

MATH 355 HOMEWORK 10

NICHOLAS ROUSE

PROBLEM 1

I picked the third row and column because they both have two zeros, so the Laplace expansions will only involve computing two 3×3 determinants each. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\det A = (-1) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + (-3) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

The first determinant on the right-hand side of the above equation is zero because (for example) the third column is -2 times the first column minus 3 times the second column. We compute the other determinant by expanding along the first column.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix} &= 1 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 6 - (-2 - 12) \\ &= 20. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\det A = -60$. Now we expand along the third column in one heroic calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= (-1) \begin{vmatrix} -2 & 0 & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} - 2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1) \left((-2) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 4 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \right) + (-2) \left((1) \begin{vmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \right) \\ &= (-1)(-2(1-3) + 4(9+1)) + (-2)(9+1+2(-1)) \\ &= (-1)(44) + (-2)(8) \\ &= -44 - 16 \\ &= -60. \end{aligned}$$

PROBLEM 2

Part a. This map is \mathbf{R} -linear. To see that γ is additive let $z = a + bi$ and $w = c + di$ for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}$. Then

$$\gamma(z + w) = \gamma(a + bi + c + di) = \gamma((a + c) + (b + d)i) = a + c - (b + d)i = a - bi + c - di = \gamma(z) + \gamma(w).$$

Now let $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and consider

$$\gamma(\alpha z) = \gamma(\alpha(a + bi)) = \gamma(\alpha a + \alpha bi) = \alpha a - \alpha bi = \alpha(a - bi) = \alpha\gamma(z).$$

We conclude that the map is \mathbf{R} -linear.

Part b. The map is not \mathbf{C} -linear. For a counterexample, observe that

$$\gamma(i \cdot i) = \gamma(-1) = -1 \neq 1 = i \cdot (-i) = i\gamma(i).$$

PROBLEM 3

Part a. $\mathbf{B} = \{1, i\}$ forms an \mathbf{R} -basis for \mathbf{C} . Indeed we know that any complex number (i.e. an element of \mathbf{C}) can be written as $a + bi$ for $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$. This shows that \mathbf{B} is spanning. To see that \mathbf{B} is linearly independent over \mathbf{R} it suffices to observe that there is no real number α such that $\alpha \cdot 1 = \alpha = i$. That is, it suffices to show that i is not a real number, and we know that it is not.

Remark. To actually show that i is not a real number rather than merely saying it requires some argument that there is no square root of -1 in the real numbers. Such an argument is not hard to give but it's not enlightening in this context, so we omit this detail.

Part b. Two vector spaces over \mathbf{R} are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension. The previous part shows that \mathbf{C} has dimension two as a real vector space, and we know that \mathbf{R}^2 has dimension two as a real vector space, so $\mathbf{C} \cong \mathbf{R}^2$ as real vector spaces.

PROBLEM 4

Part a. $\mathbf{B} = \{1\}$ forms a basis for \mathbf{C} over \mathbf{C} . This set is spanning since for any $z \in \mathbf{C}$, we can write $z = z \cdot 1$. Moreover the set is linearly independent. Suppose that $z \cdot 1 = 0$ for some $z \in \mathbf{C}$, then we immediately see that $z = 0$, so the set is linearly independent.

Part b. The set $\{1, i\}$ forms an \mathbf{R} -basis for \mathbf{C} as we showed in Part a of Problem 3.

Remark. The terms “extract” may have been a bit confusing. The question is just asking how to find an \mathbf{R} -basis given that you have a \mathbf{C} -basis in hand.

PROBLEM 5

Part a. This is a special case ($V = \mathbf{C}^2$) of Part a of Problem 6. We give a fuller argument there.

