Delft University of Technology Master's Thesis in Embedded Systems

Leveraging VLC for energy disaggregation in Smart Buildings

Johnny Verhoeff





Leveraging VLC for energy disaggregation in Smart Buildings

Master's Thesis in Embedded Systems

Embedded Software Section Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science Delft University of Technology Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands

 $\label{lem:continuous} Johnny\ Verhoeff \\ j.s.c.j.verhoeff@student.tudelft.nl$

 $25 {\rm th~March}~2016$

Author

Johnny Verhoeff (j.s.c.j.verhoeff@student.tudelft.nl)

Title

Leveraging VLC for energy disaggregation in Smart Buildings

MSc presentation

 $25 th\ March\ 2016$

Graduation Committee

TODO GRADUATION COMMITTEE Delft University of Technology TODO GRADUATION COMMITTEE Delft University of Technology

Abstract

TODO ABSTRACT

Preface

TODO MOTIVATION FOR RESEARCH TOPIC

TODO ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

TODO AUTHOR

Delft, The Netherlands 25th March 2016

Contents

Pı	refac	е		•				
1	Intr	oducti	ion	1				
2	CHAPTER TITLE							
	2.1	SECT	TION TITLE	. :				
	2.2	CDM	A	. !				
		2.2.1	Performance metrics of a code	. !				
		2.2.2	Hadamard Sequences	. (
			PN Sequences					
3	Conclusions and Future Work							
	3.1 Conclusions							
	3.2	Future	e Work	(



Chapter 1

Introduction

TODO INTRODUCTION

TODO ORGANISATIONAL DESCRIPTION OF THESIS

Chapter 2

CHAPTER TITLE

INTRODUCTION TEXT TO THIS CHAPTER IN WHICH ALL SECTIONS ARE DESCRIBED ROUGHLY (1 SENTENCE EACH).

This chapter describes the ... In Section 2.1, examples are given of how to use tables and figures in MSc theses.

2.1 SECTION TITLE

Every caption of a table (or figure) should start with a capital letter, and should end with a period. References to tables are given with a capital letter for table, as in "(see Table 2.1)" or "in Table 2.1, …".

left aligned	centred	right aligned
12	34	56

Table 2.1: Complete sentence describing the tabular data.

References to figures are given with a capital letter for figure, as in "(see Figure 2.1)" or "in Figure 2.1, …".

[1] [2]

Delft University of Technology



Figure 2.1: Complete sentence describing the figure thoroughly.

2.2 CDMA

This section will explain what CDMA is, alternatives and why it is needed. When transmitting data from a transmitter to a receiver over a channel, the entire channel is being used for this purpose. If one wants to have multiple transmitters transmitting over one channel, there is a problem. The transmitters interfere with each other, this is called multiple access interference (MAI). There are several ways to get around this problem:

- TDM: Time Division Multiplexing.

 Each transmitter gets assigned a time slot, in which it and only it is allowed to transmit, hereby going around the MAI problem.
- FDM: Frequency Division Multiplexing.

 Each transmitters gets assigned a frequency band. Each transmitter is allowed to transmit the whole time, but only at the assigned frequencies.
- CDM: Code Division Multiplexing.

 Each transmitter gets assigned a code word. The data first needs to be encoded using the code word and then the transmitter can send his message. Each transmitter can transmit all the time using the entire frequency band. These codes will determine how many transmitters can actually transmit with correct decoding results at the receiver end.

The distributed network of the VLC LEDs is inherently uncoordinated, since all the LEDs are basically only lights. They have no receiver of any kind. They can only implicitly transmit data by turning the load or the LED on or off. Because the LEDs cannot receive data, they cannot be assigned a time slot and therefor we cannot use a TDM scheme.

An FDM scheme is also not applicable. This is because the LEDs do not have an explicit hardware transmitter that can modulate a signal. Instead the transmitting is implicitly done via turning the LED on and off. So only binary values of the current draw are sent as signals.

This is where the CDM approach comes into play. This scheme allows the multiple LEDs to transmit at the same time. But the type of code used here is of importance. The code type determines the MAI and what the receiver is able to decode.

2.2.1 Performance metrics of a code

To determine which code is the best for this problem some measures are needed to be able to compare the codes.

One such a measure is called the correlation. Correlation is a measure for determining how much sequence X is similar to sequence Y and can

be found in Equation 2.1. With L being the length of the code and τ the time-shift. When sequence X and Y are the same sequence, we speak of the autocorrelation. When they are two different sequences, we speak of the cross-correlation.

$$R(\tau)_{xy} = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} x(i) \times y(i+\tau) \text{ with } \tau = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$$
 (2.1)

The properties of an ideal code set should be, that the autocorrelation for each code in the set should be 0 for each time-shift $\tau \neq 0$, at $\tau = 0$ the autocorrelation should be L. The ideal cross-correlation properties should 0 for every time-shift τ , so that no code interferes with any other code, hereby causing no MAI.

2.2.2 Hadamard Sequences

Hadamard sequences are sequences which are created using a Hadamard matrix. Hadamard matrices are $n \times n$ matrices which are recursively generated. Starting with a 1×1 matrix: $H_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$, then $H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Or in general: $H_{2n} = \begin{bmatrix} H_n & H_n \\ H_n & -H_n \end{bmatrix}$.

The Hadamard matrix has the property that every row in the matrix is orthogonal to every other row. Hadamard matrices exist for every power of 2, so the code length is also a power of 2. So for $\tau = 0$, the cross-correlation is 0, but when $\tau \neq 0$ not all the rows are orthogonal anymore. Only the rows for which the index is a power of 2 are still orthogonal to each other. These codes are called Cyclically Orthogonal Walsh Hadamard Codes (COWHC). And with code length equal to L, with L being a power of 2 there are only $\log_2(L)$ number of COWH codes.

All rows of the matrix have the property that the autocorrelation at $\tau = 0$ is equal to L. But when $\tau \neq 0$, undesirable behavior occurs as can be seen in Figure 2.2. The autocorrelation function has several high peaks where only one is desired. This means that if a transmitter sends an encoded message with this code and the receiver does not know when in time the start of the message is, the receiver would get false positives for data.

So only a small subset of the codes have a 0 for every time-shift τ and the autocorrelation is far from what the ideal code set should have.

2.2.3 PN Sequences




Figure 2.2: Autocorrelation of Hadamard code with index 120 of length 256.

Chapter 3

Conclusions and Future Work

3.1 Conclusions

TODO CONCLUSIONS

3.2 Future Work

TODO FUTURE WORK

Bibliography

- [1] Test author. Tes title. c, e(f):g, h d. i. [2] IOJASDOIJASDOIJAS. b, volume e of g. g, re, e edition, e h. e.