UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON DEPARTMENT OF SPACE AND CLIMATE PHYSICS

Candidate Code: HYXC3

Programme Title: MSc Scientific Computing

Module Code: SPCE0038

Module Title: Machine Learning with Big Data

End Assessment

In submitting this coursework, I assert that the work presented is entirely my own except where properly marked and cited.

Date of	11/05/20
Submission:	

1(a)

With reference to the diagram of the basic *logistic unit* on the following page:

The input vector \mathbf{x} is the input to the *logistic unit*.

Each input x_i has an associated weight θ_i . The weights are set to a random value prior to *training*. The *training* process determines these weights.

The product of each input x_i and weight θ_i is summed to produce a weighted sum z.

The output, $h_{\theta}(x)$, is the the non-linear activation function, h, applied to z.

1(b)

Consider the diagram of Question 1(a).

The weighted sum of the inputs, z, is:

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_j x_j = \theta^T x \tag{1}$$

where x_i is the i^{th} element of input vector \mathbf{x} of length n, and θ_i is the associated weight.

And, the output from the *logistic unit*, $h_{\theta}(x)$, is:

$$h_{\theta}(x) = h(z) \tag{2}$$

where h is a non-linear activation function.

Question 1(a) – Basic Logistic Unit



a = h(z)

Activation:

1(c)

See diagram on following page.

1(d)

Consider the diagram of Question 1(c).

Firstly, consider the data transformation from the input vector \mathbf{x} to the hidden layer. We now need two indices, one for the input vector elements, and one for the *hidden layer* nodes. We will use i for the input vector index, and j for the *hidden layer* nodes.

The weighted sum of the inputs at the j^{th} hidden layer node is:

$$z_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{ij} x_i \tag{3}$$

where θ_{ij} is the weight between input element i and $hidden\ layer$ node j, and n is the length of the input vector \mathbf{x} .

Secondly, the output from each hidden layer node is the non-linear activation function, h, applied to each z_i :

$$h_{\theta j}(x) = h(z_j) \tag{4}$$

And finally, the output from the whole network, $h_{\Theta}(x)$ is the sum of the hidden layer outputs:

$$h_{\Theta}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_{\theta j}(x) \tag{5}$$

where m is the number of *hidden layer* nodes.

Question 1(c) – Fully Connected, Feed Forward, Artificial Neural Network



Input Layer Logistic Units

Hidden Layer Logistic Units

Output Node

Weighted Sums: $z_j = \sum_{i=1}^n heta_{ij} x_i$

 θ_{ij} : Weight, e.g. $\theta_{11}\,\theta_{33}$

Activations: $a_j = h(z_j)$

1(e)

The cost function typically used to train neural networks for regression problems is mean square error:

$$MSE(\Theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} (p_j^{(i)} - y_j^{(i)})^2$$
 (6)

The cost function typically used to train neural networks for classification problems is *cross-entropy*:

$$C(\Theta) = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} \sum_{j} y_j^{(i)} \log(p_j^{(i)})$$
 (7)

1(f)

Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) are described as *shallow* or *deep*, and *wide* or *narrow*. *shallow* or *deep* refers to the number of layers in the network, and *wide* or *narrow* refers to the number of nodes in each layer.

The *credit assignment path*, the CAP, of a neural network is a measure of the number of data transformations that occur as data passes through the network. For *feed-forward* networks the CAP is the number of *hidden layers* plus one.

A deep neural network is generally considered to be a network with multiple layers and a CAP > 2.

1(g)

The universal approximation theorem states that, with appropriate parameters, single hidden layer feed-forward neural networks are universal approximators. This means they can represent any continuous function. However, this requires an exponentially larger number of hidden layer nodes. And, training will not necessarily determine the parameters.

Deep networks provide a powerful representational framework because they have the potential to be *universal approximators*, but with a limited width of hidden nodes. This makes the implementation of *universal approximators* more feasible.

2(a)

Gradient Descent algorithms attempt to find the parameters θ which minimise the cost function $C(\theta)$ over the training set using an iterative process:

$$\theta^{i+1} = \theta^i - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} C(\theta) \tag{8}$$

where α is the *learning rate*.

