# CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY ECONOMICS 210C

Johannes Wieland jfwieland@ucsd.edu

Spring 2024

- Introduction
- 2 FLEXIBLE PRICE MONETARY MODEL
  - Households
  - Firms
  - Government
  - Markets
  - Equilibrium
- CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY
- 4 NEXT STEPS

#### INTRODUCTION

- So far all(?) models you have seen in the core are real.
- Today we will add money and prices to a standard business cycle model.
- The key prediction from this model is the Classical Dichotomy: money is neutral, i.e., it does not affect real variables.
- This result will be useful starting point for analyzing the determinants of prices and inflation (next class).
- We will then examine evidence for / against the Classical Dichotomy.

- Introduction
- 2 FLEXIBLE PRICE MONETARY MODEL
  - Households
  - Firms
  - Government
  - Markets
  - Equilibrium
- CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY
- 4 NEXT STEPS

- Introduction
- 2 FLEXIBLE PRICE MONETARY MODEL
  - Households
  - Firms
  - Government
  - Markets
  - Equilibrium
- CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY
- 4 NEXT STEPS

#### Money Demand: Ideas

- Money has no nominal return. If bonds pay interest, why hold money?
  - Money provides "liquidity services."
    - \* Costly and time consuming to buy and sell bonds every time you want to buy something.
    - \* You also don't want to seek out someone who wants to trade for exactly what you have.
  - Money provides anonymity.
- To focus on interesting questions about how money changes economy, punt on why people hold it and just put real money balances  $M_t/P_t$  in the utility function.
  - ► Choose convenient isoelastic form.
  - Alternative: Cash in advance constraint or New Monetarist day/night markets.

## **SETUP: HOUSEHOLDS**

#### • Preferences:

$$\max_{\{C_{t+s}, N_{t+s}, B_{t+s}, M_{t+s}\}} E_t \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \beta^s \left( \frac{C_{t+s}^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \zeta \frac{(M_{t+s}/P_{t+s})^{1-\nu}}{1-\nu} - \chi \frac{N_{t+s}^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right) \right\}$$

- ▶ Discount factor  $\beta \in (0,1)$ ,  $\rho = -\log \beta$  is the discount rate.
- $\gamma > 0$  is CRRA,  $\sigma = 1/\gamma$  is IES.
- v > 0 determines elasticity of money demand.
- $\varphi > 0$  where  $1/\varphi$  is the Frisch elasticity of labor supply.
- Notes:
  - All that matters is U being twice continuously differentiable with  $U_c > 0$ ,  $U_{cc} < 0$ ,  $U_m > 0$ ,  $U_{mm} < 0$ ,  $U_n < 0$ ,  $U_{nn} < 0$ .

### SETUP: HOUSEHOLDS

• Budget constraint:

$$P_t C_t + B_t + M_t \le W_t N_t + Q_{t-1} B_{t-1} + M_{t-1} + P_t (TR_t + PR_t)$$

Real budget constraint:

$$C_{t} = \frac{W_{t}}{P_{t}} N_{t} - \frac{B_{t} - Q_{t-1}B_{t-1}}{P_{t}} - \frac{M_{t} - M_{t-1}}{P_{t}} + TR_{t} + PR_{t}$$

- $P_t$  is the price of output  $C_t$ .
- ▶  $B_t$  is holdings of a nominal bond bought at price 1 and yielding  $Q_t$  at time t+1.
- $\triangleright$   $Q_t$  is gross nominal interest rate between periods t and t+1.
- $ightharpoonup W_t$  is the nominal wage.
- $ightharpoonup M_t$  is quantity of money households hold at end of period t.
- ▶  $TR_t$  are real transfers and  $PR_t$  are real rebated profits.

