



University of the Philippines Cebu

# AN APPLICATION OF THE STUDY DETERMINANT ON SKEW-CONINVOLUTORY QUATERNIONIC MATRICES

BY

JOHN AARON Q. ALCOSEBA

AN UNDERGRADUATE THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE  
SCIENCES CLUSTER  
UNIVERSITY OF THE PHILIPPINES CEBU  
LAHUG, CITY

AS PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF  
BACHELOR OF SCIENCE IN MATHEMATICS

JUNE 2017

**An Application of the Study Determinant on Skew-Coninvolutory  
Quaternionic Matrices**

**by John Aaron Q. Alcoseba**

Undergraduate Thesis, Bachelor of Science in Mathematics

University of the Philippines Cebu

June 2017

Classification\*: P

\* I - invention or creation, P - publication, C - confidential information

Available to the general public	Yes
Available only after consultation with author/adviser for thesis	No
Available only to those bound by non-disclosure or confidentiality agreement	No

JOHN AARON Q. ALCOSEBA  
Student

DR. LORNA S. ALMOCERA  
Thesis Adviser

University of the Philippines Cebu

This is to certify that this Undergraduate Thesis entitled “**An Application of the Study Determinant on Skew-Coninvolutory Quaternionic Matrices**”, prepared and submitted by **John Aaron Q. Alcese** to fulfill part of the requirements for the degree of **Bachelor of Science in Mathematics**, was successfully defended and approved on May 29, 2017.

DR. LORNA S. ALMOCERA  
Thesis Adviser

SOMEONE  
Thesis Panel

The Sciences Cluster endorses the acceptance of this Undergraduate Thesis as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Science in Mathematics.

JONNIFER R. SINOGAYA, PH.D.  
Chairperson  
Sciences Cluster

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgments . . . . .	v
Abstract . . . . .	vi
Chapter 1. Introduction . . . . .	1
1.1 Significance of Quaternions . . . . .	1
1.2 Determinants of Quaternionic Matrices . . . . .	2
1.3 Skew-Coninvolutory Quaternionic Matrices . . . . .	3
1.4 Symbols . . . . .	3
Chapter 2. Preliminaries . . . . .	5
2.1 Complex Matrices . . . . .	5
2.1.1 Skew-Coninvolutory Complex Matrices . . . . .	6
2.2 Quaternion Basics . . . . .	6
2.2.1 Multiplication and Addition . . . . .	6
2.2.2 Other Operations and Properties . . . . .	7
2.2.3 Quaternionic Matrices . . . . .	8
Chapter 3. Results and Discussion . . . . .	9
3.1 The Cayley Determinant and Aslaksen's Axioms . . . . .	9
3.1.1 The Determinant Function . . . . .	9
3.1.2 Aslaksen's Axioms . . . . .	10
3.2 The Study Determinant . . . . .	10
3.2.1 Matrix Homomorphisms . . . . .	11
3.2.2 Study Determinant . . . . .	14
3.3 Main Result . . . . .	14
List of References . . . . .	15

# Acknowledgments

Type your acknowledgements here. It is customary to acknowledge special assistance from extramural agencies. There is no obligation that assistance received from members of the dissertation or thesis committee be acknowledged.

Acknowledgments should be couched in terms consistent with the scholarly nature of the work. Your name and the date should not appear on this page.

# Abstract

## **An Application of the Study Determinant on Skew-Coninvolutory Quaternionic Matrices**

John Aaron Q. Alcoseba  
University of the Philippines Cebu, 2017

Adviser:  
Dr. Lorna S. Almocera

Type your abstract here. Your abstract should have a minimum of 150 words and a maximum of 300 words.

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Significance of Quaternions

Ever since their discovery by William Rowan Hamilton in 1843, Quaternions have found extensive use in solving problems both in theoretical and applied mathematics - notably on the problem of 3D rotation.

