

UNIVERSITY NAME (IN BLOCK CAPITALS)

A music player user interface based on head-gestures and 3D audio feedback

by

Jonas Hinge

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the

Faculty Name

Department or School Name

January 2014

UNIVERSITY NAME (IN BLOCK CAPITALS)

Abstract

Faculty Name

Department or School Name

Doctor of Philosophy

by Jonas Hinge

Music applications on smartphones makes listening to your favourite music accessible and mobile. Although the possibility of listening to music at any time and place immediately seems like a positive development this could introduce other challenges. E.g. biking and controlling a music application will conflict in the sense that biking demands hands on the handlebars and eyes on the road, and a smartphone application demands hands (or at least one hand) and eyes for navigating resulting in an increase of the users cognitive load.

At the same time emerging accessories with built in sensor hardware e.g. Google Glass or Intelligent Headset (<http://intelligentheadset.com/developer/>) offer alternate ways of using gestures in form of GPS location, rotation, acceleration, speech etc.

Encouraged by the biking scenario challenge and todays emerging and accessible mobile technology - alternative ways of controlling a music application should be explored. In this project an alternative way of navigating using head gestures and audio feedback is explored.

Results?...

Conclusion?.....

Acknowledgements

The acknowledgements and the people to thank go here, don't forget to include your project advisor...

Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	v
Abbreviations	vi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem statement	1
1.2 Project goal	2
1.3 Project structure	2
1.4 Related work	2
2 Design	4
2.0.1 Interaction model	4
2.0.2 Sound design	4
3 Implementation	5
4 Evaluation	6
5 Discussion	7
6 Conclusion	8
A An Appendix	9
Bibliography	11

List of Figures

List of Tables

Abbreviations

LAH List Abbreviations **Here**

Chapter 1

Introduction

Mobile and wearable devices has been a growing area in computing in recent years. Compared to desktop computers these devices have introduced new standards for when and how people interact with especially mobile applications. Suddenly people are able to check the news, navigate via interactive maps, post social messages, listen to music, etc., while they are on the move. And because of the emerging hardware and software in mobile devices, more complex applications arise and the possibilities increases. This mobility factor introduces at the same time challenges when interacting with these devices. Although screen resolutions and physical sizes of mobile devices are increasing, the visual work space is limited i.e. screens easily becomes cluttered with information and the input keyboard can be an interaction challenge when moving. More importantly, when moving around e.g. in the traffic, interacting with a mobile device at the same time can create cluttering in form of distractions e.g. "eyes off the road" or "hands occupied" and in the worst case cause accidents.

1.1 Problem statement

Describe the concrete problem in this project e.g. biking scenario...

More specifically the following questions should be answered: - Can a user interface based on head gestures and 3d audio compete with existing user interfaces for music players (e.g. touch and vision-based) with respect to for instance a) navigation and control efficiency b) learnability, c) general usability (cognitive/perceptive load), c) suitability to real-world hands-occupied situations.

- With the chosen combination of input and output modalities, there is a high risk for the system to misinterpret normal everyday actions performed by the user as commands

for controlling the system ("behavioural cluttering" (Janlert et al., in press)). How can features in the user interface prevent unwanted manipulation of the system?

1.2 Project goal

The goal of this project is to examine if head gesture based input and audio output modalities in combination can compete with a traditional touch and vision based input/output interface and show which advantages, disadvantages and challenges that arise when using and designing such interaction techniques. More precisely a mobile system that recognises these alternative interaction methods should be designed and implemented in a music application. Test users will compare this new way of controlling a music application with a traditional music application in form of comfort, efficiency and learnability in a closed lab test and a "real world" scenario.

1.3 Project structure

...

1.4 Related work

Venn diagram: Introducing the academic areas - Head gesture interaction - Audio feedback/menu - Accessible hardware/mobile devices - Eyes-free focus - Hands-free focus - Real-world scenario/application evaluation

Related work: Split up in academic research areas

Pascoe et al. investigated HCI issues when people are on the move and trials showed that a vital factor was to minimize the amount of distraction for interaction modes [1].

Visual displays can be obtrusive and hard to use in bright daylight, plus they occupy the users visual attention [2]

Kajastila and Lokki has done a user study comparing auditory and visual menus controlled by the same free-hand gestures where the majority of the participants felt that an auditory circular menu was faster than a visual based menu [3].

Brewster et al. showed that novel interaction techniques based on sound and gesture can significantly improve the usability of a wearable device in particular under "eyes-free"

mobile conditions and that head gestures was a successful interaction technique with egocentric sounds the most effective [4].

William W. Gaver, a pioneer in audio interfaces, has explored several aspects of using sound in interfaces including the intuitiveness of presenting complex information to users in the form of audio [5]. Similarly Graham explores the advantages in reaction time when using auditory icons [6]. In [7] Gaver presents the use of spatial sound icons. In doing so, he draws forward the unutilized potential of creating natural interaction through spatial audio.

Table: Summing up references that handles specific research areas

Chapter 2

Design

2.0.1 Interaction model

Horizontal 180 degrees head movement, nod/shake...

2.0.2 Sound design

Several studies show that circular auditory menus are the way to go because of horizontally positioned sounds , HRTF, 3D audio...

