# IDA Assignment 2

Johnny Lee, s1687781

# Q1.

Suppose  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are independent and identically distributed with cumulative distribution function given by

$$F(y;\theta) = 1 - e^{-y^2/(2\theta)}, \quad y \ge 0, \quad \theta > 0.$$

Further suppose that observations are (right) censored if  $Y_i > C$ , for some known C > 0, and let

$$X_i = \begin{cases} Y_i & \text{if} \quad Y_i \leq C, \\ C & \text{if} \quad Y_i > C, \end{cases} \qquad R_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad Y_i \leq C \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad Y_i > C \end{cases}$$

a)

Show that the maximum likelihood estimator based on the observed data  $\{(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i}.$$

#### Answer:

We first define the Survival function (from Workshop 3)as

$$S(C; \theta) = \mathbb{P}(Y_i > C; \theta) = 1 - F(y_i; \theta)$$

which also represents the censored observations. For the uncensored observations, we have

$$f(y_i; \theta) = \frac{d}{dy_i} F(y_i; \theta) = \frac{ye^{-y^2/2\theta}}{\theta}$$

Given that  $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$  are independent and identically distributed, we have the likelihood function as,

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( [f(y_i; \theta)]^{r_i} [S(C; \theta)]^{1-r_i} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( [\frac{ye^{-y^2/2\theta}}{\theta}]^{r_i} [e^{-C^2/\theta}]^{1-r_i} \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{y_i}{2\theta} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i} \exp\left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i y_i^2 + (1-r_i)C^2)}{2\theta} \right)$$
(1)

Now we can rewrite the term in the exponential as  $X_i$  can we expressed as

$$x_i = r_i y_i + C(1 - r_i)$$

Then by taking square on both sides we have,

$$x_i^2 = r_i^2 y_i^2 + (1 - r_i)^2 C^2 + 2r_i y_i C(1 - r_i)$$

Noting that  $R_i$  is binary, we can then conclude with the expression as

$$x_i^2 = r_i y_i^2 + (1 - r_i)C^2 (2)$$

Now we substitute (2) into (1) to have,

$$L(\theta|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{r}) = \left(\frac{y_i}{2\theta}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i} \exp\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{2\theta}\right)$$

$$\implies \log(L(\theta|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{r})) = -\log\theta \sum_{i=1}^n r_i - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\theta}$$

$$\implies \frac{d}{d\theta}\log L(\theta|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i + \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$
(3)

By equating the derivative to 0, we can obtain the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\theta$  as below.

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i} \quad \text{(shown)}$$

.

b)

Show that the expected Fisher Information for the observed data likelihood is

$$I(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2} (1 - e^{-C^2/(2\theta)})$$

Note:  $\int_0^C y^2 f(y;\theta) dy = -C^2 e^{-C^2/(2\theta)} + 2\theta (1 - e^{-C^2/(2\theta)})$ , where  $f(y;\theta)$  is the density function corresponding to the cumulative distribution function  $F(y;\theta)$  defined above.

### Answer:

From (3), we take another derivative of it and thus obtain as below

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}\log L(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i - \frac{x_i^2}{\theta^3}$$

Then, the Fisher Information for the observed data likelihood is,

$$I(\theta|\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{r}) = -\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i}{\theta^2} - \frac{x_i^2}{\theta^3}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{n\mathbb{E}(R)}{\theta^2} + \frac{n\mathbb{E}(X^2)}{\theta^3}$$

$$I(\theta|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{r}) = -\frac{n\mathbb{E}(R)}{\theta^2} + \frac{1}{\theta^3}\left(n\mathbb{E}(RY^2) + nC^2\mathbb{E}(1-R)\right)$$
(4)

Again, noting that  $R_i$  is binary,

$$\mathbb{E}(R) = 1 \cdot \mathbb{P}(R=1) + 0 \cdot \mathbb{P}(R=0)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(R=1) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le C)$$

$$= F(C; \theta) = 1 - e^{-C^2/2\theta}$$
(5)