#### A NOTE ON TIMING

- Instead of giving a claim to a return on capital determined at time t+1, nominal bonds are coupon bonds.
  - ▶ Buy at face price of 1 at t, know that will pay Q at t+1.
  - ▶ Bond return between t and t+1 now determined at time t.
  - ▶ Consequently, gross t to t+1 return is denoted as  $Q_t$ .
- The (ex-post) real interest rate on the bond is not known at t:

$$R_{t+1} \equiv Q_t \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}}$$

- ▶ Depends on the realization of inflation at t+1.
- ► This timing helps clarify what an "expectation at time t" means and is consistent with literature.
- Note: Different timing from Gali.

## HOUSEHOLD PROBLEM: THREE FOCS

Static FOC WRT labor:

$$\frac{W_t}{P_t} = \frac{\chi N_t^{\varphi}}{C_t^{-\gamma}}$$

Dynamic FOC WRT B<sub>t</sub>: Euler equation

$$1 = \beta E_t \left\{ Q_t \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \frac{C_{t+1}^{-\gamma}}{C_t^{-\gamma}} \right\}$$

Dynamic FOC WRT M<sub>t</sub>:

$$1 = \beta E_t \left\{ \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \frac{C_{t+1}^{-\gamma}}{C_t^{-\gamma}} \right\} + \zeta \frac{(M_t/P_t)^{-\nu}}{C_t^{-\gamma}}$$

### INTERTEMPORAL CONSUMPTION CHOICE

• Solve the Euler equation forward:

$$\begin{aligned} C_t^{-\gamma} &= E_t \left\{ \beta R_{t+1} C_{t+1}^{-\gamma} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{T \to \infty} E_t \prod_{s=0}^T (\beta R_{t+1+s}) C_{t+1+T}^{-\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

- Consumption today determined by:
  - ▶ Long-run consumption  $C_{t+1+T} \approx$  permanent income.
  - ▶ Intertemporal substitution through the path of real interest rates.
- Very different from old Keynesian consumption function,  $c_t = k + mpc \times y_t$ .
  - ► Old Keynesian consumption behavior remerges in Heterogeneous Agent New Keynesian (HANK) models.

## THE STOCHASTIC DISCOUNT FACTOR

Call

$$\Lambda_{t,t+1} \equiv rac{eta \, C_{t+1}^{-\gamma}}{C_t^{-\gamma}}$$

the household's stochastic discount factor.

• Pins down economy's real interest rate as Euler is:

$$1 = \beta E_t \left\{ Q_t \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \frac{C_{t+1}^{-\gamma}}{C_t^{-\gamma}} \right\} \equiv E_t \{ \Lambda_{t,t+1} R_{t+1} \}$$

- ▶ Very important for asset pricing, as with different assets price is determined by covariance between return and SDF.
- Will be implicit discount rate of firms if households own firms as this is how shareholders discount cashflows.

#### BONDS VS. MONEY

The bonds and money FOCs can also be written as:

$$U_{C_{t}} = \beta Q_{t} E_{t} \left\{ \frac{P_{t}}{P_{t+1}} U_{C_{t+1}} \right\}$$

$$U_{C_{t}} = \beta E_{t} \left\{ \frac{P_{t}}{P_{t+1}} U_{C_{t+1}} \right\} + U_{M_{t}/P_{t}}$$

- Euler: MU cost of buying  $\varepsilon$  more bonds today = discounted price-level and return adjusted benefit of having  $\varepsilon$  more bonds tomorrow.
- Money: MU cost of holding  $\varepsilon$  more money today = discounted price-level adjusted benefit of having  $\varepsilon$  more money tomorrow plus liquidity benefits of holding  $\varepsilon$  more money overnight.
- Money Demand Trade-Off: Can buy bond and get return or money and get utility benefit

#### MONEY DEMAND

Combining bonds and money FOCs gives money demand:

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} = \zeta^{1/\nu} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{Q_t} \right)^{-1/\nu} C_t^{\gamma/\nu}$$

