# UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON DEPARTMENT OF SPACE AND CLIMATE PHYSICS

Candidate Code: HYXC3

Programme Title: MSc Scientific Computing

Module Code: SPCE0038

Module Title: Machine Learning with Big Data

### **End Assessment**

In submitting this coursework, I assert that the work presented is entirely my own except where properly marked and cited.

Date of	11/05/20
Submission:	

### 1(a)

With reference to the diagram of the basic *logistic unit* on the following page:

The input vector  $\mathbf{x}$  is the input to the *logistic unit*.

Each input  $x_i$  has an associated weight  $\theta_i$ . The weights are set to a random value prior to *training*. The *training* process determines these weights.

The product of each input  $x_i$  and weight  $\theta_i$  is summed to produce a weighted sum z.

The output,  $h_{\theta}(x)$ , is the the non-linear activation function, h, applied to z.

### 1(b)

Consider the diagram of Question 1(a).

The weighted sum of the inputs, z, is:

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_j x_j = \theta^T x \tag{1}$$

where  $x_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  element of input vector  $\mathbf{x}$  of length n, and  $\theta_i$  is the associated weight.

And, the output from the *logistic unit*,  $h_{\theta}(x)$ , is:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = h(z) \tag{2}$$

where h is a non-linear activation function.

# Question 1(a) – Basic Logistic Unit



a = h(z)

Activation:

1(c)

See diagram on following page.

### 1(d)

Consider the diagram of Question 1(c).

Firstly, consider the data transformation from the input vector  $\mathbf{x}$  to the hidden layer. We now need two indices, one for the input vector elements, and one for the *hidden layer* nodes. We will use i for the input vector index, and j for the *hidden layer* nodes.

The weighted sum of the inputs at the  $j^{th}$  hidden layer node is:

$$z_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{ij} x_i \tag{3}$$

where  $\theta_{ij}$  is the weight between input element i and  $hidden\ layer$  node j, and n is the length of the input vector  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Secondly, the output from each hidden layer node is the non-linear activation function, h, applied to each  $z_i$ :

$$h_{\theta j}(x) = h(z_j) \tag{4}$$

And finally, the output from the whole network,  $h_{\Theta}(x)$  is the sum of the hidden layer outputs:

$$h_{\Theta}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{\theta j}(x) \tag{5}$$

where m is the number of *hidden layer* nodes.

# Question 1(c) – Fully Connected, Feed Forward, Artificial Neural Network



Input Layer Logistic Units

Hidden Layer Logistic Units

Output Node

Weighted Sums:  $z_j = \sum_{i=1}^n heta_{ij} x_i$ 

 $\theta_{ij}$ : Weight, e.g.  $\theta_{11}\,\theta_{33}$ 

Activations:  $a_j = h(z_j)$ 

### 1(e)

The cost function typically used to train neural networks for regression problems is mean square error:

$$MSE(\Theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (p_j^{(i)} - y_j^{(i)})^2$$
 (6)

The cost function typically used to train neural networks for classification problems is *cross-entropy*:

$$C(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} y_j^{(i)} \log(p_j^{(i)})$$
 (7)

### 1(f)

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are described as *shallow* or *deep*, and *wide* or *narrow*. *shallow* or *deep* refers to the number of layers in the network, and *wide* or *narrow* refers to the number of nodes in each layer.

The *credit assignment path*, the CAP, of a neural network is a measure of the number of data transformations that occur as data passes through the network. For *feed-forward* networks the CAP is the number of *hidden layers* plus one.

A deep neural network is generally considered to be a network with multiple layers and a CAP > 2.

### **1(g)**

The universal approximation theorem states that, with appropriate parameters, single hidden layer feed-forward neural networks are universal approximators. This means they can represent any continuous function. However, this requires an exponentially larger number of hidden layer nodes. And, training will not necessarily determine the parameters.

Deep networks provide a powerful representational framework because they have the potential to be *universal approximators*, but with a limited width of hidden nodes. This makes the implementation of *universal approximators* more feasible.

### 2(a)

Gradient Descent algorithms attempt to find the parameters  $\theta$  which minimise the cost function  $C(\theta)$  over the training set using an iterative process:

$$\theta^{i+1} = \theta^i - \alpha \nabla_\theta C(\theta) \tag{8}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the *learning rate*.

Batch Gradient Descent uses the entire training set at each iteration to calculate the gradient partial derivatives,  $\nabla_{\theta}C(\theta)$ . This produces accurate values for the partial derivatives, but is potentially slow for large training sets.

Stochastic Gradient Descent uses a random sub-set of the training set at each iteration to calculate the gradient partial derivatives,  $\nabla_{\theta}C(\theta)$ . This is faster than Batch Gradient Descent, but can produce erratic values for the partial derivatives.

For convex cost functions  $Batch\ Gradient\ Descent\$ will always converge to the  $local\ minima$  which is also the  $global\ minima$ . This is not the case for non-convex cost functions, where  $local\ minima$  are not necessarily  $global\ minima$ . A bad choice of  $\theta^0$  may result in  $Batch\ Gradient\ Descent\$ getting "stuck" in a  $local\ minima$ . Because the gradient partial derivatives of  $Stochastic\ Gradient\ Descent\$ are erratic, this provides a mechanism of "jumping out of" a  $local\ minima$  and improves the probability of finding the  $global\ minima$ .

