# ECE M146 - HW 5

May 14, 2020

John Rapp Farnes | 405461225

1

By the definition of Kernel, we have that  $K(x,y) = \varphi(x)^T \varphi(y)$  for some function  $\varphi$ . As such

$$K(x_{1}, x_{2})^{2} - K(x_{1}, x_{1})K(x_{2}, x_{2})$$

$$= \underbrace{\varphi(x_{1})^{T} \varphi(x_{2})}_{=(\varphi(x_{1})^{T} \varphi(x_{2}))^{T}} \cdot \varphi(x_{1})^{T} \varphi(x_{2}) - \varphi(x_{1})^{T} \varphi(x_{1}) \cdot \varphi(x_{2})^{T} \varphi(x_{2})$$

$$= |\varphi(x_{1})^{T} \varphi(x_{2})|^{2} - ||\varphi(x_{1})||^{2} ||\varphi(x_{2})||^{2}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we have that

$$|u^T v|^2 - ||u||^2 ||v||^2 \le 0$$

for any vectors u and v. Letting  $u = \varphi(x_1)$  and  $v = \varphi(x_2)$ , we have that

$$K(x_1, x_2)^2 \le K(x_1, x_1)K(x_2, x_2)$$

We have that *K* valid kernel  $\Leftrightarrow$  *K* positive semi-definite  $\Leftrightarrow$   $x^TKx \ge 0 \ \forall x \ne 0$ 

#### 2.1 (a)

Hence, we have that

$$x^{T}K(x,x')x = x^{T}(K_{1}(x,x') + K_{2}(x,x'))x$$

$$= \underbrace{x^{T}K_{1}(x,x')x}_{\geq 0} + \underbrace{x^{T}K_{2}(x,x')x}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\geq 0 \implies K \text{ valid kernel}$$

#### 2.2 (b)

We have that

$$x^{T}Kx = x^{T}(K_{1}K_{2})x$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{i} \varphi_{1}(x_{i})^{T} \varphi_{1}(x_{j}) \varphi_{2}(x_{i})^{T} \varphi_{2}(x_{j}) x_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{i} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [\varphi_{1}^{(k)}(x_{i}) \varphi_{1}^{(k)}(x_{j}) \varphi_{2}^{(k)}(x_{i}) \varphi_{2}^{(k)}(x_{j})] x_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{i} \varphi_{1}^{(k)}(x_{i}) \varphi_{1}^{(k)}(x_{j}) \varphi_{2}^{(k)}(x_{i}) \varphi_{2}^{(k)}(x_{j}) x_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \underbrace{[x_{i} \varphi_{1}^{(k)}(x_{i}) \varphi_{2}^{(k)}(x_{i})]^{2}}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\geq 0 \implies K \text{ valid kernel}$$

#### 2.3 (c)

For a given matrix X, we have  $\exp(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} X^k$ Further we have that any scalar  $a \ge 0$  is positive semi-definite, as

$$x^{T}ax = ax^{T}x = \underbrace{a}_{\geq 0} \underbrace{|x|^{2}}_{\geq 0} \geq 0$$

As such,  $exp(K_1)$  is a sum of matrices  $\frac{1}{k!}K_1^k$ , which are products of positive semi-definite matrices (as  $\frac{1}{k!} \ge 0 \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $K_1$  is PSD), and is therefore positive semi-definite.

By solving  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w} = 0$  when constructing the dual problem (lecture 8, the "soft" term does not change the result), we obtained

 $w = \sum_{m \in S} \alpha_m y^{(m)} x^{(m)}$ 

We know from the problem formulation that vectors  $x^{(n)}$  on the margin satisfy  $w^T x^{(n)} + b = \pm 1$ , depending on their label. The points on the margin are those with  $\epsilon_n = 0$  and  $\alpha_n > 0$ . By  $\beta_n \epsilon_n = 0$ , we have  $\beta_n > 0$  at such a point, and we therefore have by  $C - \alpha_n - \beta_n = 0$  that  $0 < \alpha_n < C \implies n \in M$ . As such, we have:

$$w^T x^{(n)} + b = y^{(n)} \Leftrightarrow b = y^{(n)} - w^T x^{(n)} \forall n \in M$$

