

## Student Online Teaching Advice Notice

The materials and content presented within this session are intended solely for use in a context of teaching and learning at Trinity.

Any session recorded for subsequent review is made available solely for the purpose of enhancing student learning.

Students should not edit or modify the recording in any way, nor disseminate it for use outside of a context of teaching and learning at Trinity.

Please be mindful of your physical environment and conscious of what may be captured by the device camera and microphone during videoconferencing calls.

Recorded materials will be handled in compliance with Trinity's statutory duties under the Universities Act, 1997 and in accordance with the University's policies and procedures.

Further information on data protection and best practice when using videoconferencing software is available at [https://www.tcd.ie/info\\_compliance/data-protection/](https://www.tcd.ie/info_compliance/data-protection/).  
©Trinity College Dublin 2020

## 1 Week 1

### 1.1 Class 1

#### 1.1.1 Timetable 2020

#### Course Timetable (2020-21)

- Timetable:
  - Mon 2pm Online Lecture/Tutorial
  - Wed 4pm Online Lecture/Tutorial
  - Thu 2pm Online Lecture/Tutorial
  - Face2Face “pods” Weeks 4(?),7,10
- The timetable is possibly still in a state of flux, and the *authoritative* version of the timetable is in SITS ([my.tcd.ie](http://my.tcd.ie)).
- Class Management: Blackboard
- Assessment

- Exam : 80%, 2hr
- Continuous Assessment : 20%

### 1.1.2 Haskell Version

#### Haskell for CSU34016 (2020)

- We shall use the GHC compiler
- Coursework will be based on the use of the *stack* tool <https://www.stackage.org> <https://docs.haskellstack.org/en/stable/README/>
- Install *stack* and let it install ghc, at least as far as this course is concerned (see Lab00, to come).

### 1.1.3 FP Marketing

#### What is a functional programming language?

- Basic notion of computation: the application of functions to arguments.
- Basic idea of program: writing function definitions
- Functional languages are declarative: more emphasis on *what* rather than *how*.

#### Defining Haskell values

- Function definitions are written as equations
- `double x = x + x`  
`quadruple x = double (double x)`
- compute the length of a list

```
length [] = 0
length (x:xs) = 1 + length xs
```

recursion is the natural way to describe repeated computation

- Haskell can infer types itself (Type Inference)

## Type Polymorphism

- What is the type of `length`?

```
> length [1,2,3]
3
> length ['a','b','c','d']
4
> length [[],[1,2],[3,2,1],[],[6,7,8]]
5
```

- `length` works for lists of elements of arbitrary type `length :: [a] -> Int` Here 'a' denotes a type variable, so the above reads as “`length` takes a list of (arbitrary) type `a` and returns an `Int`”.
- A similar notion to “generics” in O-O languages, but builtin without fuss.

## Laziness

- What's wrong with the following (recursive) definition ?

```
from n = n : (from (n+1))
```

Nothing ! It just generates an infinite list of ascending numbers, starting from `n`.

- `take n list` — return first `n` elements of `list`.
- What is `take 10 (from 1)` ?

```
> take 10 (from 1)
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]
```

- Haskell is a *lazy* language, so values are evaluated only when needed.

## Program Compactness

- Sorting the empty list gives the empty list:

```
qsort [] = []
qsort (x:xs)
  = qsort [y | y <- xs, y < x]
    ++ [x]
    ++ qsort [z | z <- xs, z >= x]
```

- We have used Haskell list comprehensions `[y | y <- xs, y < x]` “build list of `ys`, where `y` is drawn from `xs`, such that `y < x`”
- Try that in Java !

### Whistle . . . Stop!

- Haskell is powerful, and quite different to most mainstream languages
- It allows very powerful programs to be written in a concise manner
- These languages originally developed for theorem provers and rewrite systems
- Very popular now for:
  - software static checkers, e.g., Facebook’s `infer` ([fbinfer.com](http://fbinfer.com))
  - quantitative analysis in financial services
  - Domain-Specific Languages (DSLs)
  - Front-end language handling and transformation.

