## Problem Set 4: Estimation of dynamic discrete choice models

Due date: December 9th, 2021

Consider the following dynamic model of inventory control. The per-period payoff function is given by:

$$U(a|i, c, p, \epsilon) = \begin{cases} \alpha c - p + \epsilon_1 & \text{If } a = 1\\ \alpha c + \epsilon_0 & \text{If } a = 0 \text{ and } i > 0\\ \lambda 1(c > 0) + \epsilon_0 & \text{If } a = 0 \text{ and } i = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $c = \{0, 1\}$  is consumption shock, i is the inventory level, and  $\epsilon_j \sim T1EV(0, 1)$ . The parameter  $\lambda$  measures the *stockout* penalty: consumption shock is positive but inventory is zero.

The value function is given by:

$$V(i, c, p, \epsilon_t) = \max_{a \in \{0,1\}} U(a|i, c, p, \epsilon) + \beta \sum_{c', p'} E_{\epsilon'}[V(i', c', p', \epsilon')] \Pr(c', p'|c, p, a)$$
s.t. 
$$i' = \min\{\overline{i}, i + a - c\}$$

$$c' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{With probability } 1/2 \\ 1 & \text{With probability } 1/2 \end{cases}$$

$$p' = \begin{cases} p_s & \text{With probability } \pi(p) \\ p_r & \text{With probability } 1 - \pi(p) \end{cases}$$

$$(1)$$

The consumption and price state variables follow discrete Markov processes. The process for price is given by the following matrix:

$$\Pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \pi(p_r) & \pi(p_r) \\ 1 - \pi(p_s) & \pi(p_s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 & 0.1 \\ 0.9 & 0.1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (2)

where the first column corresponds to transitions to the regular price  $p_r$ , and the second column corresponds to transitions to the sales price  $p_s$ . The parameters are given by:

$$\lambda$$
  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $p_r$   $p_s$   $\bar{i}$   $-4$  2 0.99 4 1 8

The state-space includes S=36 discrete points. Let  $s_k$  denotes the state vector for point k. The following files contain the state-space and transition matrix:

- $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_{36}\}$ : PS4\_state\_space.csv
- F(s'|s, a=0): PS4\_transition\_a0.csv

- F(s'|s, a = 1): PS4\_transition\_a1.csv
- Simulated data: PS4\_simdata.csv
- 1. Write down the implicit equation that defines the expected value function,  $\bar{V}(s) = E_{\epsilon}[V(s, \epsilon)]$ . Numerically solve for the expected value function, and tabulates its value against the discrete state variable s.
- 2. Use the simulated sequence of choices and states to calculate an estimate of the conditional-choice probability (CCP) vector  $\hat{P}(s)$ . Use a frequency estimator, and constraint the estimated frequency to be between 0.001 and 0.999. At the true parameters, calculate the implied expected value function  $\bar{V}^{\hat{P}}(s)$  using the CCP mapping. Compare and tabulate this estimate with the true value function calculated in question 1. Discuss the difference(s).
- 3. Write the equation for the log-likelihood function.
- 4. Calculate the maximum-likelihood estimate of the parameter  $\lambda$ . Use the Nested-Fixed Point algorithm.

## Sample Code

```
/* Global variables */
decl alpha=2;
decl lambda=-3;
decl beta=0.99;
decl ibar=4;
decl ps=1/2;
decl pr=2;
decl c=1/4;
decl gamma=1/2;
decl mF1,mF0,mS;
/* Simulated choices and states */
decl vPhat; /* Estimated choice-probabilities Sx1 */
decl vY; /* Observed choices Nx1 */
decl vSid; /* Observed state IDs Nx1 */
/* State space indices */
enum{iI,iC,iP}; /* Columns identifiers for each state variable */
/* Parameter names */
enum{ialpha,ilambda}; /* Row identifiers for parameter */
/****************/
/* Choice-specific value-function */
value(amV,const vEV)
  decl vU1=alpha*mS[][iC]-mS[][iP];
  decl vU0=alpha*mS[][iC].*(mS[][iI].>0)+lambda*(mS[][iC].>0).*(mS[][iI].==0);
  decl mV=(vU0+beta*mF0*vEV)~(vU1+beta*mF1*vEV);
  amV[0]=mV;
 return 1;
}
/* Expected value function */
emax(aEV,const vEV0)
 decl mV;
 value(&mV,vEVO);
  aEV[0]=log(sumr(exp(mV)))+M_EULER;
 return 1;
/* CCP mapping */
ccp(aEV,aP,const vP)
  decl vU1=alpha*mS[][iC]-mS[][iP];
  decl vU0=alpha*mS[][iC].*(mS[][iI].>0)+lambda*(mS[][iC].>0).*(mS[][iI].==0);
  decl vE1=M_EULER-log(vP);
```

```
decl vE0=M_EULER-log(1-vP);
  decl mF=mF0.*(1-vP)+mF1.*vP;
  decl vEU=(1-vP).*(vUO+vEO)+vP.*(vU1+vE1);
 decl vEVp=invert(unit(rows(vP))-beta*mF)*vEU;
 decl mV;
 value(&mV,vEVp);
  aP[0]=exp(mV[][1])./sumr(exp(mV));
  aEV[0]=vEVp;
 return 1;
}
/* Likelihood function */
lfunc_ccp(const vP, const adFunc, const avScore, const amHessian)
  alpha=vP[ialpha];
 lambda=vP[ilambda];
 decl vCCP,vEV;
  ccp(&vEV,&vCCP,vPhat);
 vCCP=vCCP[vSid];
 decl vL=vCCP.*vY+(1-vCCP).*(1-vY);
 decl LLF;
 LLF=double(sumc(log(vL))/1000);
 adFunc[0]=LLF;
 return 1;
lfunc_nfxp(const vP, const adFunc, const avScore, const amHessian)
  alpha=vP[ialpha];
  lambda=vP[ilambda];
  decl vCCP, vCCP0;
 decl it=0;
 decl eps=10^{(-10)};
 vCCP=vPhat;
 do{
   vCCP0=vCCP;
   ccp(&vEV,&vCCP,vCCPO);
    it+=1;
 }while(norm(vCCPO-vCCP)>eps);
 vCCP=vCCP[vSid];
 decl vL=vCCP.*vY+(1-vCCP).*(1-vY);
 decl LLF;
 LLF=double(sumc(log(vL))/1000);
 adFunc[0]=LLF;
 return 1;
}
/*****************/
```