

## Answers 3.9

Query



Query History

```
1 WITH avg_amount_cte (amount, customer_id, first_name, last_name, city, country) AS
2 (SELECT SUM(A.amount) AS total_amount_paid,
3     A.customer_id,
4     B.first_name,
5     B.last_name,
6     D.city,
7     E.country
8 FROM payment A
9 INNER JOIN customer B ON A.customer_id=B.customer_id
10 INNER JOIN address C ON B.address_id=C.address_id
11 INNER JOIN city D ON C.city_id=D.city_id
12 INNER JOIN country E ON D.country_id=E.country_id
13 WHERE D.city IN('Aurora', 'Acua', 'Citrus Heights', 'Iwak1', 'Ambattur', 'Shanwei', 'So Leopoldo', 'Teboksary', 'Tianjin')
14 GROUP BY A.amount, A.customer_id, B.first_name, B.last_name, D.city, E.country
15 ORDER BY total_amount_paid DESC
16 LIMIT 5)
17 SELECT AVG(amount) AS average
18 FROM avg_amount_cte
19
```

Data Output

Messages

Notifications



	average	
	numeric	
1	41.3220000000000000	

```

1 WITH top_customer_cte (amount, customer_id, first_name, last_name, city, country) AS
2 (SELECT SUM(A.amount) AS total_amount_paid,
3      B.customer_id,
4      B.first_name,
5      B.last_name,
6      D.city,
7      E.country
8 FROM payment A
9 INNER JOIN customer B ON A.customer_id=B.customer_id
10 INNER JOIN address C ON B.address_id=C.address_id
11 INNER JOIN city D ON C.city_id=D.city_id
12 INNER JOIN country E ON D.country_id=E.country_id
13 WHERE D.city IN(SELECT D.city
14                  FROM customer B
15                  INNER JOIN address C ON B.address_id=C.address_id
16                  INNER JOIN city D ON C.city_id=D.city_id
17                  INNER JOIN country E ON D.country_id=E.country_id
18                  WHERE E.country IN (SELECT E.country
19                                     FROM customer B
20                                     INNER JOIN address C ON B.address_id=C.address_id
21                                     INNER JOIN city D ON C.city_id=D.city_id
22                                     INNER JOIN country E ON D.country_id=E.country_id
23                                     GROUP BY E.country
24                                     ORDER BY COUNT(B.customer_id) DESC
25                                     LIMIT 10)
26                  GROUP BY E.country,
27                          D.city
28                  ORDER BY COUNT(B.customer_id) DESC
29                  LIMIT 10)
30 GROUP BY B.customer_id,
31          B.first_name,
32          B.last_name,
33          D.city,
34          E.country
35 ORDER BY SUM(A.amount) DESC
36 LIMIT 5)
37 SELECT E.country,
38        COUNT(DISTINCT B.customer_id) AS all_customer_count,
39        COUNT(DISTINCT top_customer_cte) AS top_customer_count
40 FROM customer B
41 JOIN address C ON B.address_id=C.address_id
42 JOIN city D ON C.city_id=D.city_id
43 JOIN country E ON D.country_id=E.country_id
44 LEFT JOIN top_customer_cte ON B.customer_id=top_customer_cte.customer_id
45 GROUP BY E.country
46 ORDER BY all_customer_count DESC
47 LIMIT 10

```

Data Output Messages Explain X Notifications

	country character varying (50)	all_customer_count bigint	top_customer_count bigint
1	India	60	1
2	China	53	1
3	United States	36	1
4	Iran	31	1

## Explanation of Approach

- First I went back and copied the original subqueries. From there, I isolated the subquery and cut out the rest of the query. Next, I put in the WITH function and named the CTE in the top line. I kept referencing the previous subqueries to ensure I contained the necessary information.

## Performance Comparison

- First one: the subquery took 77 milliseconds while the CTE took 54 milliseconds.
- Second one: the subquery took 85 milliseconds while the CTE took 95 milliseconds.
- These results were a bit surprising- at least, for the second query, as the subquery took less time than the CTE. However, we are dealing with much less data compared to databases at medium-to-large companies; in those cases, the differences would be magnified by orders of magnitude. In other words, I don't think the subquery versus CTE makes much of a difference in the Rockbuster database, but in the real world I think the advantages of the CTE would be much more apparent.

## Challenges Faced When Replacing Subqueries with CTEs

The first subquery was easier for me to replace with a CTE. It was far less involved, meaning there were a lot fewer lines, so it wasn't as difficult to isolate the nested query and then rewrite the beginning and end with the correct CTE syntax.

However, the second query posed some issues. There were a lot of JOINS and more columns being pulled, so it took more time to read through line by line and ensure I kept the necessary information. I initially met some errors when trying to run the new CTE as I did not include JOINS that came outside the nested query, and I had to add those back in. Once I had the correct aliases as well, I was ready to run the query, and that time it came back correctly.