IDA Assignment 2

Johnny Lee, s1687781

25th March 2022

Q1.

Suppose Y_1, \dots, Y_n are independent and identically distributed with cumulative distribution function given by

$$F(y;\theta) = 1 - e^{-y^2/(2\theta)}, \quad y \ge 0, \quad \theta > 0.$$

Further suppose that observations are (right) censored if $Y_i > C$, for some known C > 0, and let

$$X_i = \begin{cases} Y_i & \text{if} \quad Y_i \leq C, \\ C & \text{if} \quad Y_i > C, \end{cases} \qquad R_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad Y_i \leq C \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad Y_i > C \end{cases}$$

a)

Show that the maximum likelihood estimator based on the observed data $\{(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is given by

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i}.$$

Answer:

We first define the Survival function (from Workshop 3)as

$$S(C; \theta) = \mathbb{P}(Y_i > C; \theta) = 1 - F(y_i; \theta)$$

which also represents the censored observations. For the uncensored observations, we have

$$f(y_i; \theta) = \frac{d}{dy_i} F(y_i; \theta) = \frac{ye^{-y^2/2\theta}}{\theta}$$

Given that Y_1, \ldots, Y_n are independent and identically distributed, we have the likelihood function as,

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left([f(y_i; \theta)]^{r_i} [S(C; \theta)]^{1-r_i} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\left[\frac{y_i e^{-y_i^2/2\theta}}{\theta} \right]^{r_i} [e^{-C^2/\theta}]^{1-r_i} \right)$$

$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{r_i} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\theta} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i} \exp\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i y_i^2 + (1-r_i)C^2)}{2\theta} \right)$$
(1)

Now we can rewrite the expression inside the exponential in terms of X_i . X_i can be expressed as

$$x_i = r_i y_i + C(1 - r_i)$$

Then by taking square on both sides we have,

$$x_i^2 = r_i^2 y_i^2 + (1 - r_i)^2 C^2 + 2r_i y_i C(1 - r_i)$$

Noting that R_i is binary, we can then conclude with the expression as

$$x_i^2 = r_i y_i^2 + (1 - r_i)C^2 (2)$$

Now we substitute (2) into (1) to have,

$$L(\theta|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{r}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{r_{i}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}} \exp\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{i} y_{i}^{2} + (1 - r_{i}) C^{2})}{2\theta}\right)$$

$$\implies \log(L(\theta|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{r})) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \log(y_{i}) - \log \theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}{2\theta}$$

$$\implies \frac{d}{d\theta} \log L(\theta|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} + \frac{1}{2\theta^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}$$
(3)

By equating the derivative to 0, we can obtain the maximum likelihood estimate of θ as below.

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i} \quad \text{(shown)}$$

.

b)

Show that the expected Fisher Information for the observed data likelihood is

$$I(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta^2} (1 - e^{-C^2/(2\theta)})$$

Note: $\int_0^C y^2 f(y;\theta) dy = -C^2 e^{-C^2/(2\theta)} + 2\theta (1 - e^{-C^2/(2\theta)})$, where $f(y;\theta)$ is the density function corresponding to the cumulative distribution function $F(y;\theta)$ defined above.

Answer:

From (3), we take another derivative of it and thus obtain as below

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}\log L(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i - \frac{x_i^2}{\theta^3}$$

Then, the Fisher Information for the observed data likelihood is,

$$I(\theta|\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{r}) = -\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i}{\theta^2} - \frac{x_i^2}{\theta^3}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{n\mathbb{E}(R)}{\theta^2} + \frac{n\mathbb{E}(X^2)}{\theta^3}$$

$$I(\theta|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{r}) = -\frac{n\mathbb{E}(R)}{\theta^2} + \frac{1}{\theta^3}\left(n\mathbb{E}(RY^2) + nC^2\mathbb{E}(1-R)\right)$$
(4)

Again, noting that R_i is binary,

$$\mathbb{E}(R) = 1 \cdot \mathbb{P}(R=1) + 0 \cdot \mathbb{P}(R=0)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(R=1) = \mathbb{P}(Y \le C)$$

$$= F(C; \theta) = 1 - e^{-C^2/2\theta}$$
(5)