- ightharpoonup Increasing in  $C_t$ : Consume more, demand more money.
- ▶ Decreasing in  $Q_t$ : Decreasing in opportunity cost of holding money, the nominal interest rate.
- Often summarize as reduced-form function:

$$\frac{M_t}{P_t} = \Phi(C_t, Q_t)$$

## TRANSVERSALITY CONDITIONS

TVC B<sub>t</sub>:

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} E_t \Lambda_{t,t+T} \frac{B_T}{P_T} = 0$$

■ TVC M<sub>t</sub>:

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} E_t \Lambda_{t,t+T} \frac{M_T}{P_T} = 0$$

- In words:
  - ► Cannot borrow exponentially more and more to repay existing debt and finance consumption (rules out < 0).
  - $\triangleright$  Sub-optimal to save exponentially more each period (rules out > 0).

- Introduction
- 2 FLEXIBLE PRICE MONETARY MODEL
  - Households
  - Firms
  - Government
  - Markets
  - Equilibrium
- CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY
- 4 NEXT STEPS

## SETUP: FIRMS

• Firms produce output  $Y_t$  CRS with labor  $N_t$  (no capital).

$$Y_t = A_t N_t$$

• Firms maximize profits:

$$\max_{N_t} PR_t = Y_t - \frac{W_t}{P_t} N_t$$

FOC:

$$MPL_t = A_t = \frac{W_t}{P_t}$$

- Introduction
- 2 FLEXIBLE PRICE MONETARY MODEL
  - Households
  - Firms
  - Government
  - Markets
  - Equilibrium
- CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY
- 4 NEXT STEPS

### **SETUP: GOVERNMENT**

• The government budget constraint:

$$B_t + M_t = P_t Tr_t + Q_{t-1} B_{t-1} + M_{t-1}$$

In real terms:

$$\frac{B_t}{P_t} + \frac{M_t}{P_t} = Tr_t + \frac{Q_{t-1}B_{t-1}}{P_t} + \frac{M_{t-1}}{P_t}$$

- Government issues bonds or prints money to finance transfers and pay off past debt.
- Taxes are captured as negative transfers.

- Introduction
- 2 FLEXIBLE PRICE MONETARY MODEL
  - Households
  - Firms
  - Government
  - Markets
  - Equilibrium
- CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY
- 4 NEXT STEPS

## SETUP: MARKETS

#### Four markets

- ▶ Labor:  $N_t^{firms} = N_t^{households}$
- ▶ Bond:  $B_t^{government} = B_t^{households}$
- ▶ Money:  $M_t^{government} = M_t^{households}$
- Output:  $Y_t = C_t$ .

- Introduction
- 2 FLEXIBLE PRICE MONETARY MODEL
  - Households
  - Firms
  - Government
  - Markets
  - Equilibrium
- CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY
- 4 NEXT STEPS

## **EQUILIBRIUM DEFINITION**

An equilibrium is an allocation  $\{C_{t+s}, N_{t+s}, Y_{t+s}, B_{t+s}\}_{s=0}^{\infty}$ , a set of prices  $\{W_{t+s}, P_{t+s}, Q_{t+s}\}_{s=0}^{\infty}$ , an exogenous processes  $\{A_{t+s}, T_{t+s}, M_{t+s}\}_{s=0}^{\infty}$  and initial conditions for bonds and capital  $B_{t-1}, K_{t-1}$  such that:

- Households maximize utility subject to budget constraints.
- Firms maximize profits given their technology.
- The government satisfies its budget constraint.
- Markets clear:
  - Labor demanded equals labor supplied.
  - Bond issuance by the government equals bond holding by households.
  - Money issuance by the government equals money holdings by households.
  - Output equals consumption plus investment.