**Definition 1.1** (Quaternion). *The four-dimensional algebra of Quaternions is generated by the basis elements  $\{1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$  such that  $\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{ijk} = -1$ .  $\mathbb{H} := \{a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k} | a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$ .*

Computations regarding 3D rotations use 4x4 matrices with real entries like the ones shown in Figure 1.1. We call any set of three angles that represent a rotation applied in some order around the principal axes as *Euler Angles* (in this case  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ ) [4]. Computations with these matrices, however, are a bit tedious and require more elementary arithmetic operations [4]. It's also more difficult to determine the axis and angle of rotation using Euler angles [4]. Furthermore, this method is susceptible to a problem in mechanics known as the *Gimbal Lock* [2].

The gimbal lock is a phenomenon that occurs when two of the moving axes x, y, and z (more commonly known as "pitch", "yaw", and "roll" respectively) coincide - resulting in a loss of one degree of freedom for the object being rotated [2].

Quaternions do not suffer from the gimbal lock. They are also found to be more compact - requiring less elementary arithmetic operations to perform rotation composition than rotation matrices [4]. The axis and angle of rotation can also be easily deduced. Let  $\vec{q}$  be the purely imaginary parts of the quaternion  $q = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k}$ , i.e.,  $\vec{q} = b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k}$ . It can be shown that

$$\frac{\vec{q}}{\sqrt{b^2 + c^2 + d^2}} \text{ is the axis of rotation and}$$

$$R_x(\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad R_y(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & 0 & \sin \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\sin \beta & 0 & \cos \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(a) Rotation by  $\alpha$  in the x-axis      (b) Rotation by  $\beta$  in the y-axis

$$R_z(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(c) Rotation by  $\gamma$  in the z-axis

**Figure 1.1:** 4x4 Rotation Matrices about the Principal Axes

$\theta$  satisfying  $\sin \theta/2 = \sqrt{b^2 + c^2 + d^2}$  and  $\cos \theta/2 = a$  is the angle of rotation. [4]

Quaternions are used today in robotics, three-dimensional computer graphics, computer vision, crystallographic texture analysis, navigation, and molecular dynamics.

## 1.2 Determinants of Quaternionic Matrices

Mathematicians have made advancements in developing the theory of Quaternions. Notably, as one of the central points of this topic, we look into the concept of a *Quaternionic Matrix* and the implications it has on certain definitions that were already established in Linear Algebra.

One such implication is the concept of a determinant in the context of quaternionic matrices. In linear algebra, we saw that we can extend the definition of the determinant to matrices with complex entries [5]. This is possible because the complex numbers are commutative under complex multiplication [1].

Certain problems arise if we attempt to extend the classical definition to the quaternions because quaternions are not commutative under quaternion multiplication [1]. [1] revisits the properties we associate with determinants and gives three conditions called *axioms* that should be satisfied in order for a definition of a determinant to be valid and



useful:

1.  $\det(A) = 0$  if and only if  $A$  is singular.
2.  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$  for all quaternionic matrices  $A$  and  $B$ .
3. If  $A'$  is obtained by adding a left-multiple of a row to another row or a right-multiple of a column to another column, then  $\det(A') = \det(A)$ .

Over the years, several mathematicians have come up with different ways to define a determinant for quaternionic matrices - the Cayley determinant (by Arthur Cayley in 1845), the Study determinant (by Eduard Study in 1920), the Dieudonne determinant, and Moore's determinant. [1] showed whether or not these different definitions satisfy the above conditions.

### 1.3 Skew-Coninvolutory Quaternionic Matrices

[5] provided a simple proof to the fact that the set of all  $n \times n$  Skew-Coninvolutory Matrices with complex entries (denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C})$ ) is empty when  $n$  is odd. The method of proof involved using the determinant defined for complex matrices (which is not different from the classical determinant for matrices with real entries).