With the given equation, $\mathbb{E}(RY^2) = \int_0^C y^2 f(y;\theta) dy = -C^2 e^{-C^2/(2\theta)} + 2\theta(1-e^{-C^2/(2\theta)})$, we can combine all the above equations as express the expected Fisher Information again,

$$I(\theta|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{n\mathbb{E}(R)}{\theta^{2}} + \frac{1}{\theta^{3}} \left(n\mathbb{E}(RY^{2}) + nC^{2}\mathbb{E}(1 - R) \right)$$

$$= \frac{-n}{\theta^{2}} (1 - e^{-C^{2}/2\theta}) - \frac{n}{\theta^{3}} (C^{2}e^{-C^{2}/2\theta}) + \frac{n}{\theta^{3}} (2\theta(1 - e^{-C^{2}/2\theta})) + \frac{n}{\theta^{3}} (C^{2}e^{-C^{2}/2\theta})$$

$$= \frac{n}{\theta^{2}} (1 - e^{-C^{2}/2\theta}) \quad \text{(shown)}$$
(6)

c)

Appealing to the asymptotic normality of the maximum likelihood estimator, provide a 95% confidence interval for θ .

Answer:

By the Central Limit Theorem, asymptotic normality of the maximum likelihood estimator is given as,

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \sim N_p(\theta, I(\theta)^{-1})$$

Thus, with 0 and $\frac{1}{I(\theta)}$ as the asymptotic mean and variance respectively, we can obtain the 95% confidence interval as below,

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} \pm \frac{1.96}{\sqrt{I(\theta)}} = \hat{\theta}_{MLE} \pm \frac{1.96 \cdot \theta_{MLE}}{\sqrt{n(1 - e^{-C^2/2\theta_{MLE}})}}$$

Q2.

Suppose that a dataset consists of 100 subjects and 10 variables. Each variable contains 10% of missing values. What is the largest possible subsample under a complete case analysis? What is the smallest? Justify.

Suppose that $Y_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ are iid for i = 1, ..., n. Further suppose that now observations are (left) censored if $Y_i < D$, for some known D and let

$$X_i = \begin{cases} Y_i & \text{if } Y_i \ge D, \\ D & \text{if } Y_i < D, \end{cases} \qquad R_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Y_i \ge D \\ 0 & \text{if } Y_i < D \end{cases}$$

a)

Show that the log-likelihood of the observed data $\{(x_i, r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ is given by

$$\log L(\mu, \sigma^2 | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ r_i \log \phi(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) + (1 - r_i) \log \Phi(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) \right\}$$

where $\phi(\cdot; \mu, \sigma^2)$ and $\Phi(\cdot; \mu, \sigma^2)$ stands, respectively, for the density function and cumulative distribution function of the normal distribution with mean μ and variance σ^2 .

Answer:

We first define the Survival function (from Workshop 3)as

$$S(D; \mu, \sigma^2) = \mathbb{P}(Y_i < D; \mu, \sigma^2) = \Phi(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2)$$

which also represents the censored observations. For the uncensored observation, we have

$$\phi(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2)$$

Given that X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent and identically distributed, we have the likelihood function as,

$$L(\mu, \sigma^{2} | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left([\phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{r_{i}} [\Phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{1-r_{i}} \right)$$

$$\implies l(\mu, \sigma^{2} | \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{r}) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{r_{i}} [\Phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2})]^{1-r_{i}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(r_{i} \log \phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2}) + (1 - r_{i}) \log \Phi(x_{i}; \mu, \sigma^{2}) \right) \quad \text{(shown)}$$

b)

Determine the maximum likelihood estimate of μ based on the data available in the file dataex2.Rdata. Consider σ^2 known and equal to 1.5². Note: You can use a built in function such as optim or the maxLik package in your implementation.

