

julia> Lecture Three: Numeric Computation

Course: Computational Bootcamp

Name: John Higgins

Date: June 24, 2024

```
julia> Today's goals
```

1. Survey different numerical optimization techniques
2. Understand how to apply them in Julia

julia> Optimization

- > Suppose you want to find the minimum or maximum of a function, possibly subject to some constraints
- > Your objective might be the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g(x) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- > Note: maximizing a function f is equivalent to minimizing the function $-f$, meaning that minimization techniques can be applied to maximization problems too

julia> When do we need to do this?

> Agent's choice problem:

> Consumer maximizes utility subject to some constraints

> Estimation:

> Ordinary Least Squares (OLS)

> Simulated Method of Moments (SMM): choose parameters to minimize the distance between observed moments and simulated moments

> Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE): choose parameters which maximize likelihood of the observed data

julia> How do we do this??

- > Pencil and paper: Take derivatives, use Lagrangian, ...
 - > This is what you did in first year
 - > Not always possible
- > Grid search: Guess and check
 - > Evaluate the function at many different parameter values and find the point with the lowest value
 - > Accurate solution requires a very fine grid
 - > This can be very slow, especially in many dimensions (10 parameters, 10 possible values \Rightarrow 10 *Billion combinations to check*)
- > Optimization algorithms (“Smart” guess and check):
 - > Use limited information about function (such as derivatives) and previous guesses to decide next guess
 - > Lots of choices here
 - > Sometimes does not work; no perfect algorithm

julia> Boxed constrained univariate optimization method

- > We know solutions exists in $[a, b]$:
 - > e.g. Search intensity normalized between 0 and 1
 - > e.g. Hours of work must be between 0 and 80
 - > e.g. Savings is between 0 and net worth
- > Common algorithm: Brent's method
 - > Does not require derivatives
 - > Uses bisections, secants, and inverse quadratic interpolation...

julia> Multivariate Optimization

- > Optimization in multiple dimension can be challenging
 - > Curse of dimensionality
- > If function is twice differentiable and has analytic gradient/Hessian, you can use Newton's method
 - > Knowing the derivative gives you information about the function's local behavior, meaning the algorithm can find parameter values quicker
- > In Economics, you will rarely be dealing with such a nice function in your optimization

julia> Quasi-Newtonian Methods

- > Numerically approximates gradient and Hessian and applies similar updating rule to Newton's method

- > Finite differences: for a small enough δ ,

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x + \delta) - f(x - \delta)}{2\delta}$$

- > Automatic differentiation: Computer attempts to apply chain rule to components of the function (not super applicable in structural estimation, to my knowledge)

- > Most common algorithm of this type is BFGS or (L-BFGS)

- > Problems:

- > Approximation of derivative might not be well-behaved, and choice of δ is non-trivial
 - > Can be computationally expensive, especially if function takes a long time and there are many parameters

julia> Derivative-free Methods

- > Most common method: Nelder-Mead ([link](#))
 - > Easy to implement, but unfortunately does not always work well
- > Intuition: tries to use the intuition of “rolling down a hill” by updating the set of candidate solutions until convergence
- > Constructs a simplex with the three best candidates so far, searches for new candidates, replaces the worst candidate in the simplex
- > Simplex eventually shrinks (hopefully); algorithm stops when difference between function values is sufficiently small
- > Drawbacks:
 - > Doesn't directly use local information about function behavior
 - > Can be easily confused by local minima
 - > Can be easily stuck in flat areas or go around in circles
 - > Convergence is slow

julia> Randomization

- > Randomization can improve the performance of our algorithms, especially when we have:
 - > Many local minima
 - > Non-smooth objective functions
- > Basin hopping:
 - > Guess initial point and run algorithm (such as NM)
 - > From candidate solution, randomly ‘hop’ to new initial point and run algorithm again
- > Laplace-type estimator:
 - > Randomly jump around parameter space
 - > Accept (with some randomization) better guesses
 - > Size of jump updates based on fraction of accepted guesses

julia> Tolerance

- > Many (but not all) optimization algorithms end after reaching some preset tolerance level
- > Lower tolerance improves quality of answer but increase time algorithm will run
- > Important to keep nested optimization in mind
- > Common procedure in economics:
 - > Inner loop solves model given a parameter guess: e.g. make choices to maximize utility
 - > Outer loop chooses parameters to minimize difference between model and data (maximum likelihood/SMM/Nested Fixed Point/BLP Contraction)
- > Loose tolerance in inner loop may lead to bad parameter guesses, failed convergence
- > However, tight tolerance in inner/outer loop can slow down convergence (when is it good enough?)

julia> Advice

- > Try to reduce dimensions, especially when getting started
- > You can plot in 2D and 3D, but 4D becomes quite challenging
- > Understand the shape of the function you are trying to optimize:
 - > Is it smooth? Does it have kink points?
- > There is no perfect algorithm
- > Transformation of variables and scale may be helpful. `log()`, `exp()`, ...
 - > Quick reference on transformations can be found here:
<https://jblevins.org/notes/bijections>