Next-Next-Gen Notes Object-Oriented Maths

JP Guzman

February 7, 2018

1 Mathematical Logic

1.1 NaiveMaster

(
($set, element, \in$
($element[x] \in set[y] := x$ belongs to y
($x \subseteq y := x$ is included in y
($x=y:=\mathbf{x}$ is the same thing as y $:=x\subseteq y, y\subseteq x$
($x \subset y, x \not\subseteq y := \text{proper subset}$ $:= x \neq y, x \subseteq y$
($x \cup y :=$ all elements in x or y
($x \cap y :=$ all elements in x and y
(disjoint(x,y) := disjoint sets $:= x \cap y = \emptyset$
(1	$\{e_1,e_2,e_3,\cdots,e_n\}$:= unordered set containing e_1,e_2,e_3,\cdots,e_n $\{e_1,e_2,e_3\}=\{e_3,e_1,e_2\}$
(1	$\langle e_1,e_2,e_3,\cdots,e_n \rangle$:= ordered tuple containing e_1,e_2,e_3,\cdots,e_n $\langle e_1,e_2,e_3 \rangle \neq \langle e_2,e_3,e_1 \rangle$
(1	$X^k = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \cdots, e_n\}^k := \text{set of all ordered k-tuples from the elements of } e_1, e_2, e_3, \cdots, e_n$ $X^1 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \cdots, e_n\}^1 = \{\langle e_1 \rangle, \langle e_2 \rangle, \langle e_3 \rangle, \cdots, \langle e_n \rangle\} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \cdots, e_n\} = X$
(1	$\begin{aligned} Y \times Z = & \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \cdots, y_i\} \times \{z_1, z_2, z_3, \cdots, z_j\} := \text{Cartesian product} \\ := & \bigcup_{a \leq i, b \leq j} (\{\langle y_a, z_b \rangle\}) \end{aligned}$

 $R_Y^k \subseteq Y^k := \text{k-tuple relation R}$ on the set Y takes only tuples that satisfy some relation $P_Y \subseteq Y := \text{property P of the set Y}$ (14) $\langle y, z \rangle \in binaryRelation(R_X^2) = yR_X^2 z$ domain(Y), range(Z) $field(R) = Y \cup Z$ $\langle a, b \rangle \in inverse(R^{-1}) : \langle b, a \rangle \in R$ $reflexive(R_X^2): xR_X^2x$ $symmetric(R_X^2): xR_X^2y = yR_X^2x$ $transitive(R_X^2): xR_X^2y, yR_X^2z: xR_X^2z$ $equivalence Relation(R_X^2) := reflexive(R_X^2), symmetric(R_X^2), transitive(R_X^2)$ (15)take this introshit more srsly(16)

Logic and Set Theory

Logical Truths and Operators

(17) $truth[t][] := t = \begin{cases} T \\ F \end{cases}$ (18) $statement[s][] := correctSyntaxSemantics^![s][]$ (19)proposition[s,t][] := (statement[s][]), (truth[t][]).(20) $operatorOR[\lor][x,y] := \big(truth[x][]\big), \big(truth[y][]\big), \\ truth[x\lor y][] = \begin{cases} F & x=F,y=F \\ T & x=F,y=T \\ T & x=T,y=F \\ T & x=T,u=T \end{cases}.$ (21) $operator AND[\land][x,y] := ig(truth[x][]ig), ig(truth[y][]ig), \ truth[x \land y][] = egin{cases} F & x = F, y = F \\ F & x = F, y = T \\ F & x = T, y = F \\ T & x = T, y = T \end{pmatrix}.$ (22) $operator NOT[\neg][x] := (truth[x][]), \left(truth[\neg x][] = \begin{cases} T & x = F \\ F & x = T \end{cases}\right).$ (23) $boolean Algebra[\{T,F\},\land,\lor,\lnot][]:={}^{POS-LCom}\big((x\land y=y\land x),(x\lor y=y\lor x)\big)\ \#\ \text{Commutative},$ $POS-LDis\left(\left(x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)\right), \left(x \vee (y \wedge z) = (x \vee y) \wedge (x \vee z)\right)\right) \text{ $\#$ Distributive,}$ $POS-LIdn\left(\left(x \wedge T = x\right), (x \vee F = x)\right) \text{ $\#$ Identity,}$

$$POS-LCmp((x \land \neg x = F), (x \lor \neg x = T)) \# Complement.$$
 (24)

$$operatorXOR[\veebar][x,y] := (truth[x][]), (truth[y][]), \left(truth[x \veebar y][] = \begin{cases} F & x = F, y = F \\ T & x = F, y = T \\ T & x = T, y = F \\ F & x = T, y = T \end{cases} \right). \tag{25}$$

$$operatorIF[\Longrightarrow][x,y] := (truth[x][]), (truth[y][]), \left(truth[x\Longrightarrow y][] = (\neg x) \lor y = \begin{cases} T & x = F, y = F \\ T & x = F, y = T \\ F & x = T, y = F \\ T & x = T, y = T \end{cases}\right). \tag{26}$$

$$THM-LExp-1 (F=x \land \neg x) \Longrightarrow THM-LExp-2 (x),$$

$$THM-LExp-3 (\neg x),$$

$$THM-LExp-4 (x \lor y),$$

$$THM-LExp-4 (x \lor y),$$

$$THM-LExp-4 (y).$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-1 (F \Longrightarrow y)$$

$$THM-LExp-2$$

$$THM-LExp-2$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-1 (F \Longrightarrow y)$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-1$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-1$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-3$$

$$THM-LExp-4$$

$$THM-LExp-5$$

The Principle of Explosion, anything follows from a false (F) premise (27)

$$operatorOIF[\longleftarrow][x,y] := (truth[x][]), (truth[y][]), \left(truth[x \longleftarrow y][] = (\neg y) \lor x = \begin{cases} T & x = F, y = F \\ F & x = F, y = T \\ T & x = T, y = F \\ T & x = T, y = T \end{cases}\right). \tag{28}$$

$$operatorIIF(\Longrightarrow)[x,y] := (truth[x][]), (truth[y][]),$$

$$\left(truth[x \Longleftrightarrow y][] = (x \Longrightarrow y) \land (y \Longrightarrow x) = \begin{cases} T & x = F, y = F \\ F & x = F, y = T \\ F & x = T, y = F \\ T & x = T, y = T \end{cases} \right).$$
 (29)