With the given equation,  $\mathbb{E}(RY^2) = \int_0^C y^2 f(y;\theta) dy = -C^2 e^{-C^2/(2\theta)} + 2\theta(1-e^{-C^2/(2\theta)})$ , we can combine all the above equations as express the expected Fisher Information again,

$$I(\theta|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{n\mathbb{E}(R)}{\theta^{2}} + \frac{1}{\theta^{3}} \left( n\mathbb{E}(RY^{2}) + nC^{2}\mathbb{E}(1 - R) \right)$$

$$= \frac{-n}{\theta^{2}} (1 - e^{-C^{2}/2\theta}) - \frac{n}{\theta^{3}} (C^{2}e^{-C^{2}/2\theta}) + \frac{n}{\theta^{3}} (2\theta(1 - e^{-C^{2}/2\theta})) + \frac{n}{\theta^{3}} (C^{2}e^{-C^{2}/2\theta})$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^{2}} (1 - e^{-C^{2}/2\theta}) \quad \text{(shown)}$$
(6)

**c**)

Appealing to the asymptotic normality of the maximum likelihood estimator, provide a 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$ .

### Answer:

By the Central Limit Theorem, asymptotic normality of the maximum likelihood estimator is given as,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} - \theta) \sim N_p(0, I(\theta)^{-1})$$

Thus, with 0 and  $\frac{1}{I(\theta)}$  as the asymptotic mean and variance respectively, we can obtain the 95% confidence interval as below,

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \pm \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{nI(\theta)}} = \hat{\theta}_{MLE} \pm \frac{1.96 \cdot \theta_{MLE}}{\sqrt{n^2(1 - e^{-C^2/2\theta_{MLE}})}}$$

# Q2.

Suppose that a dataset consists of 100 subjects and 10 variables. Each variable contains 10% of missing values. What is the largest possible subsample under a complete case analysis? What is the smallest? Justify.

Suppose that  $Y_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  are iid for i = 1, ..., n. Further suppose that now observations are (left) censored if  $Y_i < D$ , for some known D and let

$$X_i = \begin{cases} Y_i & \text{if } Y_i \ge D, \\ D & \text{if } Y_i < D, \end{cases} \qquad R_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Y_i \ge D \\ 0 & \text{if } Y_i < D \end{cases}$$

**a**)

Show that the log-likelihood of the observed data  $\{(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  is given by

$$\log L(\mu, \sigma^2 | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ r_i \log \phi(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) + (1 - r_i) \log \Phi(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) \right\}$$

where  $\phi(\cdot; \mu, \sigma^2)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot; \mu, \sigma^2)$  stands, respectively, for the density function and cumulative distribution function of the normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

#### Answer:

We first define the Survival function (from Workshop 3)as

$$S(D; \mu, \sigma^2) = \mathbb{P}(Y_i < D; \mu, \sigma^2) = \Phi(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2)$$

which also represents the censored observations. For the uncensored observation, we have

$$\phi(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2)$$

Given that  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are independent and identically distributed, we have the likelihood function as,

$$L(\mu, \sigma^{2} | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( [\phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{r_{i}} [\Phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{1-r_{i}} \right)$$

$$\implies l(\mu, \sigma^{2} | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{r_{i}} [\Phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{1-r_{i}} \right)$$

$$= \log \left( [\phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}} [\Phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1-r_{i})} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( r_{i} \log \phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2}) + (1-r_{i}) \log \Phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2}) \right)$$
(7)

# b)

Determine the maximum likelihood estimate of  $\mu$  based on the data available in the file dataex2.Rdata. Consider  $\sigma^2$  known and equal to 1.5<sup>2</sup>. Note: You can use a built in function such as optim or the maxLik package in your implementation.