### **2(b)**

When attempting to find the minimum of a cost function using *Stochastic Gradient Descent*, the iterative process "jumps" around the minimum, and it is difficult to determine when a minimum has been reached. For this reason alternative optimisation algorithms are typically considered for training.

### 2(c)

Consider the iterative minimisation process:

$$\theta^{i+1} = \theta^i - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} C(\theta) \tag{9}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the *learning rate*.

At each step the process "advances" towards the minimum by the step size  $-\alpha \nabla_{\theta} C(\theta)$ , which uses the *current* gradient.

The Momentum Optimisation algorithm introduces the idea of including previous gradients into the step size. At each step the current gradient is summed into a momentum term m, which includes previous gradients (remember we use the negative gradient):

$$m^{i+1} = \beta m^i - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} C(\theta) \tag{10}$$

The  $\beta$  parameter is used to prevent the momentum getting too large, and is set between 0 and 1, typically 0.9.

The momentum m is then used to update  $\theta$ :

$$\theta^{i+1} = \theta^i + m \tag{11}$$

The result is that we now have an acceleration towards the minimum.

This algorithm may result in an overshoot and oscillation before stabilising at the minimum.

### 2(d)

Consider the momentum update equation of the *Momentum Optimisation* algorithm:

$$m^{i+1} = \beta m^i - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} C(\theta) \tag{12}$$

This uses the gradient at the current value of  $\theta$ .

However, the momentum m is pointing in the general direction of the cost function minimum, so it makes sense to use a point further along in this direction,  $\theta + \beta m^i$ , to calculate the gradient, as this will be already closer to the minimum:

$$m^{i+1} = \beta m^i - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} C(\theta + \beta m^i) \tag{13}$$

This is called the Nesterov Accelerated Gradient algorithm.

 $\theta$  is updated as per the *Momentum Optimisation* algorithm:

$$\theta^{i+1} = \theta^i + m \tag{14}$$

The Nesterov Accelerated Gradient algorithm is an enhancement to the Momentum Optimisation algorithm, which can result in a significant increase in speed, and can reduce the overshoot and oscillations previously mentioned.

- 2(e)
- 2(f)
- **2(g)**
- 2(h)

# Question 3 3(a) TODO **3**(b) TODO **3(c)** TODO 3(d) TODO 3(e) TODO

3(f)

TODO

**4(a)** 

TODO

**4(b)** 

TODO

**4**(c)

TODO

**4(d)** 

TODO

**4(e)** 

TODO

**4(f)** 

TODO

### question 4f

May 7, 2020

```
[]: # Fetch batch function:
     def fetch_batch(epoch, batch_index, batch_size):
        return X_batch, y_batch
     # Set up computational graph:
     import tensorflow as tf
     reset_graph ()
     n_{epochs} = 1000
     learning_rate = 0.01
     X = tf.constant(scaled_housing_data_plus_bias, dtype=tf.float32, name="X")
     y = tf.constant(housing_data_target, dtype=tf.float32, name="y")
     theta = tf.Variable(tf.random_uniform([n + 1, 1], -1.0, 1.0), name="theta")
     y_pred = tf .matmul(X, theta , name="predictions")
     error = y_pred - y
     mse = tf.reduce_mean(tf.square(error), name="mse")
     optimizer = tf.train.GradientDescentOptimizer(learning_rate)
     training_op = optimizer.minimize(mse)
     # Execute:
     init = tf.global_variables_initializer()
     with
     tf.Session() as sess:
         sess.run(init)
         for epoch in range(n_epochs):
             if epoch % 100 == 0:
                 print("Epoch", epoch, "MSE=", mse.eval()) sess.run(training_op)
         best_theta = theta.eval()
```

```
# Fetch batch function:
   def fetch_batch(epoch, batch_index, batch_size):
3
       return X_batch, y_batch
6
   # Set up computational graph:
   import tensorflow as tf
   reset_graph ()
10
   n_{epochs} = 1000
12
   learning_rate = 0.01
13
14
   X = tf.constant(scaled_housing_data_plus_bias, dtype=tf.float32, name="X")
15
   y = tf.constant(housing_data_target, dtype=tf.float32, name="y")
16
   theta = tf.Variable(tf.random_uniform([n + 1, 1], -1.0, 1.0), name="theta")
18
   y_pred = tf .matmul(X, theta , name="predictions")
19
   error = y_pred - y
20
   mse = tf.reduce_mean(tf.square(error), name="mse")
21
   optimizer = tf.train.GradientDescentOptimizer(learning_rate)
22
   training_op = optimizer.minimize(mse)
   # Execute:
26
27
   init = tf.global_variables_initializer()
28
29
   with tf.Session() as sess:
30
       sess.run(init)
31
       for epoch in range(n_epochs):
32
           if epoch % 100 == 0:
33
                print("Epoch", epoch, "MSE=", mse.eval())
34
                sess.run(training_op)
35
       best_theta = theta.eval()
```

Listing 1: Question 4f

**5(a)** 

TODO

**5(b)** 

TODO

**5(c)** 

TODO

**5(d)** 

TODO

**5(e)** 

TODO

**5(f)** 

TODO