1) To prove DH is P-complete we must Show that DHEP and PZM-reducible to DH. DH is an element of P because it can be decided in finite time. To do this we will make a TM T that decides DH Ti get length of input x all input strings = {51, 52, 53, 54 ... 5K} *Run DH on S: if DH halt within n steps accept else reject 911 goto

Since this TM will decide DH within a finite amount of time DHEP, Since it visits each element once it is done in linear time.

Now we need to reduce P to DH.

First we will reduce P from the class of languages in polynomial to to the Class of languages in linear time. We will now reduce this to the Set of languages in linear time that accept in h Steps.

E(M,x,1") M is a DTM that accepts on input x within n steps 3

Since we know ATM reduces to HALT this must reduce to DH.

Since DHEP & P reduces to DH. DH is P-complete

```
2) In order to show MODEP it would
  Suffice to create a program that computes it
  in polynomial time. I will be using C++
   int mod ( Int n, int m) fint r) &
       if(neoll meoll reoll nem) &
         cout << "Error: invalid args" zeendl;
          return -1; // Failure/Reject state
        inf Isam = h;
         while (sum>0) {
         Sum = Sum - m;
         1 f (sum < 0) {
          Sum = Sum + m;
         if(Sum == r) {
          return 1; 11 Success/Accept State
         elsce
           return -1; // Failure/Reject state
```

Since we can write a program that solves MOD in Polynomial time MODEP. 1) To show that the decision version of the hapsack problem is in NP it will suffice to show that we can build a turing machine that solves it in Polynomial Time To do this we construct the following TM:

E Given an array of length k we will construct a new array contains all possible combinations E(ai), (ai, aj),...3

We will now check each element of this array to check for an element that satisfies the following criteria:

1) total value of element ≥ k

2) total weight of element SL If I is found accept, else reject 3

Since the first part of this program can be solved in k! time and the second part in linear time, this problem can be solved in Polynomial time. This means that the decision version of the napsack problem is in NP

If the decision version of the knapsack problem (an be solved in polynomial time then so can the maximization version since we can simply replace the linear search with a search for only elements whose weight is less than L and add those to a new array. We will then bubble sort this new array to get the max element.

NP-Complete

1) To show that A is NP-complete we will construct a TM that solves A in polynomial time.

TM: { get length of x generate all input strings of length x

Es. S. S. S. S. S.

Es,, s,, S,,... s,}

* ERun M on S: if M accepts x within 1xt+n steps accept else

reject goto *

Since we can solve A in polynomial time (linear time), A is NP complete. 2) To show that Ao is NP-complete we will construct on TM that solves Ao in polynomial time. TM: 8 Run M on O if M accepts o within a steps accept else reject

Since we can solve to in polynomial time (O(1)) Ao is NP-Complete.