

## Section 10: Large sample theory

ARE 210

November 7, 2017

1) Derive the Fisher Information matrix of a  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  random variable. Construct an asymptotically consistent 95% confidence interval for  $\hat{\mu}_{MLE}$  using  $\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}$ . What is its asymptotic variance? How can we interpret it asymptotically?

$\log p(x; \mu, \sigma^2) = -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$ . Differentiating with respect to the parameters yields the first order conditions (score)  $s(x; \mu, \sigma^2) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \log p(x; \mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu} \\ \frac{\partial \log p(x; \mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma^2} \\ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^4} \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\sigma^k = (\sigma^2)^{k/2}$ . Applying the analogy principle to the population first order condition  $\mathbf{E}[s(x; \mu, \sigma^2)] = 0$  yields  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s(X_i; \hat{\mu}_{MLE}, \hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2) = 0$ . Solving this yields  $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_{MLE} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu}_{MLE})^2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Next, we can compute  $I(\mu, \sigma^2) = V[s] = \mathbf{E}[ss']$ , suppressing notation for the score  $s(x; \mu, \sigma^2)$ . Calculating this yields  $I(\mu, \sigma^2) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \end{pmatrix}$ . Using results for maximum likelihood estimators,  $\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_{MLE} - \mu \\ \hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 - \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I(\mu, \sigma^2)^{-1})$ . This gives us the asymptotically consistent 95% confidence interval for  $\hat{\mu}_{MLE}$

$$\left( \hat{\mu}_{MLE} + \Phi^{-1}(.025) \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2}{n}}, \hat{\mu}_{MLE} + \Phi^{-1}(.975) \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2}{n}} \right)$$

where  $\Phi$  is the normal CDF.

2) (Problem 1, PS5) Consider the parametric model  $\{p(x, \theta) : \theta > 0\}$  where

$$p(x, \theta) = \theta x^{\theta-1} \quad x \in (0, 1)$$

a. Suppose we observe an i.i.d. sample from this density. Find the Maximum Likelihood estimator of  $\theta$  and calculate the Fisher Information.

First,  $\log p(x, \theta) = \log \theta + (\theta - 1) \log x$ . This yields  $s(x, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} + \log x$ , where  $s$  is the score (derivative of  $\log$  likelihood with respect to  $\theta$ ). Population moment is  $\mathbf{E}[s(X, \theta)] = 0$ , which yields the sample equivalent  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s(X_i, \hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = 0$ . Solving yields the MLE  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = -\frac{1}{\overline{\log X}}$ , where  $\overline{\log X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log X_i$  the sample mean of  $\log X$ .

Next, we calculate  $I(\theta) = V[s(X, \theta)] = \mathbf{E}[s(X, \theta)^2]$ . Using the results that  $\mathbf{E}[\log X] = -\frac{1}{\theta}$  (from the population moment condition for the score) and  $\mathbf{E}[(\log X)^2] = \frac{2}{\theta^2}$  (by integration by parts) yields  $I(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$ .

b. Show whether the the MLE is consistent for  $\theta$ .

First, note that  $\overline{\log x} \xrightarrow{p} -\frac{1}{\theta}$ . This follows from the sample mean of the score is a consistent estimator of 0, which follows from the LLN (since the score has finite variance, since  $\theta > 0$ ). Applying the CMT to  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$  yields  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \xrightarrow{p} -\frac{1}{-\frac{1}{\theta}} = \theta$ , so  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$  is consistent for  $\theta$ .

c. Derive the limiting distribution of the MLE.

We can do this two ways. First, we can use results for MLE to state  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I(\theta)^{-1})$ , which yields  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \theta^2)$ .

Alternatively, applying the MVT to  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$  yields  $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta = \frac{1}{\tilde{b}_n^2}(\overline{\log X} - \mathbf{E}[\log X])$ , for  $\tilde{b}_n = \alpha \overline{\log X} + (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{E}[\log X]$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Note that  $\tilde{b}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{E}[\log X] = -\frac{1}{\theta}$ , and applying a CLT to  $\overline{\log X}$  yields  $\sqrt{n}(\overline{\log x} - \mathbf{E}[\log X]) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V[\log X])$ , where  $V[\log X] = \mathbf{E}[(\log X)^2] - \mathbf{E}[\log X]^2 = \frac{1}{\theta^2}$ . This yields  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \theta^2)$ .

d. Find a Method of Moments estimator for  $\theta$  and discuss its consistency.

First, note that MLE is a MOM estimator, using the moment restriction  $\mathbf{E}[\log X] + \frac{1}{\theta} = 0$ . Alternatively, we can use  $\mathbf{E}[X] - \frac{\theta}{\theta+1} = 0$ . The analogous estimator is defined by  $\bar{X} - \frac{\hat{\theta}_{MOM}}{\hat{\theta}_{MOM}+1} = 0$ , which yields  $\hat{\theta}_{MOM} = \frac{\bar{X}}{1-\bar{X}}$ . Since  $\mathbf{E}[X^2] = \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}$ ,  $X$  has a finite second moment, so by a LLN  $\bar{X} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\theta}{\theta+1}$ . Applying Slutsky's Rule and CMT yields  $\hat{\theta}_{MOM} \xrightarrow{p} \theta$ , so it is consistent.

e. Does there exist a UMVUE for  $\theta$ ? If so, does it attain the Cramer-Rao lower bound?

First, the MLE is biased (based on calculating it's expectation in Wolfram Alpha for  $n = 2$  and  $\theta = 2$ ), as is the MOM estimator we constructed. I'm not sure how

to construct an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ , so I don't know if UMVUE exists, nor if it attains the Cramer-Rao lower bound.

3) Prove the asymptotic normality of the GMM estimator.

Let  $\hat{\theta}_n = \arg \max_{b \in \Theta} -\frac{1}{2} m_n(b)^T S_n(W) m_n(b)$  be the GMM estimator, with everything defined as in the notes. The first order condition is  $M_n(\hat{\theta}_n) S_n(W) m_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$ . Next, applying the MVT to  $m_n(\hat{\theta}_n)$  yields  $m_n(\hat{\theta}_n) = m_n(\theta) + M_n(\tilde{b}_n)^T (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$ , for  $\tilde{b}_n = \alpha \hat{\theta}_n + (1 - \alpha)\theta$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Substituting this into the first order condition, and solving for  $\hat{\theta}_n - \theta$  yields

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) = - \left[ M_n(\hat{\theta}_n) S_n(W) M_n(\tilde{b}_n)^T \right]^{-1} M_n(\hat{\theta}_n) S_n(W) \sqrt{n} m_n(\hat{\theta}_n)$$

Next, by a ULLN,  $M_n(\hat{\theta}_n) \xrightarrow{p} M_n(\theta)$ , and  $M_n(\tilde{b}_n) \xrightarrow{p} M_n(\theta)$ . By assumption,  $S_n(W) \xrightarrow{p} S$ , and by a central limit theorem  $\sqrt{n} m_n(\hat{\theta}_n) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V[m(W, \theta)])$ . Let  $\Sigma = V[m(W, \theta)]$ . Applying Slutsky's rule to the expression above yields

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, (MSM^T)^{-1} MS \Sigma SM^T (MSM^T)^{-1})$$