## 1.2 Class 2

### 1.2.1 Lambda Calculus

#### The $\lambda$ -Calculus

- Invented by Alonzo Church in 1930s
- Intended as a form of logic
- Turned into a model of computation
- Not shown completely sound until early 70s !

#### $\lambda$ -Calculus: Syntax

Infinite set  $Vars$ , of variables:

$$u, v, x, y, z, \dots, x_1, x_2, \dots \in Vars$$

Well-formed  $\lambda$ -calculus expressions  $LExpr$  is the smallest set of strings matching the following syntax:

$$\begin{aligned} M, N, \dots \in LExpr \quad ::= & \quad v \\ & \quad | \quad (\lambda x \bullet M) \\ & \quad | \quad (M \ N) \end{aligned}$$

Read: a  $\lambda$ -calculus expression is either (i) a variable ( $v$ ); (ii) an *abstraction* of a variable from an expression ( $\lambda x \bullet M$ ); or (iii) an *application* of one expression to another ( $(M \ N)$ ).

COMMENTARY: Think of this a recipe to build valid  $\lambda$ -calculus expressions. Pick a variable  $a$ . This gives us a  $\lambda$ -calculus expression. We can use it to build an application so:  $(a \ a)$ . Now we can use those two to get three more applications:

$$(a \ (a \ a)) \quad ((a \ a) \ a) \quad ((a \ a) \ (a \ a))$$

We can continue like this indefinitely. We can also pick other variables ( $b$ ,  $c$ , say) and mix it up. We can do all of this with abstraction too:

$$(\lambda a \bullet a) \quad (\lambda c \bullet (a \ a)) \quad ((\lambda c \bullet (a \ c)) \ (b \ a))$$

## $\lambda$ -Calculus: Free/Bound Variables

- A variable *occurrence* is **free** in an expression if it is not mentioned in an *enclosing abstraction*.

$$x \quad (\lambda y \bullet (yz))$$

- A variable *occurrence* is **bound** in an expression if is mentioned in an *enclosing abstraction*.

$$x \quad (\lambda y \bullet (yz))$$

- A variable can be both **free** and **bound** in the same expression

$$(x(\lambda x \bullet (xy)))$$

Think of bound variables as being like local variables in a program.

COMMENTARY: Consider the following C function:

```
int f(int x) {int y; return (g*x+y); }
```

Here  $x$  and  $y$  are bound (local) variables. while  $g$  is a free (global) variable.

### $\lambda$ -Calculus: $\alpha$ -Renaming

We can change a binding variable and its bound instances provided we are careful not to make other free variables become bound.

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x \bullet (\lambda y \bullet (x \textcolor{red}{y}))) &\xrightarrow{\alpha} (\lambda u \bullet \lambda v \bullet (u \textcolor{red}{v})) \\ (\lambda x \bullet (x \textcolor{green}{y})) &\not\xrightarrow{\alpha} (\lambda y \bullet (\textcolor{red}{y} \textcolor{green}{y})) \\ &\text{formerly free } y \text{ has been "captured" !} \end{aligned}$$

This process is called  $\alpha$ -Renaming or  $\alpha$ -Substitution, and leaves the meaning of a term unchanged.

It's the same as changing the name of a local variable in a program (fine, but you need to take care if there is a global variable of the same name hanging around)

### $\lambda$ -Calculus: Substitution

We define the notion of substituting an expression  $N$  for all free occurrences of  $x$ , in another expression  $M$ , written:

$$\begin{aligned} M[N/x] \\ (x (\lambda y \bullet (z \textcolor{green}{y}))) [ (\lambda u \bullet u) / z ] &\xrightarrow{\rho} (x (\lambda y \bullet ((\lambda u \bullet u) \textcolor{green}{y}))) \\ (x (\lambda y \bullet (z \textcolor{red}{y}))) [ (\lambda u \bullet u) / y ] &\xrightarrow{\rho} (x (\lambda y \bullet (z \textcolor{red}{y}))) \\ &y \text{ was not free anywhere} \end{aligned}$$