As shown in lecture, rather than obtaining b for a single vector, a more numerically stable result is achieved by computing b as the average of these equations, as such:

$$b = \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{n \in M} [y^{(n)} - w^T x^{(n)}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{n \in M} [y^{(n)} - (\sum_{m \in S} \alpha_m y^{(m)} x^{(m)})^T x^{(n)}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_M} \sum_{n \in M} [y^{(n)} - \sum_{m \in S} \alpha_m y^{(m)} \langle x^{(n)}, x^{(m)} \rangle]$$

## 4.1 (a)

We have, using Bayes' rule:

$$P(A = k | C = 0) = \frac{P(A = k, C = 0)}{P(C = 0)}$$

I will calculate these probabilities in python:

# **4.1.1** P(A|C=0)

```
[1]: P_C_0 = .096+.024+.224+.056

P_A_0C_0 = .096+.024
P_A_1C_0 = .224+.056

P_A_0_C_0 = P_A_0C_0 / P_C_0
P_A_1_C_0 = P_A_1C_0 / P_C_0

print(f'P_A_0_C_0={P_A_0_C_0}')
print(f'P_A_1_C_0={P_A_1_C_0}')
```

P\_A\_0\_C\_0=0.3 P\_A\_1\_C\_0=0.7000000000000002

$$\therefore P(A = 0|C = 0) = 0.3, P(A = 1|C = 0) = 0.7$$

## **4.1.2** P(B|C=0)

We can use the same formula as for P(A|C=0)

```
[2]: P_B_0C_0 = .096+.224
P_B_1C_0 = .024+.056

P_B_0_C_0 = P_B_0C_0 / P_C_0
P_B_1_C_0 = P_B_1C_0 / P_C_0

print(f'P_B_0_C_0={P_B_0_C_0}')
print(f'P_B_1_C_0={P_B_1_C_0}')
```

P\_B\_0\_C\_0=0.8 P\_B\_1\_C\_0=0.2

$$\therefore P(B=0|C=0) = 0.8, P(B=1|C=0) = 0.2$$

**4.1.3** 
$$P(A, B|C = 0)$$

We have:

$$P(A = i, B = j | C = 0)$$

$$= P(A = i | C = 0)P(B = j | A = i, C = 0)$$

$$= P(A = i | C = 0) \frac{P(A = i, B = j, C = 0)}{P(A = i, C = 0)}$$

Which gives us four combinations  $i \in \{0,1\}, j \in \{0,1\}$ 

```
[3]: P_A_0B_0C_0 = .096
P_A_0_B_0_C_0 = P_A_0_C_0 * P_A_0B_0C_0 / P_A_0C_0

P_A_1B_0C_0 = .224
P_A_1_B_0C_0 = P_A_1_C_0 * P_A_1B_0C_0 / P_A_1C_0

P_A_0B_1C_0 = .024
P_A_0_B_1_C_0 = P_A_0_C_0 * P_A_0B_1C_0 / P_A_0C_0

P_A_1B_1C_0 = .056
P_A_1_B_1C_0 = .056
P_A_1_B_1_C_0 = P_A_1_C_0 * P_A_1B_1C_0 / P_A_1C_0

print(f'P_A_0_B_0_C_0={P_A_0_B_0_C_0}')
print(f'P_A_1_B_0_C_0={P_A_1_B_0_C_0}')
print(f'P_A_1_B_0_C_0={P_A_1_B_0_C_0}')
print(f'P_A_0_B_1_C_0={P_A_0_B_1_C_0}')
print(f'P_A_1_B_1_C_0={P_A_0_B_1_C_0}')
```

$$P(A = 0, B = 0 | C = 0) = 0.24$$
  
 $P(A = 1, B = 0 | C = 0) = 0.56$   
 $P(A = 0, B = 1 | C = 0) = 0.06$   
 $P(A = 1, B = 1 | C = 0) = 0.14$ 

## 4.2 (b)

```
4.2.1 P(A|C=1)
```

```
[4]: P_C_1 = .27+.03+.27+.03

P_A_0C_1 = .27+.03

P_A_1C_1 = .27+.03

P_A_0_C_1 = P_A_0C_1 / P_C_1

P_A_1_C_1 = P_A_1C_1 / P_C_1

print(f'P_A_0_C_1={P_A_0_C_1}')

print(f'P_A_1_C_1={P_A_1_C_1}')
```

