

enjoys being creative and forming their own opinions. Both of these ~~two writing~~ are strong in their respective field; however, I believe that the best one that conveys a message is the Los Angeles Times. But by simply being able to edit the tone and approach of the article, it'll easily fix the difficulties that many of the readers will face. Overall, being able to be consistent ~~throughout and~~ provide deep evidences along with analysis simply strengthens the argument and have each reader follow the same wavelength.

- B-1: Explained that images rely on the viewer's creativity, but could go into depth about the context added for an article.
- A-2: Chins LA Times was better in conclusion, providing evidence.
- B-3: Should quote Wallace, rather than referencing it uselessly. Compare the two articles w/o context for one.
- B-4: It says others may get offended, but that's about it. Could go into other possible stories.
- A-5: A few, but I fixed most of them.
- A-6: Yes, logical, but could use more quotes / evidence.

Overall: B