Oppg. 3

primal problem:

max 
$$\frac{1}{2} = x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4$$

st.  $2x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3 + x_4 \leq 8$ 
 $2x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_4 = 12$ 
 $3x_1 + x_2 + 2x_3 \Rightarrow 18$ 
 $x_1, x_2, x_4 \Rightarrow 0$ 
 $x_3 \leq 0$ 

First write primal on standard form:

max 
$$z = x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + x_4$$
  
st.  $2x_1 + x_2 + 5x_3 + x_4 \leq 8$   
 $2x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_4 \leq 12$   
 $-2x_1 - 2x_2 - 4x_4 \leq -12$   
 $-3x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 \leq -18$ 

This is equivalent to

max 
$$z = \underline{C}^{\mathsf{T}} \underline{X}$$
st.  $\underline{A} \underline{X} \leq \underline{b}$ 
 $\underline{X} \gg \underline{0}$ 

with

$$\underline{C} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$\underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 12 & -12 & -18 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$\underline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 5 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 4 \\ -2 & -2 & 0 & -4 \\ -3 & -1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Dual problem

min 
$$W = 8y_1 + 12y_2 - 12y_3 - 18y_4$$
  
 $2y_1 + 2y_2 - 2y_3 - 3y_4 \gg 1$   
 $y_1 + 2y_2 - 2y_3 - y_4 \gg 2$   
 $5y_1 + 2y_4 \gg 1$   
 $y_1 + 4y_2 - 4y_3 \gg 1$ 

41, 42, 43, 44 > 0

Oppg. 4

 $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $X_3$ ,  $X_4$ ,  $X_5 \gg 0$ 

Assuming the dual solution y = [10 - 1]

a) Determine if the dual solution is feasible.

$$max w = 6y_1 + 4y_2 + 7y_3$$

S.t. 
$$y_1 + 2y_2 \le 2$$
  
 $2y_1 + 3y_3 \le 2$   
 $-y_1 + y_2 - 2y_3 \le 1$   
 $2y_1 + 3y_3 \le -1$   
 $y_2 \le 1$   
 $y_1 = y_2 > 0$ ,  $y_3$ 

anche if x = [1 0 - 1] is feasible:

LH S	RHS	slach	Satisfied?
ι	2	١	<b>V</b>
- (	ک	3	<b>V</b>
l	ι	0	V
- (	- 1	0	<b>✓</b>
0	ι	l	/

The dual solution is feasible.

b) Corresponding primal solution.

Dual m/ SOB method:

Basisvariable: S1, S2, S5, X3, X4

$$- x_{3} + 2x_{4} = 6$$

$$- 2x_{3} + 3x_{4} = 7$$

$$x_{3} = 2x_{4} - 6 = 4$$

$$- 2(2x_{4} - 6) + 3x_{4} = 7$$

$$- 4x_{4} + 12 + 3x_{4} = 7$$

$$x_{4} = 5$$

Cornesponding primal solution:

(0, 0, 4, 5,0)

C) Is the dual solution optimal?
Yes, if the primal solution is feasible.

LHS	RHS	"Constraint"	Satisfied?
6	0	=	<b>✓</b>
4	Ч	>	<b>✓</b>
- 8	7	=	×

No, the given dual solution is not optimal.