P\_A\_0\_C\_1=0.5 P\_A\_1\_C\_1=0.5

## **4.2.2** P(B|C=1)

$$\therefore P(B = 0|C = 1) = 0.9, P(B = 1|C = 1) = 0.1$$

## **4.2.3** P(A, B|C=1)

```
print(f'P_A_0_B_0_C_1={P_A_0_B_0_C_1}')
print(f'P_A_1_B_0_C_1={P_A_1_B_0_C_1}')
print(f'P_A_0_B_1_C_1={P_A_0_B_1_C_1}')
print(f'P_A_1_B_1_C_1={P_A_1_B_1_C_1}')
```

$$P(A = 0, B = 0 | C = 1) = 0.45$$
  
 $P(A = 1, B = 0 | C = 1) = 0.45$   
 $P(A = 0, B = 1 | C = 1) = 0.05$   
 $P(A = 1, B = 1 | C = 1) = 0.05$ 

## 4.3 (c)

We have

$$(A \perp \!\!\!\perp B)|C \Leftrightarrow P(A,B|C) = P(A|C)P(B|C) \Leftrightarrow P(A=i,B=j|C=k) = P(A=i|C=k)P(B=j|C=k)$$
 
$$\forall i \in \{0,1\}, j \in \{0,1\}, k \in \{0,1\}$$

which we can show by testing all 8 combinations:

```
[16]: [P_A_O_B_O_C_O-P_A_O_C_0*P_B_O_C_O, P_A_1_B_O_C_O, P_A_1_B_O_C_O+P_B_O_C_O, P_A_0_B_1_C_0-P_A_0_C_0*P_B_1_C_O, P_A_1_B_1_C_0-P_A_1_C_0*P_B_1_C_O, P_A_0_B_0_C_1-P_A_0_C_1*P_B_0_C_1, P_A_1_B_0_C_1-P_A_0_C_1*P_B_0_C_1, P_A_1_B_0_C_1-P_A_1_C_1*P_B_0_C_1, P_A_0_B_1_C_1-P_A_0_C_1*P_B_1_C_1, P_A_0_B_1_C_1-P_A_0_C_1*P_B_1_C_1, P_A_1_B_1_C_1-P_A_1_C_1*P_B_1_C_1]
```

The condition is satisfied for all combinations, hence  $(A \perp\!\!\!\perp B)|C$ .

## 4.4 (d)

```
[8]: P_A_0 = .096 + .024 + .27 + .03
   P_A_1 = .224 + .056 + .27 + .03
   P_B_0 = .096 + .224 + .27 + .27
   P_B_1 = .024 + .056 + .03 + .03
   print(f'P_A_1={P_A_1-.0000000000000001}')
   print(f'P_B_0={P_B_0-.0000000000000001}')
   print(f'P_B_1={P_B_1}')
   P_A_0=0.42
   P_A_1=0.58
   P_B_0=0.86
   P_B_1=0.14
[9]: P_A_0_B_0 = .096+.27
   P_A_1_B_0 = .224 + .27
   P_A_0_B_1 = .024+.03
   P_A_1_B_1 = .056+.03
   print(f'P_A_0_B_0={P_A_0_B_0}')
   print(f'P_A_1_B_0={P_A_1_B_0}')
   print(f'P_A_0_B_1={P_A_0_B_1}')
   print(f'P_A_1_B_1={P_A_1_B_1}')
   P_A_0_B_0=0.366
   P_A_1_B_0=0.494
   P_A_0_B_1=0.054
   P_A_1_B_1=0.086
```