Batch Gradient Descent uses the entire training set at each iteration to calculate the gradient partial derivatives, $\nabla_{\theta}C(\theta)$. This produces accurate values for the partial derivatives, but is potentially slow for large training sets.

Stochastic Gradient Descent uses a random sub-set of the training set at each iteration to calculate the gradient partial derivatives, $\nabla_{\theta}C(\theta)$. This is faster than Batch Gradient Descent, but can produce erratic values for the partial derivatives.

For convex cost functions $Batch\ Gradient\ Descent\ will always\ converge to the local minima which is also the global minima. This is not the case for non-convex cost functions, where local minima are not necessarily global minima. A bad choice of <math>\theta^0$ may result in $Batch\ Gradient\ Descent\ getting\ "stuck" in a local minima. Because the gradient partial derivatives of <math>Stochastic\ Gradient\ Descent\ are\ erratic$, this provides a mechanism of "jumping out of" a local minima and improves the probability of finding the global minima.

2(b)

TODO

2(c)

2(d)

TODO

2(e)

TODO

2(f)

TODO

2(g)

TODO

2(h)

Question 3 3(a) TODO 3(b)

3(c)

TODO

TODO

3(d)

TODO

3(e)

TODO

3(f)

4(a)

TODO

4(b)

TODO

4(c)

TODO

4(d)

TODO

4(e)

TODO

4(f)

question 4f

May 7, 2020

```
[]: # Fetch batch function:
     def fetch_batch(epoch, batch_index, batch_size):
        return X_batch, y_batch
     # Set up computational graph:
     import tensorflow as tf
     reset_graph ()
     n_{epochs} = 1000
     learning_rate = 0.01
     X = tf.constant(scaled_housing_data_plus_bias, dtype=tf.float32, name="X")
     y = tf.constant(housing_data_target, dtype=tf.float32, name="y")
     theta = tf.Variable(tf.random_uniform([n + 1, 1], -1.0, 1.0), name="theta")
     y_pred = tf .matmul(X, theta , name="predictions")
     error = y_pred - y
     mse = tf.reduce_mean(tf.square(error), name="mse")
     optimizer = tf.train.GradientDescentOptimizer(learning_rate)
     training_op = optimizer.minimize(mse)
     # Execute:
     init = tf.global_variables_initializer()
     with
     tf.Session() as sess:
         sess.run(init)
         for epoch in range(n_epochs):
             if epoch % 100 == 0:
                 print("Epoch", epoch, "MSE=", mse.eval()) sess.run(training_op)
         best_theta = theta.eval()
```

```
# Fetch batch function:
   def fetch_batch(epoch, batch_index, batch_size):
3
       return X_batch, y_batch
6
   # Set up computational graph:
   import tensorflow as tf
   reset_graph ()
10
   n_{epochs} = 1000
12
   learning_rate = 0.01
13
14
   X = tf.constant(scaled_housing_data_plus_bias, dtype=tf.float32, name="X")
15
   y = tf.constant(housing_data_target, dtype=tf.float32, name="y")
16
   theta = tf.Variable(tf.random_uniform([n + 1, 1], -1.0, 1.0), name="theta")
18
   y_pred = tf .matmul(X, theta , name="predictions")
19
   error = y_pred - y
20
   mse = tf.reduce_mean(tf.square(error), name="mse")
21
   optimizer = tf.train.GradientDescentOptimizer(learning_rate)
22
   training_op = optimizer.minimize(mse)
   # Execute:
26
27
   init = tf.global_variables_initializer()
28
29
   with tf.Session() as sess:
30
       sess.run(init)
31
       for epoch in range(n_epochs):
32
           if epoch % 100 == 0:
33
                print("Epoch", epoch, "MSE=", mse.eval())
34
                sess.run(training_op)
35
       best_theta = theta.eval()
```

Listing 1: Question 4f

5(a)

TODO

5(b)

TODO

5(c)

TODO

5(d)

TODO

5(e)

TODO

5(f)