### $\lambda$ -Calculus: Careful Substitution!

When doing (general) substitution  $M[N/x]$ , we need to avoid variable "capture" of free variables in  $N$ , by bindings in  $M$ :

$$(x (\lambda y \bullet (z \textcolor{red}{y})))[(y \textcolor{green}{x})/z] \not\xrightarrow{\rho} (x (\lambda y \bullet ((y \textcolor{red}{x}) \textcolor{red}{y})))$$

If  $N$  has free variables which are going to be inside an abstraction on those variables in  $M$ , then we need to  $\alpha$ -Rename the abstractions to something else first, and then

substitute:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (x (\lambda y \bullet (z \textcolor{red}{y})))[(\textcolor{green}{y} \ x)/z] \\
 \xrightarrow{\alpha} & (x (\lambda w \bullet (z \textcolor{red}{w})))[(\textcolor{green}{y} \ x)/z] \\
 \xrightarrow{\rho} & (x (\lambda w \bullet ((\textcolor{green}{y} \ x) \textcolor{red}{w})))
 \end{aligned}$$

The Golden Rule: A substitution should never make a free occurrence of a variable become bound, or vice-versa.

### $\lambda$ -Calculus: $\beta$ -Reduction

We can now define the most important “move” in the  $\lambda$ -calculus, known as  $\beta$ -Reduction:

$$(\lambda x \bullet M) N \xrightarrow{\beta} M[N/x]$$

We define an expression of the form  $(\lambda x \bullet M) N$  as a “ $(\beta-)$ redex” (*reducible expression*).

### $\lambda$ -Calculus: Normal Form

An expression is in “Normal-Form” if it contains no redexes.

The object of the exercise is to reduce an expression to its normal-form (*if it exists*).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (((\lambda x \bullet (\lambda y \bullet (y \ x))) \ u) \ v) \\
 \xrightarrow{\beta} & ((\lambda y \bullet (y \ u)) \ v) \\
 \xrightarrow{\beta} & (v \ u)
 \end{aligned}$$

Not all expressions have a normal form — e.g.:  $((\lambda x \bullet (x \ x)) (\lambda x \bullet (x \ x)))$

What about:

$$((\lambda x \bullet (\lambda y \bullet y)) ((\lambda x \bullet (x \ x)) (\lambda x \bullet (x \ x))) \ w) \ ?$$

$$((\lambda x \bullet (\lambda y \bullet y)) ((\lambda x \bullet (x x)) (\lambda x \bullet (x x))) ) w )$$

- Do innermost redex first

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda x \bullet (\lambda y \bullet y)) ((\lambda x \bullet (x x)) (\lambda x \bullet (x x))) ) w ) \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} & ((\lambda x \bullet (\lambda y \bullet y)) ((\lambda x \bullet (x x)) (\lambda x \bullet (x x))) ) w ) \end{aligned}$$

We can keep doing this forever!

- Do outermost (leftmost) redex first

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\lambda x \bullet (\lambda y \bullet y)) ((\lambda x \bullet (x x)) (\lambda x \bullet (x x))) ) w ) \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} & ((\lambda y \bullet y) w ) \\ \xrightarrow{\beta} & w \end{aligned}$$

## $\lambda$ -Calculus and Computability

- So What ? Why do we look at this weird calculus anyway?
- We can use it to encode booleans, numbers, and functions over same.
- In fact, we can encode any computable function this way!
- $\lambda$ -Calculus is Turing-complete
  - or is it that Turing machines are Church-complete?
- It is one of a number of *equivalent* models of computation that emerged in the 1930s

### And this has what to do with functions, exactly?

Consider a “conventional” function definition and application of that function to an argument:

$$f(x) = 2x + 1 \quad f(42)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& f(42) \\
= & \text{substitute } 42 \text{ for } x \text{ in definition r.h.s.} \\
& (2x + 1)[42/x] \\
= & \text{perform substitution} \\
& 2 \times 42 + 1
\end{aligned}$$

This is basically  $\beta$ -reduction!

