

Section 6: Asymptotic theory and identification

ARE 210

October 10, 2017

The section notes are available on the section Github at github.com/johnloeser/are210 in the “section6” folder.

1 Definitions

- **Lindeberg-Levy CLT:** $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ iid random variables, X_i finite second moment, $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, $Y_n = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mathbf{E}[\bar{X}_n]}{\sqrt{V[\bar{X}_n]}}$, then $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$
 - $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ iid random vectors, mean μ and covariance matrix Σ , then $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma)$
- $X_n = O_p(1)$ if $\forall \epsilon, \exists B_\epsilon, N_\epsilon$ such that $P[|X_n| > B_\epsilon] < \epsilon$
 - $\frac{X_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{d} X \Rightarrow X_n = O_p(a_n)$
 - $\frac{X_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{p} 0 \Rightarrow X_n = o_p(a_n)$
- **Continuous mapping theorem:** g continuous $\Rightarrow (X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X))$
 - $g(F_n) \rightarrow g(F)$, where F_n is the empirical distribution
- **Slutsky's lemma:** $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} c$ (where c is constant), then $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$ and $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Xc$
 - $\sqrt{n}\bar{X}_n / \sqrt{s_n^2} \rightarrow N(0, 1)$
 - $P\left[\mu \in \left(\bar{X}_n + \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}}\Phi^{-1}(\alpha/2), \bar{X}_n + \frac{\sqrt{s_n^2}}{\sqrt{n}}\Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)\right)\right] \rightarrow 1 - \alpha$
- **Delta method:** $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} Y$, g differentiable at θ , then $\sqrt{n}(g(T_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} g'(\theta)Y$
 - $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma)$, then $\sqrt{n}(g(\bar{X}_n) - g(\mu)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, g'(\mu)\Sigma g'(\mu)^T)$
 - $dx_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$, then $g(x + dx_n) = g(x) + g'(x)dx_n + \frac{1}{2}dx_n g''(\theta)dx_n^T + O_p(dx_n^3)$ if g is thrice differentiable at x
- **Identification**
 - Data \mathbf{X} , takes values in sample space \mathcal{X} , \mathbf{X} has unknown distribution $P \in \mathbf{P}$ family of probability distributions on \mathcal{X} , typically assume
 1. $\mathbf{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$
 2. $\mathbf{X} = \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ iid, so probability distribution of data $\times_{i=1}^n P$
 - A **parameter** is a mapping $\nu : \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ (i.e. function of distribution of \mathbf{X})

- * $\theta(P) = \arg \max_{b \in \Theta} Q_0(b, P)$
- The **identified set** $\Theta(P) = \{\theta \in \Theta : \theta = \arg \max_{b \in \Theta} Q_0(b, P)\}$
 - * More generally, $\nu(P)$, where we allow ν to be set valued
 - * **Point identification** \Leftrightarrow the identified set is a singleton
- A typical problem:
 1. Show $\Theta(P)$ is a singleton ($P_{\theta_1} = P_{\theta_2} \Rightarrow \theta_1 = \theta_2$) or characterize the set
 2. Construct an estimator, often $\hat{\Theta}(P_n)$, where P_n is the empirical distribution
 3. Derive its asymptotic properties using implicit function theorem, delta method, ...

2 Practice questions

1) **O_p and Taylor approximations:** Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ iid, and $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, and let $\mu = \mathbf{E}[X_i]$.

- a) Show that $(\bar{X}_n - \mu)^k$ is $O(n^{-k/2})$.
- b) Show that if $T_n = O(n^k)$, then $T_n = o(n^{k+\epsilon}) \forall \epsilon > 0$.

2) **OLS:** Consider the OLS model - $Y_i = X_i' \beta + \epsilon_i$, with $(Y_i, X_i', \epsilon_i) \sim P$ iid, and assume $\mathbf{E}_P[X' \epsilon] = 0$ and $\mathbf{E}_P[XX']$.

- a) Show P is point identified.
- b) Suggest an estimator of β .
- c) Additionally, assume $\epsilon_i \perp X_i$. Derive the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\beta}$.

3) **Selection models and potential outcomes:** Consider the potential outcomes model (Y_{i1}, Y_{i0}, D_i) , where Y_{i1} and Y_{i0} are binary, and let $Y_i = Y_{i1} D_i + Y_{i0} (1 - D_i)$. Additionally, consider the selection model $Y_i = \mathbf{1}\{(1, D_i)\beta - U_i \geq 0\}$, where $U_i \sim N(0, 1)$, and assume $U_i \perp D_i$. Suppose (Y_i, D_i) are observed.

- a) Show that β is identified, and that the two models are observationally equivalent.
- b) Show that $\mathbf{E}[Y_{i1} | D_i = 0]$ is not identified. Calculate $\mathbf{E}[Y_{i1} | D_i = 0]$ using the selection model.
- c) How can we make the selection model more flexible to accomodate $\mathbf{E}[Y_{i1} | D_i = 0] \neq \mathbf{E}[Y_i | D_i = 1]$?