

## Reductions

1)  $L = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts } ww \text{ whenever } M \text{ accepts } w\}$   
is undecidable because we can reduce

$$A_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w\}$$

$A_{TM}$  accepts whenever  $M$  accepts  $w$ .

We now reduce  $A_{TM}$  so it accepts  $ww$  whenever  $M$  accepts  $w$

$$A_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and accepts } ww \text{ whenever } M \text{ accepts } w\}$$

Since we can reduce  $A_{TM}$  to a language equivalent to  $L$ ,  $L$  must be undecidable

2) CaDo is undecidable if we can reduce A<sub>TM</sub> to CaDo.

To reduce A<sub>TM</sub> to CaDo we map w in A<sub>TM</sub> to strings containing CAT as a substring  
 $w \rightarrow f(w) \rightarrow$  substrings containing CAT

Since a language that decides whether a TM will accept on an input containing CAT is undecidable the CaDo must be undecidable

3) We will represent the problem as  
the language.

$U_{TM} = \{ \langle M, q \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } q \text{ is a useless state in } M \}$

$E_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L = \emptyset \}$

$E_{TM}$  is not decidable

$q_{accept}$  will be a useless state if  $L = \emptyset$

We will now reduce  $E_{TM}$  to  $U_{TM}$ .

$E_{TM} = \{ \langle M, q_{accept} \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } q_{accept} \text{ is a useless state} \}$

Since we can reduce  $E_{TM}$  to  $U_{TM}$ ,  $U_{TM}$  must be undecidable

4) Assume (for a contradiction) that BB is computable. We now will make a TM R that computes BB.

- R is a TM that, on an input of n 1s halts on  $BB(n)$  1s

We now will make a doubler machine D that starts with n 1s, on the tape and ends with  $2n$  1s on the tape.

A machine like this can be made with a constant ( $x$ ) amount of states.

Since a machine that writes n 1s to a tape will take at most n states. Therefore the max states in a TM T that writes n 1s & doubles it can be expressed as  $(n+x) \rightarrow BB(2n)$ .

If we run R on T we get  $BB(2n)$ .

This implies  $2n \leq n+x$  for all n. Since  $2n > n+x$  when  $n > x$ , BB must not be computable.

PCP

1010U       $\uparrow$  = head  
0110U  
0110U  
0110U

i) Input = 1010

$\delta(q_0, 0) \Rightarrow (q_2, 0, R)$  0010U

$\delta(q_2, 0) \Rightarrow (q_3, 1, L)$  0110U

$\delta(q_3, 0) \Rightarrow (q_3, 0, R)$  0110U

$\delta(q_3, 1) \Rightarrow (q_3, 1, R)$  0110U

$\delta(q_3, 1) \Rightarrow (q_3, 1, R)$  0110U

$\delta(q_3, 1) \Rightarrow (q_3, 0, R)$  0110U

$\delta(q_3, 0) \Rightarrow (q_a, U, L)$  ACCEPT

Set of Dominoes = { $\delta(q_0, 0) \Rightarrow (q_2, 0, R)$ ,  
 $\delta(q_2, 0) \Rightarrow (q_3, 1, L)$ ,  $\delta(q_3, 0) \Rightarrow (q_3, 0, R)$ ,  
 $\delta(q_3, 1) \Rightarrow (q_3, 1, R)$ ,  $\delta(q_3, 1) \Rightarrow (q_a, U, L)$ }

Since the TM M enters an accept state  
the set of dominoes contains a match

2) Input = 1111       $\begin{matrix} \uparrow & \text{U} \\ \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{U} \end{matrix}$        $\uparrow = \text{head}$

$6 \in q_0, 1 \beta \Rightarrow (q_2, 0, R)$   $\begin{matrix} \text{0} & \uparrow & \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{U} \end{matrix}$

$6 \in q_2, 1 \beta \Rightarrow (q_2, 1, R)$   $\begin{matrix} \text{0} & \text{1} & \uparrow & \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{U} \end{matrix}$

$6 \in q_2, 1 \beta \Rightarrow (q_2, 1, R)$   $\begin{matrix} \text{0} & \text{1} & \text{1} & \uparrow & \text{1} & \text{U} \end{matrix}$

$6 \in q_2, 1 \beta \Rightarrow (q_2, 1, R)$   $\begin{matrix} \text{0} & \text{1} & \text{1} & \text{1} & \uparrow & \text{U} \end{matrix}$

$6 \in q_2, 1 \beta \Rightarrow (q_r, U, L)$  REJECT

Since the TM M enters a reject state the set of dominoes does not contain a match.