, we can find a  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker(f_i)$  with  $\|y\| = 1$ . Thus the following computations

hold for all  $i \in \{1 \dots n\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_i - y\|_X &= \|f_i\|_{X^*} \|x_i - y\|_X \\
 &\geq |f_i(x_i - y)| \\
 &= |f_i(x_i) - f_i(y)| \\
 &= |f_i(x_i)| \\
 &= \|x_i\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

thus we have derived the desired result.

(d)

Let  $y$  be the element, whose existence we showed in problem 3 (c). Any finite collection of closed ball covering  $S$  would necessarily include at least one ball containing  $y$ . Let  $B_y$  denote a closed ball containing  $y$ . As  $d(0, x_i) = \|x_i\|_X \leq \|x_i - y\|_X = d(x_i, y)$ , where  $d$  is associated norm-metric on  $X$ , such a ball would also contain 0.

(e)

Assume for a contradiction that  $S$  is compact. Then consider the open cover  $\mathcal{B} = \{B(x, \frac{1}{2}) | x \in S\}$  of open ball with radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ . As  $S$  is compact, there exist a finite subcover  $\mathcal{B}_n = \{B(x_i, \frac{1}{2})\}_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$ . Let  $\bar{B}(c, r)$  denote the closure of the open ball with center in  $c$  and radius  $r$ . As the closure of a open ball with center in  $c$  and radius  $r$  a closed ball with center in  $c$  and radius  $r$ ,  $\bar{B}(x_i, \frac{1}{2})$  is a closed ball with center in  $x_i \in S$  and radius  $\frac{1}{2}$ . As  $B(x_i, \frac{1}{2}) \subset \bar{B}(x_i, \frac{1}{2})$ , the collection of the closure of each open ball in  $\mathcal{B}_n$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{CB}_n$ , is a (closed) cover of  $S$ . As  $d(s, 0) = 1$  for each  $s \in S$ , no balls in  $\mathcal{CB}_n$  contain 0. This a contradiction with problem 3 (d). Hence  $S$  cannot be compact.

Assume for a contradiction that the unit ball is compact. As  $S$  is, by analysis 1, a closed subset of the unit ball,  $S$  is compact, but as we have just shown,  $S$  is not compact, hence the unit ball cannot be compact.




## Problem 4

(a)

It is not the case. By HW2 problem 2, we know that  $L_3 := L_3([0, 1], m)$  is a proper subset of  $L_1 : L_1([0, 1], m)$ , hence we can choose  $f \in L_1 \setminus L_3$ . As  $L_3$  consists of all complex-valued functions,  $g$ , such that  $\int_{[0,1]} |g|^3 dm < \infty$ , we know that  $\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm = \infty$ . If  $E_n$  was absorbing for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there would have to exist  $t > 0$ , such that  $\int_{[0,1]} |tf|^3 dm < n$ , but by linearity of integrals, we have


$$\int_{[0,1]} |tf|^3 dm = t^3 \int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm = \infty,$$

for all  $t > 0$ . Hence there does not exist  $t > 0$ , such that  $tf \in E_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . 

(b)

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $f \in E_n$ . Let  $f' \in L_1 \setminus L_3$ , and let  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence defined as  $f_k = f + \frac{f'}{k}$ . Assume for a contradiction that there exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f_m = f - \frac{f'}{m} \in E_n$ . Then it would in particular also be in  $L_3$ . As  $L_3$  is a vector space, this implies that  $k(f - f_m) = f'$  would also be in  $L_3$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is entirely outside of  $E_n$ . We note that, since  $f' \in L_1$  implies that  $\|f'\|_{L_1} < \infty$

$$\|f - f_k\|_{L_1} = \left\| \frac{f'}{k} \right\| = \frac{1}{k} \|f'\| \rightarrow 0,$$


for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $f$  is not in the interior of  $E_n$ . As  $f$  was chosen arbitrarily,  $E_n$  has empty interior. As  $n$  was chosen arbitrarily,  $E_n$  has empty interior for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . 

(c)

Let  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq E_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges in  $L_1$  to some function  $f \in L_1$ . By corollary 13.8 of "Measures, Integrals and Martingales" by René Schilling, there exists a subsequence  $(f_{k_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_{k_j}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  almost surely. As  $|\cdot|^3$  is continuous, we have  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |f_{k_j}(x)|^3 \rightarrow |f(x)|^3$  almost surely, note that  $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} |f_{k_j}(x)|^3 = |f(x)|^3$  almost

surely. Hence, as  $(|f_{k_j}|^3)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of positive measurable functions, by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm = \int_{[0,1]} \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} |f_{k_j}|^3 dm \leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[0,1]} |f_{k_j}|^3 dm \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} n,$$

where  $(*)$  is due to the fact that  $\int_{[0,1]} |f_{k_j}|^3 dm \leq n$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $E_n$  is closed for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . 

(d)

As  $E_n$  is closed, we have  $E_n = \bar{E}_n$ , where  $\bar{E}_n$  denotes the closure of  $E_n$ . Thus, as  $E_n$  has empty interior, so does its closure, so  $E_n$  is a nowhere dense set, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ f \in L_1 \mid \int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm \leq n \right\} = \left\{ f \in L_1 \mid \int_{[0,1]} |f|^3 dm < \infty \right\} = L_3,$$


we have that  $L_3$  is a countable union of nowhere dense sets in  $L_1$ , hence it is of first category. 

## Problem 5

(a)

By the inverse triangle inequality, we have


$$||x_n| - |x|| \leq \|x_n - x\|.$$

As  $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ . 

(b)

It is not the case. Consider the following counterexample.


Let  $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a countable orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . For all  $f \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , we have  $f(e_n) = \langle e_n, x_f \rangle$  for some unique  $x_f \in \mathcal{H}$  by the Riesz representation theorem (proved on 332 in Schilling). Then by the equivalent definitions (listed and proved on page 335-336 in "Measures, Integrals

and Martingales” by René Schilling), we have that  $\langle e_n, x_f \rangle \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x_f \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . Hence, by HW4,  $e_n$  converges weakly to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . But as  $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is an orthonormal basis,  $\|e_n\| = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence  $\|e_n\| \rightarrow 1 \neq 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . 

(c)

Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then by HW4, we know that  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}^*$ . By theorem 2.7(b) there exist a functional  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^*$ , such that  $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^*} = 1$  and  $\phi(x) = |\phi(x)| = \|x\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Hence we have *What if  $x=0$ ?*

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= |\phi(x)| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi(x_n)| \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi(x_n)| \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^*} \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that the statement is true. 

Merry Christmas and happy new years! 