

FYP

Johns Noble

January 2025

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Cauchy Transform	3
1.2	Orthogonal Polynomials	3
2	Log and Stieltjes Transform	3
2.1	Transforms across Intervals	3
3	Polynomial Transforms	5
4	Affine Transformations	6
5	Quad Transform	6

1 Introduction

1.1 Cauchy Transform

$$C_{\Gamma}f(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t-z} dt$$

This is analytic for $z \notin \Gamma$. Define Hilbert Transform to be the limits from the right and the left.

1.2 Orthogonal Polynomials

Family	Notation	Interval	$w(x)$
Legendre	$P_n(x)$	$[-1,1]$	1
Chebyshev (1st)	$T_n(x)$	$[-1,1]$	$(1-x^2)^{-1/2}$
Chebyshev (2nd)	$U_n(x)$	$[-1,1]$	$(1-x^2)^{1/2}$
Ultraspherical	$C_n^{(\lambda)}(x), \lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$	$[-1,1]$	$(1-x^2)^{\lambda-1/2}$
Jacobi	$P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x), \alpha, \beta > -1$	$[-1,1]$	$(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}$

2 Log and Stieltjes Transform

In this section we will consider approaches to compute these weakly singular integrals

$$\int_A \log||z-t||f(t)dt \quad \int_A \nabla \log||z-t||f(t)dt$$

$$\mathcal{S}_A f(z) := \int_A \frac{f(t)}{z-t} dt$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A f(z) := \int_A \log(z-t)f(t)dt$$

Depending on the type of area which A is we can begin by approximating f using orthogonal polynomials.

2.1 Transforms across Intervals

We will try to formulate recurrence relations for these transforms across interval $[-1, 1]$. We are looking for looking for $\mathcal{S}_{[-1,1]}f(z)$. Decomposing $f(z) \approx \sum_k f_k P_k(z)$ and writing $S_k(z) := \mathcal{S}_{[-1,1]}P_k(z)$ lets us write:

$$\mathcal{S}_{[-1,1]}f(z) \approx \sum_k f_k S_k(z)$$

This motivates finding fast methods to compute $S_k(z)$. Log kernels are approached similarly letting $L_k(z) := \mathcal{L}_{[-1,1]}P_k(z)$ and looking for recurrence relations.

Stieltjes

Recall recurrence relation of Legendre Polynomials:

$$xP_k(x) = \frac{k}{2k+1}P_{k-1}(x) + \frac{k+1}{2k+1}P_{k+1}(x)$$

Formulate three-term recurrence for their Stieltjes transforms.

$$\begin{aligned} zS_k(z) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{zP_k(t)}{z-t} dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{z-t}{z-t} P_k(t) dt + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{tP_k(t)}{z-t} dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 P_k(t) dt + \frac{k}{2k+1} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_{k-1}(t)}{z-t} dt + \frac{k+1}{2k+1} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_{k+1}(t)}{z-t} dt \\ &= 2\delta_{k0} + \frac{k}{2k+1}S_{k-1}(z) + \frac{k+1}{2k+1}S_{k+1}(z) \\ S_0(z) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dt}{z-t} = \log(z+1) - \log(z-1) \end{aligned}$$

We can extend this to work over a square using the recurrence over intervals:

$$\begin{aligned} zS_{k,j}(z) &= z \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_k(s)P_j(t)}{z-(s+it)} ds dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 zP_j(t) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_k(s)}{z-it-s} ds dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 (z-it)P_j(t)S_k(z-it) + itP_j(t)S_k(z-it) ds dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^1 P_j(t) \left(\frac{k}{2k+1}S_{k-1}(z-it) + \frac{k+1}{2k+1}S_{k+1}(z-it) + 2\delta_{k0} \right) \\ &\quad + i \left(\frac{j}{2j+1}P_{j-1}(t) + \frac{j+1}{2j+1}P_{j+1}(t) \right) S_k(z-it) ds dt \\ &= \frac{k}{2k+1}S_{k-1,j}(z) + \frac{k+1}{2k+1}S_{k+1,j} \\ &\quad + i \frac{j}{2j+1}S_{k,j-1}(z) + i \frac{j+1}{2j+1}S_{k,j+1} + 4\delta_{j0}\delta_{k0} \end{aligned}$$

Log

We can begin by connecting log kernel to the Stieltjes kernel. To do this we define:

$$S_k^{(\lambda)}(z) := \int_{-1}^1 \frac{C_k^{(\lambda)}(t)}{z-t} dt$$

We let $F(x) = \int_{-1}^1 f(s)ds$ and apply integration by parts on log transform:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 f(t) \log(z-t) dt &= [-F(t) \log(z-t)]_{-1}^1 - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{F(t)}{z-t} dt \\ &= \log(z+1) \int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{F(t)}{z-t} dt \end{aligned}$$

3 Polynomial Transforms

We can begin to consider taking these transforms across different geometries. Currently we have a way to find these transforms across $[-1,1]$ but we will be trying to use this to solve other geometries. The first type of geometry we should consider is one where we apply a degree d polynomial transform to the interval:

$$p : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \Gamma$$

We will show why the solution to a cauchy transform across this interval is as follows:

$$C_{\Gamma} f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^d C_{[-1,1]}[f \circ p](p_j^{-1}(z))$$

