

Last name: \_\_\_\_\_ First name: \_\_\_\_\_

## QUIZ #2

No books, notes, or calculators are allowed. **20 Points, 20 Minutes.**

1. (6 points) Prove that the real vector space of all continuous real-valued function on the interval  $(0, 1)$  is infinite-dimensional.

(Solution) Let  $V$  denote the vector space of continuous real-valued functions on the interval. We show it is infinite dimensional by showing there is an infinite sequence of vectors,  $v_1, \dots$ , such that  $v_1, \dots, v_m$  is linearly independent for all  $m$ . This shows that the vector space is infinite, since the dimension is always larger than the size of any linearly independent list, which follows from (2.38).

We select  $v_i = x^i$ , the  $i$ -th monomial. We will show that for fixed  $m$ , the set  $x^1, \dots, x^m$  is linearly independent. Take any vanishing linear combination,  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x^i$ . We wish to show this implies that  $a_i = 0$ . First note, that if we take the  $m$ -th derivative of this function, we obtain  $0 = m!a_m$ , so that  $a_m = 0$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i x^i = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i x^i = 0$ . We may now take the  $(m-1)$ -th derivative, so obtain  $(m-1)!a_{m-1} = 0$ , so that  $a_{m-1} = 0$ , and repeat this process by downward induction until  $m = 0$ , to obtain  $a_m = a_{m-1} = \dots a_0 = 0$ , so that there are no-nonzero linear dependencies, so that the set is linearly independent, as desired.

2. (6 points) Suppose that  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  is a basis of  $V$ . Prove that

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 + v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

is also a basis of  $V$ .

(Solution) First note that, if we show that the vectors are a spanning list, then since they have the same size as our given basis,  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$ , they are also a basis by (2.42) in the book.

Now note that

$$v_1 = (v_1 - v_2) + (v_2 + v_3) - (v_3 - v_4) - v_4$$

$$v_2 = (v_2 + v_3) - (v_3 - v_4) + v_4$$

$$v_3 = (v_3 - v_4) + v_4$$

$$v_4 = v_4$$

Thus we see that  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\} \subset \text{Span}(v_1 - v_2, v_2 + v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4)$ .

Thus we have that  $V = \text{Span}(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \subset \text{Span}(v_1 - v_2, v_2 + v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4)$ .

Which shows that  $v_1 - v_2, v_2 + v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$  is a spanning set.

3. (8 points) Suppose that  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m$  are finite-dimensional subspaces of  $V$ . Prove that

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_m) \leq \dim V_1 + \dim V_2 + \dots + \dim V_m.$$

(Solution) I will first clear up a point of confusion. We have

$$\dim(V_1 + V_2) = \dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2)$$

We do not have

$$\dim(V_1 + \dots + V_n) = \dim(V_1) + \dots + \dim(V_n) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_n)$$

Or

$$\dim(V_1 + \dots + V_n) = \dim(V_1) + \dots + \dim(V_n) - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2) - \dots - \dim(V_{n-1} \cap V_n) + \dots - \dim(V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_n)$$

Or any other formula of this type. It is not possible to express the dimension of  $\dim(V_1 + \dots + V_n)$  in terms of the dimensions of the various intersections. Here is a counterexample. Take  $V_1 = \text{span}((1, 0))$ ,  $V_2 = \text{span}((0, 1))$ ,  $V_3 = \text{span}((1, 1))$ . There all are lines in  $F^2$ , and intersect trivially. We also that  $\dim(V_1 + V_2 + V_3) = \dim(F^2) = 2$ , but we have that  $\dim(V_1) + \dim(V_2) + \dim(V_3) = 3$ , and the dimensions of the intersections are all zero, so we cannot possibly have any formula of this type.

Remember though, we do have the formula  $\dim(V + W) = \dim(V) + \dim(W) - \dim(V \cap W)$ , so that  $\dim(V + W) \leq \dim(V) + \dim(W)$ .

Now for the problem solution. We proceed by induction. For  $m = 1$ , we simply have  $\dim(V_1) = \dim(V_1)$ . Assuming the  $(m-1)$ -case, we may write  $\dim(V_1 + \dots + V_m) = \dim((V_1 + \dots + V_{m-1}) + V_m) \leq \dim(V_1 + \dots + V_{m-1}) + \dim(V_m)$ , applying the above inequality. Now we may use the inductive set  $\dim(V_1 + \dots + V_{m-1}) + \dim(V_m) \leq \dim(V_1) + \dots + \dim(V_{m-1}) + \dim(V_m)$ , which completes the induction.