

1. Consider a physical system whose Hamiltonian and initial state are given by  $H = \varepsilon_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\varepsilon_0$  has the dimensions of energy.

(a) What values will we obtain when measuring the energy and with what probabilities?

The possible values of measurement are given by the eigenvalues of  $H$ . From its matrix representation, we have that the characteristic equation is

$$\begin{aligned} (-1 - \lambda)[(1 - \lambda)^2 - 1] &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow -1 - \lambda &= 0 \\ \lambda &= -1 \\ \Rightarrow (1 - \lambda)^2 - 1 &= 0 \\ \lambda &= 0, 2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the possible measurements of  $H$  are  $\{-1\varepsilon_0, 0\varepsilon_0, 2\varepsilon_0\}$ . To figure out with what probabilities these are found we need to determine the eigenbasis for  $H$  and then evaluate  $|\psi\rangle$  in this basis.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1-2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1-2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1-2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow |E = 2\varepsilon_0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this procedure for the other eigenvalues leads to the eigenbasis

$$|E = 2\varepsilon_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad |E = 0\varepsilon_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad |E = -1\varepsilon_0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now assuming that  $|\psi\rangle$  is represented in this basis, we have the following proba-

bilities

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(2\varepsilon_0) &= |\langle 2\varepsilon_0 | \psi \rangle|^2 \\
 &= 0 \\
 P(0\varepsilon_0) &= |\langle 0\varepsilon_0 | \psi \rangle|^2 \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}(1+1) \right|^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} \\
 P(-1\varepsilon_0) &= |\langle -1\varepsilon_0 | \psi \rangle|^2 \\
 &= \frac{4}{6} = \frac{2}{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $0 + 1/3 + 2/3 = 1$  and so we are confident that these are the correct probabilities.

(b) Calculate the expectation value of the Hamiltonian both ways: (i) using the eigenvalues and probabilities, and (ii) using the definition of expectation value with  $H$  and  $|\psi\rangle$ .

$$(i) \langle H \rangle = \sum_n E_n P_n = 2\varepsilon_0 \cdot 0 + 0\varepsilon_0 \cdot \frac{1}{3} - \varepsilon_0 \cdot \frac{2}{3} = -\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_0$$

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle H \rangle &= \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \varepsilon_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon_0}{6} (-4) \\
 &= -\frac{2}{3}\varepsilon_0
 \end{aligned}$$

So we see that the two definitions are equivalent.

2. Consider a system whose Hamiltonian and an operator  $A$  are given by the matrices

$$H = \varepsilon_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = a_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(a) If we measure energy, what values will we obtain?

This Hamiltonian is the same as in problem 1. Therefore, the possible energy values are  $\{2\varepsilon_0, 0\varepsilon_0, -1\varepsilon_0\}$

(b) Suppose that when we measure the energy, we obtain a value of  $-\varepsilon_0$ . Immediately afterwards, we measure  $A$ . What values will we obtain for  $A$  and with what probabilities?

If we measure  $E = -\varepsilon_0$ , then the state has been projected into  $|\varepsilon_0\rangle$ . To figure out the results of a subsequent  $A$  measurement we must determine the eigenvalues (possible measurements) of  $A$  as well as its eigenvectors. Then we can find the coefficients of  $|\varepsilon_0\rangle$  in this basis for the probabilities.

The characteristic equation for  $A$  leads to eigenvalues  $\{0a_0, a_0\sqrt{17}, -a_0\sqrt{17}\}$ . The eigenvectors corresponding to these values are

$$|-\sqrt{17}a_0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{2/17} \\ -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{34} \end{pmatrix} \quad |\sqrt{17}a_0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 2\sqrt{2/17} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{34} \end{pmatrix} \quad |0a_0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{1/17} \\ 0 \\ 4/\sqrt{17} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus the possible measurements and their probabilities are

$$\begin{aligned} P(a = -\sqrt{17}a_0) &= \left| \langle -\sqrt{17}a_0 | \varepsilon_0 \rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{34}} \right|^2 \\ &= 1/34 \\ P(a = \sqrt{17}a_0) &= \left| \langle \sqrt{17}a_0 | \varepsilon_0 \rangle \right|^2 \\ &= 1/34 \\ P(a = 0a_0) &= \left| \langle 0a_0 | \varepsilon_0 \rangle \right|^2 \\ &= 32/34 \end{aligned}$$

(c) What is the expectation value of  $A$ ?

