

# S721 HW9

John Koo

To save on typing, I will denote  $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} f(x) = \partial_x^k f(x)$ .

## 6.1

Note that  $x^2 = |x|^2$ . Therefore,  $f(x | \sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} e^{-t^2/2\sigma^2}$ . Then we can let  $g(t | \sigma^2) = f(x | \sigma^2)$  and  $h(x) = 1$ .

## 6.2

$$f(\vec{x} | \theta) = \prod_i^n e^{i\theta - x_i} 1_{(i\theta, \infty)}(x_i) = e^{\theta \sum_i i} e^{-\sum_i x_i} \prod_i 1_{(i\theta, \infty)}(x_i)$$

Since one of the  $x_i = \min_i x_i$ ,  $e^{\theta \sum_i i} \prod_i 1_{(i\theta, \infty)}(x_i)$  is a function of  $\min_i x_i/i$ . The remaining part does not depend on  $\theta$ , so we can write it as  $h(\vec{x}) = e^{-\sum_i x_i}$ .

## 6.3

$$\begin{aligned} f(\vec{x} | \mu, \sigma) &= \prod_i^n \sigma^{-1} e^{-(x_i - \mu)/\sigma} 1_{(\mu, \infty)}(x_i) \\ &= \left(\frac{e^{\mu/\sigma}}{\sigma}\right)^n e^{-\sum_i x_i/\sigma} 1_{(\mu, \infty)}(x_{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$

since we only need the smallest value to be greater than the lower bound  $\mu$ .

Then letting  $t_1 = \sum_i x_i$  and  $t_2 = x_{(1)}$ , we get  $g(t_1, t_2 | \mu, \sigma) = \left(\frac{e^{\mu/\sigma}}{\sigma}\right)^n e^{-t_1/\sigma} 1_{(\mu, \infty)}(t_2)$  and  $h(\vec{x}) = 1$ .

Therefore,  $\vec{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_i X_i \\ X_{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$

## 6.5

$$f(\vec{x} | \theta) = \prod_i^n \frac{1}{2i\theta} 1_{(-i(\theta-1), i(\theta+1))}(x_i) = (2\theta)^{-n} \prod_i^n i^{-1} 1_{(-i(\theta-1), i(\theta+1))}(x_i)$$

We can see that  $\min_i x_i/i \geq -(\theta-1)$  and  $\max_i x_i/i \leq \theta+1$ , so this becomes:

$$(2\theta)^{-n} I(\min_i x_i/i \geq -(\theta-1)) I(\max_i x_i/i \leq \theta+1) \prod_i^n i^{-1}$$

Then letting the first three terms be  $g$  and the last term that doesn't depend on  $\theta$  be  $h$ , we can see that

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \min_i x_i/i \\ \max_i x_i/i \end{bmatrix}$$

## 6.6

$$f(\vec{x} | \alpha, \beta) = \prod_i^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha} x_i^{\alpha-1} e^{-x_i/\beta} = (\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha)^{-n} \left(\prod_i^n x_i\right)^{\alpha-1} e^{-\sum_i x_i/\beta}$$

Then letting  $T = \begin{bmatrix} \prod_i x_i \\ \sum_i x_i \end{bmatrix}$ , we get  $(\Gamma(\alpha)\beta^\alpha)^{-n} t_1^{\alpha-1} e^{-t_2/\beta}$ , which we can set to  $g(T)$ , letting  $h(\vec{x}) = 1$ .

## 7.6

### Part a

$$f(x \mid \theta) = \theta^n \prod_i x_i^{-2} 1_{[\theta, \infty)}(x_i)$$

Since we are only bounded to the left, we can pull out the indicator function using  $x_{(1)}$ , so we get:

$(\theta^n 1_{[\theta, \infty)}(x_{(1)}))(\prod_i x_i^{-2})$  and now we can see that the second part doesn't depend on  $\theta$  so we can set it to  $h(\vec{x})$ . For the first part we can set  $T = X_{(1)}$  to get  $g(T \mid \theta)$ .

## 7.10

### Part a

We are given the distribution, so we need to derivate w.r.t.  $x$  to get the density.

$$f(x \mid \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} \text{ for } x \in [0, \beta] \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

$$\text{So the joint density is } f(\vec{x} \mid \alpha, \beta) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta^\alpha}\right)^n \prod_i x_i^{\alpha-1} 1_{[0, \beta]}(x_i)$$

Since our support has two bounds, we need to care about both the max and min, so  $\prod_i 1_{[0, \beta]}(x_i)$  becomes  $1_{[0, \infty)}(x_{(1)}) 1_{(-\infty, \beta]}(x_{(n)})$ . So the joint density is:

$$\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta^\alpha}\right)^n 1_{[0, \infty)}(x_{(1)}) 1_{(-\infty, \beta]}(x_{(n)}) \prod_i x_i^{\alpha-1}$$

The first, third, and fourth terms depend on  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ , so those go into our  $g(T)$ , so  $h(\vec{x}) = 1_{[0, \infty)}(x_{(1)})$ . Then we can just let  $T = \begin{bmatrix} \prod_i X_i \\ X_{(n)} \end{bmatrix}$ .

## 7.19

### Part a

$$f(\vec{y} \mid \vec{x}, \beta, \sigma^2) = \prod_i^n (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} e^{-(y_i - \beta x_i)^2 / 2\sigma^2} = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} e^{-\sum_i y_i^2 / 2\sigma^2 + \beta \sum_i x_i y_i / \sigma^2 - \beta^2 \sum_i x_i^2 / 2\sigma^2}$$

Here we have to set  $h = 1$  since we cannot separate out  $\beta$  or  $\sigma^2$ .