Answer:

```
#defining a function to simulate the log likelikhood
log.lik <- function(mu, data){</pre>
 x <- data[, 1]
 r <- data[, 2]
 sum((r*dnorm(x, mu, 1.5, log = TRUE) +
        (1-r)*pnorm(x, mu, 1.5, log = TRUE)))
}
#computing the maximum likelihood estimate of mu
mle <- maxLik(logLik = log.lik, data = dataex2, start = c(mu = mean(dataex2$X)))</pre>
summary(mle)
## -----
## Maximum Likelihood estimation
## Newton-Raphson maximisation, 2 iterations
## Return code 8: successive function values within relative tolerance limit (reltol)
## Log-Likelihood: -336.3821
## 1 free parameters
## Estimates:
     Estimate Std. error t value Pr(> t)
##
                0.1075
                        51.48 <2e-16 ***
## mu
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## -----
```

We built a function log.lik() that produces the log likelihood and then used maxLik() to simulate μ based on the data. With Newton-Raphson method, we estimated $\hat{\mu} = 5.5328$ and standard error of 0.1075

Q3.

Consider a bivariate normal sample (Y_1, Y_2) with parameters $\theta = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_2^2)$. The variable Y_1 is fully observed, while some values of Y_2 are missing. Let R be the missingness indicator, taking the value 1 for observed values and 0 for missing values. For the following missing data mechanisms state, justifying, whether they are ignorable for likelihood-based estimation.

$$\operatorname{logit}\{\mathbb{P}(R=0|y_1,y_2,\theta,\psi)\} = \psi_0 + \psi_1 y_1, \quad \psi = (\psi_0,\psi_1) \text{ distinct from } \theta.$$

Answer:

Referring to the ignorability assumption (from **Lecture 6.1**), the missing in Y_2 is either **MAR** or **MCAR** and its model parameters, $\theta = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1^2, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_2^2)$ and missing mechanism parameter, ψ .

First, the missing mechanism is **MAR**. This is because the missingness is only dependent on Y_1 which is a fully observed variable. The parameters, $\{\theta, \psi\}$ are also distinct. Therefore, the ignorability assumption holds here and (a) is ignorable for likelihood-based estimation.

$$\operatorname{logit}\{\mathbb{P}(R=0|y_1,y_2,\theta,\psi)\} = \psi_0 + \psi_1 y_2, \quad \psi = (\psi_0,\psi_1) \text{ distinct from } \theta.$$

Answer:

The missing mechanism is **MNAR** as the mechanism is only dependent on Y_2 . Therefore, the missing value is depending on itself and possibly other factors. Therefore, by referring to the ignorability assumption (from **Lecture 6.1**), we conclude that (b) is not ignorable for likelihood-based estimation.

c)

$$logit{\mathbb{P}(R=0|y_1,y_2,\theta,\psi)} = 0.5(\mu_1 + \psi y_1), scalar \ \psi \ distinct \ from \ \theta.$$

Answer:

The missing mechanism here is dependent on both μ_1 and Y_1 thus **MAR**. We can observe similarity to (a). Distinctness of the parameters means that the parameter space of (θ, ψ) is equal to the Cartesian product of their individual product spaces. However, the μ_1 also exists in the parameter space. This violates the ignorability assumption. Hence, (c) is not ignorable for likelihood-based estimation.

Q4.

$$Y_i \stackrel{\text{ind.}}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p_i(\beta))$$

$$p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{exp(\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1)}{1 + exp(\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1)},$$

for $i=1,\dots,n$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}=(\beta_0,\beta_1)'$. Although the covariate x is fully observed, the response variable Y has missing values. Assuming ignorability, derive and implement the EM algorithm to compute the MLE of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ based on the data available in dataex4.Rdata. Note: 1) For simplicity, and without loss of generality because we have a univariate pattern of missingness, when writing down your expressions, you can assume that the first m values of Y are observed and the remaining n-m are missing. 2) You can use a built in function such as optim or the maxLik package for the M-step.