The expectation value of  $A$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A \rangle &= \langle -\varepsilon_0 | A | \varepsilon_0 \rangle \\ &= (0 \quad 0 \quad 1) a_0 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 0a_0 \end{aligned}$$

3. Consider a physical system whose state and two observables  $A$  and  $B$  are represented by

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & i \\ 0 & -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(a) We first measure  $A$  and then  $B$ . Find the probability of obtaining a value of 0 for  $A$  and a value of 1 for  $B$ .

First, note that  $|\psi\rangle$  is not normalized. For the values in the column, the normalization factor should instead be  $1/\sqrt{17}$ . I will use this re-normalized ket in the following calculations. In analogy to rolling two dice, the probabilities simply multiply. Note though that there is degeneracy in the  $B=1$  measurement

so we must add together the probability due to each state corresponding to this eigenvalue. Thus

$$P(a = 0, \text{ then } b = 1) = |\langle a = 0 | \psi \rangle|^2 \left( |\langle b^1 = 1 | a = 0 \rangle|^2 + |\langle b^2 = 1 | a = 0 \rangle|^2 \right)$$

Assuming 0 and 1 are in fact eigenvalues of A and B, we need to find the corresponding eigenvectors in order to perform the above calculations. This gives us

$$\begin{aligned} |a = 0\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \\ |b^1 = 1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \\ |b^2 = 1\rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

And so the probabilities are

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle a = 0 | \psi \rangle|^2 &= \left| \frac{4i}{\sqrt{17}\sqrt{2}} \right|^2 = \frac{16}{34} = \frac{8}{17} \\ |\langle b^1 = 1 | a = 0 \rangle|^2 &= \left| \frac{2}{2} \right|^2 = 1 \\ |\langle b^2 = 1 | a = 0 \rangle|^2 &= \left| \frac{0}{2} \right|^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$P(a = 0 \text{ then } b = 1) = \frac{8}{17} \cdot (1 + 0) = \frac{8}{17}$$

(b) If we measure B then A what is the probability of obtaining 1 for B and 0 for A?

This is similar to the previous problem except the order has been switched. This means we have to deal with the degeneracy in B twice. It follows that the probability is given by

$$P(b = 1, \text{ then } a = 0) = \left( |\langle b^1 = 1 | \psi \rangle|^2 |\langle a = 0 | b^1 = 1 \rangle|^2 \right) + \left( |\langle b^2 = 1 | \psi \rangle|^2 |\langle a = 0 | b^2 = 1 \rangle|^2 \right)$$

The probabilities are

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle b^1 = 1 | \psi \rangle|^2 &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{17}}(-4i) \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{16}{34} = \frac{8}{17} \\
|\langle b^2 = 1 | \psi \rangle|^2 &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{17}}(1) \right|^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{17} \\
|\langle a = 0 | b^1 = 1 \rangle|^2 &= \left| \frac{1}{2}(1+1) \right|^2 \\
&= 1 \\
|\langle a = 0 | b^2 = 1 \rangle|^2 &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0) \right|^2 \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Which gives a final probability of

$$P(b = 1 \text{ then } a = 0) = \left( \frac{8}{17} \cdot 1 \right) + \left( \frac{1}{17} \cdot 0 \right) = \frac{8}{17}$$

Note that these probabilities aren't the same.

(c) Compare the results of (a) and (b) and explain.

In the first example  $A$  projects  $|\psi\rangle$  onto  $|a = 0\rangle$  which can then be seen as some linear combination of  $|b_i\rangle$ , the  $B$  basis. In the second case, we let  $B$  project  $|\psi\rangle$  to  $|b = 1\rangle$  which can be thought of as a superposition of  $A$ 's  $|a_i\rangle$  basis states.  $A$  and  $B$  share common eigenstates though so it should not be a surprise that the values are the same as they form a C.S.C.O.

4 (a) Is the state  $\psi(\theta, \varphi) = e^{-3i\varphi} \cos \theta$  an eigenfunction of the operators  $A_\varphi = \partial/\partial\varphi$  and  $B_\theta = \partial/\partial\theta$ .

To check if  $\psi$  is an eigenfunction, we can let  $A_\varphi$  and  $B_\theta$  act upon  $\psi(\theta, \varphi)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\varphi \psi &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} e^{-3i\varphi} \cos \theta \\
&= -3ie^{-3i\varphi} \cos \theta \\
&= -3i\psi(\theta, \varphi) \\
B_\theta \psi &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} e^{-3i\varphi} \cos \theta \\
&= -e^{-3i\varphi} \sin \theta
\end{aligned}$$

So we see that  $\psi$  is an eigenfunction of  $A_\varphi$  with eigenvalue  $-3i$  and but is not an eigenfunction of  $B_\theta$ .

