Resolution	and	SAT-solvina

Topics today! (1) Inference rules (2) Negoliution rule (3) Negoliution algorithm (4) SAT-solvers
(1) Inference mes
Inference mes A knowledge lave (US) is a set of sentences that are known to be true. (We can countive KB who a single sentence using N). e-q- KB = S P, P= Q, 7R, SnT?
e-g- KB = { P, P=)Q, 7R, SAT}
(same as KB= { P ~ P=)Q ~ ~ R ~ SAT }
Can add to ICB wishy inference mes:
e-y. "and elimbotion": if KnB EKB, can odd & to K Notation: anB
exercise: apply to above KB
"modus ponens": if LEKB and L=)BEKA,
"modus ponens": if $\alpha \in CB $ and $A \Rightarrow \beta \in CB $ Notation: $\alpha, \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ B 100
exercise: apply to above KB
"regolation": see next section

Desolution inference me, Resolution is an important inference rule. Basic idea is that apposite literals in separate clauses cancel each other ant, yielding a combined clause. Eg. PVQ, RVTQ yields PVR Comsine PVQVTRVS, QVRVTVTU Comsine gields PVQVSVTVTU

Exercise: Apply resolution to the KB { PV-Q, PVRV-S, SVT}

The resolution rule is inportant because it can be used as part of an algorithm that infers entailment. i.e. it decides whether ICB = or for any ICB, or. We study this resol.

3) Our simple resolution algorithm for entailment We want to determine whether KB Fd. Equivalently, is KB =) & valid ? Equivalently, is KB =) & unsatisfiable? This alg (Algorithm: - Conver KB 17x to CNF - Apply resolution repeatedly - If you ever get an enough clause, to teminate conclude that ICB FX ! The Look has a - It can't make any more clauses, conclude that ICB IF &. profit he had Starty Why? Became you've derived the empty clark, equiv to False, reaning 140 nd is unsatisfiable Why? Becane you can now satisfy KBn7a! Netailed proof in book (not reguling) but basizells just fill in the values)

Gxerise: ils = { P > Q, Q v R v S, S => P v Q }

- (i) Does KB entail QVR? (ii) Does KB entail 12~5?

- (4) Officiency of SAT-solvers
 - Note that the resolution algorithm above is just a particular method of determining satisfiability, also known as SAI-solving.
 - Satisfiability (or just "SAT") is of central importance in the theory of algorithms. It was the first problem to be proved NP-complete, in the early 1970s.

i.e. polynomial time

> means: no efficient algorithm is known to solve all instances

. If we did find an efficient alg, that alg would solve most other "hard" pollers in CS

· therefore, efficient alg for all instances probably doesn't exist

- So, our resolution algorithm takes hexponential fine (in the number of variables and/or clauses)
- Better algorithms are known (e.g. Davis-Putnam), but still exponential in the norst case
- Still, "modern solvers hardle problems with tens of millions of variables" see last paragraph of book 7.6-1, p262

- An interesting special case where a linear time solution excists: if the KB consists completely of Horn clauses

> clause with at most one positive literal eq. 7847CVD, 7PV7Q