Answer:

```
load("dataex4.Rdata")
head(dataex4)
```

```
## X Y

## 1 -0.4689827 1

## 2 -0.2557522 1

## 3 0.1457067 1

## 4 0.8164156 NA

## 5 -0.5966361 1

## 6 0.7967794 NA

cat("Number of missing values in Y:", sum(is.na(dataex4)))
```

Number of missing values in Y: 95

Scrutinising on the dataset, we can observe that the missing value only occurs in Y and there are 95 missing values occurring in a univariate pattern

We first derive the likelihood function to implement the EM algorithm given that $y_{obs} = y_1, \ldots, y_m$ and $y_{mis} = y_{m+1}, \ldots, y_n$.

$$L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left([p_{i}(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1})]^{y_{i}} [1 - p(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1})]^{1-y_{i}} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}}{1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}} \right)^{y_{i}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}} \right)^{1-y_{i}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \log L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} \log \left(\frac{e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}}{1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}} \right) + (1 - y_{i}) \log \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}} \right) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \log L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} \log(e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) - \log(1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) - y_{i} \log(1 + e^{\beta + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) + y_{i} \log(1 + e^{\beta + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \log L(\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} (\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}) - \log(1 + e^{\beta_{0} + x_{i}\beta_{1}}) \right)$$

$$= l(\beta; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}})$$

$$(8)$$

Now we proceed to implement the EM algorithm by calculating $Q(\beta|\beta^{(t)})$

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}|\boldsymbol{\beta^{(t)}}) = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y_{mis}}}[l(\boldsymbol{\beta}; \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{y_{mis}})|\boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\beta^{(t)}}]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(y_i(\beta_0 + x_i\beta_i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\log(1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}) \right) + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \left((\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1) \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{y_{mis}}}[y_i|\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y_{obs}}, \boldsymbol{\beta^{(t)}}] \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(y_i(\beta_0 + x_i\beta_i) \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\log(1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}) \right) + \sum_{i=m+1}^{n} \left((\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1)p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta^{(t)}}) \right)$$

$$(\mathbb{E}(Y_i) = p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \text{ as } Y_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i(\boldsymbol{\beta})))$$

$$(9)$$

Now we differentiate Q with respect to β_0 and β_1 for the M-Step

$$\frac{d}{d\beta_0}Q(\beta|\beta^{(t)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(y_i - \frac{e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}\right) + \sum_{i=m+1}^n \left(\frac{e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}} + x_i\beta_1 \frac{e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}{(1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1})^2} + \beta_0 \frac{e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}{(1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1})^2}\right)
\frac{d}{d\beta_1}Q(\beta|\beta^{(t)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(y_ix_i - x_i\frac{e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}\right) + \sum_{i=m+1}^n \left(\beta_0 \frac{x_ie^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}{(1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1})^2} + x_i\frac{e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}{1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}} + x_i\beta_1 \frac{x_ie^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1}}{(1 + e^{\beta_0 + x_i\beta_1})^2}\right)
(10)$$

The solutions of the derivatives have no closed form expression and thus we need to resort to numerical methods. Before we proceed to the code, we first need to preprocess dataex4 due to the NA values by arranging it.

```
#rearranging the order where the NA values go the last
dataex4 <- dataex4[order(dataex4$Y),]</pre>
row.names(dataex4) <- NULL</pre>
head(dataex4,5)
              ΧY
## 1 0.3215956 0
## 2 0.2582281 0
## 3 0.4352370 0
## 4 -0.2277718 0
## 5 -0.3193020 0
tail(dataex4,5)
##
               X
                  Y
## 496 0.6989142 NA
## 497 0.8936356 NA
## 498 0.7551561 NA
## 499 0.8782734 NA
## 500 0.6924915 NA
```