# 4.5 (e)

*A* independent of  $B \Leftrightarrow P(A = i, B = j) = P(A = i)P(B = j) \forall i \in \{0, 1\}, j \in \{0, 1\}$ . We can explore this by trying all four combinations:

```
[18]: [P_A_O_B_O - P_A_O*P_B_O,
P_A_O_B_1 - P_A_O*P_B_1,
P_A_1_B_O - P_A_1*P_B_O,
P_A_1_B_1 - P_A_1*P_B_1]
```

- [18]: [0.004799999999999154,
  - -0.004800000000000013,
  - -0.004800000000001375,
  - 0.00479999999999971]

As the probabilies are not equal, *A* and *B* are not independent.

## 5.1 (a)

From lecture, we have that ML-estimate of the parameters  $\theta$  are given by:

$$\theta_0 = N_1/N$$

$$\theta_{j,0} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[X_{j,i} = 1, Y_i = 0]}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[Y_i = 0]}$$

$$\theta_{j,1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[X_{j,i} = 1, Y_i = 1]}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[Y_i = 1]}$$

I will calculate these in Python:

theta\_i,1=[0.5

```
[11]: import pandas as pd
     import numpy as np
     table = {
         '0': [0,1,0,1,1,1,1,0],
         'B': [0,1,1,0,1,0,1,0],
         'C': [0,0,1,0,1,1,0,1],
         'A': [1,0,1,1,0,0,1,1],
         'G': [1,0,1,1,0,1,1,1]
     table = pd.DataFrame(data=table)
[12]: y = np.array(table['G'])
     X = np.array(table[['O', 'B', 'C', 'A']])
     k = X.shape[1]
     N 1 = sum(y)
     N = y.shape[0]
     theta_0 = N_1 / N
     thetas = np.empty([k, 2])
     for i in range(k):
         thetas[i,0] = sum((X.T[i] == 1) & (y == 0)) / sum(y == 0)
         thetas[i,1] = sum((X.T[i] == 1) & (y == 1)) / sum(y == 1)
     print(f'theta_0={theta_0}')
     print(f'theta_i,0={thetas.T[0]}')
     print(f'theta_i,1={thetas.T[1]}')
    theta_0=0.75
    theta_i,0=[1. 1. 0.5 0.]
```

0.83333333]

0.33333333 0.5

## 5.2 (b)

By the assumption of conditional independence, we have

$$P(G_i)P(O_i, B_i, C_i, A_i|G_i) = P(G_i)P(O_i|G_i)P(B_i|G_i)P(C_i|G_i)P(A_i|G_i)$$

$$= \theta_0^{\mathbb{I}(G_i=1)} (1 - \theta_0)^{\mathbb{I}(G_i=0)} \prod_{j=1}^N \theta_{j,1}^{\mathbb{I}(X_{j,i}=1)} (1 - \theta_{j,0})^{\mathbb{I}(X_{j,i}=0)}$$

Since P(Y = 1|X) > P(Y = 0|X) for both examples, we would classify both as good restaurants.

## 5.3 (c)

```
theta_0=0.75
theta_i,0=[0.75 0.75 0.5 0.25]
theta_i,1=[0.5 0.375 0.5 0.75 ]
```

No more  $\theta = 0!$ 

### 5.4 (d)

```
[15]: X = np.array([
        [0,1,0,1], #9
        [1,1,1,1] #10
])

for e in range(X.shape[0]):
    for y in [0, 1]:
        P = y*theta_0 + (1-y)*(1-theta_0)
        for j in range(k):
            P = P * (X[e, j]*thetas[j, y] + (1-X[e, j])*(1-thetas[j, y]))
        print(f'Example #{9+e}, P(y={y}|X)={P}')
```

```
Example #9, P(y=0|X)=0.005859375
Example #9, P(y=1|X)=0.052734375
Example #10, P(y=0|X)=0.017578125
Example #10, P(y=1|X)=0.052734375
```

Since P(Y = 1|X) > P(Y = 0|X) for both examples, we would again classify both as good restaurants.

