# THE PORTUGUESE ELEMENT IN PHILIPPINE CREOLE SPANISH: A CRITICAL REASSESSMENT

# JOHN M. LIPSKI The University of Houston

### I. INTRODUCTION

Philippine Creole Spanish (PCS), known locally as Ternateno, Caviteno, Zamboangueño, etc., and collectively as Chabacano, is the most extensive Spanish-based creole language now in existence, and the only one found in Asia or Oceania.1 Although its contemporary manifestations have been well documented, its origins are shrouded in uncertainty. Available information indicates that the first PCS dialects arose in the Manila area in the middle of the 17th century, when a group of Spanish settlers, known as Mardikas, left Ternate in the Moluccas Islands and arrived in Manila, to fortify the Spanish position against the attacks of the Chinese pirate Koxinga (Whinnom 1956, Chapter 1). These newcomers (garrison troops plus civilian personnel) were subsequently resettled along the shores of Manila Bay, around the modern towns of Tanza and Ternate, and it is here that the first legitimate PCS dialects are presumed to have taken root. The dialect of San Roque and Cavite City was evidently formed somewhat later, by creolespeaking Ternateños and, presumably, troops speaking some Spanish and a variety of Philippine languages; this dialect produced an offshoot in Manila, in the Ermita and San Nicolas areas. Finally, the Zamboanga Chabacano diaclect is presumed to have been formed following the Spanish reoccupation of this city in 1719 and the arrival of mercenary troops from various regions of the Philippines; this dialect later spread to Basilan, Jolo, Cotabato and Davao, and in Zamboanga City itself, it was partially decreolized in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, through contact with metropolitan Spanish (Whinnom 1956: 14-17; Lipski forthcoming b; Molony 1973; Riego de Dios 1978).

Comparative linguistic studies situate Ternateño as the earliest surviving PCS variant (Riego de Dios 1978, Molony 1973, Whinnom 1956: 16-17, Lipski forthcoming b), which is consistent with known data on immigration and settlement of the Mardikas; the Caviteño dialect is a slightly later development. In addition, the dialects of Cavite and Ermita suffered significant decreolization in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, much as the case of Zamboangueño. The latter dialect contains elements from later periods of Hispano-Philippine contact, but it is expremely unlikely that Zamboanga Chabacano is a spontaneous development unrelated to the presence of Manila Bay PCS speakers among the military contingents sent to reoccupy Zamboanga (Lipski, forthcoming b, c).

An area of greater concern and controversy is the relation between the earliest stages of PCS and the creole Portuguese dialects spoken in various parts of Oceania and Asia, and as a maritime lingua franca from the 15th century onward. Following the pioneering work of Whinnom (1956), it has generally been assumed that the Mardikas brought to the Philippines an already formed creole dialect, a mixture of Spanish and

An essential minimal bibliography would include the following: Whinnom (1954, 1956, 1965), Frake (1971), Forman (1972), Riego de Dios (1976a, 1976b, 1978), Molony (1973, 1977a, b), Miranda 1956), Evangelista (1972, Germán (1932). Llamado (1969, 1972), McKaughan (1954), Nigoza (1985), Batalha (1960), Quilis (1970, 1980, 1984), Santos y Gomez (1924), Tirona (1924), Batausa (1969), Domingo (1967), Macasantos (1971), Mano (1963). For historical background, see Schurz (1985), Phelan (1959). For the constant interaction between Spanish and the indigenous Philippine languages, see Bowen (1971), Sibayan 1971), Morales Goulet (1971), C. Lopez (1965a).

Portuguese, which in turn resulted from a creole Portuguese presumed to have been spoken in the Moluccas in the 16th century. In other words, it is claimed that the original Ternateño dialect was a Portuguese-based creole, which became relexified with Spanish elements and which was subsequently extended by the introduction of Philippine lexical items and structures, following the Spanish exodus from the Moluccas to Manila. Much of the evidence adduced in favor of this claim is circumstantial, in that it is demonstrated that Portuguese-based creoles were spoken prior to the formation of the PCS dialects, in the region whence came the Mardikas there is also linguistic evidence stemming from a comparison of the PCS dialects and other Hispanic creoles, but this is often subordinated to the historical arguments.

## 2. PURPOSE

The present note is devoted to a reassessment of the Portuguese component of Philippine Creole Spanish, from the standpoint of comparative dialectology. It will be assumed from the outset that the historical arguments adequately demonstrate the feasibility for the transfer of a Portuguese creole, or of individual creolized Portuguese elements, to the Spanish colony in Manila in the 17th century. Attention will be concentrated on the nature of the PCS dialects themselves, their similarity with known Portuguese-based creoles of the past and present, and the possibility for ramifications of or alternatives to the Portuguese creole hypothesis for PCS.

For the purposes of comparison, the following recognized and currently spoken Portuguese-based creoles may be identified. In Africa: the kriol of Guiné-Bissau and the Casamance region of Senegal (Scantamburlo 1981, Wilson 1962, Chataigner 1963, Schuchardt 1888b); Cape Verdean creole (Da Silva 1957, Coelho 1963, Schuchardt 1888d0; the creole dialects of Sao Tomé and Príncipe (Valkhoff 1966; Ferraz 1975, 1978, 1983; Negreiros 1985, Günther 1973; Schuchardt 1982, 1889b); the fa d'ambó dialect of Annobon Island (Barrena 1957; Granda 1976a, b; Ferraz 1976; Vila 1891; Schuchardt 1888c). In Latin America: Papiamentu of the Netherlands Antilles (Goilo 1953, Lenz 1928, Hesseling 1933, Van Wijk 1958, Birmingham 1970); the palenquero dialect of Palenque de San Basilio, Colombia (Friedemann and Patiño Rosselli 1983, Bickerton and Escalante 1970). In Asia: the papiá kristang dialect of Malacca (Hancock 1973, 1975; Chaves 1933; Wexler 1983); Macau creole Portuguese (Batalha 1959, 1960, 1974; Ferreira 1978; Thompson 1959; Diez 1981); vestigial creole Portuguese of Sri Lanka and India (Smith 1979, Theban 1974). To these may be added earlier and more widespread Portuguese creoles in several areas of India and Ceylon, in Indonesia and in Hong Kong (Dalgado 1900, 1900-1, 1902-3, 1906, 1917, 1919-21; Schuchardt 1883a, b, c, 1889a, 1979; Theban 1974). Spanish-based creoles are much fewer in number; the PCS dialects are the primary examples of currently spoken Hispanic creoles, and we may also mention vestigial remnants, such as the speech of the negros congos of Panama (Lipski forthcoming e), and the largely decreolized speech of some regions of Ecuador (Lipski forthcoming f), Cuba (Perl 1982, 1985, forthcoming a Yacou 1977; Granda 1978: 481-91; López Morales 1981) and the Dominican Republic (Gonzalez and Benavides 1982, Megenney forthcoming a). In earlier centuries, a more widely spoken Hispanic creole evidently existed among African speakers in the Spanish Caribbean, and prior to this time, in southern Spain (Alvarez Nazario 1974; Granda 1978; Megenney 1984, 1985; Otheguy 1975; López Morales 1980, 1983).

