

# CONTINUOUS TIME

Juan Herreño   Johannes Wiesel

UCSD, Spring 2024

# OUTLINE

- 1 FROM BELLMAN TO HJB
- 2 DISCRETIZING  $v$  AND APPROXIMATING  $v'$
- 3 ALGORITHM WITH THE EXPLICIT METHOD
- 4 ALGORITHM WITH THE IMPLICIT METHOD
- 5 SIMULATION

# OUTLINE

- 1 FROM BELLMAN TO HJB
- 2 DISCRETIZING  $v$  AND APPROXIMATING  $v'$
- 3 ALGORITHM WITH THE EXPLICIT METHOD
- 4 ALGORITHM WITH THE IMPLICIT METHOD
- 5 SIMULATION

# CAVEATS

- Lecture designed to take you to the computer as fast as possible
- Not a lecture on continuous time calculus
- Instead what Ben Moll calls a *cookbook approach*
- I borrowed heavily from Ben's material
- If you are interested in the math behind these problems the reference is Achdou et al (2022) in Restud.

## TWO NEW FRIENDS

- In general, when you write macro models in continuous time you need two equations (PDEs)
  - ① Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation: individual choices
  - ② Kolmogorov Forward equation: How the distribution of agents evolves
- Computational differences of CT versus DT
  - ① *Today is tomorrow*: FOCs are static. Good thing, you can use policy functions without computing the expectation of the realization of tomorrow, which involve integrals, laws of motion, interpolations. All expensive!
  - ② In one instant you cannot move too much: transitions in the **state-space** are very sparse. Computers love sparse matrices.
  - ③ Solution of the HJB and KF are tightly linked. Compute one, get the other for free.

# FROM BELLMAN TO HJB

State  $x$ . Length of period  $t$  is 1 unit of time

- Start with the DT Bellman equation

$$v(x_t) = \max_{y_t} u(y_t) + \beta v(x_{t+1}) \text{ for } y_t \in \Gamma(x, x_{t+1})$$

- Allow for periods of time to be different than 1. Call them  $\Delta$

$$v(x_t) = \max_{y_t} \Delta u(y_t) + \beta(\Delta) v(x_{t+\Delta}) \text{ for } y_t \in \Gamma(x_t, x_{t+\Delta})$$

- Make clear what the function  $\beta(\Delta)$  is:

$$\beta(\Delta) = e^{-\rho\Delta}$$

- with useful properties

$$\lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \beta(\Delta) = 1, \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow \infty} \beta(\Delta) = 0, \beta(1) = \beta$$

# FROM BELLMAN TO HJB

- for small  $\Delta$ ,  $e^{-\rho\Delta} \approx 1 - \rho\Delta$
- From the Bellman equation subtract  $(1 - \rho\Delta)v(x_t)$

$$\rho\Delta v(x_t) = \max_{y_t} \Delta u(y_t) + (1 - \rho\Delta)(v(x_{t+\Delta}) - v(x_t))$$

- Divide by  $\Delta$  and divide and multiply last term by  $x_{t+\Delta} - x_t$

$$\rho v(x_t) = \max_{y_t} u(y_t) + (1 - \rho\Delta) \frac{v(x_{t+\Delta}) - v(x_t)}{x_{t+\Delta} - x_t} \frac{x_{t+\Delta} - x_t}{\Delta}$$

- Take  $\Delta$  to zero

$$\rho v(x_t) = \max_{y_t} u(y_t) + v'(x_t)\dot{x}_t$$

This is the HJB. We will hold off on the KF equation, not thinking about distributions yet

# EXAMPLE WITH THE NEOCLASSICAL GROWTH MODEL

In our previous notation

- ①  $k$  takes the place of  $x$
- ②  $\dot{k} = i - \delta k = F(k) - c - \delta k$
- ③ So the HJB equation is

$$\rho v(k) = \max_c u(c) + v'(k)(F(k) - c - \delta k)$$

- ④ With a first order condition

$$u'(c) = v'(k)$$

- ⑤ No  $k'$  anywhere
- ⑥ Basics of the problem. If you:
  - ▶ give me  $v$
  - ▶ and a way to calculate  $v'$
  - ▶ then I can tell you  $c$



# OUTLINE

- 1 FROM BELLMAN TO HJB
- 2 DISCRETIZING  $v$  AND APPROXIMATING  $v'$
- 3 ALGORITHM WITH THE EXPLICIT METHOD
- 4 ALGORITHM WITH THE IMPLICIT METHOD
- 5 SIMULATION

# CHALLENGES

We are still working with computers, so we must discretize  $v$

- and add the challenge of computing  $v'$ !

Imagine that we have a guess for  $v$  in a grid of points  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n$ .

