

# Homework Set #5

**Due Date:** Before class Friday February 22nd

## 1) Clifford Algebra

(5 points)

In writing the Dirac equation, we chose a particular representation of the  $\gamma$  matrices that satisfied  $\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\eta_{\mu\nu}$ , which is called the Clifford algebra. The choice we used in class is called the Weyl basis. In this problem, we will study the Clifford algebra and the Weyl basis.

a) Will calculate:

$$\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu$$

Three cases to consider:

Case 1)  $\mu = \nu = 0$

$$\gamma_0 \gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So,

$$\gamma_0 \gamma_0 + \gamma_0 \gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Case 2)  $\mu = 0, \nu = i$  (and reversed)

$$\gamma_0 \gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_i \gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_i \end{pmatrix}$$

So,

$$\gamma_0 \gamma_i + \gamma_i \gamma_0 = \gamma_i \gamma_0 + \gamma_0 \gamma_i = 0$$

Case 3)  $\mu = i, \nu = j$

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j \\ -\sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_i \sigma_j & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_i \sigma_j \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

So,

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j + \gamma_j \gamma_i = -2\delta_{ij}$$

b)

$$\gamma_\mu = S \gamma_\mu^{\text{Weyl}} S^\dagger,$$

Multiply both sides on left by S.

$$\gamma_\mu S = S \gamma_\mu^W$$

Let  $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ , where a, b, c and d are 2x2 matrices.

Consider  $\mu = 0$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -c & -d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & a \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}$$

so,  $a = b, c = -d$

Now require  $SS^\dagger = 1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ c & -c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^\dagger & c^\dagger \\ a^\dagger & -c^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2aa^\dagger & ac^\dagger - ac^\dagger \\ ca^\dagger - ca^\dagger & 2cc^\dagger \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

So a and c are hermitian. The only 2x2 matrices that are hermitian are  $I$  or  $\sigma_k$ , so  $\sqrt{2}a = \pm I$  or  $\pm\sigma_a$  and same for c.

Now Consider  $\mu = i$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ c & -c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ c & -c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i c & -\sigma_i c \\ -\sigma_i a & -\sigma_i a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a\sigma_i & a\sigma_i \\ c\sigma_i & c\sigma_i \end{pmatrix}$$

Assume both a and c are pauli matrices, then,  $\sigma_i \sigma_c + \sigma_a \sigma_i = \delta_{ic} + \delta_{ai} = 0$ , which cannot hold when  $i = a$  or  $c$ . So a and c cannot both be pauli matrices.

Assume one (a) is a pauli matrices and c is  $I$  then,  $\sigma_i + \sigma_a \sigma_i = \sigma_i + \delta_{ai} = 0$ , which also cannot be impossible.

So both A and C are  $\pm I$  and above implies that  $a = -c$

$$\text{So } S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} I & I \\ -I & I \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } S^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} I & -I \\ I & I \end{pmatrix}$$

c) Applying the similarity transformation to the Dirac equation, gives

$$(iS\gamma_\mu S^\dagger \partial^\mu - m)\psi = S(i\gamma \cdot \partial - m)S^\dagger \psi = 0.$$

$$S(i\gamma \cdot \partial - m)S^\dagger \psi = 0 \Rightarrow (i\gamma \cdot \partial - m)S^\dagger \psi = 0$$

Where here  $\psi$  is the solution in the new basis, and  $S^\dagger \psi$  is the solution in the old basis.

$$\text{We know from class that } S^\dagger \psi = \psi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ C \end{pmatrix} e^{-imt} \text{ or } \psi_- = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ -C \end{pmatrix} e^{imt}$$

$$\text{So } \psi = S\psi_+ = \sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{-imt} \text{ or } S\psi_- = -\sqrt{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ C \end{pmatrix} e^{imt} \text{ which is either}$$

**2) Show that  $\mathcal{L}_{EM} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} - J^\mu A_\mu$  is gauge invariant** **(5 points)**

Gauge invariance implies that the  $\mathcal{L}_{EM}$  is unchanged under  $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda$

Look at,

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

Under a gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu &\rightarrow \partial_\mu (A_\nu + \partial_\nu \lambda) - \partial_\nu (A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda) \\ &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \lambda - \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \lambda) \\ &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \end{aligned}$$

because the partial derivatives commute.