Work in the Philippines was carried out in 1985 thanks to a Fulbright advanced research fellowship, administered by the Philippine-American Educational Foundation. I gratefully express my thanks to the personnel of PAEF, as well as to the many scholars and private citizens of the Philippines who so generously gave of their time to make the investigation a success.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> E. g. by Granda (1978), Megenney (1984, 1985), Perl (1982, 1985), Otheguy (1975), Valkhoff (1966), Whinnom (1956, 1965).

# 3. FEATURES OF PHILIPPINE CREOLE SPANISH AND OTHER IBERIAN CREOLE DIALECTS

The features most generally cited in conjunction with hypotheses as to the common origins of PCS and other Iberian creole dialects include: (1) the verbal system, consisting of an uninflected stem together with temporal/aspectual particles; (2) the nature and placement of negative morphemes; (3) the general lack of nominal inflection and the nature of the nominal pluralization process; (4) choice of personal pronouns; (5) possessive constructions; (6) the existence and nature of copulative and existential verbal elements; (7) specific lexical items. These areas will be examined in turn, in an effort to situate the PCS dialects in a wider creole perspective.

#### 3.1 VERBAL SYSTEM

The Chabacano verbal system consists of an uninflected stem, generally derived from the Spanish infinitive minus final /r/, but at times derived from a conjugated 3rd person form (e.g. quiere 'want', puede 'be able to', tiene 'have, exist'). The stems are combined with one of three particles: ta (present/imperfective), ya (past/perfective, with variant a in Ternate), and di (future/irrealis, with variant ay and older variant el in Zamboanga). Use of the particles is generally obligatory, except for some uses of the immediate future, and with a small subset of 'modal' verbs which include the three mentioned above, plus sabe 'know', debe ought to'conoce be acquainted with'; and a few more. The combination of an uninflected stem and verbal particles is a nearly universal feature of creole languages, and the tripartite division found in the PCS dialects has also been claimed as a universal trait, independent of the source language (Muysken 1981). However, the specific particles found in the PCS dialects are similar or identical to those which occur in the majority of Portuguese-based creoles throughout the world, both current and extinct. The particle ta is found, for example, in the following creoles: Macau creole Portuguese; Malaysian papiá kristang; the Portuguese creoles of Java, Goa, India and Ceylon; the Afro-Portuguese creoles of São Tomé, Principe, Cape Verde, and with some variation in Guiné-Bissau and Annobon; in Papiamentu, Saramaccan of Surinam, Colombian palenguero and the former Caribbean Afro-Hispanic creole. The particle ya (or the Portuguese variant ja), derived from the word for 'already', occurs in the same set of creoles, with the exception of Saramaccan (bi), Papiamentu (taba), palenquero (ba), Principe and Annobon (za). In the future/irrealis series, considerable variation exists among creole particles. Except in those dialects having no special future/irrealis particle (e.g. Annobon, São Tomé, Principe), the most common particle is lo, derived from Portuguese logo 'later, afterward', being found in the Malaysian and India/Ceylon Portuguese creoles, in Papiamentu and as a variant (together with al) in Cape Verde creole. Among the remaining creoles, that of Guine-Bassau has na or ta, Saramaccan has sa, palenquero has tan, and only Bombay/Goa creole Portuguese shows di.

In view of the above similarities, it is tempting to simply classify the PCS verbal system as a carryover from earlier creolized Portuguese; the Chabacano verbal system constitutes the strongest linguistic argument in favor of a creole/pidgin Portuguese origin. However, we must contemplate several facts which, while not invalidating the claims of Portuguese origins, call into question the necessity of such postulates. Despite the apparent homogeneity of aspectual particles among the various creoles, there is considerable variation in their use, in the existence of alternative forms, and in the actual morphological constitution of the items in question. First, in the future series, none of the PCS dialects shows any reflex of the generalized creole Portuguese particle lo(go); only an older variant el in Zamboanga shows even a slight phonetic similarity with the former particle, and this el appears to have been derived from the reduction of a relative clause. The existence of di in the Manila Bay dialects and in the creole Portuguese of Goa and formerly of Bombay may be a fortuitious similarity, given the geographical separation of these points, the lack of documented direct influence of the Indian dialects on the puta-

tive Moluccan pidgin which in turn gave rise to PCS, and the fact that no reflex of di appears in Indonesian or Malaysian creole Portuguese. Comparative reconstruction suggests that di may be a later development in the Manila Bay PCS dialects, since this particle is not found in Zamboangueño, which evidently split off from the Manila Bay dialects in the 18th century; on the other hand, the predominant Zamboangueño variant, ay/ey, is attested in Cavite (Miranda 1956), and is still recalled by the oldest Chabacano speakers in that area. Both di and ay/ey appear to derive from the combination ha/he de + infinitive, a periphrastic future construction characteristic of Spanish but scarcer in Portuguese. A similar construction is used in Macau Portuguese creole, in the negative future: nadi (perhaps derived from não hei de) vai co vôs 'I won't go with you'; cf. Chaves (1933: 175), Batalha (1974: 37). In the Indian creole Portuguese dialects, had (< ha de) was used as a future/irrealis particle (Schuchardt 1883b: 6; Dalgado 1906: 159-60). Some Cape Verdean dialects use a as a future/irrealis particle (Da Silva 1957: 141-2), possibly derived from the Portuguese ha de + INFINITIVE combination, and São Tomé creole occasionally uses -te or -di to express distant future (Valkhoff 1966: 111): n-te fla amaña 'I will talk tomorrow'.

The use of ya or ja as a past/perfective particle in a variety of Iberian-based creoles does not militate in favor of a unique origin, since this adverb is a common denominator in references to past/perfective actions, in non-creole varieties of both Spanish and Portuguese. It is also significant that in the PCS dialects, this particle is frequently eliminated in narrating past-time events, being retained principally when the connotation of 'already' is to be conveyed. Similar behavior has also been noted in Cape Verdean creole (Da Silva 1957: 139), in Macau Portuguese (Ferreira 1978: 29), in Guiné-Bissau (Scantamburlo 1981: 45), Annobonese and São Tomé creole (Valkhoff 1966: 109), and in Malaccan papiá kristang (Hancock 1973: 26). In papiamentu and Colombian palenquero, and variably in the creoles of São Tomé, Cape Verde and Guiné-Bissau, the past-time particles are derived from the imperfect from estaba (Ptg. estava) of the auxiliary verb estar, whose present tense form está presumably accounts for the particles ta and sta. The postposition of -taba or -ba may also be derived from the imperfect suffix -ba (Sp.)/-va (Ptg.), either as a carryover from the formative stages, or as a partially decreolized reintroduction. Among the PCS dialects, Zamboangueño occasionally exhibits Spanish imperfect

forms in -ba (e.g. pensaba yo 'I thought' instead of Chabacano ya pensa yo).