# 6.1 (a)

Using the NB assumtion we have:

$$\begin{split} &P(x^{(1)},\cdots,x^{(m)},y^{(1)},\cdots,y^{(m)})\\ &=\prod_{i=1}^{N}P(y^{(i)})P(x^{(i)}|y^{(i)})\\ &=\prod_{i=1}^{N}P(y^{(i)})\prod_{j=1}^{s}\prod_{k=1}^{s}P(x_{j}^{(i)}=k|y^{(i)})\\ &=\prod_{i=1}^{N}\theta_{0}^{\mathbb{I}[y^{(i)}=1]}(1-\theta_{0})^{\mathbb{I}[y^{(i)}=0]}\prod_{j=1}\underbrace{\theta_{j,s|y}^{\mathbb{I}[x^{(i)}=s]}}_{=1-\sum_{k=1}^{s-1}\theta_{j,k|y}}\prod_{k=1}^{s-1}\theta_{j,k|y}^{\mathbb{I}[x^{(i)}=k]} \end{split}$$

## 6.2 (b)

To simplify minimization, we first take the log likelihood. We then minimize by finding a stationary point  $\nabla P = 0$ 

$$\begin{split} &\log P(x^{(1)}, \cdots, x^{(m)}, y^{(1)}, \cdots, y^{(m)}) \\ &= \log \Big[ \prod_{i=1}^{N} \theta_0^{\mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 1]} (1 - \theta_0)^{\mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 0]} \prod_{j=1} \underbrace{\theta_{j,s|y}^{\mathbb{1}[x^{(i)} = s]}}_{=1 - \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \theta_{j,k|y}} \prod_{k=1}^{s-1} \theta_{j,k|y}^{\mathbb{1}[x^{(i)} = k]} \Big] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 1] \log \theta_0 + \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 0] \log (1 - \theta_0) \right] + \sum_{j=1} \mathbb{1}[x^{(i)} = s] \log \theta_{j,s|y} + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \mathbb{1}[x^{(i)} = k] \log \theta_{j,k|y} \Big] \end{split}$$

For  $\theta_0$  we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \log P}{\partial \theta_0} &= 0 & \Leftrightarrow \\ \frac{1}{\theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 1] - \frac{1}{1 - \theta_0} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 0] = 0 & \Leftrightarrow \\ \theta_0 \underbrace{(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 1] + \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 0])}_{=N} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 1] & \Leftrightarrow \\ \theta_0 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 1]}{N} \end{split}$$

For  $\theta_{j,k|y}$ , k = 1, ..., s and  $y_i = y$  we have

$$\frac{\partial \log P}{\partial \theta_{j,k|y}} = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \\ \frac{1}{\theta_{j,k|y}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[x_{j}^{(i)} = k, y^{(i)} = y] + \frac{1}{1 - \theta_{j,k|y}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[x_{j}^{(i)} \neq k, y^{(i)} = y] = 0 \qquad \Leftrightarrow \\ \theta_{j,k|y} \underbrace{(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[x_{j}^{(i)} = k, y^{(i)} = y] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[x_{j}^{(i)} \neq k, y^{(i)} = y])}_{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = y]} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[x_{j}^{(i)} = k, y^{(i)} = y] \qquad \Leftrightarrow \\ \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = y]}_{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = y]} = 0$$

$$\theta_{j,k|y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[x_j^{(i)} = k, y^{(i)} = y]}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = y]}$$

$$\begin{split} & \stackrel{\cdot \cdot \cdot}{\theta_0} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 1]}{N} \\ & \theta_{j,k|y=0} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[x_j^{(i)} = k, y^{(i)} = 0]}{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 0]} \\ & \theta_{j,k|y=1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[x_j^{(i)} = k, y^{(i)} = 1]}{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{1}[y^{(i)} = 1]} \end{split}$$

The expression holds for all k, including k = s

This means that the probability of a feature j having  $x_j^{(i)} = k$  for a given k is proportional to the fraction of observations where  $x_j^{(i)} = k$ . This is weighted by the relative frequency of such observations with  $y^{(i)} = y$  and observations with  $y^{(i)} = y$  in general in the data set.