Part b. We can view \mathbf{C}^2 as the set of ordered pairs of complex numbers. That is $\mathbf{C}^2 = \{(z, w) \mid z, w \in \mathbf{C}\}$. We claim that $\mathbf{B} = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\}$ is a \mathbf{C} -basis for \mathbf{C}^2 . This is proved *mutatis mutandis* as proving \mathbf{R}^2 is a two-dimensional vector space over the real numbers. In particular suppose that there are complex numbers $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\alpha(1, 0) + \beta(0, 1) = (0, 0)$. Then we have $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$, so $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$. We then find that \mathbf{B} is linearly independent. Moreover it is clearly spanning as for any element $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{C}^2$, we have $\alpha(1, 0) + \beta(0, 1) = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Part c. We claim that $\mathbf{B} = \{(1, 0), (i, 0), (0, 1), (0, i)\}$ is an \mathbf{R} -basis for \mathbf{C}^2 . To see linear independence, suppose that $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}$ and $a(1, 0) + b(i, 0) + c(0, 1) + d(0, i) = (0, 0)$. Then $(a + bi, c + di) = (0, 0)$. Then $a + bi = 0$ and $c + di = 0$. A complex number is zero if and only if its real and imaginary parts are equal to zero, so we conclude that $a, b, c, d = 0$ and that \mathbf{B} is linearly independent. To see spanning we observe that any pair of complex numbers (z, w) can be represented by $(a + bi, c + di)$ for $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus $(z, w) = a(1, 0) + b(i, 0) + c(0, 1) + d(0, i)$, and \mathbf{B} is spanning.

Part d. This is the same as Part b of Problem 3: Both \mathbf{C}^2 and \mathbf{R}^4 have a basis consisting of four elements, so both of them are dimension four and hence isomorphic.

PROBLEM 6

Part a. Recall the axioms for a vector space. All of the axioms that don't make reference to the underlying scalars (in our case either \mathbf{R} or \mathbf{C}) are immediate. For example if one can add two vectors in a \mathbf{C} -vector space then one can add them in exactly the same way in the space viewed as an \mathbf{R} -vector space. For the axioms involving the scalars, we satisfy the axioms by restricting the multiplication. For example one of the axioms specifies that for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\vec{v} \in V$, we have $\alpha\vec{v} \in V$. Since \mathbf{R} is a subset of \mathbf{C} we in particular know that for any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\vec{v} \in V$, we have $\alpha\vec{v} \in V$, so the analogous axiom for real vector spaces is satisfied. The other axioms are demonstrated in the same way.

Part b. Suppose that $\mathbf{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a \mathbf{C} -basis for V . We claim that $\mathbf{D} = \{\vec{v}_1, i\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n, i\vec{v}_n\}$ is an \mathbf{R} -basis for V . To see linear independence, suppose that there exist real numbers $a_1, a'_1, \dots, a_n, a'_n$ such that $a_1\vec{v}_1 + a'_1 \cdot i\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n + a'_n \cdot i\vec{v}_n = 0$. Then we have that $(a_1 + ia'_1)\vec{v}_1 + \dots + (a_n + ia'_n)\vec{v}_n = 0$. This is a \mathbf{C} -linear combination of vectors from \mathbf{B} , which is linearly independent. Then we find that $a_j + ia'_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Hence $a_j = a'_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, so \mathbf{D} is linearly independent. To see that \mathbf{D} is spanning, write an arbitrary element $\vec{v} \in V$ as a \mathbf{C} -linear combination of elements of \mathbf{B} : $\vec{v} = b_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + b_n\vec{v}_n$. We can now write each b_j as $b_j = a_j + ia'_j$ for $a_j, a'_j \in \mathbf{R}$. Then we have $\vec{v} = (a_1 + ia'_1)\vec{v}_1 + \dots + (a_n + ia'_n)\vec{v}_n = a_1\vec{v}_1 + a'_1 \cdot i\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_n\vec{v}_n + a'_n \cdot i\vec{v}_n$, which is an \mathbf{R} -linear combination of elements of \mathbf{D} , so we see that \mathbf{D} is spanning. We conclude that \mathbf{D} is a basis.

Remark. Part b of Problem 4 and Part c of Problem 5 are special cases of the above exercise.

Part c. Let $n = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} V$, so we can write down an \mathbf{C} -basis consisting of n elements: $\mathbf{B} = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$. By the previous part, we know that $\mathbf{D} = \{\vec{v}_1, i\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n, i\vec{v}_n\}$ is an \mathbf{R} -basis for V . Observe that \mathbf{D} has twice as many elements as \mathbf{B} , so $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} V = 2n = 2 \dim_{\mathbf{C}} V$.