- We can compute approximation of the derivative using “finite differences”. Three possibilities

①  $v'(k_i) \approx \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{k_i - k_{i-1}} = v'_{i,B}$ . B for *backward difference*

②  $v'(k_i) \approx \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{k_{i+1} - k_i} = v'_{i,F}$ . F for *forward difference*

③  $v'(k_i) \approx \frac{v_{i+1} - v_{i-1}}{k_{i+1} - k_{i-1}} = v'_{i,C}$ . C for *central difference*

- For an equally space grid  $k_{i+1} - k_i = k_i - k_{i-1} = \Delta k$

①  $v'(k_i) \approx \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{\Delta k} = v'_{i,B}$ . B for *backward difference*

②  $v'(k_i) \approx \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{\Delta k} = v'_{i,F}$ . F for *forward difference*

③  $v'(k_i) \approx \frac{v_{i+1} - v_{i-1}}{2\Delta k} = v'_{i,C}$ . C for *central difference*

# SUMMARY

- For a given point in the grid  $i$

$$\rho v(k_i) = u(c_i) + v'_i(F(k) - \delta k - c_i)$$

- There is no **max** operator because we will use the FOC

$$c_i = (u')^{-1} v'_i$$

- We have two things to resolve
  - ① The HJB holds for the right  $v$ , but we do not know  $v$ . Need to spell out the algorithm to iterate
  - ② Which of our three candidates  $v'$  should we use?

# THE UPWIND SCHEME

- Rule of thumb: forward difference when the state drifts in the positive direction. backward difference when the state drifts in the negative direction
- Where the drift  $s_i$  is nothing more than the law of motion of the state

$$s_{i,F} = F(k) - \delta k - (u')^{-1}(v'_{i,F})$$

$$s_{i,B} = F(k) - \delta k - (u')^{-1}(v'_{i,B})$$

- First try: approximate  $v'$  using the upwind scheme

$$v'_i = v'_{i,F} \mathbb{1}_{s_{i,F} > 0} + v'_{i,B} \mathbb{1}_{s_{i,B} < 0}$$

- Issue, what happens when  $s_{i,F} < 0$  and  $s_{i,B} > 0$
- for concave functions (like  $v$ ),  $s_{i,F} < s_{i,B}$ , so we are going to interpret this case as the drift being zero, we are at the steady state

# THE UPWIND SCHEME

So instead of using

$$v'_i = v'_{i,F} \mathbb{1}_{s_{i,F} > 0} + v'_{i,B} \mathbb{1}_{s_{i,B} < 0}$$

we will use:

$$v'_i = v'_{i,F} \mathbb{1}_{s_{i,F} > 0} + v'_{i,B} \mathbb{1}_{s_{i,B} < 0} + \bar{v}'_i \mathbb{1}_{s_{i,F} < 0 < s_{i,B}}$$

where  $\bar{v}'_i$  is given by  $u'$  at the point where  $\dot{k} = 0$

$$\bar{v}'_i = u'(F(k) - \delta k)$$

Turns out that the upwind scheme is extremely important. Not a matter of efficiency, it is a matter of eventually getting to the right  $v$ .

Lesson: When you are more sophisticated (use FOCs), you need to be more careful, where careful here means being consistent in your choice of  $v'$  depending on the drift of the state  $x$ .

## EXERCISE FOR THE LAB

$$\max \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} u(c(t)) dt \quad (1)$$

$$u(c) = \log(c) \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{a}(t) = ra(t) + y(t) - c(t) \quad (3)$$

$$y(t) = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\beta = e^{-\rho} = 0.99 \quad (5)$$

$$r = \rho \quad (6)$$

Task:

- Write a function `vprime_upwind` that takes as an input a function  $v$ , plus the grids and parameters I provide you, and produces as an output  $v'$  using the upwind scheme

# OUTLINE

- 1 FROM BELLMAN TO HJB
- 2 DISCRETIZING  $v$  AND APPROXIMATING  $v'$
- 3 ALGORITHM WITH THE EXPLICIT METHOD
- 4 ALGORITHM WITH THE IMPLICIT METHOD
- 5 SIMULATION

## EXPLICIT METHOD IN THREE STEPS

Start from a guess  $v^n$  (n for the n-th time you've tried different guesses),

- 1 Use the upwind scheme to compute  $v'_i$
- 2 From the upwind scheme  $v'_i$ , compute  $c_i = u^{-1}(v'_i)$
- 3 Compute the difference between current guess  $\rho v_i^n$  and the new iteration

$$d_i^n = u(c_i^n) + (v_i^n)'(k_i)(F(k_i) - \delta k_i - c_i) - \rho v_i^n$$

- 4 Update the value function in the following way

$$v_i^{n+1} = v_i^n + \tilde{\Delta} d_i^n$$

- 5 Intuition: change  $v^n$  by a factor of  $d_i^n$
- 6 If  $v^n$  and  $v^{n+1}$  are close, stop.