In this paper, we attempt to extend this result for quaternionic matrices, i.e., we will investigate whether or not the set of all  $n \times n$  Skew-Coninvolutory Matrices with quaternion entries (denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{H})$ ) is, again, empty when  $n$  is odd. Furthermore, we will draw the same method of proof - using the concept of a determinant for quaternionic matrices to obtain the same results.

### 1.4 Symbols

- $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  - set of all  $n \times n$  matrices with real entries.
- $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  - set of all  $n \times n$  matrices with complex entries.
- $M_n(\mathbb{H})$  - set of all  $n \times n$  matrices with quaternion entries.
- $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C})$  - set of all  $n \times n$  skew-coninvolutory matrices with complex entries.

- $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{H})$  - set of all  $n \times n$  skew-coninvolutory matrices with quaternion entries.
- $\det_{\mathbb{C}}()$  - determinant of a matrix in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .
- $\det_{\mathbb{R}}()$  - determinant of a matrix in  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

# Chapter 2

## Preliminaries

### 2.1 Complex Matrices

**Definition 2.1** (Conjugate Matrix). A conjugate matrix is a matrix  $\bar{E}$  obtained from  $E$  by taking the complex conjugate of every entry of  $E$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Coninvolutory Matrix). A matrix is said to be coninvolutory if  $E\bar{E} = I_n$  for  $E \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

By manipulation, we obtain  $E^{-1} = \bar{E}$ . Hence, we may also say that a matrix whose inverse is its own conjugate matrix is a coninvolutory matrix. Furthermore, we see that coninvolutory matrices are the extension of complex numbers with modulus 1 [5].

**Definition 2.3** (Skew-Coninvolutory Matrix). A matrix is said to be skew-coninvolutory if  $E\bar{E} = -I_n$  for  $E \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

Again, we may say that a matrix whose inverse is the negative of its own conjugate matrix is a skew-coninvolutory matrix. This is analogous to the skew-symmetric matrices we've encountered in linear algebra.

**Theorem 2.1.** For a matrix  $E \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\det(\bar{E}) = \overline{\det(E)}$ .

*Proof.* We prove by mathematical induction.

**Base Case:** For  $E = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{c} & \bar{d} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\det(\bar{E}) = \begin{vmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{c} & \bar{d} \end{vmatrix} = \bar{a}\bar{d} - \bar{b}\bar{c} = \overline{ad - bc} = \overline{\det(E)}$

**Induction Hypothesis:** Suppose  $\det(\bar{E}) = \overline{\det(E)}$  holds for  $E \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

Let  $X \in M_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then,

$$\det(\bar{X}) = \overline{\det(X)} = \overline{\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} a_{ij} c_{ij}} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \overline{a_{ij}} \overline{c_{ij}}$$

is the  $i^{th}$  row expansion of an  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix where  $\overline{c_{ij}}$  is the cofactor of  $\overline{a_{ij}}$ . Note that  $\overline{c_{ij}} = (-1)^{i+j} \overline{M_{ij}}$  where  $\overline{M_{ij}}$  is the determinant of the  $n \times n$  matrix obtained by deleting the  $i^{th}$  row and the  $j^{th}$  column of the original matrix. By I.H.,  $\overline{M_{ij}}$  is the determinant of an  $n \times n$  conjugate matrix. Thus, we see that we are computing for the determinant of an  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  conjugate matrix.  $\square$

### 2.1.1 Skew-Coninvolutory Complex Matrices

We now show and prove a result concerning whether or not  $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is empty when  $n$  is odd as seen in [5].

**Theorem 2.2.**  $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is empty when  $n$  is odd.

*Proof.* If  $E \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{C})$  then  $E\bar{E} = -I_n$ .