#### Answer:

We built a function log.lik() that produces the log likelihood and then used maxLik() to simulate  $\mu$  based on the data. With Newton-Raphson method, we estimated  $\hat{\mu} = 5.5328$  and standard error of 0.1075

# Q3.

Consider a bivariate normal sample  $(Y_1, Y_2)$  with parameters  $\theta = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_2^2)$ . The variable  $Y_1$  is fully observed, while some values of  $Y_2$  are missing. Let R be the missingness indicator, taking the value 1 for observed values and 0 for missing values. For the following missing data mechanisms state, justifying, whether they are ignorable for likelihood-based estimation.

$$\operatorname{logit}\{\mathbb{P}(R=0|y_1,y_2,\theta,\psi)\} = \psi_0 + \psi_1 y_1, \quad \psi = (\psi_0,\psi_1) \text{ distinct from } \theta.$$

#### Answer:

Referring to the ignorability assumption (from **Lecture 6.1**), the missing in  $Y_2$  is either **MAR** or **MCAR** and its model parameters,  $\theta = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_2^2)$  and missing mechanism parameter,  $\psi$ .

First, the missing mechanism is **MAR**. This is because the missingness is only dependent on  $Y_1$  which is a fully observed variable. The parameters,  $\{\theta, \psi\}$  are also distinct. Therefore, the ignorability assumption holds here and (a) is ignorable for likelihood-based estimation.

$$\operatorname{logit}\{\mathbb{P}(R=0|y_1,y_2,\theta,\psi)\} = \psi_0 + \psi_1 y_2, \quad \psi = (\psi_0,\psi_1) \text{ distinct from } \theta.$$

#### Answer:

The missing mechanism is **MNAR** as the mechanism is only dependent on  $Y_2$ . Therefore, the missing value is depending on itself and possibly other factors. Therefore, by referring to the ignorability assumption (from **Lecture 6.1**), we conclude that (b) is not ignorable for likelihood-based estimation.

**c**)

$$logit{\mathbb{P}(R=0|y_1,y_2,\theta,\psi)} = 0.5(\mu_1 + \psi y_1), scalar \ \psi \ distinct \ from \ \theta.$$

#### Answer:

The missing mechanism here is dependent on both  $\mu_1$  and  $Y_1$ . We can observe similarity to (a). Distinctness of the parameters means that the parameter space of  $(\theta, \psi)$  is equal to the Cartesian product of their individual product spaces. However, the  $\mu_1$  also exists in the parameter space. This violates the ignorability assumption. Hence, (c) is not ignorable for likelihood-based estimation.

Q4.

$$Y_i \stackrel{\text{ind.}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p_i(\beta))$$

$$p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{exp(\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1)}{1 + exp(\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1)},$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1)'$ . Although the covariate x is fully observed, the response variable Y has missing values. Assuming ignorability, derive and implement the EM algorithm to compute the MLE of  $\beta$  based on the data available in dataex4.Rdata. Note: 1) For simplicity, and without loss of generality because we have a univariate pattern of missingness, when writing down your expressions, you can assume that the first m values of Y are observed and the remaining n - m are missing. 2) You can use a built in function such as optim or the maxLik package for the M-step.

#### Answer:

#### head(dataex4)

```
## X Y

## 1 -0.4689827 1

## 2 -0.2557522 1

## 3 0.1457067 1

## 4 0.8164156 NA

## 5 -0.5966361 1

## 6 0.7967794 NA

cat("Number of missing values in Y:", sum(is.na(dataex4)))
```

### ## Number of missing values in Y: 95

Scrutinising on the dataset, we can observe that the missing value only occurs in Y and there are 95 missing values occurring in a univariate pattern

We first derive the likelihood function to implement the EM algorithm given that  $y_{obs} = y_1, \ldots, y_m$  and  $y_{mis} = y_{m+1}, \ldots, y_n$ .

