

# AI Development Workflow

Based on Zevi Arnowitz's Workflow

*A systematic approach to building software with AI*

The Pipeline:

/create-issue --> /explore --> /create-plan --> /execute

|

v

/review --> /peer-review --> /document

/learning-opportunity (use anytime)

## The Development Pipeline

This workflow separates thinking from doing. Each phase has a specific purpose:

- |                                 |   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| <b>1. /create-issue</b>         | Capture bugs/features quickly while mid-development |
| <b>2. /explore</b>              | Understand the problem before writing any code      |
| <b>3. /create-plan</b>          | Design the implementation with status tracking      |
| <b>4. /execute</b>              | Build according to the plan                         |
| <b>5. /review</b>               | Have Claude review its own code                     |
| <b>6. /peer-review</b>          | Cross-check with other AI models                    |
| <b>7. /document</b>             | Update documentation after changes                  |
| <b>8. /learning-opportunity</b> | Shift into teaching mode when confused              |

*"This is the big difference between vibe coding and building serious apps. I spend a lot of time going back and forth and understanding." - Zevi*

## Command Details

### 1. /create-issue - Capture Ideas Fast

#### When to use:

You're mid-development and think of a bug, feature, or improvement you don't want to work on right now.

#### How it works:

```
/create-issue  
> I want to add dark mode toggle to the settings page
```

Claude asks 2-3 quick questions, then creates a complete issue with title, TL;DR, current vs expected state, relevant files, and priority labels. Takes ~2 minutes max.

### 2. /explore - Understand Before Building

#### When to use:

You're ready to work on an issue and need to deeply understand the problem.

#### How it works:

```
/explore  
> [describe the feature or paste the issue]
```

Claude reads your codebase thoroughly, identifies affected files, dependencies, and edge cases. It asks clarifying questions until it fully understands. Go back and forth until Claude has no more questions.

#### Key principle:

*Your job is NOT to implement yet. Just explore, plan, and clarify ambiguities.*

### 3. /create-plan - Design the Implementation

#### When to use:

After exploration is complete and all questions are answered.

#### How it works:

```
/create-plan
```

Claude generates a markdown plan file with:

- TL;DR summary of what you're building
- Critical decisions made during exploration
- Step-by-step tasks with status emojis
- Overall progress percentage tracking

#### Status emojis:

Red = To Do | Yellow = In Progress | Green = Done

#### Pro tip:

*This markdown file can be shared with different models (Gemini for UI, Claude for backend) for execution.*

### 4. /execute - Build It

#### When to use:

After the plan is reviewed and approved.

#### How it works:

```
/execute  
> [reference the plan file]
```

Claude implements step by step, following existing code patterns and conventions. It updates the plan's status emojis as it progresses through each task.

## 5. /review - Self-Check

### When to use:

After implementation is complete and you've done manual QA.

### How it works:

```
/review
```

Claude reviews its own code checking for:

- Security issues and vulnerabilities
- TypeScript errors (no 'any' types)
- Production readiness (no console.log, no TODOs)
- React/Hooks issues
- Performance problems
- Architecture violations

Output is organized by severity: CRITICAL, HIGH, MEDIUM, LOW

## 6. /peer-review - Cross-Model Review

### When to use:

After self-review. This is Zevi's secret weapon for catching issues.

### The process:

1. Run /review in Claude
2. Run review in another tool (Cursor, Codex, Gemini)
3. Copy findings from other models
4. Run /peer-review with their feedback:

```
/peer-review
Dev Lead 1 (Codex):
[paste Codex findings]

Dev Lead 2 (Gemini):
[paste Gemini findings]
```

### Why this works:

Claude acts as the 'team lead' who has more context than the reviewers. It will either:

- Confirm issues and add them to the fix plan
- Dismiss invalid findings with clear explanation

*"Claude sometimes gets sassy: This has been raised for the third time, and for the third time I'm telling you this is not an issue."*

## 7. /document - Update Documentation

### When to use:

After all fixes are complete and code is ready to ship.

### How it works:

```
/document
```

Claude checks git diff, reads the actual implementation (not existing docs), and updates CHANGELOG and relevant documentation. It verifies everything against real code, not assumptions.

## 8. /learning-opportunity - Teaching Mode

### When to use:

Anytime you encounter something you don't understand.

### How it works:

```
/learning-opportunity  
> What is dependency injection and why did you use it here?
```

Claude explains at three levels of complexity:

- Level 1: Core concept - what it is and why it exists
- Level 2: How it works - mechanics and tradeoffs
- Level 3: Deep dive - production implications

*Uses examples from your actual codebase, not generic tutorials.*

## Pro Tips from Zevi

### **Don't skip exploration**

Rushing to code creates gnarly bugs later. Planning is critical for serious apps.

### **Use different models for different tasks**

Gemini excels at UI/design, Claude for architecture and communication, GPT/Codex for solving hard bugs.

### **Do post-mortems on failures**

Ask Claude: "What in your system prompt made you make this mistake?" Then update your documentation.

### **Manual QA before AI review**

You catch obvious issues while testing, AI catches subtle code problems.

### **Keep slash commands updated**

Every time AI fails, improve the prompts so it doesn't happen again.

### **Models have personalities**

Claude = communicative CTO. GPT = genius coder who doesn't explain. Gemini = brilliant but chaotic artist.

## Example Session

Here's what a typical development session looks like:

```
# Morning: Pick up a task

/explore
> I want to add user authentication with Google OAuth

[Answer Claude's clarifying questions back and forth]

/create-plan

[Review the plan, make adjustments, approve it]

/execute

[Test manually in the browser]

/review

[Run review in Cursor/Codex too, then:]

/peer-review
[paste findings from other models]

[When confused about something Claude did:]

/learning-opportunity
> Why did you use JWT instead of sessions?

[After everything works:]

/document
```

*The key insight: Planning and review matter more than execution speed. Don't rush to code.*



## Key Takeaways

1. Separate thinking from doing - explore and plan before you execute.
2. Use multiple AI models as a team - they each have different strengths.
3. AI is your thought partner, not just a code generator.
4. Every failure is an opportunity to improve your prompts and documentation.
5. You own your outputs - if something is wrong, it's your responsibility to catch it.

*"It's not that you will be replaced by AI. You'll be replaced by someone who's better at using AI than you." - Zevi*

Based on the Lenny's Podcast episode with Zevi Arnowitz, PM at Meta.

Slash commands and workflow created by Zevi for his AI development process.