The present/imperfective particle ta is the common denominator linking most Romance-based creoles, and is found in nearly all Spanish and Portuguese creoles. A common origin is naturally suggested (e.g. Taylor 1971, Whinnom 1956, 1965), but other considerations partially undermine the strength of such arguments. If one assumes that ta is derived from está, two types of source constructions are possible: the progressive está + gerund (está comiendo, está falando), found in both Spanish and Portuguese, and the Portuguese innovation est $\acute{a} + a + INFINITIVE$ , also a progressive construction (Schuchardt 1979: 69-70 [1888a]). The latter seems more likely to have produced the creole forms, but its existence in peninsular Portuguese is only attested since the second half of the 19th century, although possibly it occurred earlier as a substandard regional variant (Lipski forthcoming d). In the Portuguese creole dialects of Macau, Malacca, Ceylon, São Tomé, Cape Verde and Guiné-Bissau, ta serves more as a progressive marker than a present-tense marker, which to a certain extent also holds for the PCS dialects, thus suggesting that the ta + INFINITIVE construction may ultimately be derived from the normal Spanish/Portuguese progressive forms. In popular/rural Spanish and Portuguese, está is normally reduced to ta, and gerunds may be phonetically reduced to a form resembling or identical to the infinitive. Presumably, the Iberian creoles derive from highly popular and nonstandard received Spanish and Portuguese; this is certainly true in the case of the PCS dialects, where there is a high concentration of elements characteristic of the speech of peasants and sailors, not found in educated urban speech either at present or in past centuries. It is therefore not impossible that phonetically similar combinations involving ta arose spontaneously in both Spanish-and Portuguese-derived creoles in geographically separated areas.

Another possible source for ta is the rich aspectual systems of West African languages which interacted with both Spanish and Portuguese from the 15th to the 19th centuries, resulting in both long-lasting creoles and short-term contact vernaculars. Aspectual particles of the form C + /a/, including ta itself, are found in many West African languages, and such fortuitous similarity may have caused this particle to be incorporated into certain Afro-Iberian creoles independent of direct transmission from one dialect to another (Lipski forthcoming d). As for the mechanism for the transfer of such an element to the Philippines, the usually postulated route is via Asian/Oceanic creole Portuguese, which in itself may ultimately derive from 15th and 16th century Africanized maritime Portuguese. Another possibility lies in the speech of the Spanish soldiers and sailors, many of whom may have interacted with pidgin or creole-speaking African slaves and freedmen in southern Spain, in the Caribbean region, or in Mexico, including the port of Acapulco, which during the formative period of the PCS dialects contained a considerable quantity of African slaves (Aguirre Beltrán 1958, 1971; Rout 1976). One of the postulates surrounding the formation of pidgins and creoles is deliberate deformation by superstrate speakers; if this occurred in the Philippines, the result would be that Spanish sailors and soldiers employed Afro-Iberian verb forms, together with uninflected infinitives, in an attempt to reach a linguistic middle ground with Philippine natives speaking a variety of languages.

Also of interest is the fact that a subset of verbs in both the PCS dialects and the various Portuguese creoles is based on the inflected third person singular form rather than the infinitive minus final /r/. These verbs, statistically among the most common in both Spanish and Portuguese, are usually employed without the aspectual particles (cf. Schuchardt 1979: 69 [1888a]), and their existence in PCS might suggest a common origin with Portuguese creoles. However, gravitation of the third person singular is a common feature of pidgin Spanish since the earliest recorded examples of Arabized and Africanized Spanish, in the 15th century. Currently, this tendency is observable in the (noncreolized) Spanish of Equatorial Guinea (Lipski 1984, 1985), in largely decreolized Spanish of Panama (Lipski forthcoming e), the Dominican Republic (González and Benavides 1982, Megenney forthcoming a) and Ecuador (Lipski forthcoming f), and in the vestigial language of semi-speakers in a number of regions where Spanish is dying out as a viable language, including among mestizo Philippine Spanish speakers (Lipski 1984, 1985, forthcoming a, c, f). The fact that just this set of verbs most frequently combines with infinitives instead of other complements in both Spanish and Portuguese has been instrumental in shaping their destiny in creole languages.

#### 3.2. NEGATIVE STRUCTURES

A common feature of most Afro-Portuguese creoles is the postposition of a negative particle or morpheme, and this feature has been used in monogenetic creole theories. In Asian and Oceanic creole Portuguese, as well as in the PCS dialects, there is no such general postposition, and negation usually follows the same general patterns as in the source languages. A derivative of Portuguese não or Spanish no is normally used (in Zamboangueño, the Tagalog/Hiligaynon hende [qendeq] is also found, in complementary distribution with no), although certain past and future tense verbal constructions employ other elements. For example, in Zamboangueño, nuay (from Spanish no hay) is used to negate past-tense verbs: nuay yo andá 'I didn't go', while in Macau the use of nadí for negation in the future has already been mentioned; in the past this dialect uses nunca 'never' for negation. In general, the PCS dialects closely follow received Spanish patterns, and such divergence as does exist is not paralleled among creole Portuguese dialects of Asia or Africa.

#### 3.3. NOMINAL INFLECTION

The PCS dialects, like nearly all Romance-based creoles, have largely eliminated in-

flection of gender and number in adjectives and nouns; those exceptional, inflected forms are either fossil remnants or recent decreolized reintroductions. This in itself does not suggest a common origin, for the attraction of maximally unmarked forms is a universal trait of creole languages, and most nominal inflection is grammatically and semantically redundant in Spanish and Portuguese. Of greater significance is the method of signalling nominal and adjectival plurality, which in all the PCS dialects is effected through use of the Philippine particle mga (variously pronounced [mana], [maga] and [mana] in PCS). A determiner (definite article, demonstrative or possessive) normally accompanies plural nouns: el mga casa 'the houses', di mi mga hermano 'my brothers', etc. Occasionally, decreolized combinations involving Spanish morphological signals of gender or number are found, but the majority of cases involve the Philippine plural structure, which naturally is not found among any other Spanish- or Portuguese-based creoles. Among the latter dialects, reduplication is the most common form of signalling pluralization, when there is any overt morphological signal; in PCS, nominal reduplication is an occasional process, usually having an intensive function, and nearly always occurs in conjunction with mga (e.g. aquel mga cosa-cosa 'all that stuff'). The Manila Bay dialects employ an innovative adjectival reduplication, suffixing the Tagalog connectives -ng (after vowels) and na (after consonants) to the first adjective: carong-caro 'very expensive; tamad na tamad 'very lazy'. In Zamboangueño, such reduplication does not occur regularly; rather, the Visayan intensifier gayot is suffixed to the adjective in question, at times also prefixing bien: (bien) grande gayot 'very large'

#### 3.4. PERSONAL PRONOUNS

Given the considerable similarity among the personal pronouns of Spanish and Portuguese, it is difficult to offer definitive conclusions as to the source of the PCS pronouns. The existence of the second person singular bo(s) and third person singular ele in PCS has been claimed as a carryover from creole Portuguese (Whinnom 1956: 30; Mugler 1983). The former pronoun is of particular interest, since it appears in nearly all Portuguese-based creoles, including Papiamentu and palenquero, while it has completely disappeared from metropolitan Portuguese. Vos continues to be used in many dialects of Latin American Spanish, including all of Central America, parts of Colombia and Venezuela, the Andean region, and the Southern Cone nations; vos was also used in some Caribbean dialects until the end of the 19th century. The PCS dialects were formed during the same time period as those Latin American dialects which conserve vos, since the latter pronoun was still common in popular Spanish, often with a derogatory connotation (which it continues to have in contemporary PCS).

