Symmetric Positive Definite (SPD) Matrix

- An SPD matrix acts sort of like a positive number.
- A is symmetric if a_{ii} = a_{ii}, for all i and j.
- Several equivalent conditions for A to be positive definite:
 - All eigenvalues are > 0
 - LU factorization without pivoting succeeds, and all pivots are > 0
 - For every nonzero vector x, the number $x^T A x > 0$
- SPD matrices come up a lot in scientific computing & data analysis!
- The temperature matrix is SPD.

Conjugate gradient iteration

- An iterative algorithm to solve Ax = b.
- Start with a guess x⁽⁰⁾, then compute x⁽¹⁾, x⁽²⁾,
- Stop when you think you're close enough.
- In theory, CG can be used to solve any system Ax = b, provided only that A is SPD.
- In practice, how well CG works depends on specifics of A in subtle ways, involving eigenvalues and condition number.

$$x^{(0)} = 0$$
 approximate solution

$$r^{(0)} = b$$
 residual = b - Ax

$$d^{(0)} = r^{(0)}$$
 search direction

$$for k = 1, 2, 3, ...$$
:

$$x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)} + ...$$

new approx solution

$$r^{(k)} = ...$$

new residual

$$d^{(k)} = ...$$

new search direction

$$x^{(0)} = 0 \qquad \text{approximate solution}$$

$$r^{(0)} = b \qquad \text{residual} = b - Ax$$

$$d^{(0)} = r^{(0)} \qquad \text{search direction}$$

$$\textbf{for} \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots :$$

$$\alpha^{(k)} = \dots \qquad \text{step length}$$

$$x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)} + \alpha^{(k)} d^{(k-1)} \qquad \text{new approx solution}$$

$$r^{(k)} = \dots \qquad \text{new residual}$$

$$d^{(k)} = \dots \qquad \text{new search direction}$$

```
x^{(0)} = 0
                       approximate solution
r^{(0)} = b
                    residual = b - Ax
d^{(0)} = r^{(0)}
                     search direction
for k = 1, 2, 3, ...:
    \alpha^{(k)} = (r^{(k-1)T} r^{(k-1)}) / (d^{(k-1)T} A d^{(k-1)}) step length
    \mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \alpha^{(k)} \mathbf{d}^{(k-1)}
                                                          new approx solution
     r^{(k)} = ...
                                                          new residual
    d^{(k)} = ...
```

new search direction

```
\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = 0
                        approximate solution
r^{(0)} = b
                       residual = b - Ax
d^{(0)} = r^{(0)}
                     search direction
for k = 1, 2, 3, ...:
     \alpha^{(k)} = (r^{(k-1)T}r^{(k-1)}) / (d^{(k-1)T}Ad^{(k-1)}) step length
     \mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \alpha^{(k)} d^{(k-1)}
                                                           new approx solution
     r^{(k)} = ...
                                                           new residual
     \beta^{(k)} = (r^{(k)T} r^{(k)}) / (r^{(k-1)T} r^{(k-1)})
     d^{(k)} = r^{(k)} + \beta^{(k)} d^{(k-1)}
                                                           new search direction
```

$$\begin{array}{lll} x^{(0)} = 0 & \text{approximate solution} \\ r^{(0)} = b & \text{residual} = b - Ax \\ d^{(0)} = r^{(0)} & \text{search direction} \\ \hline \textbf{for} & k = 1, 2, 3, \dots : \\ & \alpha^{(k)} = \left(r^{(k-1)T} r^{(k-1)} \right) / \left(d^{(k-1)T} A d^{(k-1)} \right) & \text{step length} \\ & x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)} + \alpha^{(k)} d^{(k-1)} & \text{new approx solution} \\ & r^{(k)} = r^{(k-1)} - \alpha^{(k)} A d^{(k-1)} & \text{new residual} \\ & \beta^{(k)} = \left(r^{(k)T} r^{(k)} \right) / \left(r^{(k-1)T} r^{(k-1)} \right) \\ & d^{(k)} = r^{(k)} + \beta^{(k)} d^{(k-1)} & \text{new search direction} \\ \end{array}$$