$$L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \left[ p_{i}(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}) \right]^{y_{i}} \left[ 1 - p(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}) \right]^{1-y_{i}} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}}{1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}} \right)^{y_{i}} \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}} \right)^{1-y_{i}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \log L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_{i} \log \left( \frac{e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}}{1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}} \right) + (1 - y_{i}) \log \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}} \right) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \log L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_{i} \log(e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) - \log(1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) - y_{i} \log(1 + e^{\beta + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) + y_{i} \log(1 + e^{\beta + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \log L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_{i} (\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}) - \log(1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) \right)$$

$$= l(\beta; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}})$$

$$(8)$$

Now we proceed to implement the EM algorithm by calculating  $Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\beta^{(t)}})$ 

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\beta^{(t)}}) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y_{mis}}}[l(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}})|\boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta^{(t)}}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i(\beta_0 + x_i\beta_i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \log(1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}) \right) + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \left( (\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1) \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y_{mis}}}[y_i|\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\beta^{(t)}}] \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( y_i(\beta_0 + x_i\beta_i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \log(1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}) \right) + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \left( (\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1)p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right)$$

$$(\mathbb{E}(Y_i) = p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \text{ as } Y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})))$$

$$(9)$$

Next step follow with M-step by computing the partial derivatives.

In the code, we have used for the stopping criterion as below

$$|p^{(t+1)} - p^{(t)}| + |\beta_0^{(t+1)} - \beta_0^{(t)}| + |\beta_1^{(t+1)} - \beta_1^{(t)}| < \varepsilon$$

## $Q_5$

Consider a random sample  $Y_1, ..., Y_n$  from the mixture distribution with density

$$f(y) = p f_{\text{LogNormal}}(y; \mu, \sigma^2) + (1 - p) f_{\text{Exp}}(y; \lambda),$$

with

$$f_{\text{LogNormal}}(y; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{y\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log y - \mu)^2\right\}, \quad y > 0, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sigma > 0$$
$$f_{\text{Exp}}(y; \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y}, \quad y \ge 0, \quad \lambda > 0$$

and  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (p, \mu, \sigma^2, \lambda)$ 

**a**)

Derive the EM algorithm to find the updating equations for  $\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t+1)}} = (p^{(t+1)}, \mu^{(t+1)}, (\sigma^{(t+1)})^2, \lambda^{(t+1)})$ .

#### Answer:

Let us consider a mixture model of Log-Normal and Exponential distributions.

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \le y) = p \cdot \frac{1}{y\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log y - \mu)^2\right\} + (1 - p) \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda y}$$

Let  $z_i$  be binary latent variables indicating component membership, i.e.

$$z_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_i \text{ belong to } f_{\text{LogNormal}}(y; \mu, \sigma^2) \\ 0 & \text{if } y_i \text{ belong to } f_{\text{Exp}}(y; \lambda) \end{cases}$$

The observed data in this context is  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1 \dots y_n)$  and the missing data is  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1 \dots z_n)$ . The likelihood of the complete data  $(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})$  is

$$L(\theta; \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left( p \cdot \frac{1}{y_i \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log y_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \right)^{z_i} \left( (1-p) \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda y_i} \right)^{1-z_i}$$

$$\implies \log L(\theta; \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i \left( p \cdot \frac{1}{y_i \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log y_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1-z_i) \left( (1-p) \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda y_i} \right)$$

$$(10)$$

with the corresponding log likelihood, we proceed to E-Step,

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) = \mathbb{E}_Z(\log L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}) | \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}})$$
= (11)

**b**)

Using the dataset datasetex5.Rdata implement the EM algorithm and find the MLEs for each component of  $\theta$ . As starting values, you might want to consider  $\theta^{(0)} = (p^{(0)}, \mu^0), (\sigma^{(0)})^2, \lambda^{(0)}) = (0.1, 1, 0.5^2, 2)$ . Draw the histogram of the data with the estimated density superimposed.

#### Answer: