S722 HW9

John Koo

To save on typing, I will denote $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k}f(x) = \partial_x^k f(x)$.

5.43

Taylor expansion of $g(Y_n)$ around θ :

$$g(Y_n) \approx g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(Y_n - \theta)$$

$$\implies \sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) = g'(\theta)\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \theta)$$

Let
$$Z_n = \sqrt{n}(Y_n - \theta)$$
. Then $Z_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

Let Z be the limit of Z_n . Then $g'(\theta)Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(g'(\theta))^2)$, so $g'(\theta)Z_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(g'(\theta))^2)$.

Then
$$\sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) = g'(\theta)\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \theta) = Z_n \stackrel{d}{\to} g'(\theta)Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2(g'(\theta))^2).$$

 \mathbf{a}

$$P(|Y_n - \mu| < \epsilon) = P(\sqrt{n}|Y_n - \mu| < \sqrt{n\epsilon}) \to P(|Z| < \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

b

(Done before part a)

Theorem 5.5.24

(Covered in problem 5.43)

5.44

 \mathbf{a}

Since $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Bernoulli(p)$, $E[X_i] = p$ and $Var(X_i) = p(1-p)$. Then this follows from the central limit theorem.

b

Let
$$g(y) = y(1-y)$$
. Then $g'(y) = 1-2y$. Then $\sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(p)) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_X^2(g'(p))^2) = \mathcal{N}(0, p(1-p)(1-2p)^2)$

 \mathbf{c}

Again, letting
$$g(y) = y(1-y)$$
, we get $g''(y) = -2$. Then $n(g(Y_n) - g(1/2)) \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{4} \frac{-2}{2} \chi_1^2 = -\frac{1}{4} \chi_1^2$.

8.31

 \mathbf{a}

 $T(X) = \sum X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for λ , and $T \sim Poisson(n\lambda)$. $\frac{f(t||lambda_1)}{f(t|\lambda_2)} = e^{-n(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1/\lambda_2)^t \text{ is monotonic in } t, \text{ so a test of the form } T > k \iff \phi(T) = 1 \text{ is UMP}.$

a

 $T_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(n\lambda, n\lambda)$ by CLT. Under H_0 , this is $T_n \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(n, n)$. Then $\frac{T_n - n}{\sqrt{n}} \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Similarly, when $\lambda = 2$, we get $\frac{T_n - 2n}{\sqrt{2n}} \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

So our system of equations becomes:

- $\frac{T_n n}{\sqrt{n}} = z_{.05}$ $\frac{T_n 2n}{\sqrt{2n}} = -z_{.9}$

Because I don't want to do this out, I'll just use R:

```
z.05 <- qnorm(.95)
z.9 \leftarrow qnorm(.1)
f <- function(x) {</pre>
  c((x[1] - x[2]) / sqrt(x[2]) - z.05,
    (x[1] - 2 * x[2]) / sqrt(2 * x[2]) - z.9)
pracma::fsolve(f, c(1, 1))
```

[1] 17.63917 11.95252

\$fval

[1] 6.811696e-10 6.311125e-10

n = 12

10.1

$$E[X] = \int_{-1}^{1} x \frac{1}{2} (1 + \theta x) dx = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2} x^2 dx \text{ (the first part is an odd function so we can neglect it ...)}$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\theta}{2} x^2 = \frac{\theta}{3}$$

$$\begin{split} E[X^2] &= \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{\theta}{2} x^3 dx \\ \text{(the second part is odd this time } \dots \text{)} \\ &= \int_{-1}^{1} x^2 / 2 dx = 1/3 \end{split}$$

Then $Var(X) = \frac{3-\theta^2}{9}$.

We can see that $3\bar{X}_n$ is an unbiased estimator for θ . Furthermore, $Var(3\bar{X}_n) = \frac{9}{n^2}n(\frac{3-\theta^2}{9}) = \frac{3-\theta^2}{n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. So $3\bar{X}_n$ is a consistent estimator of θ .

10.3

 \mathbf{a}

$$\ell(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2}\log 2\pi\theta - \frac{1}{2\theta}\sum_{i}(x_i - \theta)^2 = -\frac{n}{2}\log \theta - \frac{\sum_{i}x_i^2}{2\theta} - \frac{n\theta}{2} + C$$

Then taking the derivative and setting it to 0, we get

$$0 = -\frac{n}{2\theta} + \frac{\sum_{2\theta^2} x_i^2}{2\theta^2} - \frac{n}{2}$$

$$\implies 0 = n\theta - \sum_{i=1} x_i^2 + n\theta^2$$

$$\implies 0 = \theta + \theta^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1} x_i^2$$

Solving this, we get $\theta = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{1+4W}}{2}$, and since $\theta > 0$, $\hat{\theta} = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1+4W}}{2}$.

b

$$\begin{split} \ell''(\theta) &= \frac{n}{2\theta^2} - \frac{\sum_{\theta^3} x_i^2}{\theta^3} \\ \text{So } I(\theta) &= -E[\log f(X|\theta)] \\ &= -\frac{n}{2\theta^2} + \frac{1}{\theta^3} \sum_{\theta} E[X_i^2] \\ &= -\frac{n}{2\theta^2} + \frac{n(\theta+\theta^2)}{\theta^3} \\ &= -\frac{n}{2\theta^2} + \frac{n+n\theta}{\theta^2} = \frac{2n\theta+n}{2\theta^2} \end{split}$$

So the asymptotic variance is $\frac{2\theta^2}{2n\theta+n}$.

10.4

 \mathbf{a}

$$\sum X_i Y_i = \sum X_i (\beta X_i + \epsilon_i) = \beta \sum X_i^2 + \sum X_i \epsilon_i$$

Then the expression becomes $\beta + \frac{\sum X_i \epsilon_i}{\sum X_i^2}$.

The expected value is β since in the second part, we can separate $E[X_i \epsilon_i] = E[X_i] E[\epsilon_i] = 0$.

From a table of normal moments, $Var(X_i^2) = 2\tau^2(2\mu^2 + \tau^2)$.

$$Var(X_i\epsilon_i) = E[X_i^2]E[\epsilon_i^2] = (\mu^2 + \tau^2)\sigma^2$$

So the variance is $\frac{n\sigma^2(\mu^2+\tau^2)}{n^2(\mu^2+\tau^2)^2}=\frac{\sigma^2}{n(\mu^2+\tau^2)}$ since $E[X_i\epsilon_i]=0$.

b

$$\sum_{X_i}^{Y_i} = \frac{\sum_{\beta X_i + \sum \epsilon_i}}{\sum_{X_i}} = \beta + \sum_{X_i}^{\epsilon_i}$$

As before, since $E[\epsilon_i] = 0$, the expectation is β .

And as before, we only have to consider the first part of the formula, so the variance is $\frac{n\sigma^2}{n^2\mu^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\mu^2}$.

 \mathbf{c}

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{n}\sum Y_i/X_i = \frac{1}{n}\sum \frac{\beta X_i + \epsilon_i}{X_i} \\ = \beta + \sum \frac{1}{n}\sum \frac{\epsilon_i}{X_i} \end{array}$$

Again, since $E[\epsilon_i] = 0$, the expectation is just β .

The variance is $\frac{1}{n^2} \sum \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\mu^2}$

10.8

 \mathbf{a}

$$\ell'(\theta) = (\sum \log f(x_i|\theta))' = \sum \frac{\partial_{\theta} f(x_i|\theta)}{f(x_i|\theta)}$$

And then just multiply the left and right sides by $1/\sqrt{n}$.

As
$$n \to \infty$$
, $\hat{\theta} \to \theta_0$, so $\ell'(\hat{\theta}) \to \ell(\theta_0)$ and $\ell'(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ for all n .

By definition, the expected value of the square of this quantity is the Fisher information, which is also the variance since the expected value is 0.

Then by the central limit theorem, $\frac{1}{n} \sum W_i \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, I(\theta_0))$

b

$$\partial_{\theta} \left(\frac{\partial_{\theta} f(x_i | \theta)}{f(x_i | \theta)} \right) = \frac{(\partial_{\theta}^2 f(x_i | \theta)) f(x_i | \theta) - (\partial_{\theta} f(x_i | \theta))^2}{(f(x_i | \theta))^2}$$
$$= \frac{\partial_{\theta}^2 f(x_i | \theta)}{f(x_i | \theta)} - \left(\frac{\partial_{\theta} f(x_i | \theta)}{f(x_i | \theta)} \right)^2$$

And the second term is W_i^2 .

So
$$\ell''(\theta_0|X) = -\sum W_i^2 + \sum \frac{\partial_{\theta}^2 f(X_i|\theta)}{f(X_i|\theta)}$$

Similar to part (a), the mean of W_i^2 is the Fisher information for a single observation. Since the sample is iid, its average is the Fisher information for the sample.

For the second part, if we assume regularity,

$$E\left[\frac{\partial_{\theta}^{2}f(x_{i}|\theta)}{f(x_{i}|\theta)}\right] = \int \frac{\partial_{\theta}^{2}f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta)}f(x|\theta)dx = \partial_{\theta}^{2}\int f(x|\theta)dx = \partial_{\theta}^{2}(1) = 0$$

Since $\frac{1}{n}\sum W_i$ is a sample mean, it converges in probability to its expected value, $I(\theta_0)$.