PCS ele is identical to the Portuguese 3rd person singular masculine pronoun, which many Portuguese creoles have reduced to i or e. Use of ele in PCS could also stem from a paragogic /e/ added to Spanish él, a process attested elsewhere in Spanish, or from the old Spanish variants ele/ela (modern él/ella).

In the plural series, there is more variation in PCS; Zamboangueño has replaced the entire series with Visayan pronouns, Ternateño has mihotro, buhotro and lohotro; Caviteño shows nisos, busos and ilos. The Ternateño forms are clearly derived from the vulgar pronounciation, heard even today, of Spanish nosotros, vosotros and los otros, while in Cavite the occasional alternation between nisos/vusos and nisotros/b otros suggests Spanish rather than Portuguese (which has nos/vos) as the source. Ilos may be a reduction of ellos/ellas (as supposed by Whinnom 1956: 36), or a derivative of the clitic pronoun los. A superficially similar form, ellot/illot was found in Indian creole Portuguese (Dalgado 1906; 151), but this was probably derived from el otro/eles otros, since ellotros is found in Ceylon creole Portuguese (Dalgado 1900: 43).

#### 3.5. POSSESSIVE CONSTRUCTIONS

possessive adjectives. This practice is followed in one form or another in the Gulf of Guinea creoles, in Papiamentu and palenquero, and in the oldest versions of Asian and Oceanic Portuguese creoles. In Macau creole Portuguese, sua derived from the possessive adjective is placed after the corresponding subject pronoun/iou-sua, ele-sua, etc.), while in Malacca papiá kristang, the corresponding particle is sa, alternating with postposition of the subject pronoun (e.g. yo-sa chapeu/chapeu yo 'my hat'). In the PCS dialects, on the other hand, the possessive is normally formed via the preposition di + Spanish object/possessive pronoun: di mi/di mio, di tu/di tuyo, di su/di suyo, di nisos, etc. In the plural series, Zamboangueño has created analogous di-forms based on the Visayan pronouns: diamon, diaton, diiño, diila. The short Spanish possessive forms (mi, su, etc.) may be due to recent decreolization, but the PCS dialects do not ordinarily use unmodified subject pronouns as possessives (and when this occurs, it is probably the result of deletion of di, rather than an originally creolized use of the subject pronoun), and subject pronouns are never postposed to serve as possessives. In this dimension, PCS does not participate in either Portuguese or general creole possessive formation.

#### 3.6. COPULATIVE AND EXISTENTIAL VERBS.

The PCS dialects are nearly unique among Spanish/Portuguese creoles in having no copulative verb, preferring simple juxtaposition to achieve comparable structures: Zambo-angueño yo 'I am a Zamboangueño'. In this respect, the Chabacano dialects follow the syntactic patterns of the surrounding Philippine languages, which similarly lack a copula. Most Afro-Portuguese creoles have a variant of sa (derived from sar, a 15th century blend of ser and estar), which is also found in Macau and Malacca creole Portuguese. Other creole variants include i, sentá (also from a blend of ser and estar, mixed with sentar) and ta. Whereas other creoles also make occasional use of juxtaposition instead of copulative verbs, the total lack of a copula in PCS has no parallel among creole Portuguese dialects.

For existential expressions, the PCS dialects employ tiene 'have', while in the negative nuay (< SP. no hay 'there is not') is used. The use of some form of tener Ptg. ter) instead of the Spanish/Portuguese existential verb haber/haver is common in Iberianbased creoles, and has been claimed as an argument in favor of monogenesis. Such arguments are weakened by noting the considerable overlap between the two verbs in old Spanish and Portuguese, during the time periods in which the various creole dialects were formed. Not only does modern Brazilian Portuguese use ter with existential force, but many marginal and vestigial Spanish dialects do so as well, under circumstances in which mutual influence is impossible to postulate (Lipski forthcoming a). In the PCS dialects, particularly in Zamboangueño, an interesting alternation occurs with respect to the existential verbs, which shows no parallel among creole Portuguese dialects. In addition to the use of nuay to negate past tense verbs, this form is used to negate the locative verbs taqui 'be here' and tallá 'be there', used primarily with human subjects. Thus nuay si Ramón means 'Ramon is not here/there', corresponding to the statements taqui/tallá si Ramón. This may result from Philippine syntactic patterns, e.g. wala si Ramón 'Ramon is not here', where wala roughly corresponds to Chabacano nuay in other functions.

#### 3.7. LEXICAL SIMILARITIES

Given the considerable lexical similarity among Spanish and Portuguese dialects, which increases significantly among dialects of past centuries, tracing Portuguese elements in PCS is a difficult task which often yields nonconclusive results. Moreover, partial or total relexification is an ongoing process among creole languages, and the PCS dialects have absorbed a large quantity of more recent Spanish elements, in addition to hundreds of Philippine lexical items. Many putative Portuguese words found in one or more PCS dialects also occur in Spanish of earlier centuries, spanning the formative periods of both the Manila Bay and Zamboanga PCS dialects. These include agora (Sp. ahora) 'now', canto (Sp. esquina) '[street] corner', onde (Sp. donde) 'where.'. Other words represent

nonstandard/dialectal variants often found even in contemporary Spanish; examples include empelmo (Sp. enfermo) 'sick', endenantes (Sp. antes) 'before', boneca (Sp.

muñeca) 'doll", rempuá (Sp. empujar) 'to push', etc.

Turning to less easily classifiable examples, we consider the preposition na, used in the PCS dialects in the sense of 'in, on'. This form is identical to the Portuguese na, a contraction of the preposition em and the feminine definite article a, which is also found in the majority of Portuguese-based creoles. Na and similar prepositions are common among non-Iberian contact vernaculars, which may point to the use of maritime Portuguese as a lingua franca in earlier centuries (Whinnom 1956: 28; Naro 1978). It is also possible that like the verbal particle ta, na has multiple loci of evolution, via analogy with similar particles in local languages. In the case of the Philippine languages, we may cite the multifunctional Tagalog preposition sa, which covers all the uses of PCS na plus many other cases (Whinnom 1956: 36), and also the preposition nasa, with locative function; in the Manila Bay PCS dialects, sa is at times used in alternation with na. While the formal similarity with standard and creole Portuguese may be fortuitous, na has no ready parallels in other creole or non-creole Spanish dialects.

Another unusual PCS element is maskin 'although, even if', which currently is more frequent in Zamboangueño than in the Manila Bay dialects. In the former dialect, maskin combines with cosa, donde, etc. with the meaning of 'any whatsoever', as in maskin cosa hora 'any time at all', maskin donde 'wherever', etc Variants of maskin occasionally appear in Tagalog and Visayan, and Whinnom (1956: 38) speculated that the word may have been Hispanized to masque. The latter configuration, with the meaning of 'even if, although', is not attested for any Spanish dialect, although similar combinations do occur. Variants of maski (n) are common in Asian and Oceanic creole Portuguese dialects, including Ceylon (Dalgado 1900: 162), Macau (Ferreira 1978: 31), and Malacca (Hancock 1973: 30), but are not attested for African or Latin American Portuguese creoles. The form maskee was used in 19th century Chinese Pidgin English (Leland 1876: 12), which leads to the conclusion that it formed part of the Portuguese-based lingua franca is circulation in Asia during earlier centuries, regardless of whether similar or identical form

were used in Spanish at the same period.