Where $p_j^{-1}(z)$ are the d pre-images of p . In order to solve this we will use plemelj. There are 3 properties that need to hold for a function $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be a cauchy transform:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \psi &= 0 \\ \psi^+(z) - \psi^-(z) &= f(z) \\ \psi &\text{ analytic on } \Gamma \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Checking (1).1 we get that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} p_j^{-1}(z) = \infty \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} C_{\Gamma} f(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} C_{[-1,1]}(f \circ p)(p_j^{-1}(z)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d C_{[-1,1]}(f \circ p)(\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} p_j^{-1}(z)) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d 0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Checking (1).2 we need an expression for ψ^+ and ψ^- . Let us begin by saying that we are looking for cauchy transform of point s which happens to lie on Γ . This means that there is a unique root of $t_k := p_k^{-1}(s) \in [-1, 1]$. TODO: Show that $\lim_{z \rightarrow s^+} p_k^{-1}(s) = \lim_{z \rightarrow p^{-1}(s)^+}$. Taking limits of ψ^+, ψ^- gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^+(s) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow s} C_{[-1,1]}(f \circ p)(p_k^{-1}(z)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \neq k} C_{[-1,1]}(f \circ p)(p_j^{-1}(s)) \\ &= C_{[-1,1]}^+(f \circ p)(p_k^{-1}(z)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \neq k} C_{[-1,1]}(f \circ p)(p_j^{-1}(s)) \end{aligned}$$

We can do a similar thing with ψ^- and putting everything together:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^+(s) - \psi^-(s) &= C_{[-1,1]}^+(f \circ p)(p_k^{-1}(s)) - C_{[-1,1]}^-(f \circ p)(p_k^{-1}(s)) \\ &= (f \circ p)(p_k^{-1}(s)) = f(s)\end{aligned}$$

In the case where $z \notin \psi, \psi^+ = \psi^-$ which is expected since the area in between is analytic

TODO show that condition (1).3 holds

4 Affine Transformations

Affine transformations can be solved in 2 distinct ways: We will begin by considering the case of solving for a horizontally skewed square with the following transformation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x + \beta y \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

It can be shown that any affine transformation in the form of $(x, y)^T \rightarrow A(x, y)^T$ can be done by taking the above translation and performing scaling and rotations. TODO: Show that this is indeed the case

5 Quad Transform

We can attempt to generalise the method of stieltjes on a square to work for any given quadrilateral. We can use the parameterisation:

$$Q \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} (1+x)(\alpha + \beta y) \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

There are two approaches which were considered which vary in which functions we are using for our bases. The first approach that was attempted would be to take the function approximation as follows:

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{j,k} c_{j,k} P_j(x) P_k(y), c_{j,k} \in \mathbb{R}$$

This method is simpler but it can be seen that we would have to be evaluating integrals of orthogonal polynomials outside the $[-1, 1]$ domain in which they are well behaved. This would result in instable results

The approach we will focus on here is the approximation following taking an approximation using the function bases as follows:

$$f \circ Q(x, y) = \sum_{j,k} c_{j,k} P_j(x) P_k(y), c_{j,k} \in \mathbb{R}$$

In this approach we are need to be able to compute

$$s_{kj} := \int_{-1}^1 (\alpha + \beta t) \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_j(t) P_k(s)}{z - it - (\alpha + \beta t)(1 + s)} ds dt$$

As you can see here there is a term in the denominator which is difficult to deal with as it is harder to separate the s and t terms. In order to begin we come up with a few different rearrangements of this equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
s_{\tilde{k}j} &:= \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_j(t)P_k(s)}{z - it - (\alpha + \beta t)(1 + s)} ds dt \\
&= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta t} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_j(t)P_k(s)}{\frac{z - it}{\alpha + \beta t} - 1 - s} ds dt \\
&=: \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_j(t)}{\alpha + \beta t} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_k(s)}{\tilde{z}_t - s} \\
s_{\tilde{k}j} &= \int_{-1}^1 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_j(t)P_k(s)}{z - \alpha(1 + s) - (i + \beta(1 + s))t} ds dt \\
&= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_k(s)}{\beta(1 + s) + i} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_j(t)}{\frac{z - \alpha(1 + s)}{\beta(1 + s) + i} - t} dt ds \\
&= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_k(s)}{\beta(1 + s) + i} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P_j(t)}{\tilde{z}_s - t} dt ds
\end{aligned}$$

This can be motivated by the tricky recurrent forms for $s_{\tilde{k}0}$. TODO: Show why tricky?

We can recreate s_{kj} using values of $s_{\tilde{k}j}$ by doing the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{let } I(k, j, s, t) &:= \frac{P_j(t)P_k(s)}{z - it - (\alpha + \beta t)(1 + s)} \\
s_{kj} &= \int_{-1}^1 (\alpha + \beta t) \int_{-1}^1 I(k, j, s, t) ds dt \\
&= \int_{-1}^1 \alpha \int_{-1}^1 I(k, j, s, t) ds dt + \int_{-1}^1 \beta t \int_{-1}^1 I(k, j, s, t) ds dt \\
&= \alpha s_{\tilde{k}j} + \beta \frac{j}{2j + 1} s_{\tilde{k}j-1} + \beta \frac{j + 1}{2j + 1} s_{\tilde{k}j+1} \\
s_{k0} &= \int_{-1}^1 \alpha \int_{-1}^1 I(k, 0, s, t) ds dt + \int_{-1}^1 \beta t \int_{-1}^1 I(k, 0, s, t) ds dt \\
&= \alpha s_{\tilde{k}0} + \beta s_{\tilde{k}1}
\end{aligned}$$