# **EQUILIBRIUM EQUATIONS**

$$\begin{split} Y_t &= A_t N_t \\ \frac{W_t}{P_t} &= A_t \\ \frac{W_t}{P_t} &= \frac{\chi N_t^{\varphi}}{C_t^{-\gamma}} \\ Y_t &= C_t \\ \frac{M_t}{P_t} &= \zeta^{1/\nu} \left(1 - \frac{1}{Q_t}\right)^{-1/\nu} C_t^{\gamma/\nu} \\ 1 &= \beta E_t \left\{ Q_t \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \frac{C_{t+1}^{-\gamma}}{C_t^{-\gamma}} \right\} \end{split}$$

- Introduction
- 2 FLEXIBLE PRICE MONETARY MODEL
  - Households
  - Firms
  - Government
  - Markets
  - Equilibrium
- CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY
- 4 NEXT STEPS

## CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY: THE REAL BLOCK

• Fist four equations in the "real block" pin down output, employment, the real wage, and consumption.

Labor Supply: 
$$\frac{W_t}{P_t} = \frac{\chi N_t^{\phi}}{C_t^{-\gamma}}$$
  
Labor Demand:  $\frac{W_t}{P_t} = A_t N_t$ 

and  $C_t = Y_t = A_t N_t$ 

• Yields one equation in  $N_t$ :

$$\frac{\chi N_t^{\varphi}}{(A_t N_t)^{-\gamma}} = A_t \implies N_t = \left(\frac{1}{\chi} A_t^{1-\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{\varphi+\gamma}}$$

- Monetary Neutrality: Real outcomes are independent of the price level and unaffected by nominal variables.
- Monetary Neutrality implies the Classical Dichotomy: can analyze real and nominal variables independently.

## How General Is The Neutrality Result?

• Look at real block imposing  $Y_t = C_t$ :

$$C_t = F(N_t; A_t)$$

$$\frac{W_t}{P_t} = F_N(N_t; A_t)$$

$$\frac{W_t}{P_t} = \frac{U_{N_t}}{U_{C_t}}$$

- Money cannot show up in aggregate resource constraint or production function.
- From labor supply, see key condition is separability between money and consumption / labor in utility function.
  - ▶  $M_t/P_t$  does not affect  $U_{N_t}$  or  $U_{C_t}$  and thus does not affect MRS or labor supply curve.

#### NON-SEPARABLE MONEY IN UTILITY

- We can generate non-neutrality from creating a cross-partial between  $M_t/P_t$  and  $N_t$  or  $C_t$  in the utility function.
  - See Gali book.
- I find this to be a fairly unsatisfying way to obtain non-neutrality.
  - Money in the utility function is a short cut.
  - What does it mean for liquidity services to increase when labor or consumption changes?
  - I used to think that the liquidity services effect was unimportant, but recent work has convinced me that it is important in emerging economies.
    - \* Chodorow-Reich, Gopinath, Mishra, Narayanan (2019) in India
    - ★ Alvarez and Argente (2019) in Mexico

#### WHAT ABOUT CAPITAL?

- Assuming away capital is not driving neutrality.
- Non-capital equations and resource constraint:

$$Y_{t} = F(K_{t-1}, N_{t}; A_{t})$$

$$\frac{U_{N_{t}}}{U_{C_{t}}} = F_{N}(K_{t-1}, N_{t}; A_{t})$$

$$Y_{t} = C_{t} + K_{t} - (1 - \delta)K_{t-1}$$

Household Euler is in terms of real rate, as is firm capital FOC:

$$1 = E_t \left\{ \frac{\beta C_{t+1}^{-\gamma}}{C_t^{-\gamma}} R_{t+1} \right\}$$

$$R_{t+1} = F_K(K_t, N_{t+1}; A_{t+1}) + (1 - \delta)$$

Real side pinned down independent of nominal side.

- Introduction
- 2 FLEXIBLE PRICE MONETARY MODEL
  - Households
  - Firms
  - Government
  - Markets
  - Equilibrium
- CLASSICAL DICHOTOMY
- MEXT STEPS

### **NEXT STEPS**

• For now we embrace the Classical Dichotomy and will analyze how prices and inflation are determined in our economy.

► Classical Dichotomy makes our life simpler here, without meaningfully changing conclusions.

Then we will at evidence for / against monetary neutrality.