What the  $\lambda$ -calculus captures is function definition and application ( $f = \lambda x. 2x + 1$ )

## Lambda abstraction in Haskell

The Haskell notation is designed to reflect how it looks in lambda-calculus

Since these values are themselves functions, we just apply them to values to compute something

```
> (\x -> 2 * x + 1) 42
85
```

Essentially we can view Haskell as being the (typed) lambda-calculus with *LOTS* of syntactic sugar.

## 1.3 Class 3

### 1.3.1 Getting GHC

#### Getting GHC

- Can't wait for the 1st exercise in order to get going?
- Strongly recommended: install **stack** ( see <https://docs.haskellstack.org/en/stable/README/> )
- Follow the Quickstart guide for Unix/OS X the default behaviour is usually fine for Windows read the Windows stuff carefully

### 1.3.2 Basics

#### Haskell Language Structure

- Haskell is built on top of a simple functional language (Haskell “Core”)
- Haskell Core is itself built on top of an extended form of the  $\lambda$ -calculus, that has value, types, primitive operations, and pattern-matching added on.
- A lot of syntactic sugar is added
- A large collection of standard types and functions are predefined and automatically loaded (the Haskell “Prelude”)
- There are a vast number of libraries that are also available
- See [www.haskell.org](http://www.haskell.org)

#### Patterns in Mathematics

In mathematics we often characterise something by laws it obeys, and these laws often look like patterns or templates:

$$\begin{aligned}0! &= 1 \\ n! &= n \times (n-1)!, \quad n > 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{len}(\langle \rangle) &= 0 \\ \text{len}(\ell_1 \frown \ell_2) &= \text{len}(\ell_1) + \text{len}(\ell_2)\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\langle \rangle$  denotes an empty list, and  $\frown$  joins two lists together.

Pattern matching is inspired by this (but with some pragmatic differences).

#### Factorial! as Patterns

Math:

$$\begin{aligned}0! &= 1 \\ n! &= n \times (n-1)!\end{aligned}$$

Haskell (without patterns):

```
factorial_nop n = if n==0 then 1 else n * factorial_nop (n-1)
```

Haskell (with patterns):

```
factorial 0 = 1
factorial n = n * factorial (n-1)
```

Formal argument `0` is shorthand saying check the argument to see if it is zero. If so, do my righthand side.

Formal argument `n` says, take the argument, and refer to it in my righthand side as `n`.

## Lists in Haskell

Lists of things are a very common datatype in functional languages similar to Haskell.

There is a standard approach to constructing lists:

- An empty list using: `[]`
- Given a term `x` and a list `xs` we can construct a list consisting of `x` followed by `xs` as follows: `x:xs`
- So the list 1,2,3 can be built as `1:2:3:[]` Brackets show how it is built up:  
`1:(2:(3:[]))`
- Syntactic Sugar:
  - We can write `[1,2,3]` as a shorthand for the above list
  - Lists can contain characters: `['H','e','l','l','o']` For character lists we have more shorthand: `"Hello"`
- Here `[]` and `:` are list *constructors* For historical reasons, `:` is pronounced “cons”.

## Length with Patterns

Math:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{len}(\langle \rangle) &= 0 \\ \text{len}(\ell_1 \frown \ell_2) &= \text{len}(\ell_1) + \text{len}(\ell_2) \\ \text{len}(\langle - \rangle \frown \ell) &= 1 + \text{len}(\ell) \\ \text{len}(\langle - \rangle) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Haskell:

```
mylength []      = 0
mylength (x:xs)  = 1 + mylength xs
```

The key idea in pattern-matching is that the syntax used to build values, can also be used to look at a value, determine how it was built, and extract out the individual sub-parts if required.

### Compact “Truth Tables”

Patterns can be used to give an elegant expression to certain functions, for instance we can define a function over two boolean arguments like this:

```
myand True True = True
myand _   _     = False
```

Key point: patterns are matched in order, and the first one to succeed is used.