We can see that the terms that depend on  $\vec{y}$  are  $\sum_i y_i^2$  and  $\sum_i x_i y_i$ . Therefore,

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_i Y_i^2 \\ \sum_i x_i Y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

## 11.6

### Part a

$$\text{We have } f_i(\vec{y}_i) = \prod_j^{n_i} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} e^{(y_{ij} - \theta_i)^2 / 2\sigma^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The full joint density is then } f &= \prod_i^k f_i = \prod_i^k \prod_j^{n_i} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} e^{(y_{ij} - \theta_i)^2 / 2\sigma^2} \\ &= \prod_i^k (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n_i/2} e^{-\sum_j (y_{ij} - \theta_i)^2 / 2\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\sum_i n_i/2} e^{-\sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \theta_i)^2 / 2\sigma^2} \\
&= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\sum_i n_i/2} \exp\left(-\sum_i \sum_j y_{ij}^2 + \sum_i \theta_i \sum_j y_{ij} / \sigma^2 - \sum_i \sum_j \theta^2 / 2\sigma^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

So we have  $t_i = \sum_j y_{ij}$  and  $t_{k+1} = \sum_i \sum_j y_{ij}^2$ .

But there exists a 1-1 mapping between  $\sum_j Y_{ij}$  and  $\bar{Y}_i$  as well as between  $\sum_i \sum_j Y_{ij}^2$  and  $S^2$ .

## 11.35

### Part a

We wish to minimize  $\sum_i \epsilon_i^2 = \sum_i (y_i - \theta x_i^2)^2$ , which can be done by derivating w.r.t.  $\theta$  and then setting to 0. So we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_i -2x_i^2(y_i - \theta x_i^2) \\
\Rightarrow 0 &= \sum_i x_i^2 y_i - \theta \sum_i x_i^4 \\
\Rightarrow \hat{\theta} &= \frac{\sum_i x_i^2 Y_i}{\sum_i x_i^4}
\end{aligned}$$

### Part b

$Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta x_i^2, \sigma^2)$ , so

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \prod_i^n (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} e^{-(y_i - \theta x_i^2)^2 / 2\sigma^2}$$

$$\text{Then } \ell(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi\sigma^2 - \sum_i (y_i - \theta x_i^2)^2 / 2\sigma^2$$

The first term doesn't depend on  $\theta$ , and the second term is just the same as part (a) except with a constant, so derivating w.r.t.  $\theta$  and setting to 0 will provide the same solution,  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_i x_i^2 Y_i}{\sum_i x_i^4}$

### Part c

$g(\theta) = \theta$ , so  $g'(\theta) = 1$  and  $(g'(\theta))^2 = 1$ .

$$\partial_\theta \ell = \sum_i (y_i - \theta x_i^2) x_i^2 / \sigma,$$

$$\text{so } \partial_\theta^2 \ell = -\sum_i x_i^4 / \sigma^2.$$

Since this doesn't depend on  $\theta$ , the negative of its expected value is just  $\sum_i x_i^4 / \sigma^2$ .

Then the CRLB is just  $\frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^4}$ .

$$\text{On the other hand, } \text{Var}\left(\frac{\sum_i x_i^2 Y_i}{\sum_i x_i^4}\right) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_i \frac{x_i^2 Y_i}{\sum_j x_j^4}\right)$$

$$= \sum_i \frac{1}{(\sum_j x_j^4)^2} x_i^4 \text{Var}(Y_i)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_i x_i^4}{(\sum_j x_j^4)^2} n\sigma^2$$

$$\frac{n\sigma^2}{n \sum_i x_i^4} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^4} \text{ which is already the CRLB.}$$

Which is just the CRLB.

## 11.37

### Part a

Similar to 11.35b,  $\ell = -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi\sigma^2 - \sum_i (y_i - \beta x_i)^2 / 2\sigma^2$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{So } 0 &= \sum_i x_i (y_i - \beta x_i) / \sigma^2 \\
\implies 0 &= \sum_i x_i y_i - \beta \sum_i x_i^2 \\
\implies \hat{\beta} &= \frac{\sum_i x_i y_i}{\sum_i x_i^2}
\end{aligned}$$

### Part b

From part a,  $\ell'(\beta) = \sum_i x_i (y_i - \beta x_i) / \sigma^2 = \sum_i x_i y_i / \sigma^2 - \beta \sum_i x_i^2 / \sigma^2$

Then  $\ell''(\beta) = -\sum_i x_i^2 / \sigma^2$ , which doesn't depend on  $\beta$ , so the negative of its expected value is just  $\sum_i x_i^2 / \sigma^2$ .

Therefore, the CRLB is  $\frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2}$

### Part c

Similar to 11.35c,  $\text{Var}(\frac{\sum_i x_i Y_i}{\sum_i x_i^2}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_i x_i^2}$ , which is precisely the CRLB. So the MLE is the best estimator.

## 11.38

### Part c

In HW6, we did parts a and b, so we know that the MLE is  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_i Y_i}{\sum_i x_i}$ .

We also computed its variance and obtained  $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\theta}{\sum_i x_i}$ .

In addition, we computed the log likelihood and its first derivative:  $\ell'(\theta) = -\sum_i x_i + \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_i y_i$

Derivating again w.r.t.  $\theta$  gives us  $-\frac{\sum_i y_i}{\theta^2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Then } -E[-\frac{\sum_i Y_i}{\theta^2}] &= \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_i E[Y_i] \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_i \theta x_i \\
&= \frac{\sum_i x_i}{\theta}
\end{aligned}$$

which is just the CRLB.