MATH355 2017-11-06

SIMILARITY

We are now entering Chapter Five of Hefferon. Section I is about complex vector spaces, which were covered last Friday. The reason we need complex numbers and complex vector spaces is ultimately due to the fact that the polynomial $x^2 + 1$ has no real solutions. In any case, today we begin with Section II and the concept of *similarity*.

Our goal now is to study linear maps $f: V \to V$. These are called *endomorphisms* of V. Recall a result from a while ago.

Theorem r. Let $f: V \to V$ be linear. There exist bases \mathbb{B} , \mathbb{D} of V such that $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{D}}$ f is made up of four blocks: upper left is I_r the $r \times r$ identity matrix (where r is the rank of f) and the other three blocks are all zero.

This is a great result, but it destroys a lot of information.

Example 2. For example, consider $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $f\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -y \\ x \end{pmatrix}$, i.e. a rotation by $\frac{\pi}{2}$. We have

$$\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathrm{std}} f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let $\mathbb{B} = (\vec{e}_2, -\vec{e}_1)$. Then

$$\operatorname{Rep}_{\operatorname{std},\mathbb{B}} f = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

but f is *not* the identity.

Example 3. Let $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by $g\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2y \\ 3x \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$B := \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathrm{std}} g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and det B = -6 (the standard basis vectors are both stretched and swapped).

Let
$$\mathbb{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
). Then

$$\operatorname{Rep}_{\operatorname{std}.\mathbb{D}} g = I$$

is the identity matrix. But det $I = 1 \neq -6$.

Date: John Calabrese, November 6, 2017.

I

SIMILARITY

So we want a different way of viewing linear maps, which remembers some of the geometry. For this reason, we will focus on $\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}}$ f for a choice of basis \mathbb{B} . [same basis on departure and arrival] We will write

$$\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{B}} f = \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{B},\mathbb{B}} f$$
.

Proposition 4. Let \mathbb{B} , $\hat{\mathbb{B}}$ be two bases for V. Let $f:V\to V$ be a linear map. Let $A=\operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{B}}f$, $\hat{A}=\operatorname{Rep}_{\hat{\mathbb{B}}}f$. Then

$$\det \hat{A} = \det A$$
.

Proof. We already know this! Let $P := \operatorname{Rep}_{\mathbb{B},\hat{\mathbb{B}}}$ id. Then recall that $\hat{A} = PAP^{-1}$.

$$\det \hat{A} = \det(PAP^{-1})$$

$$= \det(P) \det(A) \det(P^{-1})$$

$$= \det(P) \det(P^{-1}) \det(A)$$

$$= \det(P) \det(P)^{-1} \det(A)$$

$$= \det A.$$

OK, great. So this means that switching from \mathbb{B} to $\hat{\mathbb{B}}$ must remember something about the geometry of our linear map.

We need a little piece of notation. Call $GL_n \subset M_{n \times n}$ the subset of invertible matrices. This is called the *general linear group*.

Definition 5. We say A is *similar* to B if there exists $P \in GL_n$ such that $B = PAP^{-1}$. We write $A \sim B$.

There are two ways to view similarity.

- (1) View both A and B as defining linear maps with respect to the standard basis, similarity is telling you how to express one transformation in terms of the other.
- (2) View A as defining a linear map with respect to the standard basis and view P as a change of basis matrix! This way, B is representing the *same* linear map but with respect to a different basis (i.e. a different set of coordinates).

Proposition 6. Being similar is an equivalence relation on $M_{n\times n}$. I.e.

- (I) A ~ A
- (2) if $A \sim B$ then $B \sim A$.
- (3) if $A \sim B$, $B \sim C$ then $A \sim C$.