

ESnippets, a tool set for generating error snippets in the Merr format from YACC/Bison .y files

John Goettsche

May 15, 2014

Abstract

To reduce the burden of the author of compilers to generate meaningful error messages, a set of tools for generating Merr error snippets from a YACC/Bison (.y) file was created. It proved to be effective in generating a set of unique error snippets that were tested against an existing set of error snippets in the Unicon language.

1 Introduction

One of the tedious tasks of an author of a programming language is the production of meaningful error messages for the developers who use the language. Anyone who has spent time developing software is all too aware that they are capable of making their fair share syntactic errors. When a syntax error exists in some code, it is helpful to have a description of the error so that it can be easily identified and corrected. This is especially true for the novice or intermediate programmer.

The Merr tool is a means by which the author of a compiler can provide meaningful messages for syntax errors and diagnostic messages. With Merr the messages are stored in a message table, rather than associating the error messages to state numbers and coding them by editing the `parserr.h` file. Merr reduces the difficulty to produce error messages for the author, by associating each error message with a string of tokens that cause the error. [2] These error messages and token strings are stored in a formatted file that can be read by Merr.

The purpose of this directed study was to produce a software tool that reads in YACC/Bison (.y) files, constructs a data structure representing the context free grammar, and use it to generate a set of example syntax error fragments in Merr format that exercise the entire grammar.

2 Requirements

The project must be able to do the following tasks:

1. Read a YACC/Bison (.y) file.
2. Analyze its contents of a YACC/Bison (.y) file.
3. Identify the terminal and non-terminal tokens in a YACC/Bison (.y) file.
4. Identify the real time language (RTL) grammar and the production rules in a YACC/Bison (.y) file.
5. Create states and transitions which define a deterministic push down automata from the grammar.
6. Determine the path or chain of states required to reach each state.
7. Determine the acceptable and unacceptable inputs for each state.
8. Determine a chain of inputs from the chain of states that can result in reaching each state.
9. Determine an input to be added to each state that can result in an error snippet.
10. Provide a report listing the error snippets for all the states.
11. Provide a file that can be edited containing all the error snippets in Merr format.
12. Do an analysis of the generated error snippets and comparing them to the error snippets that have already been identified.
13. Generate a report on the overlap of the generated and existing error snippets.
14. Generate an editable file containing all unique error snippets to date for the grammar.

3 Project Development

This project was developed using the Unicon language. One of the advantages of goal directed languages, like Unicon, is the simplicity of code in performing complex tasks. It is also an Object Oriented programming language which is advantageous for creating a data structure representing a push down automata.

In developing the tool, the project was divided into three sub sub-projects

1. **Reading:** Reading the YACC/Bison (.y) file, scanning it for tokens, identifying terminals and non terminal tokens and extracting the raw grammar than will be used to analyze the grammar.

2. **Analyzing and Generating Error Snippets:** Use the raw grammar to construct SLR items or states and an SLR parsing table. Identify the inputs that generate an error in the grammar for each of the states, which will be used to generate a snippet for the error fragment.
3. **Testing:** Each of the error snippets was tested to see if the current error messaging system has identified the error, or that the error is being caught on the erroneous token. The acceptable new errors are saved to a file in the Merr format and a report of the results is generated.

3.1 Reading Source Files

The reading of the YACC/Bison file and retrieving the grammar of the YACC/Bison (.y) file was designed to be performed by a tool called 'yparser'. This tool would read the file and extract those parts that define the grammar and its terminal and non terminal tokens. To accomplish this a Data Access Object or Package was developed containing procedures for reading and writing data files. The Unicon language makes the retrieval of information from files very simple. The following code was used to access the data from a YACC/Bison (.y) file:

```
procedure getLinesFromFile(fileName)
local infile
inFile := open(fileName, "r") | stop("DAO ERROR: failure to read " || fileName)
every line := !inFile do suspend line
close(inFile)
end
```

After reading each line yparser broke each line down into chunks of characters or words. Consider the structure of YACC/Bison (.y) files, they are divided into three sections and divided by '%%' having the following structure:

```
{definitions}
%%
{rules}
%%
{auxiliary routines}
```

[4] [3] The definitions section is primarily used to define variables and tokens. This section will not be used in analyzing the grammar. All the words from this section are removed from the list. The rules section is

where the grammar is located. The only portion that will be retained are the words that define the grammar, while the C code that defines how each production rule is to implemented, is removed. All the words found in the auxiliary routines section are removed if there are any.