PCS dialects use cosa as the interrogative word corresponding to 'what, which', although que may be used in conjunction with nouns or when asking for repetition of something which has not been understood or accepted; use of que may be the result of more recent contacts with peninsular Spanish. Among the Romance languages, only Italian makes frequent use of cosa as an interrogative pronoun. Many dialects of Spanish, however, employ qué cosa (as a variant of standard qué), while derivatives of Portuguese que coisa are found in Cape Verdean creole (Da Silva 1957: 165-6), in Malacca papiá kristang (Hancock (1973: 29), in Indian Portuguese creole (Dalgado 1906: 155), and in Macau creole Portuguese (Ferreira 1978: 26). Cosa was also prominent in the (Italian-derived) lingua franca or Sabir of earlier centuries (Whinnom 1977, Hadel 1969, Schuchardt 1909, Collier 1977), which may have influenced 16th and 17th century maritime Portuguese in the Pacific. Thus while the use of cosa in PCS is not an unequivocal sign of Portuguese provenance, it must be considered as a potential candidate for such a classification.

Use of the preposition con to signal direct objects (instead of Spanish a for animate objects and of for inanimate objects) has been claimed as a result of Portuguese influence (Whinnom 1965: 512), given the existence of similar constructions in some Portuguese creoles (e.g. Theban 1974: 108). Use of con instead of a does occur in popular Spanish throughout the world (e.g. fui con el/al doctor 'I went to the doctor') while in some PCS examples, con straddles the boundary between an accusative marker and a preposition indicating accompaniment (Whinnom 1956: 54). In the case of Zamboangueño, and possibly also the Manila Bay PCS dialects, I have suggested (Lipski forthcoming b) that the use of con + SUBJECT PRONOUN may have been caused or reinforced by fortuitous similarity of Philippine accusative pronouns such as kanaton, kanamon, which in popular Chabacano are often pronounced as konaton, konamon, etc., and are apparently analyzed

morphologically as /kan+aton/, |kan+amon/, and so forth.

Batalha (1960b: 303) has suggested that the use of dale 'to give' instead of da stems from creole Portuguese, although she offers no evidence in support of this claim. Use of this combination of Spanish verb stem + accusative or dative pronoun is unique among the PCS dialects, and the existence of dale in all PCS dialects is one of the arguments in favor of a common origin (Lipski forthcoming b). In popular Mexican Spanish, which formed the received input for most of the formative period of the PCS dialects, the expression dale is used with extraordinary frequency, as an interjection roughly meaning 'let's go', 'okay', 'do it now', and so forth, and Mexican Spanish is noted for its exceptional and non-etymological use of the clitic le in a variety of other circumstances. Given the widespread lack of forms similar to dale in Portuguese-based creoles, it is safer to assume that this element arose spontaneously in the first PCS dialect, through imitation and extension of received popular Mexican Spanish.

The final lexical item to be considered is quilaya, roughly meaning 'how, in what fashion', which alternates with the clearly Spanish quimodo and occasional como. Whinnom (1956: 46) appears mystified by this item, but it evidently derives from an older popular Portuguese form (Batalha 1960b: 302), and is attested for Macau creole Portuguese, Indian Portuguese (Schuchardt 1889a: 516; Schuchardt 1883c: 801), Malaccan papiá kristang (Hancock 1973: 29) and Ceylon creole Portuguese (Dalgado 1900: 53). Since no similar form has been attested for any Spanish dialect, this item must be tenta-

tively regarded as a creole Portuguese incursion in the PCS lexicon.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The results of the preceding survey yield a very mixed verdict on the putative creole Portuguese origins of Philippine Creole Spanish. The lexical arguments are the weakest, since with the possible exception of quilaya, all the other claimed Portuguese elements in PCS exhibit alternative etymological potentials, and many are popular/archaic/dialectal forms occurring in other Spanish-speaking areas. Even the existence of the totality of these lexical items in PCS does not unduly stretch the boundaries of coincidence, given their small number and the considerable overlap between popular Spanish and Portuguese variants. It is more probable, however, that some maritime Portuguese elements would have filtered into any variety of Spanish spoken in the Philippines in the vicinity of military garrisons, naval yards, trading centers and commercial areas. Given available information on the widespread use of pidgin Portuguese lexical elements throughout Asia, the Pacific and Africa from the 15th to the 19th centuries, even the presence of verifiable Asian creole Portuguese items in PCS does not in itself militate in favor of a creole Portuguese origin for PCS.

The general syntactic structures of PCS share very little similarity with Asian or African creole Portuguese, except inasmuch as they manifest such quasi-universal tendencies as elimination of gender and number inflection, general lack of verb conjugation, frequent elimination of prepositions, categorical use of subject pronouns, etc. Such key structures as possessives, copulative equations, negative and interrogative structures show more differences than parallels between the PCS dialects on the one hand and creole Portuguese variants on the other. At the same time, the PCS dialects fit in neatly among indigenous Philippine languages in terms of basic syntactic patterns (C. Lopez 1965b. Constantino 1965), and it is possible that even some putative Portuguese elements (such as the use of a single undifferentiated subject pronoun ele) reflect the behavior of corresponding elements (in this case, siya) among the Philippine languages. The lack of parallels between PCS dialects and Afro-Portuguese creoles is even more striking, both in the lexical and in the syntactic dimension, thus suggesting that any influence the latter creoles may have had on the formation of an Asian Portuguese lingua franca had little or no repercussion in the Philippines, or was neutralized by the heavy grammatical and lexical nativization of PCS.

