John Rivera

Homework 8

Database Systems

1.

a) "Block-nested loop join for R ⋈ S with M=101 blocks. For join ordering, choose the lowest cost one and only show the result of that join."

Lowest cost ordering => outer relation has less pages

$$2000 + \left[\frac{2000}{100} \right] * 6000 = 122000$$

b) "External sorting of S using M=60 blocks."

Step 1: read PAGES(S) into memory (fits only 60 at a time)

=> Cost is 6000

=> Creates 6000/60 = 100 sorted groups

Write groups to disk => 6000 pages

Total Step 1 Cost = 12000

Step 2: 100 sorted groups does not fit in M => must further divide

- ⇒ Read 60 groups into memory, then 40 groups => 6000
- ⇒ Creates 2 sorted groups
- ⇒ Write them out to disk => cost of 6000

Total Step 2 Cost = 12000

Step 3: 2 sorted groups fir in M

⇒ Read 6000 pages and output

⇒ Cost = 6000

TOTAL COST = 30,000

c) "External sorting of S using M=100 blocks."

Step 1: PAGES(S)/M =
$$6000/100 = 60$$
 sorted groups \Rightarrow Cost = 1200

Merge

Cost = 6000

TOTAL COST= 18,000

d) "Hash join for R ⋈ S with M=101 blocks"

First Hash the relations to same buckets

R ->
$$\frac{PAGES(R)}{M-1} = \frac{2000}{100} = 20 \ pages \ per \ bucket$$

S -> $\frac{6000}{100} = 60 \ pages \ per \ bucket$

$$S - \frac{6000}{100} = 60 \ pages \ per \ bucket$$

Cost of Hashing = 2 * PAGES(R) + 2 * PAGES(S) = 16,000

To join, must read each bucket into memory

- ⇒ Each bucket contains 60 + 20 = 80 pages
- ⇒ 80 pages fits into 100 memory -> can join right away
- ⇒ So simply read buckets into memory one at a time and output the join
 - \circ Read cost = 2000 + 6000 = 8,000

TOTAL COST = 24,000

e) "Sort merge join for R ⋈ S with M=101 blocks"

First, Sort R

Step 1: 2000/100 = 20 groups -> read and write => Cost = 4,000

Step 2: merge

- Read and write back to disk to free up memory for the next step
 - Cost = 4,000

Cost for Sorting R = 8000

Second, sort S

Step 1: 6000/100 = 60 groups -> read + write => cost = 12,000

Don't finish individually sorting S

Combine sort and join

Allocate 1 memory for reading R

Allocate 60 memory for reading 1 page from each sorted group(can use sorted order even though not fully ordered on disk)

Output Joins

Read + Output PAGES(R) and PAGES(S) once each

Cost = 2000 + 6000 = 8000

TOTAL COST = 8000 + 12000 + 8000 = 28,000

2.

a) "Plan 1: sequential scan over R"

TOTAL COST = PAGES(R) = 2,000

b) "Plan 2: using index I1"

800 nodes at leaf level

TUPLES(R) = 100,000

Tuples per node = 100,000/800 = 125 tuples per node

Index Scan Cost = 1 root + 1 internal + (1 - 2) = 3 - 4

■ Tuples(R.C > 10 and R.D = 25) = 50 tuples => fit in 1 or 2 leaf nodes

Data Cost = 50

50 tuples in 50 pages at most

TOTAL COST = 53 - 54

c) "Plan 3: using index I2"

1,500 nodes at leaf level

In this case, we have to scan all the nodes where D = 25

TUPLES(R.D = 25) = 1,000

Index Scan Cost =
$$1 + 1 + \left(\frac{1,000}{100,000}\right) * 1,500 = 17 - 18$$

Both A and B (what is returned) are index attributes so no need to look in disk

TOTAL COST = 17 - 18

d) "Plan 4: using index I3"

300 nodes in leaf level

In this case we have to scan nodes where R.C > 10 and check in page to see if it fits criteria

■ TUPLES(R.C > 10) = 20,000 tuples

Index Scan Cost =
$$1 + 1 + \left(\frac{20,000}{100,000}\right) * 300 = 62 - 63$$

For every C > 10, we must look in page to see if R.D = 25

- If disk pages not sorted and we reread pages => 20,000 pages at worse
- If we don't reread pages => 2,000 pages at worse

TOTAL COST = 2,062 - 2,063 or 20,062 - 20,063

e) "Plan 5: using index I4"

250 nodes at leaf level

In this case we scan the nodes of D=25 and go into the pages to see if C>10

Index Scan Cost =
$$1 + 1 + \left(\frac{1,000}{100,000}\right) * 250 = 5 - 6$$

Go into Pages of every D=25 tuple and see if C > 10

Data Cost = 1,000

TOTAL COST = 1,005 - 1,006

f) "Plan 6: using index I3 and I4 both."

Index Scan Cost For I3 = 63 - 63Index Scan Cost for I4 = 5 - 6

Intersection and then search pages for tuples in the intersection

Data Cost = 50

TOTAL COST = (62 - 63) + (5 - 6) + 50 = 117 - 119

3. Q1)TUPLES(Games) * SEL(id = 21) = 10,000 * (1/40) = **250**

Q3)
$$30,000 * (1/3,000) = 10$$

Q4)
$$30,000 * (1/10,000) * (1/3,000) = .001 = 0$$

Q5)
$$10,000 * 30,000 * (1/max(10000, 10000)) * (1/3,000) = 10$$

Q8)
$$740,000 * (1 - ((1 - 1/30,000)(1 - 1/10,000))) = 320.64 = 321$$

PLAN 1:

4.

COST OF BLNJ = $PAGES(R) + PAGES(S) * \left[\frac{PAGES(R)}{M-1} \right] = 100 + 800 * \left[\frac{100}{50} \right] = 1700$

COST OF SELECTION = 0

SORT BY R.A, R.C:

Takes input from Selection. Selection outputs 175 pages

Step 1: So it takes 175 pages from selection => writes 175 pages as 3 groups

Step 2: 3 < M = 50, so read sorted groups from disk and sort and output

COST OF SORT = 350

COST OF PROJECT = 0

TOTAL COST OF PLAN 1 = 1700 + 350 = 2050

PLAN 2:

INDEX SCAN COST = $1 + \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) * 100 + 0 = 26$

Data cost is 0 because all of the needed attributes are in index

BNLJ:

- Takes input from Index scan
- Index scan outputs 25 to it. We have 25 + 1 memory available for use
- So for Block nested loop join, we only need to read S once, sort and output
- COST OF BNLJ = 350

Rest of steps are equal to Plan 1 COST OF SORT BY = 350

TOTAL COST OF PLAN 2 = 350 + 800 + 26 = 1176

PLAN 3:

SELECT R.B > 20 SEQUENTIAL SCAN COST = PAGES(R) = 100

SORT BY R.A, R.C:

- SELECT R.B > 20 outputs 25 tuples, 25 < 50 -> can sort in one step w/o using disk
- COST = 0

SORT BY S.D:

Step 1: Read PAGES(S) into memory, sort, output sorted groups to disk
This will create 800/50 = 16 sorted group
Step 1 Cost = 2 * PAGES(R) = 1600

Step 2: Read groups to memory, sort, output to pipeline

Step 2 cost = 800

Total sort by S.D cost = 1600 + 800 = 2400

SMJ:

Takes input from sort steps, one page from each sort at a time as they happen (combining merge and sort steps), and then joins and outputs them ${\rm COST} = 0$

TOTAL COST OF PLAN 3 = 2400 + 100 = 2500