So the  $F_{\mu\nu}$  term is gauge invariant, which means that the first term in  $\mathcal{L}_{EM}$  is also gauge invariant.

The second term transforms as:

$$J^\mu A_\mu \rightarrow J^\mu (A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda) = J^\mu A_\mu + J^\mu \partial_\mu \lambda$$

Because  $\mathcal{L}$  lives in an integral over all space, we can integrate by parts to move the derivative from  $\lambda$  to  $J^\mu$

$$J^\mu A_\mu \rightarrow J^\mu (A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda) = J^\mu A_\mu - (\partial_\mu J^\mu) \lambda$$

Conservation of charge implies that  $\partial_\mu J^\mu = 0$ , which means that  $J^\mu A_\mu$  is also gauge invariant.

**3) Maxwell's Equations.** **(5 points)**

- a) In class, I mentioned that Gauss's law follows from taking the 0 component of the equations of motion of the electromagnetic Lagrangian  $\partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = J^\nu$ .

$$J^\mu = (\rho, \vec{J})$$

Lets look at  $\nu = 0$ ,

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu 0} = J^0 \quad (1)$$

$$\partial_0 \underbrace{F^{00}}_0 - \partial_i(-E_i) = \rho \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_i E_i = \rho \quad (3)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = \rho \quad (4)$$

$$(5)$$

- b) Show that the three other of Maxwell's equations follow from the equations of motion and the Bianchi identity ( $\partial_\mu F_{\nu\rho} + \partial_\rho F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu F_{\rho\mu} = 0$ ). Lets look at  $\nu = i$

$$\partial_\mu F^{\mu i} = J^i \quad (6)$$

$$\partial_0 F^{0i} - \partial_j F^{ji} = J^i \quad (7)$$

$$\partial_t(-E_i) - \partial_j F^{ji} = J^i \quad (8)$$

$$(9)$$

Now,

$$\partial_j F^{ji} = -\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B}$$

So,

$$\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{B} = \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} + \vec{J} \quad (10)$$

now taking  $\mu = 0, \nu = i$  and  $\rho = j$  in the bianchi identity imples:

$$\partial_0 F_{ij} + \partial_j F_{0i} + \partial_i F_{j0} = 0$$

$$\partial_t \vec{B} + \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = 0$$

choosing  $i = j = k$  gives

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

#### 4) Lagrangians.

(5 points)

a)

$$S = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int d^4x \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \delta \partial_\mu \phi \right] \\ &= \int d^4x \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\mu \delta \phi \right] \end{aligned}$$

Now integrating the second term by parts gives,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S &= \int d^4x \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi - \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \delta \phi \right] \\ &= \int d^4x \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) \right] \delta \phi \end{aligned}$$

The change in the action can be 0 only if

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} = 0.$$

b) With the Lagrangian:  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \phi^2$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = -m^2 \phi \text{ and } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\rho \phi)} = \partial^\rho \phi$$

Euler-Lagrange then implies,

$$\begin{aligned} -m^2 \phi - \partial^2 \phi &= 0 \\ (\partial^2 + m^2) \phi &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which is the Klein Gordon equation

- c) What is the Noether's current associated to the continuous symmetry  $\phi \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha}\phi$  of the Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)(\partial^\mu\phi^*) - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi\phi^*$

The Noether's current is

$$J^\mu = \sum_n \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi_n)} \frac{\delta\phi_n}{\delta\alpha}$$

For us this is

$$J^\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi_n)} \frac{\delta\phi_n}{\delta\alpha} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi_n^*)} \frac{\delta\phi_n^*}{\delta\alpha}$$