This leaves a list of words that made up the raw grammar which was saved in a .raw file. From the raw grammar yparser identifies all the non terminal tokens. This is done by identifying each production rule in the grammar and placing the word on the left side of every production rule into a non terminals list that has not already been added. To identify the terminals, it reads every token, ignoring '||' and ';', and adding to the terminals list each word that is not in the non terminals list and not already included. These lists are saved with the terminals being placed into a .t file and the non terminals being placed into .nt file.

It will be necessary during the analysis of this data for the system to identify lexical patterns that will be used when writing a program. The user may type in the lexeme '(' for example which is represented in the grammar with the token LPAREN; to generate accurate error snippets, the program has to be able to identify the lexeme of each terminal token. Therefore yparser generates a .tk file much like this one:

```
PLUS #PLUS
TIMES #TIMES
LPAREN #LPAREN
RPAREN #RPAREN
ID #ID
```

The user is then able to edit this file replacing each of the #tokens with the appropriate lexeme.

3.2 Analyzing and Generating Error Snippets

The analysis of the raw grammar and its tokens is performed by the tool called 'ESnipSLR'. This tool reads each of the files created in yparser and stores that data into the following classes:

1. A terminal class containing its token and its lexeme.
2. A non terminal class containing its token and related production rules.
3. A list of production rules with lists of terminal and non terminals making up the production.

From this data ESnipSLR is able to generate an SLR parser for the RTL grammar as per figures 4.33 and 4.34 in section 4.7 of Compilers: principles, techniques, and tools by Alfred V. Aho, Ravi Sethi, and

Jeffrey D. Ullman, or popularly known as the Dragon Book. An SLR parsing table was constructed by using Algorithm 4.8 from the same section of the same book. [1]

The closure function in figures 4.33 is used to generate each transition item for a given state.

```

function closure( $I$ );
begin
     $J := I$ ;
    repeat
        for each item  $A \rightarrow \alpha \cdot B \beta$  in  $J$  and each production
             $B \rightarrow \gamma$  of  $G$  such that  $B \rightarrow \cdot \gamma$  is not in  $J$  do
                add  $B \rightarrow \cdot \gamma$  to  $J$ 
    until no more items can be added to  $J$ ;
    return  $J$ 
end

```

The items procedure in figure 4.34 is used to generate each state and the actions associated with it. [1]

```

procedure items( $G'$ );
begin
     $C := \text{closure}([S' \rightarrow \cdot S])$ ;
    repeat
        for each set of items  $I$  in  $C$  and each grammar symbol  $X$ 
            such that  $\text{goto}(I, X)$  is not empty and not in  $C$  do
                add  $\text{goto}(I, X)$  to  $C$ 
    until no more sets of items can be added to  $C$ ;
end

```

Each state has a set of items. The kernel item identifying the inputs required to get to that state and some nonkernel items identifying each of the next possible inputs to transition to the next state. The kernel item does not identify the previous states, but by recording a chain of states in each state the shortest path back to the initial state can be identified. This will be helpful later in generating the error snippets.

From these states an SLR parsing table was generated using Algorithm 4.8 of '*Compilers*' or the Dragon Book. This algorithm identifies whether to use a shift, reduce, or accept goto transition according to the

input for that state. Inputs that do not result in a goto transition are considered to be an error.

Having identified the chain of states that brings it to the current state and the possible error inputs, an error token string can be identified by placing any error token to the end of the chain of states. Replacing the tokens in the error token string with their appropriate lexeme should result in a snippet that generates an error.