By far the strongest argument in favor of the relexification hypothesis for PCS is the verbal/aspectual system, particularly the use of the particles ta, ya and di/ay. Although use of a three-particle system has been claimed as a universal creole structure, the existence of similar or identical particles in PCS and creole Portuguese is more difficult to explain by recourse to universal traits, as demonstrated above. The possibility that a completely developed creole tense/aspect system may have been transferred from the Moluccas to Manila by the original Mardikas is the prevailing hypothesis, which, however, does admit of ramifications and even opposing postulates. Since the existence of ya can be attributed to normal Spanish adverbial usage, and ay/di may also be derived from normal Spanish patterns, only ta needs to be accounted for in PCS. This particle also appears in Carribean Spanish creoles, beginning around the turn of the 19th century, where it may have been transferred by Papiamentu-speaking slaves brought from Curação to Cuba and Puerto Rico just before the end of the Caribbean slave trade (Lipski forthcoming d). Its use in Colombian palenquero is a component of the Afro-Portuguese creole theory, and similar arguments apply to Papiamentu (Megenney 1984, 1985). This type of creole verb structure was evidently known to non-creole Spanish speakers of the time periods in question, as evidenced by literary imitations of Afro-Hispanic bozales (slaves born in Africa and speaking pidgin/creole Spanish; cf. Lipski forthcoming d), and the testimony of historians and religious figures (e.g. Estrada 1797). If one is to believe the theories of the spread of pidgin Portuguese as a maritime and commercial lingua franca, then such constructions must also have been known to and used by sailors and merchants of many nations, beginning in the early 16th century. Such general knowledge may have enabled sailors and soldiers frequenting the Spanish garrisons and naval yards at Cavite and Corregidor, as well as the residential and commercial areas of Intramuros and Ermita and later the fortifications of Zamboanga, to reinforce incipient pidgin Spanish or 'kitchen Spanish' (as PCS was known in Manila) tendencies among the local populace, resulting in the eventual fixation of a creolized verbal system with aspectual particles. Evidence exists that as late as the mid 18th century, Asian Portuguese creole did not use the particle ta or used it only sporadically (Lopes 1969: 76-7), with ja and lo being used for past and future, respectively, at times in conjunction with partially conjugated verbs. In 19th century Asian Portuguese, use of a third-person singular verb form instead of the infinitive, with or without an aspectual particle, is a common recourse, and while this has often been attributed to decreolization, it may just as well reflect another quasi-universal tendency among Iberian-based creoles, the use of the 3rd singular verb as the basic form (Lipski forthcoming a; Perl 1982, 1985, forthcoming a; Schuchardt 1979: 79). Several literary attestations of what appears to be PCS (e.g. V. López 1893, Montero y Vidal 1876, Retana 1921) also contain partially conjugated verbs, rather than a fully developed system of aspectual particles, and current speakers of Caviteño and particularly Zamboangueño mix non-conjugated and partially conjugated verbs with creole combinations of particle + stem, in fashions more suggestive of traditional usage than of recent decreolization.

While it is probable that some form of pidgin Portuguese was spoken in the Moluccas at the time of the Spanish takeover of that territory, it is not equally certain that the Mardikas evacuated from Ternate to Manila spoke a fully-developed Portuguese or Spanish creole, nor that their relative small number (some 200 families) immersed in the Spanish colony of Manila was sufficient to transmit intact whatever creolized language they may have brought with them. Those 'Mardika' words surviving until the 20th century have no recognizable Spanish or Portuguese roots (Tirona 1923) and recent contacts between residents of Ternate, Cavite and Ternate, Moluccas have produced great consternation on both sides, as absolutely no recognizable lexical or grammatical items are mutually shared (Tirona 1923, Nigoza 1985, personal communication). It is conceivable that a small group of Mardikas transferred from Manila to Tanza and Ternate and who later migrated to Cavite, brought with them some creolized Spanish or Portuguese constructions, which when added to the multilingual flux characterizing Cavite and Intramuros/Ermita catalyzed and channeled pidgin Spanish usage in those regions. This, how-

ever, is significantly different from the hypothesis of complete relexification of Moluccan creole Portuguese as the source for the original Manila Bay PCS dialects.

In summary, the chapter on the origins of the PCS dialects is far from closed, given major gaps in historical documentation. Most of the dialects which could have shed light on the issues have disappeared or are rapidly disappearing, and only collective efforts at comparative reconstruction and additional searches of historical records may permit refining currently available theories. The present remarks suggest caution in attributing Philippine Creole Spanish to a relexification of a completely established creole Portuguese, without adequate exploration of alternative routes of evolution.

#### REFERENCES

- AGUIRRE BELTRÁN, GONZALO. 1958. Cuijla: esbozo etnográfico de un pueblo negro. Mexico: Fondo de Cultura Económica. . 1971. La población negra de Mexico Second edition. Mexico: Fondo de Cultura Económica. ALVAREZ NAZARIO, MANUEL. 1974. El elemento afronegroide en el español de Puerto Rico. San Juan: Instituto de Cultura Puertorriqueña. APOSTOL, FELICIANA. 1962-1967. The Chabacano dialect. Series of articles appearing in the Southern Tribune, Zamboanga City, from 5 December, 1962 to 15 February 1967. . 1967. Cartilla Zamboangueña. Zamboanga City: 'El Maestro'. BARRENA, NICOLAS. 1957. Gramática annobonesa. Madrid: Instituto de Estudios Africanos. BATALHA, GRACIETE NOGUEIRA. 1958-9. Estado actual do dialecto macaense. Revista Portuguesa de Filologia 9. 177-213. . 1960 . Coincidências com o dialecto de Macau em dialectos espanhóis das Ilhas Filipinas. Boletim de Filologia 19. 295-303.
- BATAUSA, CORAZON. 1969. A descriptive-contrastive analysis of Chabacano and Tagalog noun reduplication patterns. Unpublished M.A. thesis, University of the Philippines.

. 1974. Língua de Macau. Macau: Imprensa Nacional.

- BICKERTON, DEREK and AQUILES ESCALANTE. 1970. Palenquero: a Spanish-based creole in northern Colombia. Lingua 32. 254-67.
- BIRMINGHAM, JOHN. 1970. The Papiamentu Language of Curação. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, University of Virginia.
- BOWEN, J. Donald. 1971. Hispanic languages and influence in Oceania. In Current trends in linguistics Volume 8, ed. by Thomas Sebeok, 938-52. The Hague: Mouton.
- CHATAIGNER, ABEL. 1963. Le créole portugais du Sénégal: observations et textes. Journal of African Languages 2. 44-71.

- CHAVES, LUIS. 1933. O 'cristão', papiá cristão, o 'secaní'. A Língua Portuguesa 3. 169-78.
- COELHO, F. ADOLFO. 1963. Os dialectos rômanicos ou neo-latinos na Africa, Asia e América. In Estudos linguisticos crioulos, ed. by J. Morais-Barbosa, 1-233. Lisbon: Academia Internacional de Cultura Portuguesa.
- COLLIER, BARBARA. 1977. On the origins of Lingua Franca. Journal of Creole Studies 1, 281-98.
- CONSTANTINO, ERNESTO. 1965. The sentence patterns of twenty-six Philippine languages. Lingua 15. 71-124.
- DALGADO, SEBASTIÃO. 1900. O dialecto indo-português de Ceylão. Lisbon: Imprensa Nacional.
- \_\_\_\_. 1900-1. Dialecto indo-português de Goa. Revista Lusitana 6. 63-84.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1902-3. Dialecto indo-português de Damão. Ta-ssi-yang-kuo 3. 359-67; 5. 515-23 684-94, 746-50.
- . 1906. Dialecto indo-português do norte. Revista Lusitana 9.142-66, 193-228.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1917. Dialecto indo-português de Negapatão. Revista Lusitana 20. 40-53.
- . 1919-21. Glossário luso-asiático. 2 vol. Coimbra: Imprensa Nacional.
- DA SILVA, BALTASAR LOPES. 1957. O dialecto crioulo de Cabo Verde. Lisbon: Imprensa Nacional.
- DIEZ, BLANCA. 1981. Language change in progress in the Portuguese of Macau. Unpublished Ph. D. dissertation, Georgetown University.
- DOMINGO, PILAR. 1967. Aspect and tense in Spanish and Zamboanga verbs. Unpublished M.A. thesis, University of the Philippines.
- ESTRADA, NICOLÁS. 1797. Explicación de la doctrina cristiana acomodada a la capacidad de los negros bozales. Havana: Imprenta de Boloña.
- EVANGELISTA, JOSE. 1972. An analytical study of the Chabacano verb. Unpublished M.A. thesis, Central Philippine University, Iloilo City.
- FERRAZ, LUIS. 1975. African Influences on Principense creole. In Miscelânea Luso-Africana, ed. by M. Valkhoff, 153-64. Lisbon: Junta de Investigações de Ultramar.
- \_\_\_\_. 1976. The substratum of Annobonese creole. Linguistics 173. 37-47.
- \_\_\_\_. 1978. The creole of São Tomé. African Studies 7. 3-68, 235-88.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1983. The origin and development of four creoles in the Gulf of Guinea. In The social context of creolization, ed. by Ellen Woolford and William Washabaugh, 120-5. Ann Arbor: Karoma.
- FERREIRA, JOSÉ DOS SANTOS. 1978. Papiá cristán de Macau. Macau: Tipografia da Missão do Padroado.

