Letters

The letters appearing at two-loop MHV are generically of the form

$$\langle ijkl \rangle$$
, $\langle ij(klm) \cap (nop) \rangle$, $\langle i(jk)(lm)(no) \rangle$, (1)

where

$$\langle i(jk)(lm)(no)\rangle \equiv \langle ijlm\rangle\langle ikno\rangle - \langle ijno\rangle\langle iklm\rangle, \langle ij\overline{k}\cap\overline{l}\rangle \equiv \langle i\overline{k}\rangle\langle j\overline{l}\rangle - \langle j\overline{k}\rangle\langle i\overline{l}\rangle.$$
(2)

Furthermore only a subset of these letters actually appear, they are

$$\langle i \ i+1 \ jk \rangle, \quad \langle i(i-1 \ i+1)(j \ j+1)(k \ k+1) \rangle, \langle i \ i+1 \ \bar{j} \cap \bar{k} \rangle, \quad \langle i(i-2 \ i-1)(i+1 \ i+2)(j \ j+1) \rangle.$$

$$(3)$$

These letters have the following action under parity:

$$\langle i \ i+1 \ jk \rangle \rightarrow \langle i^{+}\rangle\langle i \ i+1 \ \bar{j} \cap \bar{k} \rangle$$

$$\langle i \ i+1 \ \bar{j} \cap \bar{k} \rangle \rightarrow \langle j^{-}\rangle\langle j^{+}\rangle\langle k^{-}\rangle\langle k^{+}\rangle\langle i \ i+1 \ jk \rangle$$

$$\langle i(i-1 \ i+1)(j \ j+1)(k \ k+1) \rangle \rightarrow$$

$$\langle i^{+}\rangle\langle i^{-}\rangle\langle j^{+}\rangle\langle k^{+}\rangle\langle i(i-1 \ i+1)(j \ j+1)(k \ k+1) \rangle$$

$$\langle i(i-2 \ i-1)(i+1 \ i+2)(j \ j+1) \rangle \rightarrow$$

$$\langle i-1^{-}\rangle\langle i-1^{+}\rangle\langle i+1^{-}\rangle\langle i+1^{+}\rangle\langle j^{+}\rangle\langle j \ j+1 \ i-1 \ i+1 \rangle$$

$$(4)$$

where we have used the notational shorthand

$$\langle i^{\pm} \rangle = \pm \langle i - 1 \ i \ i + 1 \ i \pm 2 \rangle$$
$$\bar{j} = (j - 1 \ j \ j + 1). \tag{5}$$

Ratios

Note: basically all conjectures in this section are based on explicit calculation through n=9.

Definition 1. A good cross-ratio r as a DCI product of integer powers of letters in (3) such that -1 - r is also a product of integer powers of letters.

From now on when I refer to "cross-ratio" I am referring to this definition, and the "-1-r" criteria may seem a bit funny but the reason behind it is so that the following conjecture holds:

Conjecture 1. Given a cross-ratio r, exactly one of $\{r, 1/r, -1/(1+r)\}$ will be positive when evaluated on any kinematic point in the positive grassmannian. This is then a cluster \mathcal{X} -coordinates on Gr(4, n).

We can extend this slightly by defining

Definition 2. The **family** of a cross-ratio r is the set

$$\{r, -1 - r, -1 - 1/r, 1/r, -1/(1+r), -1/(1+1/r)\}.$$
 (6)

Note that each cross-ratio belongs to only one family, so the number of cross-ratios is always a multiple of 6. Then, out of this set, exactly two elements (that are multiplicative inverses of each other) will be cluster \mathcal{X} -coordinates on Gr(4, n).

When working at the level of the symbol one often desires a multiplicatively independent set of cross-ratios to express the symbol in terms of (or, in other words, a set of linearly independent ratios when taken as the arguments of log's). Therefore we care about:

Conjecture 2. The total number of multiplicatively independent cross-ratios for n particles is $\frac{3}{2}n(n-5)^2$.

Of course we also care about the number of algebraically independent cross-ratios, which is understood to be 3n-15 (this is just the number of unfrozen seeds in the cluster for Gr(4, n)). But for now we will focus on multiplicative independence, which we catalog here:

n =	Total # of letters	Total # of ratios	Mult. basis
6	15	90	9
7	49	2310	42
8	116	9528	108
9	225	23436	216

The $\{v, z\}$ basis

The first-entry condition states that the first entry of the symbol must be drawn from the set of cross-ratios given by

$$u_{ij} = \frac{\langle i \, i+1 \, j+1 \, j+2 \rangle \langle i+1 \, i+2 \, j \, j+1 \rangle}{\langle i \, i+1 \, j \, j+1 \rangle \langle i+1 \, i+2 \, j+1 \, j+2 \rangle}.$$
 (7)

(Note that the u_{ij} are not technically good cross-ratios per our definition, instead $-u_{ij}$ are "correct", but let's ignore that for now!). Interestingly, none of the u_{ij} are cluster \mathcal{X} -coordinates. Instead we consider the closely related quantities

$$v_{ijk} = \frac{1}{\prod_{a=j}^{k-1} u_{ia}} - 1 = -\frac{\langle i+1(i\,i+2)(j\,j+1)(k\,k+1)\rangle}{\langle i\,i+1\,k\,k+1\rangle\langle i+1\,i+2\,j\,j+1\rangle}.$$
 (8)

 v_{ijk} is a \mathcal{X} -coordinates as long as $i < j < k \pmod{n}$. There are $\frac{1}{2}n(n-5)^2$ of these at each n. We can phrase the familiar first-entry condition in terms of these unfamiliar variables by saying that only the quantities $1 + v_{ijk}$ are allowed in the first entry of the symbol of any function with physical branch cuts.