3.3 Testing

In order to test how effective ESnipSLR was at producing unique error snippets, errSubset was created. It takes arguments for the compiler being used, a string of characters to identify where an existing snippet is returned, the name of the existing Merr error snippet file, and the error snippet file to be tested.

Each error snippet in the test file is placed into a test file and compiled. It reads the error message and determines whether it is included in the existing file and whether it is breaking at the appropriate input value. If the message proves to be unique it is added to a list of unique error snippets; if it has already been identified it is recorded as existing; if it breaks in an unexpected location, then it is identified as a malformed snippet.

When the analysis is done, a new file is generated containing all the existing error snippets along with the unique error snippets. The unique error snippets are given the message 'syntax error'. The user will have to edit them to have the desired message for each particular error.

4 Execution and Results

These tools were applied to the Unicon grammar with the following results:

- yparser was able to identify 133 tokens, two being: EOF and error, all of which were included in the token and the terminal files. It identified 71 non terminals that were included in the non terminal file. I was also able to identify a raw grammar that was saved in the raw grammar file.
- ESnipSLR was able to generate a list of production rules and produce a closure for the grammar with 522 states and their associated actions. It was able to identify a direct chain of states from the starting state to the current state for every state, along with the appropriate input tokens taking it to each subsequent state in the chain. It was able to identify every acceptable and every unacceptable input for each state. Finally it was able to produce 331 unique error snippets. Not all states produce an error message. [2]
- errSubset examined the new and existing error snippets and compared them. It generated the following

report for their coverage:

Error Snippets:	165
Existing Error Snippets:	70
included in New Error Snippets:	0 or 0%
Existing Error Snippets included in New Error Snippets:	37 or 52%
New Error Snippets:	331
Matching Snippets (Not Added):	0 or 0%
Snippets Accounted for (Not Added):	144 or 43%
Erroneous Snippets (Not Added):	92 or 27%
New unique Error Snippets Added:	95 or 28%

unigram-ES.err created.

This report says that a unigram-ES.err file was created with 165 unique error snippets. From the existing snippet file, there were 70 error snippets, none that were identical to the new error snippets and 37 of the existing error snippets were triggered while compiling all the new error snippets, resulting in a coverage of 52%. From the new error snippet file there were 331 error snippets, none match any of the snippets in the existing snippet file. 144 of the new snippets were accounted for by existing error snippets, for a coverage of 43%. There were 92 error snippets that broke on the wrong terminal and are therefore counted as malformed or erroneous snippets. In all there were 95 unique error snippets that were added to the existing 70 for a total of 165 error snippets.

5 Conclusions

yparser was successful in reading a YACC/Bison (.y) file, identifying the grammar and its terminal and non terminal tokens, and storing that information in a set of files that were to be used by ESnipSLR. ESnipSLR was able to read the files generated by yparser to create production rules, states and their associated items and parsing table, and was able to generate a set of error snippets in the Merr format. When the errSubset tool was run on the existing set of error snippets with the error snippets generated by ESnipSLR, the success was not as significant as I hoped, but it did manage to generate an additional 95 error snippets that had not

yet been identified.

This set of tools can be used to reduce the burden of the author of a compiler to identify syntax errors and provide meaningful messages to programmers.

The success of ESnipSLR shows that future work with error message generators is warranted. Tools to generate error snippets in the Merr format should be produced using the LR(1) and LALR parsing methods in order to create a more complete set of error snippets.

References

- [1] Alfred V Aho, Ravi Sethi, and Jeffrey D Ullman. *Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools*. Addison Wesley, 1985.
- [2] Clinton L Jeffery. Generating lr syntax error messages from examples. *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems (TOPLAS)*, 25(5):631–640, 2003.
- [3] John Levine. *Flex & bison*. ” O’Reilly Media, Inc.”, 2009.
- [4] Kenneth C Loudon. *Compiler construction*. PWS Publ., 1997.