

# Econ 210C Homework 2

Instructor: Johannes Wieland

Due: 5/22/2023, 11:59PM PST, on Github.

## 1. VARs

Download data for the Federal Funds Rate, the civilian unemployment rate, and the GDP deflator inflation rate from FRED.

- (a) Plot the data. Make sure all graphs are appropriately labelled.
- (b) Aggregate all series to a quarterly frequency by averaging over months.

Estimate a VAR with 4 lags from 1960Q1:2007Q4. The ordering of your variables should be  $\pi_t, u_t, R_t$ .

- (c) Briefly, explain why it would make sense to end the sample in 2007Q4?
- (d) Plot the IRFs from the SVAR with the same ordering. [Optional: add 95% error bands]
- (e) Briefly, interpret your results.
- (f) Plot the time series of your identified monetary shocks.
- (g) What are the identified monetary shocks in 2001Q3 and 2001Q4? How should one interpret these shocks?

## 2. Romer shocks

- (a) Download the Romer-Romer shocks from my website and merge it with your VAR dataset. Set the values of the Romer shocks to zero before 1969Q1.
- (b) Following Romer-Romer, construct the IRF from the estimation equation

$$y_t = \alpha + \sum_{s=1}^8 \beta_s y_{t-s} + \sum_{s=0}^{12} \gamma_s RR_{t-s}$$

where  $y_t \in [\pi_t, u_t, R_t]$  are the outcome variables and  $RR_t$  are the Romer shocks estimated from 1960Q1:2007Q4. [Optional: add 95% error bands]

- (c) Now estimate an SVAR ordered  $RR_t, \pi_t, u_t, R_t$  with four lags from 1960Q1:2007Q4 and plot the IRFs. [Optional: add 95% error bands]
- (d) Briefly, explain why it is sensible to order the Romer shock first in the VAR.
- (e) Compare the IRFs for the Romer shocks from the two methods. How are they different, and why?

- (f) Compare the VAR IRFs for the Romer shocks with the VAR IRFs for the SVAR shocks in Question (1d). How are they different, and why?
- (g) Compare the Romer-Romer the identified monetary shocks in 2001Q3 and 2001Q4 with the SVAR identified monetary shocks. How are they similar / different?