#### PORTUGUESE ELEMENT IN PHIL. CREOLE SPANISH

- FORMAN, MICHAEL. 1972. Zamboangueño texts with grammatical analysis. Unpublished Ph. D. dissertation, Cornell University.
- FRAKE, Charles. 1971. Lexical origins and semantic structure in Philippine creole Spanish. In Pidginization and creolization of languages, ed. by D. Hymes, 223-42. Cambridge: Cambridge University.
- FRIEDEMANN, NINA DE and CARLOS PATIÑO ROSSELLI. 1983. Lengua y sociedad en el Palenque de San Basilio. Bogotá: Instituto Caro y Cuervo.
- GERMAN, ALFREDO. 1932. The Spanish dialect of Cavite. Unpublished M.A. thesis, University of the Philippines.
- GOILO, E.W. 1953. Gramatica papiamentu. Curação: Hollandsche Boekhandel.
- GONZÁLEZ, CARLISLE and CELSO BENAVIDES. 1982. ¿Existen rasgos criollos en el habla de Samaná? In Español del Caribe, ed. by Orlando Alba, 105-32. Santiago de los Caballeros: Universidad Católica Madre y Maestra.
- GRANDA, GERMÁN DE. 1976a. Un plantamiento sociohistórico del problema de la formación del criollo portugués de Africa Occidental. Revue de Linguistique Romane 40. 299-310.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1976b. A socio-historical approach to the problem of Portuguese creole in West Africa. Linguistics 173. 11-22.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1978. Estudios Lingüísticos hispánicos, afrohispánicos y criollos. Madrid: Gredos.
- GÜNTHER, WILFRIED. 1973. Das portugiesische Kreolisch der Ilha do Principe. Marburg: Universität Marburg/Lahn.
- HADEL, RICHARD. 1969. Modern creoles and Sabir. Folklore Annual of the University Folklore Association 1. 35-43.
- HANCOCK, IAN. 1973. Malacca creole Portuguese: a brief transformational outline. Te Reo 16. 23-44.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1975. Malacca creole Portuguese: Asian, African or European? Anthropological Linguistics 17, 211-36.
- HESSELING, D. 1933. Papiamento en Negerhollands. Tijdschrift voor Nederlandsche Taal- en Letterkunde 52. 265-88.
- LELAND, CHARLES. 1876. Pidgin English Sing-Song or Songs and Stories in the China-English Dialect. Philadelphia: Lippincott.
- LENZ, RODOLFO. 1928. El papiamentu, la lengua criolla de Curazao. Santiago: Universidad de Chile.
- LIPSKI, JOHN., 1984. Observations on the Spanish of Malabo, Equatorial Guinea. Hispanic Linguistics 1. 69-96.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Forthcoming a. Vestigial Spanish and creole Spanish: evolutionary parallels.

  Linguistics.

. 1985. The Spanish of Equatorial Guinea. Tübingen: Max Niemeyer.

13

14

Forthcoming b. Philippine Creole Spanish dialects: problems and proposals. Oceania. . Forthcoming c. El español de Filipinas: breves apuntes. Anuario de Letras (Mexico). . Forthcoming d. On the construction ta + infinitive in Caribbean bozal Spanish Romance Philology. . Forthcoming e. The speech of the negros congos of Panama: vestigial creole Spanish. Hispanic Linguistics. . Forthcoming f. El Valle del Chota: enclave linguistico afroecuatoriano. Boletín de la Academia Puertorriqueña de la Lengua Española. LLAMADO, LIBRADA. 1969. An analysis of the basic structure of Cavite Chabacano. Unpublished M.A. thesis, Philippine Normal College, Manila. . 1972. Phrase-structure rules of Cavite Chavacano. Philippine Journal of Linguistics 3.67-96. LOPES, DAVID. 196.. A expansão da língua portuguesa no oriente durante os séculos XVI, XVII e XVIII. Porto: Editora Portucalense, 2nd ed. LOPEZ, CECILIO. 1965a. The Spanish overlay in Tagalog. Lingua 15. 467-504. \_. 1965b. Contributions to a comparative Philippine syntax. Lingua 15. 3-16. LÓPEZ, VENTURA. 1893. El filibustero. Madrid: Viuda de M. Minues de los Ríos. LOPEZ MORALES, HUMBERTO. 1980. Sobre la pretendida existencia y pervivencia del 'criollo' cubano. Anuario de Letras 18, 85-116. . 1983. Estratificación sociolectal frente a diglosia en el Caribe hispánica. Lingüística Española Actual 5, 205-22. MACASANTOS, ARMANDO. 1971. A constrastive analysis of Spanish and Chabacano concordance of forms and structures of noun-head modifications. Unpublished M. A. thesis, University of the Philippines. MAÑO, TORIBIA. 1963. The Zamboanga Chabacano grammar. Far Eastern University Journal (Manila) April 1963, 672-82. McKAUGHAN, HOWARD. 1954. Notes on Chabacano grammar. Journal of East Asiatic Studies 3, 205-26. MEGENNEY, WILLIAM. 1984. Traces of Portuguese in three Caribbean creoles: evidence in support of the monogenetic theory. Hispanic Linguistics 1, 177-90. . 1985. La influencia criolla-portuguesa en el español caribeño. Anuario de Linguistica Hispánica (Valladolid) 1. 157-80 . Forthcoming. Africa en Santo Domingo: su herencia linguistica. To be published by the Museo del Hombre Dominicano.

MIRANDA, GERVASIO. 1956. El dialecto chabacano de Cavite. Dumaguete City: n.p.