We can confirm that the order of dataex4 is changed where the NA values are at the last. Now we proceed to the implementation of the EM algorithm. In the code, we have used for the stopping criterion as below

$$|\beta_0^{(t+1)} - \beta_0^{(t)}| + |\beta_1^{(t+1)} - \beta_1^{(t)}| < \varepsilon$$

```
#function to compute the Q function
qfn <- function(param, data){
  beta0 <- param[1]; beta1 <- param[2]
  x <- data[, 1]; y <- data[, 2]
  express <- beta0 + x[1:405]*beta1</pre>
```

```
express.na \leftarrow beta[1] + x[406:500]*beta[2]
  express.all <- beta0 + x*beta1
  express.fix \leftarrow beta0 + x[406:500]*beta1
  sum(y[1:405]*express) - sum(log(1 + exp(express.all))) +
    sum(express.fix*exp(express.na)/(1 + exp(express.na)))
}
#using maxlik
beta <- c(0,0)
diff <- 1
while(diff > 0.000001){
    mle <- maxLik(logLik = qfn, data = dataex4, start = beta)</pre>
    diff <- sum(abs(mle$estimate - beta))</pre>
    beta <- mle$estimate
}
cat("The value for beta0:", beta[1], "\n",
"The value for beta1:", beta[2])
## The value for beta0: 0.9755263
## The value for beta1: -2.480383
#using optim
beta <- c(0,0)
diff <- 1
while(diff > 0.000001){
    mle <- optim(beta, qfn, data = dataex4,</pre>
                 control = list(fnscale = -1), hessian = TRUE)
    diff <- sum(abs(mle$par - beta))</pre>
    beta <- mle$par
}
cat("The value for beta0:", beta[1], "\n",
   "The value for beta1:", beta[2])
## The value for beta0: 0.9757079
## The value for beta1: -2.479987
```

 Q_5

Consider a random sample $Y_1, ..., Y_n$ from the mixture distribution with density

$$f(y) = p f_{\text{LogNormal}}(y; \mu, \sigma^2) + (1 - p) f_{\text{Exp}}(y; \lambda),$$

with

$$f_{\text{LogNormal}}(y; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{y\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log y - \mu)^2\right\}, \quad y > 0, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \ \sigma > 0$$
$$f_{\text{Exp}}(y; \lambda) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y}, \quad y \ge 0, \quad \lambda > 0$$

and $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (p, \mu, \sigma^2, \lambda)$

a)

Derive the EM algorithm to find the updating equations for $\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t+1)}} = (p^{(t+1)}, \mu^{(t+1)}, (\sigma^{(t+1)})^2, \lambda^{(t+1)})$.

Answer:

Let us consider a mixture model of Log-Normal and Exponential distributions.

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \le y) = p \cdot \frac{1}{y\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log y - \mu)^2\right\} + (1 - p) \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda y}$$

Let z_i be the binary latent variables indicating component membership, i.e.

$$z_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_i \text{ belong to } f_{\text{LogNormal}}(y; \mu, \sigma^2) \\ 0 & \text{if } y_i \text{ belong to } f_{\text{Exp}}(y; \lambda) \end{cases}$$

The observed data in this context is $\mathbf{y} = (y_1 \dots y_n)$ and the missing data is $\mathbf{z} = (z_1 \dots z_n)$. The likelihood of the complete data (\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) is

$$L(\theta; \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(p \cdot \frac{1}{y_i \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log y_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \right)^{z_i} \left((1-p) \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda y_i} \right)^{1-z_i}$$

$$\implies \log L(\theta; \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i \log \left(p \cdot \frac{1}{y_i \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\log y_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1-z_i) \log \left((1-p) \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda y_i} \right)$$
(11)

with the corresponding log likelihood (11), we proceed to E-Step,

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) = \mathbb{E}_{Z}(\log L(\boldsymbol{\theta};\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{z})|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}(Z_{i}|y_{i},\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) \log \left(p \cdot \frac{1}{y_{i}\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} (\log y_{i} - \mu)^{2} \right\} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \mathbb{E}(Z_{i}|y_{i},\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}})) \log \left((1-p) \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda y_{i}} \right)$$

$$(12)$$

We know that $\mathbb{E}(Z_i|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) = \mathbb{P}(Z_i = 1|y_i,\theta^{(t)})$, and applying Bayes Theorem and the Law of Total Probability, we obtain,

$$\mathbb{E}(Z_{i}|\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) = \mathbb{P}(Z_{i} = 1|y_{i},\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}})$$

$$= \frac{\left(p^{(t)} \cdot \frac{1}{y_{i}\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^{2})^{(t)}}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2(\sigma^{2})^{(t)}} (\log y_{i} - \mu^{(t)})^{2}\right\}\right)}{\left(p \cdot \frac{1}{y_{i}\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^{2})^{(t)}}} \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2(\sigma^{2})^{(t)}} (\log y_{i} - \mu^{(t)})^{2}\right\}\right) \left((1 - p^{(t)}) \cdot \lambda^{(t)} e^{-\lambda^{(t)} y_{i}}\right)}$$

$$= \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$
(13)