Taking the determinant of both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(E\bar{E}) &= \det(-I_n) \\ \det(E)\det(\bar{E}) &= (-1)^n \\ \det(E)\overline{\det(E)} &= (-1)^n, \text{ by Theorem 2.1} \\ |\det(E)|^2 &= (-1)^n \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\det(E)|^2 > 0$ ,  $(-1)^n > 0$ . Hence,  $n$  must be even.  $\square$

## 2.2 Quaternion Basics

### 2.2.1 Multiplication and Addition

Recall in Chapter 1 - the four-dimensional algebra of quaternions is generated by the basis elements  $\{1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$  such that

$$\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{ijk} = -1 \tag{2.1}$$

From the above equation, we can easily derive the following:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{j}\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{k}\mathbf{j} = -\mathbf{i} \\ \mathbf{k}\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{i}\mathbf{k} = -\mathbf{j} \\ \mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{k} & \mathbf{j}\mathbf{i} = -\mathbf{k} \end{array}$$

Notice that the quaternions are not commutative under *multiplication*. In general, for quaternions  $q_1 = a_1 + b_1\mathbf{i} + c_1\mathbf{j} + d_1\mathbf{k}$  and  $q_2 = a_2 + b_2\mathbf{i} + c_2\mathbf{j} + d_2\mathbf{k}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} q_1q_2 = & (a_1a_2 - b_1b_2 - c_1c_2 - d_1d_2) + (a_1b_2 + b_1a_2 + c_1d_2 - d_1c_2)\mathbf{i} \\ & + (a_1c_2 - b_1d_2 + c_1a_2 + d_1b_2)\mathbf{j} + (a_1d_2 + b_1c_2 - c_1b_2 + d_1a_2)\mathbf{k} \end{aligned}$$

Quaternions are, however, commutative under *addition* where  $q_1 + q_2 = (a_1 + a_2) + (b_1 + b_2)\mathbf{i} + (c_1 + c_2)\mathbf{j} + (d_1 + d_2)\mathbf{k}$ .

### 2.2.2 Other Operations and Properties

**Definition 2.4** ( $\mathbb{H}$ -Conjugate). *The  $\mathbb{H}$ -Conjugate of a quaternion  $q = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k}$  is  $\bar{q} = a - b\mathbf{i} - c\mathbf{j} - d\mathbf{k}$ .*

Notice that  $q\bar{q} = (a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k})(a - b\mathbf{i} - c\mathbf{j} - d\mathbf{k}) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2$ .

**Definition 2.5** ( $\mathbb{H}$ -Norm). *The  $\mathbb{H}$ -Norm of a quaternion  $q = a + b\mathbf{i} + c\mathbf{j} + d\mathbf{k}$  is  $|q| = \sqrt{q\bar{q}} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}$*

**Definition 2.6** (Inverse). *The inverse of a quaternion  $q$  is  $q^{-1}$  such that  $q^{-1}q = qq^{-1} = 1$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** *For  $q, p, r \in \mathbb{H}$ ,*

1.  $|q|^2 = q\bar{q}$ .
2. If  $q \neq 0$ , then  $q^{-1} = \bar{q}/|q|^2$ .
3.  $\overline{qp} = \bar{p}\bar{q}$ .
4.  $(qp)^{-1} = p^{-1}q^{-1}$  provided that the inverses of  $p$  and  $q$  exist.
5.  $(qp)r = q(pr)$  that is, quaternion multiplication is associative.

Notice that most of the properties we see in quaternions are merely extensions of the properties we see in complex numbers.

### 2.2.3 Quaternionic Matrices

Most of the definitions we've already mentioned for complex matrices can also be extended in the context of quaternionic matrices.