Theorem 10.1.12

Statement of the theorem:

• given
$$-X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f(x|\theta)$$

$$-\hat{\theta} \text{ the MLE of } \theta$$

$$-\tau(\theta)$$

• then
$$- \sqrt{n}(\tau(\hat{\theta}) - \tau(\theta)) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, v(\theta))$$
where $v(\theta)$ is the CRLB

Proof:

First, show for $\tau(\theta) = \theta$.

By Taylor expansion, we can write $\ell'(\theta|x) \approx \ell'(\theta_0|x) + (\theta - \theta_0)\ell''(\theta_0|x)$, and take θ_0 as the true value.

Then rearranging some terms, we have $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}-\theta_0)=\frac{-\ell'(\theta_0)/\sqrt{n}}{\ell''(\theta_0)/n}$

From problem 10.8, we saw that the numerator converges in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, I(\theta_0))$ and the denominator converges in probability to $I(\theta_0)$. Then using Slutsky's theorem, the entire fraction must converge in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1/I(\theta_0))$.

Then applying theorem 5.5.24, this extends to any continuous transformation of $\hat{\theta}$.

10.9

\mathbf{a}

Let T=1 if $X_1=0$ and 0 otherwise. Then T is an unbiased estimator of $e^{-\lambda}$ and $E[T|\sum X_i]$ is UMVUE for λ . We can use the fact that T is Bernoulli.

$$E[T|\sum_{X_i} X_i] = P(X_1 = 0|\sum_{X_i = y} X_i = y)$$

$$= \frac{P(X_1 = 0, \sum_{X_i = y} X_i = y)}{P(\sum_{X_i = y} X_i = y)}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda}((n-1)\lambda)^y e^{-(n-1)\lambda}/y!}{(n\lambda)^y e^{-n\lambda}/y!}$$

$$= (1 - 1/n)^{\sum_{X_i} X_i}$$

b

Similar to above, let T=1 if $X_1=1$ and 0 otherwise. Then again, T is Bernoulli and unbiased, so we have $E[T|\sum X_i]=P(X_1=1|\sum X_i=y)$ $=\bar{X}_n(1-1/n)^{\sum X_i-1}$

 \mathbf{c}

First, note that $Var(\hat{\lambda}) = \lambda/n$

part a

We can see that the UMVUE is a function of the MLE. $g(x) = (1 - 1/n)^{nx}$. Then $g'(x) = n(1 - 1/n)^{nx} \log(1 - 1/n)$.

By the delta method, as $n \to \infty$, the variance of $g(\hat{\lambda})$ goes to $\frac{\lambda}{n}n^2(1-1/n)^{2n\lambda}(\log(1-1/n))^2(1/n) = \lambda(1-1/n)^{2n\lambda}(\log(1-1/n))^2$.

On the other hand, the MLE for $e^{-\lambda}$ is just $e^{-\hat{\lambda}}$, and so its asymptotic variance by the delta method is $(\lambda/n)e^{-2\lambda}(1/n)$.

Then the ratio is $\frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{n^2(1-1/n)^{2n\lambda}(\log(1-1/n))^2} = \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{(1-1/n)^{2n\lambda}(\log(1-1/n)^n)^2}$

As $n \to \infty$, $(\log(1-1/n)^n)^2 \to (-1)^2 = 1$, so we can drop this term. In addition, $(1-1/n)^{2n\lambda} \to e^{-2\lambda}$, so this cancels out with the numerator, and the entire thing goes to 1. Asymptotically, the two estimators are equivalent.

part b

This time, $g(\lambda) = \lambda (1 - 1/n)^{n\lambda - 1}$, so $g'(\lambda) = (1 - 1/n)^{n\lambda - 1} (1 + \lambda \log(1 - 1/n)^n) = \frac{n}{n-1} (1 - 1/n)^{n\lambda} (1 + \lambda \log(1 - 1/n)^n)$. For large n:

- the first term goes to 1
- the second term goes to $e^{-\lambda}$
- the third term goes to 1λ

So we are left with $\approx e^{-\lambda}(1-\lambda)$

The MLE of $\lambda e^{-\lambda}$ is also a function of the MLE of λ , and its derivative is $(\lambda - 1)e^{-\lambda}$.

Then we can see that taking the ratio of these two yields -1, and squaring it yields 1. Again, asymptotically, the two estimators are equivalent.

\mathbf{d}

	UMVUE	MLE
$\overline{P(X=0)}$ $P(X=1)$	$7.65 \times 10^{-4} \\ 0.005685$	$9.75 \times 10^{-4} \\ 0.006758$

Weak law of large numbers

Central limit theorem

5.35

5.41