Therefore, we substitute 13 into 12

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)} \log \left(p \cdot \frac{1}{y_{i}\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} (\log y_{i} - \mu)^{2} \right\} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)}) \log \left((1 - p) \cdot \lambda e^{-\lambda y_{i}} \right)$$

$$(14)$$

For the M-step, we only need to compute the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p}Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) = 0 \implies p^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)}}{n}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) = 0 \implies \mu^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)} \log(y_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^{2}}Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) = 0 \implies (\sigma^{(t+1)})^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)} (\log(y_{i}) - \mu^{(t+1)})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)} y_{i}}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda}Q(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}}) = 0 \implies \lambda^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}(1 - \tilde{p}_{i}^{(t)})}$$
(15)

b)

Using the dataset datasetex5.Rdata implement the EM algorithm and find the MLEs for each component of θ . As starting values, you might want to consider $\theta^{(0)} = (p^{(0)}, \mu^{0)}, (\sigma^{(0)})^2, \lambda^{(0)}) = (0.1, 1, 0.5^2, 2)$. Draw the histogram of the data with the estimated density superimposed.

Answer:

```
load("dataex5.Rdata")
```

In the code, we have used for the stopping criterion

$$|p^{(t+1)} - p^{(t)}| + |\mu^{(t+1) - \mu(t)}| + |(\sigma^{(t+1)})^2 - (\sigma^{(t)})^2| + |\lambda^{(t+1)} - \lambda^{(t)}| < \varepsilon$$

with $\varepsilon = 0.00001$. For the starting values we use $\theta^{(0)} = (p^{(0)}, \mu^{(0)}, (\sigma^{(0)})^2, \lambda^{(0)}) = (0.1, 1, 0.5^2, 2)$ as given.

```
mixture.model <- function(y, theta, eps){</pre>
  n <- length(y)</pre>
  #initialising the parameters
  p <- theta[1]; mu <- theta[2]; sigma <- theta[3]; lambda <- theta[4]
  diff <- 1
  while(diff > eps){
  theta.old <- theta
  #E-step: computing ptilde
  ptilde1 <- p*dlnorm(y, mean = mu, sd = sigma)</pre>
  ptilde2 \leftarrow (1 - p)*dexp(y, lambda)
  ptilde <- ptilde1/(ptilde1 + ptilde2)</pre>
  #M-step: computing each parameter
  p <- mean(ptilde)</pre>
  mu <- sum(log(y)*ptilde)/sum(ptilde)</pre>
  sigma <- sqrt(sum(((log(y) - mu)^2)*ptilde)/sum(ptilde))</pre>
  lambda <- sum(1 - ptilde)/sum(y*(1 - ptilde))</pre>
  #Checking with stopping criterion
  theta <- c(p, mu, sigma, lambda)
  diff <- sum(abs(theta - theta.old))</pre>
  }
  return(theta)
#Performing the EM algorithm on Mixture Model
res \leftarrow mixture.model(y = dataex5, c(0.1, 1, 0.5, 2), 0.000001)
p <- res[1]; mu <- res[2]; sigma <- res[3]; lambda <- res[4]</pre>
cat("The value for p is", p)
```

```
## The value for p is 0.47955
```

```
cat("The value for mu is", mu)
```

The value for mu is 2.013262

```
cat("The value for sigma^2 is", sigma)
```

```
## The value for sigma^2 is 0.9293769
cat("The value for lambda is", lambda)
```

The value for lambda is 1.033019

Mixture Model of Log-Normal & exp