**Definition 2.7** (Conjugate Quaternionic Matrix). *A conjugate quaternionic matrix is a matrix  $\bar{E}$  obtained from  $E$  by taking the  $\mathbb{H}$ -conjugate of every entry of  $E$ .*

**Definition 2.8** (Skew-Coninvolutory Quaternionic Matrix). *A quaternionic matrix  $E$  is said to be Skew-Coninvolutory if  $E\bar{E} = -I_n$ .*

# Chapter 3

## Results and Discussion

### 3.1 The Cayley Determinant and Aslaksen's Axioms

In 1845, 2 years after William Rowan Hamilton discovered quaternions, Arthur Cayley attempted to define the determinant of a quaternionic matrix using the usual formula (we denote the Cayley determinant by  $Cdet$ ), i.e., for a  $2 \times 2$  quaternionic matrix  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $Cdet(A) = ad - cb$  for  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{H}$  [1]. The same goes for  $3 \times 3$  matrices and so on. Taking into account the fact that the quaternions are non-commutative (and the implications it has on linear mappings as will be discussed later), we might ask whether or not this determinant behaves the way we expect - Will it really determine whether or not a quaternionic matrix is singular or not? Will the properties of the determinant still hold? Will the determinant still be a map from  $M_n(G) \rightarrow G$  (in this case,  $G = \mathbb{H}$ )? The last question comes from the fact that the determinants of complex matrices is a map from  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

#### 3.1.1 The Determinant Function

We take a step back and revisit what it means for a mapping to be a determinant. We present the determinant function as defined by J.L. Brenner.

**Definition 3.1** (Determinant Function). *For a field  $F$ , a determinant over the matrices of  $M_n(F)$  is a function  $det$  from  $M_n(F)$  into  $F$  such that*

$$det(AB) = det(A)det(B) = det(B)det(A) \tag{3.1}$$

*holds either (1)  $\forall A, B \in M_n(F)$  or (2)  $\forall$  invertible  $A, B \in M_n(F)$ .*

Notice that the definition requires the images of  $A$  and  $B$  to commute (this is not possible for skew-fields like the quaternions). We can see this matter viewed in a more

rigorous manner (also in a manner more specific to the quaternions) while discussing Aslaksen's axioms.

We see that if  $\det$  is a constant function that only maps to either 0 or 1 (with 0 for singular matrices and 1 for invertible matrices), then  $\det$  satisfies the above definition [3]. The following theorem by Brenner shows that this holds for non-trivial determinants as well and that conditions (1) and (2) are essentially equivalent [3].

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $\det$  is not constantly equal to 1 or 0 (i.e.,  $\det$  is not a mapping  $\det : M_n(F) \rightarrow F$  where  $F$  is a field with two elements), then  $\det(B) = 0$  for all singular matrices.*

### 3.1.2 Aslaksen's Axioms

In the *Mathematical Intelligencer*, Helmer Aslaksen presented 3 determinant *axioms* which a determinant definition must satisfy in order for it to behave the way we expect, i.e., it has the properties we associate with determinants. These axioms were already introduced in Chapter 1 and we will be discussing them in greater detail here.

- **Axiom 1.**  $\det(A) = 0$  if and only if  $A$  is singular.
- **Axiom 2.**  $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$  for all quaternionic matrices  $A$  and  $B$ .
- **Axiom 3.** If  $A'$  is obtained by adding a left-multiple of a row to another row or a right-multiple of a column to another column, then  $\det(A') = \det(A)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.**

**Lemma 3.3.**

**Theorem 3.4.**

## 3.2 The Study Determinant

It was not until 1920, that a new approach in defining a quaternionic determinant was presented in a paper by Eduard Study [1]. His idea involved transforming quaternionic matrices into complex matrices from which one could then just simply take the determinant [1]. The method involves homomorphisms between quaternionic, complex, and real matrices.



### 3.2.1 Matrix Homomorphisms

We look into functions that make it possible for us to represent complex numbers and quaternions as matrices.

