

### MATH 355 HOMEWORK 3

I welcome questions and comments at [nicholas.rouse@rice.edu](mailto:nicholas.rouse@rice.edu).

#### PROBLEM 1

$W$  is not a subspace of  $M_{2 \times 2}$ . It in fact satisfies none of the three axioms for a subspace. The zero vector in  $M_{2 \times 2}$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which does not belong to  $W$ . Moreover,  $W$  is not closed under addition:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

but the matrix on the right hand side does not belong to  $W$  even though both matrices on the left hand side of the equals sign do. Finally  $W$  is not closed under scalar multiplication:

$$2 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Remark.* To prove something is *not* a subspace, it suffices to show that it does not satisfy only one of the axioms for a subspace. We include all the ways that  $W$  fails to be a subspace for completeness.

#### PROBLEM 2

$U$  is not a subspace of  $M_{2 \times 2}$ . It is closed under addition and contains the zero vector, but it is not closed under scalar multiplication. The matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to  $U$  as  $1 + 1 = 2 \geq 0$ , but multiplying by  $-1$  we obtain

$$-1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is not in  $U$  as  $(-1) + (-1) = -2 < 0$ .

#### PROBLEM 3

**Part a.**

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 4 & 6 \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Part b.**  $V$  is a subspace of  $M_{2 \times 2}$ . The zero vector belongs to  $V$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^t + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next note that  $V$  is closed under scalar multiplication. Indeed for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $A \in M_{2 \times 2}$ , we have  $(\alpha \cdot A)^t = \alpha \cdot A^t$ . To see this let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Then

$$\left( \alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right)^t = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha a & \alpha b \\ \alpha c & \alpha d \end{pmatrix}^t = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha a & \alpha c \\ \alpha b & \alpha d \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^t = \alpha \cdot A^t.$$

Now we can easily prove that  $V$  is closed under scalar multiplication. Let  $A \in V$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\alpha \cdot A + (\alpha \cdot A)^t = \alpha \cdot A + \alpha \cdot A^t = \alpha \cdot (A + A^t) = \alpha \cdot 0 = 0$ . Thus if  $A \in V$ , then  $\alpha \cdot A \in V$ . Finally, we prove a proposition that will let us prove that  $V$  is closed under addition.

**Proposition.** For  $A, B \in M_{2 \times 2}$ , we have  $(A + B)^t = A^t + B^t$ .

*Proof.* We write

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (A + B)^t &= \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{pmatrix} \right)^t \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a+e & b+f \\ c+g & d+h \end{pmatrix}^t \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a+e & c+g \\ b+f & d+h \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e & g \\ f & h \end{pmatrix} \\ &= A^t + B^t. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now let  $A, B \in V$ . Then  $(A + B) + (A + B)^t = A + B + A^t + B^t = (A + A^t) + (B + B^t) = 0 + 0 = 0$ . Thus  $(A + B) \in V$ , and we've proved that it is a subspace.

#### PROBLEM 4

We first observe that

$$\text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ a \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + b \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbf{R} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ a \\ a \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -b \\ b \\ b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbf{R} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a-b \\ a+b \\ a+b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

Now suppose  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  is such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2+\alpha \\ 3+\alpha \\ 4+2\alpha \end{pmatrix} \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a-b \\ a+b \\ a+b \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

Then we must have  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2+\alpha \\ 3+\alpha \\ 4+2\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a-b \\ a+b \\ a+b \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence we must have  $3 + \alpha = 4 + 2\alpha$ , so  $\alpha = -1$  is the only possible value. However it remains to show that when we plug in  $\alpha = -1$  we actually get something in the span. In particular we need to find  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a-b \\ a+b \\ a+b \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we need

$$\begin{aligned} a - b &= 1 \\ a + b &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

Solving this linear system, we obtain  $a = 3/2$  and  $b = 1/2$ . So we conclude that only  $\alpha = -1$  works.

