Let y R to the power of n be the vector of the dependent variable (e. g., wages), and X R to the power of n k be the matrix of regressors where the first column is typically a column of ones (intercept) and subsequent columns represent explanatory variables (e. g., education, experience).

The vector R to the power of k contains the unknown coefficients, and R to the power of n is the vector of error terms. The linear regression model is given by y = X + . The classical Gauss-Markov assumptions ensure the OLS estimator has desirable properties: E[X] = 0 (errors average to zero), Var(X) = to the power of 2 I subscript n (constant variance), and rank(X) = k (no perfect multicollinearity).

The OLS estimator =  $(X^{\wedge} X)^{\wedge}-1 X^{\wedge} y$  minimizes the sum of squared residuals. It is unbiased (on average correct), meaning E[] =, with variance Var() = to the power of 2  $(X^{\wedge} X)^{\wedge}-1$ . The error variance to the power of 2 is estimated by to the power of 2 = 1n - k subscript i=1 to the power of n subscript i to the power of 2, where = y - X are the residuals.

For hypothesis testing, the t-statistic for H subscript 0: subscript j=0 is t subscript j=0 is the subscript j=0 is the power of 2 ( $X^X$ ) subscript j=0. Worked Example: Suppose we have n=3 observations and k=0 regressors (intercept and education). Let y=0 be the subscript y=0 because y=0 because

Compute  $X^X = \text{bmatrix } 3 \& 46 46 \& 724 \text{ bmatrix, } (X^X)^{-1} = 156 \text{ bmatrix } 724 \& -46 -46 \& 3 \text{ bmatrix, } \text{and } X^y = \text{bmatrix } 45 710 \text{ bmatrix.}$  Then = 156 bmatrix 724 45 - 46 710 -46 45 + 3 710 bmatrix = bmatrix -20 2 bmatrix. The estimated equation is wage = -20 + 2 education.

The intercept implies zero education corresponds to -\$20, 000 wages (nonsensical here, illustrating small-sample limitations). The slope suggests each additional year of education increases wages by \$2,000 on average.