

Rolled Beam Alternative Evaluation

$$E \equiv 29000 \text{ ksi}$$

$$F_y \equiv 50 \text{ ksi}$$

$$L := 125 \text{ ft} = (1.5 \cdot 10^3) \text{ in}$$

$$w_L := \frac{(1.75 \cdot 122.35 \text{ kip})}{125 \text{ ft}} = 1.713 \frac{\text{kip}}{\text{ft}}$$

$$\Delta_{LLmax} := \frac{\left(125 \text{ ft} \cdot \frac{(12 \text{ in})}{1 \text{ ft}}\right)}{800} = 1.875 \text{ in}$$

$$I_x := \frac{\left(5 \frac{w_L}{12} \cdot L^4\right)}{(384 \cdot E \cdot \Delta_{LLmax})} = 14420.292 \text{ in}^4$$

from AISC Table 3-3:

W40x199 is the lightest section that meets the deflection requirements.

W40 x 199 Properties:

$$\phi M_n := 3260 \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$M_U := 10215.625 \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$\phi M_n \geq M_U \quad \text{INVALID}$$

Try W40 x 211

$$\phi M_n := 3400 \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$M_U := 10215.625 \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$\phi M_n \geq M_U \quad \text{INVALID}$$

Try **W40 x 593**

$$\phi M_n := 10400 \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$M_U := 10215.625 \text{ ft} \cdot \text{kip}$$

$$\phi M_n \geq M_U$$

VALID, but heavy

Weight of 4 - W40 x 593 Girders

$$4 \cdot 593 \frac{\text{lb}}{\text{ft}} \cdot 125 \text{ ft} = 296500 \text{ lb}$$

Total Weight of Plate Girder Alternative
= 135,260 lb

Therefore, the plate girder alternative would be cheaper.