## PROBLEM 5

The vectors are linearly independent. Suppose we have  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\alpha \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have the linear system

$$2\alpha + \beta - \gamma = 0$$

$$3\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$$

$$4\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0.$$

Subtracting the second equation from the third we obtain  $\alpha = 0$ . Then we have

$$\beta - \gamma = 0$$

$$\beta + \gamma = 0.$$

Adding these two equations we find  $2\beta = 0$ , hence  $\beta = 0$ , and then we have  $\gamma = 0$ . Then the set is linearly independent.

## PROBLEM 6

The vectors are not linearly independent:

$$1 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} -7 \\ -6 \\ -13 \end{pmatrix} + (-2) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + 3 \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## PROBLEM 7

There are (infinitely) many bases for  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Two are  $\{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_2 + \vec{e}_3\}$  and  $\{-\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \vec{e}_3\}$ .

## PROBLEM 8

**Part a.** This is true. Suppose  $S \subset T \subset V$ . Then recall that

$$\text{span}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i s_i \mid m \in \mathbf{N}, \lambda_i \in \mathbf{R}, s_i \in S \right\}.$$

If we have  $S \subset T$ , then each  $s_i \in S$  in fact is an element of  $T$ , so every linear combination of elements of  $S$  (i.e. an element of  $\text{span}(S)$ ) is also a linear combination of elements of  $T$ .

**Part b.** This is false. Let  $S = \{\vec{e}_1\}$  and  $T = \{\vec{e}_2\}$  where  $\vec{e}_1$  and  $\vec{e}_2$  are the standard basis vectors for  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then  $S \cup T = \{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2\}$ , so  $\text{span}(S \cup T) = \mathbf{R}^2$ . However,  $\text{span}(S) = \{\alpha \cdot \vec{e}_1 \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{R}\}$ , and  $\text{span}(T) = \{\beta \cdot \vec{e}_2 \mid \beta \in \mathbf{R}\}$ . Hence  $\text{span}(S) \cup \text{span}(T) = \{\gamma \cdot \vec{e}_i \mid \gamma \in \mathbf{R} \text{ and } i = 1 \text{ or } 2\}$ . Then in particular the vector  $\vec{e}_1 + \vec{e}_2$  is not in  $\text{span}(S) \cup \text{span}(T)$ .

**Part c.** This is also false. Again let  $\vec{e}_1$  and  $\vec{e}_2$  be the standard basis vectors for  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Now let  $S = \{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2\}$  and  $T = \{\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_1 + \vec{e}_2\}$ . Note that  $S$  and  $T$  are both bases for  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , so  $\text{span}(S) = \text{span}(T) = \text{span}(S) \cap \text{span}(T) = \mathbf{R}^2$ . However,  $S \cap T = \{\vec{e}_1\}$ , so  $\text{span}(S \cap T) = \{\alpha \cdot \vec{e}_1 \mid \alpha \in \mathbf{R}\} \neq \mathbf{R}^2$ . For example  $\vec{e}_2$  does not belong to  $\text{span}(S \cap T)$ .

## PROBLEM 9

The thing to keep in mind is that vector subspaces (other than all of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  and the zero subspace) of  $\mathbf{R}^2$  look exactly like a single line through the origin.

**Part a.** This one is a subspace.

**Part b.** This line doesn't pass through the origin, so it doesn't contain the zero vector of  $\mathbf{R}^2$ , so it's not a subspace.

**Part c.** This isn't even a line and also doesn't contain the origin. To see the problem with not being a line, try adding two points that happen to lie on the circle and see whether the sum is also on the circle.

**Part d.** This is also not a subspace. It is the union of two subspaces. The problem is that if you add a point on one line to a point from the other line, you (usually) won't land on one of the lines. To be a bit more precise, imagine these lines were the lines  $y = x$  and  $y = -x$ , so then  $(1, 1)$  is on the first line and  $(-1, 1)$  on the other. However their sum  $(0, 2)$  is not on either line. (cf. Problem 8, Part b)

**Part e.** This one is not a subspace. The problem here is that you can scale vectors inside subspaces. Imagine that the corners of this rectangle are  $(1, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1)$ ,  $(-1, 0)$ , and  $(0, -1)$ , then  $2 \cdot (1, 0) = (2, 0)$  is not in the shaded region.