#### Homomorphisms from $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ to $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$

In order to represent complex matrices as real matrices, notice that every complex matrix can be represented as the sum of a real matrix and a purely imaginary matrix, i.e., for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $Z$ ,  $Z = A + B\mathbf{i}$  where  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  [1]. We define a mapping

$$\phi(A + B\mathbf{i}) = \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} [1]$$

Before we show that this mapping is an injective homomorphism, we first show that the left distributive laws hold for matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *For matrices  $A, B, C \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A(B + C) = AB + AC$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$ ,  $B = [b_{ij}]$ ,  $C = [c_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $B + C = [b_{ij} + c_{ij}]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} A(B + C) &= \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}(b_{kj} + c_{kj}) \right] = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{ik}b_{kj} + a_{ik}c_{kj}) \right] \\ &= \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}c_{kj} \right] = \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}b_{kj} \right] + \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik}c_{kj} \right] = AB + AC \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

□

The same method of proof can be used for the right distributive law. Furthermore, this also holds for matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $M_n(\mathbb{H})$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $C + D\mathbf{i} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} C & -D \\ D & C \end{pmatrix}$  where  $C + D\mathbf{i} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\phi$  is an injective homomorphism.*

*Proof.*

**1-1:**

$$\phi(A + B\mathbf{i}) = \phi(C + D\mathbf{i}) \implies \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C & -D \\ D & C \end{pmatrix}$$

$\implies A = C$  and  $B = D$  by Matrix Equality  $\implies A + Bi = C + Di \implies \phi$  is injective.

### Homomorphism:

Let  $A + Bi, C + Di \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi[(A + Bi)(C + Di)] &= \phi[(A + Bi)C + (A + Bi)Di] \text{ by Theorem 3.5} \\
 &= \phi[AC + BCi + ADi - BD] = \phi[(AC - BD) + (BC + AD)i] \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} (AC - BD) & -(BC + AD) \\ (BC + AD) & (AC - BD) \end{pmatrix} \\
 \phi[(A + Bi)]\phi[(C + Di)] &= \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C & -D \\ D & C \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} & -b_{11} & \dots & -b_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} & -b_{n1} & \dots & -b_{nn} \\ b_{11} & \dots & b_{1n} & a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & \dots & b_{nn} & a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{11} & \dots & c_{1n} & -d_{11} & \dots & -d_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & \dots & c_{nn} & -d_{n1} & \dots & -d_{nn} \\ d_{11} & \dots & d_{1n} & c_{11} & \dots & c_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{n1} & \dots & d_{nn} & c_{n1} & \dots & c_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{1k}c_{k1} - \sum_{k=1}^n b_{1k}d_{k1} & \dots & -\sum_{k=1}^n a_{1k}d_{kn} - \sum_{k=1}^n b_{1k}c_{kn} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^n b_{nk}c_{k1} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}d_{k1} & \dots & -\sum_{k=1}^n b_{nk}d_{kn} + \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk}c_{kn} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} (AC - BD) & -(BC + AD) \\ (BC + AD) & (AC - BD) \end{pmatrix} \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.2** (Complex Structure). *A complex structure of a vector space  $V$  is defined by the linear map (linear transformation)  $J : V \rightarrow V$  such that  $J^2 = -I$ , where  $I$  is the identity map. [7]*

Complex structures are, in general, linear maps that exhibit the property of the imaginary number  $i$ , that is,  $i^2 = -1$ . It is important to note that a linear map *must* commute with scalar multiplication, and thus, representing complex linear maps as real

linear maps requires the latter to commute with a complex structure of its vector space (this applies to any associated linear maps between different vector spaces) [1] [6].

We define a matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

. Notice that the matrix  $J$  is the image of  $iI \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  under  $\phi$  [1]. It can be easily shown that  $J^2 = -I$ . It is obvious that  $J$  gives a *complex structure* in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Hence,  $\phi(M_n(\mathbb{C})) = \{P \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) | JP = PJ\}$ , i.e., the real matrix representations of complex matrices are the linear maps in  $M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  that commute with the complex structure [1].