Conjugate gradient iteration to solve A*x=b

```
x^{(0)} = 0, r^{(0)} = b, d^{(0)} = r^{(0)}
                                                        (these are all <u>vectors</u>)
for k = 1, 2, 3, \dots:
     \alpha^{(k)} = (r^{(k-1)T}r^{(k-1)}) / (d^{(k-1)T}Ad^{(k-1)}) step length
     \mathbf{x}^{(k)} = \mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \alpha^{(k)} \mathbf{d}^{(k-1)}
                                                            approximate solution
     r^{(k)} = r^{(k-1)} - \alpha^{(k)} A d^{(k-1)}
                                                             residual = b - A x^{(k)}
     \beta^{(k)} = (r^{(k)T} r^{(k)}) / (r^{(k-1)T} r^{(k-1)}) improvement
     d^{(k)} = r^{(k)} + \beta^{(k)} d^{(k-1)}
                                                             search direction
```

- One matrix-vector multiplication per iteration
- Two vector dot products per iteration
- Four n-vectors of working storage

Vector and matrix primitives for CG

- DAXPY: v = α*v + β*w (vectors v, w; scalars α, β)
 Time = O(n)
- DDOT: α = v^{T*}w = Σ_j v[j] * w[j] (vectors v, w; scalar α)
 Time = O(n)
- Matvec: v = A*w (matrix A, vectors v, w)
 - This is the hard part!
 - Time = O(n²) if A is a full matrix stored as a 2-D array
 - But all you need is a subroutine to compute v from w
 - If A is sparse, time = O(#nonzeros in A)

The Landscape of Ax=b Solvers

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Direct}} & \underline{\text{Iterative}} \\ A = LU & y' = Ay \end{array}$

Nonsymmetric

Symmetric positive definite

Pivoting GMRES, BiCGSTAB, ...

Cholesky Conjugate gradient

More General

More Robust

More Robust



Less Storage (if sparse)

Optional:

Analysis of the Conjugate Gradient Algorithm

See Shewchuk's paper (linked to course web site) for details.

Conjugate gradient: Krylov subspaces

- Eigenvalues: $Av = \lambda v$ $\{ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n \}$
- Cayley-Hamilton theorem:

$$(A - \lambda_1 I) \cdot (A - \lambda_2 I) \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot (A - \lambda_n I) = 0$$
 Therefore
$$\sum_{0 \le i \le n} c_i A^i = 0 \quad \text{for some } c_i$$
 so
$$A^{-1} = \sum_{i \le n} (-c_i/c_0) A^{i-1}$$

1 < i < n

Krylov subspace:

Therefore if Ax = b, then $x = A^{-1}b$ and $x \in \text{span}(b, Ab, A^2b, \ldots, A^{n-1}b) = K_n(A, b)$

Conjugate gradient: Orthogonal sequences

- Krylov subspace: K_i (A, b) = span (b, Ab, A²b, . . ., Aⁱ⁻¹b)
- Conjugate gradient algorithm:

```
for i = 1, 2, 3, ...

find x^{(i)} \in K_i(A, b)

such that r^{(i)} = (b - Ax^{(i)}) \perp K_i(A, b)
```

- Notice $r^{(i)} \in K_{i+1}(A, b)$, so $r^{(i)} \perp r^{(j)}$ for all j < i
- Similarly, the "directions" are A-orthogonal: $(x^{(i)} x^{(i-1)})^T \cdot A \cdot (x^{(j)} x^{(j-1)}) = 0$
- The magic: Short recurrences. . .

A is symmetric => can get next residual and direction from the previous one, without saving them all.

Conjugate gradient: Convergence

- In exact arithmetic, CG converges in n steps (completely unrealistic!!)
- Accuracy after k steps of CG is related to:
 - consider polynomials of degree k that are equal to 1 at 0.
 - how small can such a polynomial be at all the eigenvalues of A?
- Thus, eigenvalues close together are good.
- Condition number: $K(A) = ||A||_2 ||A^{-1}||_2 = \lambda_{max}(A) / \lambda_{min}(A)$
- Residual is reduced by a constant factor by O(κ¹/2(A)) iterations of CG.

Other Krylov subspace methods

- Nonsymmetric linear systems:
 - GMRES:
 for i = 1, 2, 3, ...
 find x⁽ⁱ⁾ ∈ K_i (A, b) such that r⁽ⁱ⁾ = (Ax⁽ⁱ⁾ b) ⊥ K_i (A, b)
 But, no short recurrence => save old vectors => lots more space
 (Usually "restarted" every k iterations to use less space.)
 - BiCGStab, QMR, etc.:
 Two spaces K_i (A, b) and K_i (A^T, b) w/ mutually orthogonal bases
 Short recurrences => O(n) space, but less robust
 - Convergence and preconditioning more delicate than CG
 - Active area of current research
- Eigenvalues: Lanczos (symmetric), Arnoldi (nonsymmetric)