# PORTUGUESE ELEMENT IN PHIL. CREOLE SPANISH

- MOLONY, CAROL. 1973. Sound changes in Cabacano. In Parangal kay Lopez: Essays in honor of Cecilio Lopez on his seventy-fifth birthday, ed. by Andrew Gonzalez, 38-50. Quezon City: Linguistic Society of the Philippines.
  \_\_\_\_\_. 1977a. Semantic changes in Chabacano. In Langues en contact-pidgins-créoles-Languages in contact, ed. by J. Meisel, 153-66. Tübingen: Gunter Narr. Meisel
  \_\_\_\_\_. 1977b. Recent relexification processes in Philippine Creole Spanish. In Socio-cultural dimensions of language change, ed. by B. Blount and M. Sanches, 131-60. New York: Academic Press.
  MONTERO Y VIDAL, JOSÉ. 1876. Cuentos filipinos. Madrid: Aribau y Compañía.
  MORALES GOULET, ROSALINDA. 1971. English, Spanish and Tagalog: a study of grammatical, lexical and cultural interference. Manila: Linguistic Society of the Philippines.
  MUGLER, FRANCE. 1983. A comparative study of the pronominal systems of Romance-
- MUGLER, FRANCE. 1983. A comparative study of the pronominal systems of Romancebased creoles. Unpublished Ph. D. dissertation, University of Michigan.
- MUYSKEN, PIETER. 1981. Creole tense/mood aspect systems: the unmarked case? In Generative studies in creole languages, ed. by Pieter Muysken, 181-200. Dordrecht: FORIS.
- NARO, ANTHONY. 1978. A study on the origins of pidginization. Language 54. 314-47.
- NEGREIROS, ALMADA. 1895. História ethnográphica da Ilha de S. Thomé. Lisboa: José Bastos.
- NIGOZA, EVANGELINO. 1985. Notes on Ternateño vocabulary. Unpublished MS, Ternate City.
- OTHEGUY, RICARDO. 1975. The Spanish Caribbean: a creole perspective. In New ways of analyzing variation in English, ed. by C. Bailey and R. Shuy, 323-39. Washington: Georgetown University.
- PERL, MATTHIAS. 1982. Creole morphosyntax in the Cuban 'habla bozal'. Studii si Cercetari Lingvistice 5. 424-33.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1985. El fenómeno de descriollización del 'habla bozal' y el lenguaje coloquial de la variente cubana del español. Anuario de Lingüística Hispánica (Valladolid) 1. 191-202.
- \_\_\_\_\_. Forthcoming. Rasgos poscriollos léxicos en el lenguaje coloquial cubano. Thesaurus.
- PHELAN, JOHN. 1959. The hispanization of the Philippines. Madison: University of Wisconsin.
- QUILIS, ANTONIO. 1970. Notas de morfología verbal sobre el español hablado en Cavite y Zamboanga (Filipinas). In Homenaje universitario a Dámaso Alonso, 59-63. Madrid: Gredos.

15

. 1984. La lengua española en las Islas Filipinas. Cuadernos del Centro Cultural de la Embajada de España (Manila) 11. 1-22. RETANA, W. 1921. Diccionario de filipinismos. Revue Hispanique 51, 1-174. RIEGO DE DIOS, MARIA ISABELITA. 1976a. The Cotabato Chabacano verb. Philippine Journal of Linguistics 7. 48-59. . 1976b. A composite dictionary of Philippine Creole Spanish. Unpublished Ph. D. dissertation, Ateneo de Manila University. . 1978. A pilot study on the dialects of Philippine Creole Spanish. Studies in Philippine Linguistics 2.1.77-81. ROUT, LESLIE. 1976. The African experience in Spanish America. Cambridge: Cambridge University. SANTOS Y GOMEZ, ANTONIO. 1924. The Caviteño dialect. Tagalog Paper 448 of the Beyer Collection, Philippine National Library, Manila. SCANTAMBURLO, LUIGI. 1981. Gramática da língua criol da Guiné-Bissau. Bologna: Editrice Missionaria Italiana. SCHUCHARDT, HUGO. 1882. Kreolische Studien I: ueber das Negerportugiesische von S. Thomé. Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Wien 101.889-917. . 1883a. Kreolische Studien III: ueber das Indoportugiesische von Diu. Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Wien 103. 3-18. . 1883b. Kreolische Studien VI; ueber das Indoportugiesischen von mangaolre. Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Wein 105. 881-904. , 1883c. Kreolischen Studien II; ueber das Indoportugiesische von Cochim. Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Wien 102. 799-816. . 1888a. Beitrage zur Kenntnis des kreolischen Romanisch I. Allgemeines über das Negerportugiesische. Zeitschrift fur romanische Philologie 12. 242-54. . 1888b. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des kreolischen Romanisch II. Zum Negerportugiessischen Senegambiens Zeitschrift fur romanische Philologie 12. 301-12. . 1888c. Kreolische Studien VII. Ueber das Negerportugiesische von Annobom. Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Wien 116. 193-226. . 1888d. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des kreolischen Romanisch III. Zum Negerportugiesische des Kapverden. Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie 12. 312-22. . 1889a. Allgemeiners ueber das Indoportugiesishe. Zeitschrift fur romanische

Philologie 13. 476-524.

### PORTUGUESE ELEMENT IN PHIL. CREOLE SPANISH

- . 1889b. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des kreolischen Romanisch IV. Zum Negerportugiesische der Ilha do Principe. Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie 13. 463-75. . 1909. Die Lingua Franca. Zeitschrift für romanische Philologie 33. 441-61. . 1979. The enthnography of variation: Selected writings on pidgins and creoles, tr. T. Markey. Ann Arbor: Karoma. SCHURZ, WILLIAM LYTLE. 1985. The Manila galleon Second edition. Manila: Historical Conservation Society. SIBAYAN, BONIFACIO. 1971. The Philippines. In Current trends in linguistics Volume 8, ed. by T. Sebeok, 1038-62. The Hague: Mouton. SMITH, IAN. 1979. Convergence in South Asia: a creole example. Lingua 48. 193-222. TAYLOR, DOUGLAS. 1971. Grammatical and lexical affinities of creoles. in Pidginization and creolization of languages, ed. by D. Hymes, 293-6. Cambridge: Cambridge University. THEBAN, MARIA. 1974. A evolução sintáctica dos dialectos indo-portugueses. Studii si Cercetari Lingvistice 25. 107-10. THOMPSON, ROBERT. 1959. Two synchronic cross-sections in the Portuguese dialect of Macau, Orbis 8, 29-53. TIRONA, TOMAS. 1923. An account of the Ternate dialect of Cavite. Tagalog Paper 487 of the Beyer Collection, Philippine National Library, Manila. VALKHOFF, MARIUS. 1966. Studies in Portuguese and Creole. Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University. VAN WIJK, H. 1958. Orígenes y evolución del papiamentu. Neophilologus 42, 169-82. VILA, ISIDRO, 1891, Elementos de gramática annobonesa. Madrid: A. Pérez Dubrull. WEXLER, PAUL. 1983. Kristang as a tool for recovering the mid-15th century Portuguese reconnaissance language. Romance Philology 37, 139-50. WHINNOM, KEITH. 1954. Spanish in the Philippines. Journal of Oriental Studies 1. 129-94. . 1956. Spanish contact vernaculars in the Philippines. Hong Kong: Hong Kong University. . 1965. Origin of European-based creoles and pidgins. Orbis 14. 510-27. . 1977. The context and origins of Lingua Franca. In Langues en contact-pidgins-
- WILSON, W. 1962. The Crioulo of Guiné. Johannesburg: Witwatersrand University.

créoles-Languages in contact, ed. by J. Miesel, 3-18. Tübingen: Gunter Narr.

- WOLFF, JOHN. 1973-4. The character of borrowings from Spanish and English in the languages of the Philippines. Philippine Journal of Linguistics 4-5. 1-2. 72-82.
- YACOU, ALAIN. 1977. A propos du parler bossal, langue créole de Cuba. Espace Créole 2.73-92.