### Homomorphisms from $M_n(\mathbb{H})$ to $M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$

To represent quaternionic matrices as complex matrices, notice that every quaternionic matrix can be represented as the sum  $Y = C + \mathbf{j}D$  where  $C, D \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  [1]. We define a mapping

$$\psi(C + \mathbf{j}D) = \begin{pmatrix} C & -\overline{D} \\ D & \overline{C} \end{pmatrix} [1]$$

We can show that  $\psi$  is an injective homomorphism using the same proof outline in the previous subsection [1].

The non-commutativity of quaternions presents some problems in representing *quaternionic linear maps* as complex linear maps. If we consider a quaternionic linear map say  $L(v) = Av$  for  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$  where we take in quaternions as scalars, then,  $cAv = cL(v) = L(cv) = Acv$  which is false (considering the base case for  $1 \times 1$  matrices) [6]. However,  $Av c = L(v)c = L(vc) = Av c$ . Hence, we now see that any quaternionic linear map commutes with right scalar multiplication by a quaternion which itself is not a linear map in  $\mathbb{H}$  (in order for it to be a linear map, it in turn, has to commute with other quaternions)[6] [1]. This poses a problem because it implies that there is no matrix representation for right scalar multiplication [1]. However, in [1], we see that we can consider a linear map  $\widetilde{R}_j$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  as the image of right scalar multiplication by  $\mathbf{j}$  under the homomorphism.  $\widetilde{R}_j$  corresponds to multiplying  $v \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  by the matrix  $J$  and then conjugating [1]. This gives a quaternionic structure in  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  and thus, a quaternionic linear map corresponds to a complex linear map  $Q$  that commutes with  $\widetilde{R}_j$ , i.e.,  $Q\overline{Jv} = \overline{JQv}$  for  $v \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . It can be

easily shown that the latter holds if and only if  $\overline{Q}J = JQ$  using the fact that  $Q\overline{Jv} = \overline{QJv}$ . Thus,  $\psi(M_n(\mathbb{H})) = \{Q \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) | \overline{Q}J = JQ\}$ .

### 3.2.2 Study Determinant

**Definition 3.3.** *The Study Determinant is defined by  $Sdet M = det_{\mathbb{C}}(\psi M) = \sqrt{det_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi(\psi(M)))}$ .*

It can be shown that the Study Determinant satisfies all of Aslaksen's axioms [1].

## 3.3 Main Result

**Proposition 1.**  $\mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{H})$  is empty when  $n$  is odd.

*Proof.* If  $F \in \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{H})$  then  $F\bar{F} = -I_n$ .

Taking the Study determinant of both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} Sdet(E\bar{E}) &= Sdet(-I_n) \\ Sdet(E)Sdet(\bar{E}) &= (-1)^n \\ Sdet(E)\overline{Sdet(E)} &= (-1)^n, \text{ by Theorem 2.1} \\ |Sdet(E)|^2 &= (-1)^n \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|Sdet(E)|^2 > 0$ ,  $(-1)^n > 0$ . Hence,  $n$  must be even. □

Theorem 2.1 holds because the Study determinant is a complex determinant.

## List of References

- [1] H. ASLAKSEN, *Quaternionic determinants*, The Mathematical Intelligencer, (1996).
- [2] Y.-B. JIA, *Rotation in the space*, September 2016.
- [3] B. J.L., *Applications of the dieudonne determinant*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications, (1968).
- [4] A. LERIOS, *Rotations and quaternions*, December 1995.
- [5] J. P. B. S. MARIA, *The sum of coninvolutory matrices*, Master's thesis, University of the Philippines, Diliman, Quezon City, 2012.
- [6] M. VAN LEEUWEN ([HTTPS://MATH.STACKEXCHANGE.COM/USERS/18880/MARC-VAN LEEUWEN](https://math.stackexchange.com/users/18880/marc-van-leeuwen)), *On complexifying vector spaces*. Mathematics Stack Exchange. URL:<https://math.stackexchange.com/q/85198> (version: 2011-11-24).
- [7] E. W. WEISSTEIN, *Complex structure*, from *mathworld* - a wolfram web resource.