Chapter 3

Implementation

SDK's, APIs, Processing sensor data...

Chapter 4

Evaluation

...

Chapter 5

Discussion

Other scenarios e.g. visual impaired people, car driving...

Chapter 6

Conclusion

...

Appendix A

An Appendix

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Vivamus at pulvinar nisi. Phasellus hendrerit, diam placerat interdum iaculis, mauris justo cursus risus, in viverra purus eros at ligula. Ut metus justo, consequat a tristique posuere, laoreet nec nibh. Etiam et scelerisque mauris. Phasellus vel massa magna. Ut non neque id tortor pharetra bibendum vitae sit amet nisi. Duis nec quam quam, sed euismod justo. Pellentesque eu tellus vitae ante tempus malesuada. Nunc accumsan, quam in congue consequat, lectus lectus dapibus erat, id aliquet urna neque at massa. Nulla facilisi. Morbi ullamcorper eleifend posuere. Donec libero leo, faucibus nec bibendum at, mattis et urna. Proin consectetur, nunc ut imperdiet lobortis, magna neque tincidunt lectus, id iaculis nisi justo id nibh. Pellentesque vel sem in erat vulputate faucibus molestie ut lorem.

Quisque tristique urna in lorem laoreet at laoreet quam congue. Donec dolor turpis, blandit non imperdiet aliquet, blandit et felis. In lorem nisi, pretium sit amet vestibulum sed, tempus et sem. Proin non ante turpis. Nulla imperdiet fringilla convallis. Vivamus vel bibendum nisl. Pellentesque justo lectus, molestie vel luctus sed, lobortis in libero. Nulla facilisi. Aliquam erat volutpat. Suspendisse vitae nunc nunc. Sed aliquet est suscipit sapien rhoncus non adipiscing nibh consequat. Aliquam metus urna, faucibus eu vulputate non, luctus eu justo.

Donec urna leo, vulputate vitae porta eu, vehicula blandit libero. Phasellus eget massa et leo condimentum mollis. Nullam molestie, justo at pellentesque vulputate, sapien velit ornare diam, nec gravida lacus augue non diam. Integer mattis lacus id libero ultrices sit amet mollis neque molestie. Integer ut leo eget mi volutpat congue. Vivamus sodales, turpis id venenatis placerat, tellus purus adipiscing magna, eu aliquam nibh dolor id nibh. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Sed cursus convallis quam nec vehicula. Sed vulputate neque eget odio fringilla ac sodales urna feugiat.

Phasellus nisi quam, volutpat non ullamcorper eget, congue fringilla leo. Cras et erat et nibh placerat commodo id ornare est. Nulla facilisi. Aenean pulvinar scelerisque eros eget interdum. Nunc pulvinar magna ut felis varius in hendrerit dolor accumsan. Nunc pellentesque magna quis magna bibendum non laoreet erat tincidunt. Nulla facilisi.

Duis eget massa sem, gravida interdum ipsum. Nulla nunc nisl, hendrerit sit amet commodo vel, varius id tellus. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Nunc ac dolor est. Suspendisse ultrices tincidunt metus eget accumsan. Nullam facilisis, justo vitae convallis sollicitudin, eros augue malesuada metus, nec sagittis diam nibh ut sapien. Duis blandit lectus vitae lorem aliquam nec euismod nisi volutpat. Vestibulum ornare dictum tortor, at faucibus justo tempor non. Nulla facilisi. Cras non massa nunc, eget euismod purus. Nunc metus ipsum, euismod a consectetur vel, hendrerit nec nunc.

Bibliography

- [1] Jason Pascoe, Nick Ryan, and David Morse. Using while moving: HCI issues in field-work environments. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)*, 7(3):417437, 2000. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=355329>.
- [2] Erik Geelhoed, Marie Falahee, and Kezzy Latham. Safety and comfort of eyeglass displays. In *Proceedings of the 2Nd International Symposium on Handheld and Ubiquitous Computing*, HUC '00, page 236247, London, UK, UK, 2000. Springer-Verlag. ISBN 3-540-41093-7. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=647986.743887>.
- [3] Raine Kajastila. Interaction with eyes-free and gestural interfaces. 2013. URL <https://aaltodoc.aalto.fi/handle/123456789/7720>.
- [4] Stephen Brewster, Joanna Lumsden, Marek Bell, Malcolm Hall, and Stuart Tasker. Multimodal'eyes-free'interaction techniques for wearable devices. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*, page 473480, 2003. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=642694>.
- [5] William W. Gaver. The SonicFinder: an interface that uses auditory icons. *Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, 4(1):6794, March 1989. ISSN 0737-0024. doi: 10.1207/s15327051hci0401_3. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327051hci0401_3.
- [6] R Graham. Use of auditory icons as emergency warnings: evaluation within a vehicle collision avoidance application. *Ergonomics*, 42(9):1233–1248, September 1999. ISSN 0014-0139. doi: 10.1080/001401399185108. PMID: 10503056.
- [7] William W. Gaver. Auditory icons: Using sound in computer interfaces. *Hum.-Comput. Interact.*, 2(2):167177, June 1986. ISSN 0737-0024. doi: 10.1207/s15327051hci0202_3. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1207/s15327051hci0202_3.