Now

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi_n)} = \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi^*$$

and

$$\frac{\delta\phi_n}{\delta\alpha} = -i\phi$$

Similarly,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu\phi_n^*)} = \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi$$

and

$$\frac{\delta\phi_n^*}{\delta\alpha} = +i\phi$$

So,

$$J^\mu = \frac{-i}{2} (\phi\partial^\mu\phi^* - \phi^*\partial^\mu\phi)$$

## 5) Dark Matter Searches.

(10 points)

- a) The earth moves  $2\pi R_{ss}$  in a time  $T_{period}$ , So

$$v_{\text{earth}} = \frac{2\pi \times 2.4 \times 10^4 c \times (\text{years})}{2.3 \times 10^8 (\text{years})} \sim 2\pi \cdot 10^{-4} c$$

b) Initially we have,

$$P_\chi = (\sqrt{m_\chi^2 + p_z^2}, 0, 0, p_z) \text{ and } P_{Xe} = (m_{Xe}, 0, 0, 0)$$

After the collision

$$P'_\chi = (\sqrt{m_\chi^2 + (p_z - p_z^{Xe})^2}, 0, 0, p_z - p_z^{Xe}) \text{ and } P_{Xe} = (\sqrt{m_{Xe}^2 + p_z^{Xe2}}, 0, 0, p_z^{Xe})$$

c) After squaring, canceling terms, and then squaring again and canceling terms again, we find

$$\alpha = \frac{2m_{Xe} \sqrt{p_z^2 + m_\chi^2} + 2m_{Xe}^2}{2m_{Xe} \sqrt{p_z^2 + m_\chi^2 + m_\chi^2 + m_{Xe}^2}}$$

The other solution is  $\alpha = 0$ . (We divided by  $\alpha$  to get the above).

From this we can get  $p_z^{Xe}$  by multiplying by  $p_z$

d)

$$\begin{aligned} K &= E - m_{Xe} = \sqrt{m_{Xe}^2 + p_z^{Xe2}} - m_{Xe} \\ &\sim m_{Xe} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_z^{Xe2}}{m_{Xe}^2} \right) - m_{Xe} = \frac{p_z^{Xe2}}{2m_{Xe}} = \frac{\alpha^2 p_z^2}{2m_{Xe}^2} \end{aligned}$$

To first order,

$$\alpha \sim \frac{2m_{Xe}m_\chi + 2m_{Xe}^2}{2m_{Xe}m_\chi + m_\chi^2 + m_{Xe}^2} = \frac{2m_{Xe}(m_\chi + m_{Xe})}{(m_\chi + m_{Xe})^2} = \frac{2m_{Xe}}{m_\chi + m_{Xe}}$$

so,

$$p_z^{Xe} \sim \frac{2m_{Xe}m_\chi}{m_\chi + m_{Xe}} v$$

and,

$$K \sim \frac{2m_{Xe}m_\chi^2}{(m_\chi + m_{Xe})^2} v^2$$

e)

$$10^{-6} \text{ GeV} = 2 \times v^2 \frac{m_{Xe} m_\chi^2}{(m_\chi + m_{Xe})^2} \text{ GeV}$$

letting  $m_\chi = \alpha m_{Xe}$

$$10^{-6} \text{ GeV} = 8\pi^2 \cdot 10^{-8} \frac{\alpha^2 m_{Xe}^3}{(1 + \alpha)^2 m_{Xe}^2} \text{ GeV}$$

$$1 \text{ GeV} = \frac{\alpha^2 m_{Xe}}{(1 + \alpha)^2} \text{ GeV}$$

$m_{Xe} \sim 123 \text{ GeV}$  so,

$$\alpha^2 123 = (1 + \alpha)^2$$

$$(1 + \alpha) = \alpha \sqrt{123}$$

or

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{123} - 1} \sim \frac{1}{10}$$

and so,  $m_\chi \sim 10 \text{ GeV}$