The last-entry condition states that the last entry of the symbol of any MHV amplitude must, as a consequence of extended supersymmetry, be drawn from the set of Plučker coordinates of the form $\langle \bar{i} j \rangle \equiv \langle i-1 i i+1 j \rangle$. We therefore might like to include ratios built purely out of these objects in our ansatz, such as

$$-\frac{\langle i\,\overline{j}\rangle\langle i+1\,\overline{k}\rangle}{\langle i\,\overline{k}\rangle\langle i+1\,\overline{j}\rangle}, \qquad -\frac{\langle \overline{i}\,j\rangle\langle \overline{i+1}\,k\rangle}{\langle \overline{i}\,k\rangle\langle \overline{i+1}\,j\rangle}.$$
 (9)

As was the case with the u_{ij} of the first-entry condition, none of these are \mathcal{X} -coordinates. Instead we consider the cross-ratios

$$z_{ijk}^{+} = \frac{\langle i \, i+1 \, \overline{j} \cap \overline{k} \rangle}{\langle i \, \overline{k} \rangle \langle i+1 \, \overline{j} \rangle}, \qquad z_{ijk}^{-} = \frac{\langle i \, i+1 \, j \, k \rangle \langle \overline{i} \, i+2 \rangle}{\langle \overline{i} \, k \rangle \langle \overline{i+1} \, j \rangle}. \tag{10}$$

The z_{ijk}^{\pm} are all cluster \mathcal{X} -coordinates for $\operatorname{Gr}(4,n)$ as long as $i < j < k \pmod{n}$, and as suggested by the notation, z_{ijk}^{\pm} are parity conjugates of each other. There are $n(n-5)^2$ such variables for each n. These are connected to the final-entry condition via

$$-1 - z_{ijk}^{+} = \frac{\langle i \, \overline{j} \rangle \langle i+1 \, \overline{k} \rangle}{\langle i \, \overline{k} \rangle \langle i+1 \, \overline{j} \rangle}, \qquad -1 - z_{ijk}^{-} = \frac{\langle \overline{i} \, j \rangle \langle \overline{i+1} \, k \rangle}{\langle \overline{i} \, k \rangle \langle \overline{i+1} \, j \rangle}.$$

It is useful to define certain boundary cases of the above cross-ratios with overlapping indices:

$$v_{ij} = v_{ijj+1}, z_{ij} = z_{ijj+1}^{-}, (11)$$

where parity takes $z_{ij} \to z_{ji}$. Similar to what was done in the previous paragraph, we may express the familiar last-entry condition in terms of these unfamiliar variables by saying that only the quantities $1+z_{ijk}^{\pm}$ are allowed in the final entry of the symbol of any MHV amplitude.

Note that the total number of v- and z-type variables at each n is $\frac{3}{2}n(n-5)^2-$ precisely the same as the (conjectured) dimension of the space of multiplicatively independent cross-ratios. This leads us to conjecture that

Conjecture 3. The set of $\{v, z\}$ ratios forms a multiplicately independent basis that spans the space of all cross-ratios for any **odd** n.

For even n the story is a bit more complicated, as it turns out that the $\{v, z\}$ -basis is not multiplicatively independent for even n:

n =	$\{v,z\}$ -basis size	# of mult. relations
6	9	2
7	42	0
8	108	9
9	216	0
10	375	4
11	594	0
12	882	15

This raises the question of what ratios to actually express symbols and integrated amplitudes in terms of for even n. And here we arrive at a point I had not previously appreciated: the published form of $R_6^{(2)}$ only involves $\text{Li}_k(-x)$ where $x \in \{v, z\}$ for n = 6, however the symbol of $R_6^{(2)}$ cannot be written in terms of the same x's (for example, $1 - z_{ij}$ cannot be written in terms of a product of v's and z's, so there would need to be some magic to happen at the level of the full symbol for the $\{v, z\}$ -basis to be sufficient). Perhaps the symbol for the Steinmann-adjusted $R_6^{(2)}$ is expressible in only these \mathcal{X} -coordinates? I'm sure some expert in 6-particle kinematics will illuminate this trivial point for me...

A similar issue arises at n = 8, so it will be helpful to understand the story at n = 6 before settling on a choice of ratios that we want the final answer to be in terms of for n = 8.