(b) Are  $A_\varphi$  and  $B_\theta$  Hermitian?

To check if these operators are Hermitian, we will use the inner-product definition.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi | A_\varphi | \chi \rangle &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \sin \theta d\varphi d\theta \psi^*(\theta, \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \chi(\theta, \varphi) \\ &= \int_0^\pi d\theta \left( r^2 \sin \theta \psi^*(\theta, \varphi) \chi(\theta, \varphi) \Big|_0^{2\pi} - \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \sin \theta d\varphi \chi(\theta, \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \psi^*(\theta, \varphi) \right)\end{aligned}$$

Because we are using spherical coordinates, we may impose a continuity periodicity condition on all wavefunctions so that  $\psi(\varphi = 0) = \psi(\varphi = 2\pi)$  and  $\chi(\varphi = 0) = \chi(\varphi = 2\pi)$ . From this the evaluation term cancels, leaving us with

$$= - \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \sin \theta d\varphi d\theta \chi(\theta, \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \psi^*(\theta, \varphi) = - \langle \chi | A_\varphi | \psi \rangle^*$$

Thus  $A_\varphi$  is anti-Hermitian.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \psi | B_\theta | \chi \rangle &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi \psi^*(\theta, \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \chi(\theta, \varphi) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \left( r^2 \sin \theta \psi^*(\theta, \varphi) \chi(\theta, \varphi) \Big|_0^\pi - \int_0^\pi d\theta r^2 \sin \theta \chi(\theta, \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi^*(\theta, \varphi) \right)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sin(\pi) = \sin(0) = 0$ , we have that this becomes

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\varphi \chi(\theta, \varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \psi^*(\theta, \varphi) = - \langle \chi | B_\theta | \psi \rangle^*$$

And thus  $B_\theta$  is anti-Hermitian and not Hermitian.

(c) Calculate the expectation values  $\langle A_\varphi \rangle$  and  $\langle B_\theta \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A_\varphi \rangle &= \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \sin \theta e^{3i\varphi} \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (e^{-3i\varphi} \cos \theta) \\ &= -4i\pi r^2 \\ \langle B_\theta \rangle &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi r^2 \sin \theta e^{3i\varphi} \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} e^{-3i\varphi} \cos \theta d\varphi d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi r^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta (-\sin \theta) d\varphi d\theta \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

(d) Find the commutator  $[A_\varphi, B_\theta]$ .

Consider some general wavefunction  $\Phi(\theta, \varphi)$ . Then

$$A_\varphi B_\theta \Phi = A_\varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \Phi = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \varphi \partial \theta}$$

$$B_\theta B_\varphi \Phi = B_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \Phi = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \theta \partial \varphi}$$

Because mixed partial derivatives are equal, these terms are the same and therefore we have that  $[A_\varphi, B_\theta] = 0$  i.e.  $A_\varphi$  and  $B_\theta$  commute.

Consider a physical system which has a number of observables that are represented by the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ -1 & -i & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(a) Which among these observables are compatible? Find the Results of the measurements of the compatible observables.

See the attached Mathematica notebook for direct calculations

$$[A, B] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -2i & 4 \\ 1 & -4 & 2i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[B, C] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 3 & 6i & -12 \\ -1 & 12 & -6i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$[A, C] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

From these commutators we see that operators A and C are the only compatible observables. The results of measurements of the compatible observables were also calculated using Mathematica (to save a bit of time). The eigenvalues are

$$\{a_n\} = \{-1, 1, 1\}$$

$$\{c_n\} = \{4, -2, 2\}$$

(b) Give a basis of eigenvectors common to these observables.

From the Mathematica calculations, we have the following eigenbasis for the compatible observables

$$|a = -1, c = -2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|a = 1, c = 4\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|a = 1, c = 2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(c) Do the following constitute a C.S.C.O:  $\{A\}$ ,  $\{B\}$ ,  $\{C\}$ ,  $\{A, B\}$ ,  $\{B, C\}$ ,  $\{A, C\}$ ?

Based off of our calculations, we can see that  $\{A\}$  is not a C.S.C.O. because it has a degenerate eigenvalue of 1. The sets  $\{B\}$  and  $\{C\}$  do constitute a C.S.C.O. as they do not have degenerate eigenvalues.

The sets  $\{A, B\}$  and  $\{B, C\}$  do not constitute C.S.C.O. as those operators do not commute.  $\{A, C\}$  does form a C.S.C.O. though as we showed in part (b) that you can form a set of eigenvectors for  $\{A, C\}$  which has non-degenerate eigenvalues.