Warning:  $\Delta$  must be *small*



## EXERCISE FOR THE LAB

$$\max \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho t} u(c(t)) dt \quad (7)$$

$$u(c) = \log(c) \quad (8)$$

$$\dot{a}(t) = ra(t) + y(t) - c(t) \quad (9)$$

$$y(t) = 1 \quad (10)$$

$$\beta = e^{-\rho} = 0.99 \quad (11)$$

$$r = \rho \quad (12)$$

Details for the grid:

- 100 points for  $a$
- between 0.1 and 1
- $\tilde{\Delta} = 0.001$

Task:

- Solve using the explicit method

# OUTLINE

- 1 FROM BELLMAN TO HJB
- 2 DISCRETIZING  $v$  AND APPROXIMATING  $v'$
- 3 ALGORITHM WITH THE EXPLICIT METHOD
- 4 ALGORITHM WITH THE IMPLICIT METHOD
- 5 SIMULATION

## WRITING THE HJB IN MATRIX FORM

- So we can write the HJB equation as

$$\rho v_i = u(c_i) + \frac{v_{i+1} - v_i}{\Delta k} s_{i,F}^+ + \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{\Delta k} s_{i,B}^- \forall i$$

- with the notation  $x^+ = \max(x, 0)$  and  $x^- = \min(x, 0)$
- Convince yourselves at home that this is equivalent to this vector expression

$$\rho \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{s_{i,B}^-}{\Delta k} & \frac{s_{i,B}^-}{\Delta k} - \frac{s_{i,F}^+}{\Delta k} & \frac{s_{i,F}^+}{\Delta k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_{i-1} \\ v_i \\ v_{i+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Stack everything on a matrix

$$\rho \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}$$

with  $\mathbf{A}$  a square matrix with as many points you have in your grid for  $k$

## WRITING THE HJB IN MATRIX FORM

- Tempting to think you can solve for  $\mathbf{v}$  directly from the equation

$$\rho \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}$$

- Not feasible.  $\mathbf{A}$  is not a set of constants. Terms in  $\mathbf{A}$  that depend on  $c$ , and therefore on  $\mathbf{v}'$ .
- Notice that for a grid point  $i$ , only three entries of  $\mathbf{A}$  are non-zero.  $i-1, i, i+1$ .  $\mathbf{A}$  is a *sparse* matrix
- Intuition. In any given instant, an agent may be moving one step to the right, one step to the left, or stay in the same position, but no jumps in an instant.

## IMPLICIT METHOD IS FASTER

This material is for you. You will implement it in your homework.  
Instead of:

$$\frac{v_i^{n+1} - v_i^n}{\Delta} + \rho v_i^n = u(c_i^n) + (v^n)'(k_i)(F(k_i) - \delta k - c_i^n)$$

do

$$\frac{v_i^{n+1} - v_i^n}{\Delta} + \rho v_i^{n+1} = u(c_i^n) + (v^{n+1})'(k_i)(F(k_i) - \delta k - c_i^n)$$

which in matrix form is

$$\left( \left( \rho + \frac{1}{\tilde{\Delta}} \right) I - A(v^n) \right) v^{n+1} = u(v^n) + \frac{1}{\tilde{\Delta}} v^n$$

$\tilde{\Delta}$  does not need to be as small. Way more efficient. Need to make explicit that  $A$  is sparse.

# OUTLINE

- 1 FROM BELLMAN TO HJB
- 2 DISCRETIZING  $v$  AND APPROXIMATING  $v'$
- 3 ALGORITHM WITH THE EXPLICIT METHOD
- 4 ALGORITHM WITH THE IMPLICIT METHOD
- 5 SIMULATION

## SIMULATE GIVEN A PRICE

- After you have solved the HJB problem you can simulate it
- There are many uses for this
  - 1 Compute distributions of state-space variables across agents (next week we will see ways to do this in a more efficient way)
  - 2 Compute moments from you model. Useful for the “run the same regression in your model as in the data” approach
  - 3 More in general, useful for SMM. Estimate parameters to match some moments in the data from the model.

## SIMULATE GIVEN A PRICE

- In our problem we obtained a policy function  $c(a, \Theta)$  for  $\Theta$  parameters.
- Given an  $a_0$ , we can use the policy function to get  $a_1$

$$\dot{a}_t = ra_t + y - c_t$$

- we first need to transform it into:

$$a_{t+\delta} = a_t + \delta (ra_t + y - c_t)$$

- for a small  $\delta$



## EXERCISE FOR THE LAB

- Use the prompt I give you to produce the following simulation:
  - ▶ 100 agents that start uniformly over the  $a$  vector
  - ▶ Interpolate the policy function  $c$  over 10,000 points in the same range of  $a$
  - ▶ Simulate for 5 years, with a  $\delta$  of 1/100 years.
  - ▶ plot the path of consumption of the 100 consumers
  - ▶ run a cross-sectional regression for each period

$$c_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 a_i + \xi_i$$

plot the time series of your estimate of  $\hat{\beta}_1$ .

- ▶ try when  $r = \rho$ , try when  $r = 0$